

### **DATA EVALUATION RECORD MIDGE 10-DAY TOXICITY STUDY**

1. <u>CHEMICAL</u> : Cypermethrin		PC Code No.: 109702
<ol> <li><u>TEST MATERIAL</u>: [Cyclopropane-1-<sup>14</sup>C]cypermethrin</li> <li><u>CITATION</u>:</li> </ol>		<u>Purity</u> : 99.8% (radiochemical purity)
Author:	Arthur E. Putt	
<u>Autior</u> .	Afului E. I uu	
<u>Title</u> :	Cypermethrin - Toxicity to During a 10-Day Sediment	Midge (Chironomus tentans) t Exposure
Study Completion Date:	June 29, 2005	
Laboratory:	Springborn Smithers Labo 790 Main Street Wareham, Massachusetts (	
<u>Sponsor</u> : Pyrethroid Working Group Beveridge & Diamond 1350 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005		)
Laboratory Report ID:	13656.6110	
<u>MRID No.</u> : 465915-04		
DP Barcode: D319265		
4 REVIEWED RV. Amanda Solliday Biologist OPP/EEED/ERB5		

4. <u>**REVIEWED BY:</u>** Amanda Solliday, Biologist, OPP/EFED/ERB5</u>

Xmandy // Jolliday Signature:

**Date:** 2-24-11

**<u>REVIEWED BY</u>**: Justin Housenger, Biologist, OPP/EFED/ERB5

Signature:

Date: 2-24-11

**<u>REVIEWED BY</u>**: Keith Sappington, Senior Advisor, OPP/EFED/ERB5

Keite Jopingt

Signature:

Date: 2-24-11

#### 5. STUDY PARAMETERS:

Age of Test Organism: Definitive Test Duration: Study Method: Type of Concentrations: 2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> Instar, 11 days old 10 days Intermittent flow-through Mean-measured

#### 6. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>:

The 10-day acute toxicity of [<sup>14</sup>C]cypermethrin to midge larvae, *Chironomus tentans*, was studied under an intermittent flow-through system in sediment-spiked exposures. Endpoints assessed included survival and growth (ash-free dry weight).

The nominal spiked sediment test concentrations were 0 (negative and solvent controls), 22, 44, 88, 180, 350, and 700  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment. The majority of radioactive residues remained predominately associated with the sediment during the 10-day study. Mean-measured sediment concentrations (Days 0 and 10) were <0.31 (<LOQ; controls), and 22, 43, 81, 170, 340, and 650  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment, equivalent to mean recoveries of 100, 98, 92, 97, 96, and 93% of the nominal concentrations, respectively. All subsequent sediment concentrations in this review are mean-measured, unless otherwise noted. Mean-measured (reviewer-calculated from Days 0 and 10) pore water concentrations were <0.083 (<LOQ; controls), and 0.096, 0.20, 0.43, 0.77, 1.70, and 2.70  $\mu$ g a.i./L. Mean-measured (reviewer-calculated from Days 0 and 10) overlying water concentrations were <0.034 (<LOQ, controls), and <0.034, <0.034, 0.036, 0.063, 0.14, and 0.19  $\mu$ g a.i./L, respectively. The low overlying water concentrations likely result (at least in part) from the flow-through system employed, which ensured at least two volume replacements per vessel per day.

There is some uncertainty regarding the statistically significant difference between survival and dry weight in the negative and solvent control. Mortality was significantly reduced in the solvent control (8%) relative to the negative control (1%). The mortality in all other treatments except for the second lowest treatment level (44 µg a.i./kg dry sediment) differ statistically from the negative control. The measured dry weights for the negative control and solvent control differed statistically, but the three lowest treatment levels (22, 44, 88  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment) did not significantly differ from the negative control dry weights. Because solvent was evaporated on silica sand prior to mixing with sediment and all treatments contained the same amount of initially-added solvent as the solvent control, the statistically significant reduction in survival in the solvent control appears to be a spurious result and is not likely related to the effect of the solvent. Considering all available information, the biological significance of the statistically higher mortality and lower dry weight seen in the solvent control is questionable and is not considered sufficiently robust as to interfere with the integrity of this test. Therefore, the reviewer relies on comparisons to the negative control for derivation of endpoints, in accordance with EFED guidance (Frankenberry et al., 2008).

