

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

1-8-93

010131

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: EPA Reg. No./File Symbol 264-LEU
Rovral WG Brand Fungicide

FROM: William S. Woodrow WSW 4-2-92
Precautionary Review Section
Registration Support Branch E 1/8/93
Registration Division (H75-05C)

TO: S. Lewis / Robert Rose (PM 21)
Fungicide - Herbicide Branch
Registration Division (H75-05C)

APPLICANT: Rhone-Poulenc Ag Co.
P.O. Box 12014, 2 T.W.
Alexander Drive
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

FORMULATION FROM LABEL:

<u>Active Ingredient(s):</u>	<u>% by wt.</u>
<u>iprodione: 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-N-</u>	<u> </u>
<u>(1-methylethyl)-2,4-dioxo-1-imid-</u>	<u> </u>
<u>dazolidene carbamide</u>	<u>50.0</u>
<u>Inert Ingredient(s):</u>	<u>50.0</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

MJH

BACKGROUND

Rhône-Poulenc Ag. Co. submitted acute oral, acute dermat, eye and skin irritation and dermal sensitization studies to support registration of Rovral WG Fungicide (EPA Reg. No. 264-LEU). MRID NOS. used were 422264-01, -07, -08, -09, and 422264-11.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1) The acute toxicity studies submitted by Rhône Poulenc are acceptable, and were graded Core Guideline Data.
- 2) The registrant must submit an inhalation toxicity study. The Rovral WG Fungicide must be ground to a fine powder, and administered to laboratory animals via an aerosol, for an exposure period of four hours, as described in the Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision F Hazard Evaluation, NOV. 1984.

7

3) Current acute toxicity profile for
Ravical WG Fungicide:

study	Classification	Tox Category
acute oral LD ₅₀ > 5.0g/kg	Guideline	IV
acute dermal LD ₅₀ > 2.0g/kg	Guideline	III
eye irritation - Clearing in 8-21 days	Guideline	II
skin irritation - No irritation	Guideline	IV
dermal sensitization - not a sensitizer	Guideline	-

P.M. Note: Upon receipt of the requested acute inhalation toxicity study, Precautionary Labeling may require revision.

LABELING

1) Change the signal word from CAUTION to read "WARNING".

2) Change the Precautionary Statements to read as follows:

"Causes substantial but temporary eye injury. Harmful if absorbed through skin. Avoid contact with eyes, skin or clothing. Wash thoroughly with soap and..."

3.

water after handling. Wear (goggles, face shield, or safety glasses). Remove contaminated clothing and wash before reuse."

3) Under Statement of Practical Treatment,

~~Wash with plenty of soap and water. Get medical attention.~~

"If on skin: ~~Wash with plenty of soap~~ Wash with plenty of soap and water. Get medical attention."

f

DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY TESTING (§81-1)

Product Manager: (21) 10-25-91 Reviewer: Woodrow
 MRID No.: 422264-07 Report Date: 3-26-92
 Testing Facility: Hazleton, WI, inc. Report No. HWT 10603195
 Author(s): S.M. Glaza
 Species: Rat, Sprague Dawley
 Age: young adult Observation Days (Post Exposure): (14) other ()
 Weight: 216-290g
 Source: Hazleton Sprague Dawley
 Test Material: ROVRAL WG Fungicide, granules
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): yes (O.A. & G.L.P.)

Conclusion:

- LD50 (mg/kg): Males = > 5.0g/kg; Females = > 5.0g/kg; Combined = > 5.0g/kg
- The estimated LD50 is > 5.0g/kg
- Tox. Category: IV. Classification: Guideline

Procedure (~~Deviations From §81-1~~): Animals acclimated to lab. conditions at least 7 days. 5M+5F, ^{fasted} rates closed by gavage with test material. Animals observed for clinical
 Results: signs and/or mortality frequently deep hoars, and daily

Reported Mortality

DOSAGE (g / kg)	(NUMBER KILLED/NUMBER TESTED)		
	Males	Females	Combined
5.0g/kg	0/5	0/5	0/10

Symptomology & Gross Necropsy Findings:

thereafter for 14 days. Body weights recorded days 0, 7 & 14.
All animals subjected to gross necropsy.
Clinical Signs: Satt stool, staggered gait - females to 4 hrs post-
dosing. M @ 1 hr day 2, 2 days audible breathing, hypo activity,
red stained face; dark stained face. females, days 1 & 2 - Dark-
stained respiration area. Audible breathing, hypo activity.
Necropsy: No gross abnormalities.

DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY TESTING (§81-2)

010131

Product Manager: (21) 10-25-91
 MRID No.: 422264-08
 Testing Laboratory: Hazleton, Wt., Inc.
 Author(s): S.M. Glaza
 Species: Rabbit, N. 2 white
 Sex: SM & female Wt.: 2234-2664 g.
 Test Material: Revka 50WG, granules
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): yes (P.A. & G.L.P.)

Reviewer: Wood 600
 Report Date: 3-26-92
 Report No. HDT 10603196

Summary:

- LD50 (mg/kg): Males = _____; Females = _____; Combined = _____;
- The estimated LD50 is 2.0 g/kg
- Tox. Category: III Classification: Guideline

Procedure (~~Deviations From §81-2~~): Animals acclimated at least

7 days. On day pre-test, rabbit back shaved & electric clipper (10% body surface) 2.0 g/kg (thoroughly moistened before application in saline) applied to each animal back.

10 cm² gauze patch secured to top to cover. Gauze over wrapped & seven wrap & elastoplast tape. Restraining collars

DOSAGE (g/kg)	(NUMBER KILLED/NUMBER TESTED)		
	Males	Females	Combined
2.0 g/kg	1/5	0/5	1/10

Symptomology & Gross Necropsy Findings:

applic. 24 hour app. - Wrappings removed, backs washed in tap water. Body temp 37.1-14. Irritation seen @ 30 min., Resp: 3, 7 & 14. Animals housed twice a day for 14 days. All animals subjected to gross necropsy. Irritation: (dermal) slight to moderate erythema 7 day 3. Necropsy: Suspected to termination (g); no visible lesions dead animal; Colon - multiple dark red areas on mucosa

6

7 DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE EYE IRRITATION TESTING (§81-4)

Product Manager: (21) 10-25-91 Reviewer: Woodrow
 MRID No.: 422264-09 Report Date: 3-26-92
 Testing Laboratory: Hazleton Wt, Inc. Report No. HW1 10603198
 Author(s): S.M. Glazay
 Species: Rabbit, NZ White
 Sex: 3 m 3 F Weight: 2372-2730g.
 Source: not stated
 Dosage: 0.51g/ml (sprayed to powder)
 Test Material: Rotal 50 WG 2 bag granules
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): yes (Q.A. + G.L.P.)

Summary:

Tox. Category: II Classification: Guideline

Procedure (~~Revision from §81-4~~): Animals acclimated prior to test. Exposed chemical for defects, using sodium fluorescein dye, day before test. Test material ground to fine powder pre-test. 0.51g (ml equivalent) placed in excited lid, one eye, each rabbit. Lids Results: held together 1 second, not flicked or seized. Treated eyes

Observations

	(number "positive"/number tested)							
	Hour	Days						
		1	2	3	4	7	14	21
Cornea								
Opacity	0/6	0/6	0/6	5/6	5/6	2/6	0/6	
Iris	0/6	0/6	5/6	4/6	4/6	1/6	0/6	
Conjunctivae								
Redness	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	5/6	0/6	
Chemosis	0/6	0/6	0/6	5/6	5/6	3/6	0/6	
Discharge	0/6	0/6	0/6	1/6	1/6	0/6	0/6	

Comments: examined @ 1, 2, 4, 7, 14, 21 & 28 hrs, and day 7 & 14 post treatment. Ocular Score.

Classing in 8-21 days - Category II

10131

Product Manager: (21) 10-25-91
 MRID No.: 422264-01
 Testing Laboratory: Hazelton, WI, Inc.
 Author(s): Steven Glaza
 Species: Rabbit, Hair (N2W) SPF
 Age: adults
 Sex: 3M & 3F
 Weight: 2266, 2480 g
 Dosage: undiluted (1)
 Test Material: Ruvral 50 W6 granules
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.121): yes (P.A. & G.L.P.)

