US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

| 109901 | | |) | | |
|--------|-----|------|-------|----|------|
| Date | Out | EAB: | APR | 24 | 1985 |

To:

G. Werdig

Toxicology Branch

Product Manager 50
Registration Division (TS-767)

From:

Samuel Creeger, Chief

Environmental Chemistry Review Section 1

Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

| Attached please find the environmental fa | te review of: | • |
|---|--|---|
| Reg./File No.: | y this class trace in a consistency to be demonstrated by the construction of the cons | |
| Chemical: Triadimefon | | |
| | | |
| Type Product: Fungicide | | |
| Product Name | | anti-immonina promonente monte minimonio. |
| Company Name: Mobay | La la la grandia de la gran | · |
| Submission Purpose: Response to Ground W | ater Data Call In | - |
| ZBB Code: other | ACTION CODE: 495 | |
| Date In: 12/17/84 | EAB # 5204 | |
| Date Completed: APR 24 1985 | TAIS (level II) | Days |
| | 51 | .25 |
| Deferrals To: | | |
| Ecological Effects Branch | | |
| Residue Chemistry Branch | | |

INTRODUCTION

We are returning this in accordance with the April 9th memo (attached).

Catherine Eiden Section # 1 HED/EAB

Cathin Eich

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20469

MEMORANDUM

Review of Studies Recall SUBJECT:

Douglas Campt, Director TO: Registration Division

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you of "the current status of the HED recall of "Review of Studies" actions. There has been a delay in responding to this item as a result of the following problems:

- The printout provided by RD did not have sufficient information for the HED branches to identify the exact studies being recalled.
- As a result of the programming error which required 2) RD assignment of new record numbers for existing actions, many of the record numbers did not match the original record numbers which are still in the RATs system (and on the Submission Review Records). Therefore, a significant amount of HED staff time was required to cross-reference the actions and identify the actions to be returned to RD.
 - * It should be noted that there are 60 actions noted on the RD printout which cannot be located in the HED system. This could be as a result of the mismatched RD record numbers or because these actions have never been forwarded to HED.

The HED understanding of this exercise is as follows:

- HED staff identifies information which will enable branches to pull actions to be returned to RD.
- 2) A copy of the Submission Review Records for the appropriate actions will be distributed to the RD Coordinators for each branch.

EAB

- 3) Branch management will check to determine which studies are already in review and how close they are to completion. Those reviews which are currently under review and which pertain to Special Review decisions and 6(a)2 data will remain in HED.
- 4) All appropriate studies will be retured to RD. The records for these studies will be deleted from the RATS system.
- If RD determines that any of the returned studies actually require HED review at this time, these studies should be resubmitted to HED with new Submission Review Records and new due dates. It is HED's understanding that the following types of studies will be returned for review:
 - Data received under 6(a)2
 - Data that is tiered
 - Data that has already been determined to be critical and had to be reviewed immediately under the initial Registration Standard Any data that pertains to another action currently under review (such as 6(a)2)

CURRENT STATUS

There were 527 actions which had to be pulled up on the computer and screened for their current status. Out of these actions, 332 actions were already completed or could not be located. HED has identified 135 actions from the RD printout which are still in-house as of March 13th. This tracks very closely with our own internal tracking system which indicates 138 active actions with the appropriate action codes.

HED staff have indicated the appropriate identifying information for each action which the branches need in order to pull the correct actions. Submission Review Records are being xeroxed and should be delivered to the branch is by 3/15/85. The breakout by branch is as follows:

- o TOX: 55 Actions
- RCB: 30 Actions
- ° 'EAB: 46 Actions
- ° EEB: 4 Actions

The branches will be requested to review the status of the above actions and note those which should be returned to RD by March 25, 1985. At that time, HED will delete the actions from the RATS system and contact Art Donner to arrange for pick-up and transmittal of the actions back to RD.

If you have any questions regarding this information, please let me know or have your staff contact Judy Heckman.

On Benton

Anne Barton, Deputy Director Hazard Evaluation Division

cc: Ferial Bishop Art Donner Judy Heckman