After 10 days, 1 and 8% mortality occurred in the negative and solvent control groups, respectively, and 15, 14, 16, 39, 74 and 99% in the 22, 43, 81, 170, 340, and 650 µg a.i./kg dry sediment treatments, respectively. When compared to the negative control, statistically significant reductions ( $p\leq0.05$ ) in treatment survival on Day 10 were identified at the 22, 81, 170, 340, and 650 µg a.i./kg dry sediment treatments (all tested concentrations except for the second lowest level tested, 43 µg a.i./kg dry sediment). The Day-10 NOAEC, LOAEC, and LC<sub>50</sub> (with 95% C.I.) for survival were <22, 22 and 164 (72-496) µg a.i./kg dry sediment, based on the mean-measured bulk sediment concentrations compared to the negative control. Organic carbon-normalized endpoints based on sediment concentrations are given in the results synopsis below.

Dry weight per midge larvae averaged 2.04 and 1.79 mg in the negative and solvent control groups, respectively, and 2.00, 1.91, 1.98, 1.31, 0.21, and 0.82 mg for the 22, 43, 81, 170, 340, and 650  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment treatments, respectively. Reviewer-determined percent inhibitions in dry weight compared to the negative control were 2, 6, 3, 36, 90, and 60% for the 22, 43, 81, 170, 340, and 650  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment treatments, respectively. When compared to the negative control, dry weight was significantly reduced (p≤0.05) at the ≥170  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment treatments (three highest treatment levels tested). When compared to the negative control, the 10-day NOAEC, LOAEC and EC<sub>50</sub> (with 95% C.I.) for dry weight were 81, 170, and 200 (180-230)  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment, respectively. Organic carbon-normalized endpoints based on sediment concentrations are given in the results synopsis below.

This reviewer notes that HPLC/RAM analysis of cypermethrin concentrations <u>in pore</u> <u>water</u> (conducted only at the highest test concentration) indicate that the parent material declined to a negligible fraction of total radioactive residues measured over the course of this study (0% at the 10-d measurement). In contrast, the recovery of parent compound from bulk sediment was 100% for the initial and terminal measurements. Given that recovery of parent chemical was high based on QA/QC sample spikes, the low concentrations of parent material in the pore water appear to reflect desportion of the degradation products from the sediment particles into the pore water phase. This presumption is consistent with the expected lower hydrophobicity of the degradation products compared to the parent compound. Since the measured pore water concentrations of cypermethrin do not accurately describe the exposure to parent compound, endpoints from this study <u>will not</u> be expressed in terms of <u>measured</u> pore water concentrations.

Instead, this reviewer has <u>estimated</u> freely dissolved pore water endpoints based on measured concentrations in bulk sediment, the fraction of total organic carbon in bulk sediment (5.5%) and the mean  $K_{OC}$  (141,700 L/kg-OC; MRID 42129003) for cypermethrin (see Results Synopsis below). These estimated pore water endpoints, which are based on the freely dissolved test material (i.e., chemical that is not sorbed onto particulate organic

carbon [POC] or dissolved organic carbon [DOC]), are consistent with the expression of aquatic estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) from PRZM/EXAMS. It is noted, however, that  $K_{OC}$  values for cypermethrin vary considerably depending on soil type (20,800 to 328,500). This range of  $K_{OC}$  likely reflects differences in organic carbon composition and other soil properties used to determine  $K_{OC}$ . Therefore, these estimated pore water endpoints are subject to the same uncertainty in determination and application of  $K_{OC}$  for cypermethrin.

No abnormal behavior or additional sub-lethal effects were reported for surviving midges in the controls or treatment groups during the exposure period.

This study was designed to fulfill the OPPTS Draft Guideline 850.1735. This study is classified as **acceptable** and provides information on the 10-day toxicity of cypermethrin to sediment-dwelling midges (*Chironomus tentans*).

