US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT
EFFICACY REVIEW

DATE: IN 1/5/81 OUT 1/12/81

FILE OR REG. NO. 100-607

PETITION OR EXP. PERMIT NO. 

DATE DIV. RECEIVED 12/18/80

DATE OF SUBMISSION 12/16/80

DATE SUBMISSION ACCEPTED 

TYPE PRODUCT(S): I, D, H, (F), N, R, S 

DATA ACCESSION NO(S). NA 

PRODUCT MGR. NO. 21 

PRODUCT NAME(S) Ridomil (R) 2E 

COMPANY NAME CIBA-GEIGY Corporation 

SUBMISSION PURPOSE to add directions for use to control black shank on field planted tobacco (other than flue-cured tobacco). 

CHEMICAL & FORMULATION Metalaxyl ............25.11%EC [2 lbs. active/gal] 

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS The proposed label amendment to expand the tobacco black shank disease claim from "flue-cured" to all types of tobacco will be acceptable provided that the following labeling comments are resolved:
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1. Under "General Information" (first paragraph, first sentence), delete the phrase "on flue-cured tobacco" since the proposed amendment extends the black shank claim to all types of tobacco.

2. Revise statement 2) under "Note". to indicate that it only pertains to tobacco blue mold control.

3. Based on the limitation of 6 qts per acre per season, what should growers do if they applied 1 qt. per acre for blue mold control in the plant beds and find that they also need to use 6 qts. per acre to control black shank in the field? Should they not use Ridomil(R) for black shank control or can they use a lower rate (e.g., 5 qts. per acre)?

Richard E. Michell 1/12/81
Plant Pathologist
RD, FHB, TSS