Reviewer: [Signature]
 Report Date: 4-2-92
 Report No. HWI 10603197

Summary:

The Primary Irritation Index = 0.00
 Toxicity Category: IV
 Classification: Guideline

Procedure (Deviations From 101-57): Animals acclimated to lab. conditions for at least 7 days. One day before treatment, hair was clipped from backs and flanks of each animal (6 M & 6 F total). 0.5 mg test material, instead of 0.9% saline, placed on each animal's back. Treated sites

Results: covered with 2.5 cm² gauze patch secured w/ tape, loosely over wrapped w/ Saran Wrap, & secured w/ Elcast[®] plast tape for a semi occlusive dressing. After exposure, wrappings removed, sites washed & scored for irritation according to the Organ system; at 4 hrs, 24, 48 & 72 hrs post patch removal.

Results: No irritation observed, at any of the

Special Comments: serving patients

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

f

DATA REVIEW FOR SKIN SENSITIZATION TESTING (§81-6)

Product Manager: (21) Woodrow
 MRID No.: 422264-11 10-25-91 Reviewer: Woodrow
 Testing Laboratory: Wazletop WLS/Conin, Inc. Report Date: 4-2-92
 Author(s): Steven Glaza Report No.: HWI 10603199
 Species: Guinea Pig
 Sex: 28 males Weight: 350-448g.
 Source: Hba (DH) SPF
 Test Material: Royal SO WG granules
 Positive Control Material: dibutyltin benzene (DNCB)
 Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): yes (P.A. & G. & B.)
 Method: Buehler

Summary:

1. This product is It is not a dermal sensitizer.
2. Classification: Guideline

Procedure (~~Deviation From §81-6~~): Animals acclimated for at least 7 days, prior to test.

Pre-test screen to "determine the irritation threshold of the animal", was conducted. 4 g. used:

Results: 0.2g, moistened in deionized water, at concentrations of 25%, 50% & 75% w/w in water; 1 animal receiving two different concentrations of the test mat. Test concentrations applied to Hill top chamber patches, placed on two shaved sites, covered with strip of dental dam & well wrapped in tape. 6 hr exposure. Sites observed for erythema & edema at 24 & 48 hrs after patch removal. Based on screening results, test material induced @ 0.2g dose (moistened with deionized water) for both induction, and for challenge.

Induction - Day of test, hair removed from back of each animal & clipped. Test material (0.2g moistened in deionized water), placed on Hill top Chamber adhesive pad, pad placed on test site,

9

10 animals
 on rear left flank. Patch covered \bar{c} dental dam
 and was wrapped \bar{c} Elastoplast tape. 6 hour exposure,
 followed by patch removal. Positive control animals
 (4), received 50.3% W/V 2,4 dinitrochlorobenzene
 (DNCB) in 80% Ethanol/deionized water administered
 in same manner as for test group (0.4 ml dose).
 Animals received 3 applications, (once/week for
 3 weeks).

Challenge Two weeks following last induction
 application, a challenge of 0.2 g of test
 material dissolved \bar{c} deionized water was administered
 to right rear flank of test group animals.
 Also 10 naive (untreated) animals, received a
 test material challenge dose, in same manner
 as test group. Positive control material
 administered at a concentration of 0.1% W/V
 in acetone.

Application sites scored for erythema and edema
 at 24 and 48 hours following irritation screening,
 induction and challenge. Body weights recorded
 at weekly intervals.

Results:

Test animals - following (test animals), is average of 24 & 48 hour readings for the three induction applications: (each No. represents average for 1 of 10 test animals)

	0.1	The values at left are presented
<u>Induction</u>	0.1	to show that the induction
	0.1	response for test animals
	0.1	was (Buehler Scoring), or
	0.3	achieved an acceptable degree
	0.2	of irritation, although the
	0.1	tester could have easily
	0.3	intended using a more
	0.0	concentrated solution.
	0.0	

Challenge (test material) - no scoring, at both 24 and 48 hour readings.

Naive Control animals - 0.00 scoring.

Positive Control: Guinea pigs were sensitized.

Conclusion: Test material did not sensitize guinea pigs.