### **Results Synopsis:**

<b>Treatment</b>	comparison	to negative control	

Based on ESTIMATED <sup>1</sup> Pore Water	Concentra	tions
Survival		
LC <sub>50</sub> : 0.021 µg a.i./L	95% C.I.:	0.009- 0.060 μg a.i./L
Probit Slope: NA		
NOAEC: <0.003 µg a.i./L		
LOAEC: 0.003 µg a.i./L		
Dry Weight		
	95% C.I.:	0.023-0.030 µg a.i./L
Slope: 5.49		
NOAEC: 0.010 µg a.i./L		
LOAEC: 0.022 µg a.i./L		
Based on Bulk Sediment Concentrat	ions (mean-	-measured)
Survival		
LC <sub>50</sub> : 164 $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sedin	nent	95% C.I.: 72-469 µg a.i./kg dry sediment
Probit Slope: 1.96		
NOAEC: $<22 \ \mu g \ a.i./kg \ dry \ solutions are also as the second se$	ediment	
LOAEC: 22 µg a.i./kg dry sedi	ment	
Dry Weight		
EC <sub>50</sub> : 200 $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sedir	nent	95% C.I.: 180-230 µg a.i./kg dry
sediment		
Slope: 5.49		
NOAEC: 81 µg a.i./kg dry sec	liment	

LOAEC: 170 µg a.i./kg dry sediment		
Based on OC-normalized Sediment Concentrations (mean-measured)		
Survival		
LC <sub>50</sub> : 2980 µg a.i./kg TOC	95% C.I.: 1310-8530 μg a.i./kg TOC	
Probit Slope: 1.96		
NOAEC: <400 µg a.i./kg TOC		
LOAEC: 400 µg a.i./kg TOC		
Dry Weight		
EC <sub>50</sub> : 3640 µg a.i./kg TOC	95% C.I.: 3270-4180 μg a.i./kg TOC	
Slope: 5.49		
NOAEC: 1470 µg a.i./kg TOC		
LOAEC: 3090 µg a.i./kg TOC		
<sup>1</sup> Freely dissolved pore water endpoints (ug a.i./L) estimated as:		

Mean measured bulk sediment conc. (ug a.i./kg-d.w.) / [Fraction TOC (kg OC/kg-dw) \* K<sub>OC</sub> (L/kg-OC)]

Endpoints affected: Survival and Dry Weight

Most sensitive endpoint: Survival

### 7. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY:

A. Classification: ACCEPTABLE

**B. Rationale:** Study was conducted according to EPA/OPPTS Guidelines (850.1735) with only minor guideline deviations.

C. Repairability: N/A

### 8. <u>GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS</u>:

The following sources were used as guidance in evaluating this study, and deviations from these guidance documents are listed below:

- U.S. EPA. 1996. Ecological Effects Test Guidelines, OPPTS 850.1735 (Public Draft), EPA-712-C-96-354. April 1996.
- U.S. EPA. 2000. Methods for Measuring the Toxicity and Bioaccumulation of Sediment Associated Contaminants with Freshwater Invertebrates. Office of Research and Development and Office of Water, Washington, DC EPA/600/R-99/064. March 2000.
- 1) Physical descriptions and water solubilities of the test substances (radio-labeled and

unlabeled) were not reported.

- 2) Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations ranged 3.0-8.0 mg/L throughout the exposure period, based on daily measurements. Only the overall range of DO concentrations was reported. On test Day 5, to prevent DO levels from decreasing below 2.5 mg/L, the rate of the flow-through system was increased from 7 to 10 cycles per day (7 cycles=2 volume replacements, 10 cycles=2.8 volume replacements). As explained in the Reviewer's Comments section, the episodic nature of these DO excursions combined with the information on DO tolerance of *C. tentans* suggest that they are not sufficient to invalidate the study results.
- 3) The overlying water and sediment were analyzed for the presence of pesticides, PCBs and toxic metals. The study author noted that "none of these compounds were found at concentrations that would be considered to have an adverse impact on the results of the test." However, the results of this screen were not reported.
- **9.** <u>SUBMISSION PURPOSE</u>: This study was submitted to provide information on the toxicity of cypermethrin to sediment-dwelling chironomids (larvae).

## 10. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species Acute whole sediment toxicity tests are outlined in 850.1735 specifically for the amphipod <i>Hyalella azteca</i> and the midge <i>Chironomus tentans</i> .	Chironomus tentans
Life Stage Second to third instar larvae (about 10 days old larvae with at least 50% at third instar)	2 <sup>nd</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> instar, 11 days old. Age was confirmed by measuring the head capsule widths of 20 midge larvae from a sub- sample of the test population used to initiate the test. Sizes ranged from 0.25 to 0.45 mm. Ash-free dry weight was confirmed at test initiation (sub-population of 20 midge larvae) to be 0.29 mg dry weight per midge larvae.
Supplier Brood stock can be obtained from	In-house laboratory cultures.

### A. Test Organisms

### MRID No.: 46591504

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
laboratory, commercial, or government sources. (Sources obtained from the wild should be avoided unless cultured through several generations in the laboratory.)	
All organisms from the same source?	Yes.

## B. Source/Acclimation

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<u>Acclimation Period</u> Brood stock must be acclimated to culture water gradually from transport water to 100% culture water; water temperature exchange rate not to exceed 2°C within 24 hours. Avoid unnecessary stress, crowding and rapid temperature and water quality changes.	Reared under test conditions for 11 days prior to test initiation.
<b>Feeding</b> During acclimation, feeding should begin on day 0 and continue through day 9 unless food is not being eaten.	During acclimation, midges were fed a finely-ground flaked fish food suspension (4.0 mg/mL) daily based on the number and size of the larvae in each rearing vessel.
Pretest Mortality A group of organisms should not be used if they appear unhealthy, discolored and there should be <20% mortality 48 h before the beginning of a test.	No mortalities 48 hours prior to test initiation. No other abnormalities were reported.

## C. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Source of dilution water (Overlying water) and sediment Soft reconstituted water or water from a natural source, not de-chlorinated tap water. Unpolluted well or spring that has been tested for contaminants, or appropriate reconstituted water (see ASTM for details).	Overlying water was from the same source as the culture water (laboratory well water). The water was characterized as having total hardness and total alkalinity ranges as calcium carbonate of 46-50 and 32-34 mg/L, respectively, a specific conductivity range of 180-190 µmhos/cm, and a pH range of 7.4-7.5.
	Natural sediment was collected from Glen Charlie Pond, Wareham, MA (sub-batch 13656.6106 from the Pyrethroid Working Group-Freshwater Sediment Batch), wet pressed (2.0 mm sieve) to remove large particles, and was characterized by Agvise Laboratories (Northwood, ND). Analysis of the sediment pore water determined an ammonia concentration of 8.1 mg/L as nitrogen.
Does water support test animals	Yes
without observable signs of stress?	
<u><b>Quality Of Water</b></u> If problems are observed in culturing or testing of organisms, it is desirable to test water quality. Particulate, TOC, COD should be <5 mg/L and residual chlorine <11 μg/L.	No problems were reported. Ammonia concentrations as nitrogen were <0.10-0.89 mg/L based on measurements from the overlying water as a composite sample from each treatment and control group. Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were 7.4-13.7 mg/L based on measurements from the pore water as a composite sample from each treatment and control group.
<u>Water Temperature</u> 23°C $\pm$ 1°C. Daily mean test temperature Must not deviate more than $\pm$ 1°C and instantaneous temperature must be within $\pm$ 3°C. Temperature should be monitored at least hourly throughout the test in one test chamber, and near the beginning,	Daily measurement range of 23-24°C. Continuous monitoring range of 23-25°C in replicate J of the negative control group.

Reported Information
6.5-6.8 (overlying water)
DO ranged from 3.0-8.0 mg/L. The actual
percent DO saturation relative to the test
temperature was not reported.
temperature was not reported.
40-72  mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub>
Total alkalinity was 26-52 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> .
240-260 μmhos/cm
pH: 4.9
Avg. TOC: 5.5%
Total volatile sulfides: Not reported
Particle size distribution: 83% sand, 12%
silt, and 5.5% clay
Water holding capacity: Not reported Moisture content @ 1/3 bar: 31%
The sediment was screened for the
presence of pesticides, PCBs and toxic
metals by GeoLabs, Inc. (Braintree, MA)
and none of these compounds were
reported to be at concentrations that would
be considered to have an adverse impact on
the test results. The actual results of the
screening were not reported.

## MRID No.: 46591504

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Laboratory Spiked Sediment	Nonradiolabeled test material:
Material should be reagent grade unless	Cypermethrin tech.
prior evaluations dictate formulated	Synonyms: None reported
materials, etc.; Must know the test	CAS no.: Not listed
material's identity, quantity of major	Batch no.: PL04-0113
ingredients and impurities, water	Purity: 95.6%
solubility, estimated toxicity, precision	Physical description: Not reported
and bias of analytical method, handling	Water solubility: Not reported
and disposal procedures.	Storage condition: Room temp., dark
	ventilated cabinet
	This test material was used to spike the
	sediments used for the range-finding test
	only. The test concentrations were adjusted
	for the purity of the test material.
	Radiolabeled test material: [Cyclopropane-
	1- <sup>14</sup> C]cypermethrin
	Synonyms: None reported
	Batch no.: 1; Lot No. CFQ13998
	Specific activity: 2.04 Gbq/mmole (equiv.
	to 292,035 dpm/µg)
	Amount received: 1 mCi (37.0 MBq)
	Radiochemical Purity: 99.8%
	Physical description: Not reported
	Water solubility: Not reported
	Storage condition: Freezer (<-4°C)
	This test material was used to spike the
	sediments used for the definitive test and
	QC samples.
Stock Solutions	The primary [ <sup>14</sup> C]cypermethrin stock was
Test material should be dissolved in a	prepared by removing the toluene from the
solvent prior to mixing into test sediment.	test material under a gentle stream of
If solvent is used, both solvent control	nitrogen and then transferring the entire
and negative control are required.	amount of test substance to a 50-mL
	volumetric flask and bringing to volume
	with acetone. Triplicate 25.0-µL aliquotes
	of the stock were then assayed via LSC.

MRID No.: 46591504

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
	Based on this analysis and the specific activity of 292,035 dpm/µg provided by the supplier, the stock was determined to have a concentration of 229 mg/L (229 µg/mL). The stock was stored frozen (-80°C) until use. The mean radiopurity of this stock solution was 99.5% by HPLC/RAM based on the results from three repetitive injections.
	Six individual dosing stock solutions were prepared in acetone for application of the test material to the sediment. See Reviewer's Comments section of this DER for further details on the exact dosing preparation scheme.
	Negative and solvent controls were also tested.
Test Concentrations For SpikedSedimentFor $LC_{50}$ calculation, test concentrationsshould bracket the predicted $LC_{50}$ ;Sediment concentrations may benormalized to factors other than dryweight (e.g. organic content, acid volatilesulfides). Sediment may be mixed usingrolling mill, feed mixer or hand mixer.	Nominal sediment treatment concentrations selected for the test were 22, 44, 88, 180, 350, and 700 µg/kg dry sediment (ug a.i/kg sediment).
Test Aquaria         1. Material: Glass or stainless steel or perfluorocarbon plastics.	<ol> <li>Glass vessels (test chambers)</li> <li>300 mL; containing a 100-mL layer</li> </ol>
2. <u>Size</u> : 300 ml high-form lipless beakers containing 100ml of sediment and 175 ml of overlying water.	(~4.0-cm depth) of sediment (equiv. 151 g wet weight per vessel or 91 g dry weight) and 175 mL of overlying water. Total volume was maintained at 275 mL. The test vessels were all positioned in a water bath to maintain temperature.
Covers Static: Test vessels should be covered	Flow-through: Test chambers had two mesh-covered slots on the top edge of the

Guideline Criteria with a glass plate. <u>Flow-through</u> : openings in test compartments should be covered with mesh nylon or stainless steel screen.	Reported Information vessel to allow for drainage from the vessels during the cycling.
Type of Dilution System         Must provide reproducible supply of toxicant.	N/A. Sediment was spiked with test material, not the overlying water.
Flow Rate Consistent flow rate of 5-10 vol/24 hours, meter systems calibrated before study and checked twice daily during test period.	An intermittent delivery system in combination with a calibrated water- distribution system was used to renew the overlying water during the exposure period. Through Day 4, the water delivery system cycled approx. seven times per day (50 mL of water per cycle), providing two volume additions (i.e. 350 mL) per vessel per day. On Day 5, the intermittent-flow rate was increased to ten cycles per day to maintain acceptable DO levels (increasing the turnover rate to 2.8 volume additions/vessel/day). The renewal rate was visually checked at least two times per day.
Aeration Dilution water should be vigorously aerated so that dissolved oxygen in the overlying water remains above 40% saturation. In static systems, overlying water may be gently aerated through a 1- mL pipet located not closer than 2 cm from the sediment surface. Test organisms should not added 12 to 24h. Water quality characteristics should be measured before test organisms are added.	Not reported
Photoperiod 16 hours light, 8 hours dark with a 15-30 min transition period and illuminance of about 100 to 1000 lux.	16 hours light, 8 hours dark. Light intensity was 360-670 lux.

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Solvents Use of a solvent should be avoided since they may influence the concentration in pore water. If used, it should not exceed 0.5 mL/L for static tests or 0.1 mL/L for flow-through tests. Acceptable solvents include triethylene glycol, methanol, ethanol, or acetone. Surfactants should not be used.	A solvent control was prepared in the same manner as the treated sediment by adding 9 mL of acetone, containing no test material, to 0.05 kg of course silica sand. The solvent was allowed to evaporate off. The dried sand was then added to 2.0 kg of wet sediment and processed in the same manner as the treated sediments.

### D. <u>Test Design</u>

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Sediment Into Test Chambers One day prior (Day -1) to start of test: test sediment, reference sediment, and negative control sediment should be thoroughly homogenized and added to test chambers; Overlying water is added to chambers in a manner that minimizes suspension of sediment	The bulk quantity of spiked treatment sediments were subdivided and allocated to the replicate test vessels one day prior to test initiation. The overlying water was gently added to each vessel and the vessels were then placed in the water bath under the renewal system.	
Renewal of Overlying Water: Renewal is required and flow rates should not differ by more than 10% in any two test chambers and should begin on day -1.	The overlying dilution water (not spiked) was renewed with 2 to 2.8 volume additions (increasing to 2.8 volume additions on day 5) per day per replicate test vessel.	
Placing Organisms in Test Chambers: Should be handled as little as possible and introduced into overlying water below the air-water interface.	On Day 0, ten midge larvae were impartially and gently added to each of eight replicate test vessels/level.	
<u>Range Finding Test</u>	The nominal treatment levels tested were 0.0 (negative and solvent controls), and 0.070, 0.70, 7.0, 70, and 700 µg a.i./kg dry sediment and were prepared in the same manner as described for the definitive test. See Reviewer's Comments section for details and Results.	

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Monitoring the test All test chambers should be checked daily and observations made to assess organism behavior such as sediment avoidance.	All replicate test vessels were observed daily for abnormal behavior, number of mortalities and signs of toxicity.
Nominal Concentrations of Definitive <u>Test</u> Control(s) and at least 5 test concentrations; dilution factor not greater than 50%.	<ul> <li>0 (negative and solvent controls), 22, 44, 88, 180, 350, and 700 µg a.i./kg dry weight (ug a.i/kg sediment). Nominal sediment treatment levels were determined based on the results of a range-finding study.</li> <li>Aqueous solubility of the test material was not reported. Based on the 2005 USEPA RED (D293412), the solubility</li> </ul>
Number of Test Organisms 10 organisms per test chamber are recommended. 8 replicates per treatment should be used.	10 midge larvae/replicate, with 10 replicates per level. Eight replicates (A- H) were prepared for biological response and water quality measurements, and two additional replicates (I and J) were prepared for chemical analysis of the test material in the overlying water, pore- water, and sediment.
Test organisms randomly or impartially assigned to test vessels?	Yes
Feeding Midges in each test chamber are fed 1.5 ml of a 4 g/L Tetrafin® suspension daily. A drop in d.o. level below 2.5 mg/L may indicate over-feeding and feeding should be suspended in all treatments until d.o. levels increase.	Fed 1.5 mL of a 4-mg/mL suspension of a finely-ground flaked fish food once daily during the definitive test.

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Water Parameter Measurements           Overlying Water Quality should measure           conductivity, hardness, pH, alkalinity,           and ammonia in all treatments at           beginning and end of a test and should           not vary by more than 50% within a           treatment during the test.	pH was measured in all biological replicates at test initiation and termination. DO was measured in all biological replicates at test initiation and termination and daily in overlying water in one alternating replicate test vessel of each treatment level and control. Temperature was measured in all biological replicates at test initiation and termination and daily in overlying water in one alternating replicate test vessel of each treatment level and control. Temperature was also measured and recorded continuously in one replicate of the negative control. Hardness, alkalinity, conductivity, ammonia as nitrogen, and DOC were measured at study initiation and termination in a composite sample from the controls and each treatment group.
Chemical Analysis Needed if solutions were aerated, if chemical was volatile, insoluble, or known to absorb, if precipitate formed, if containers were not steel or glass, or if flow-through system was used. Concentrations should be measured in bulk sediment, interstitial water, overlying water, and stock solution.	The six treatment levels and both solvent and negative control sediments were sampled and analyzed for total [ <sup>14</sup> C]residue concentrations prior to the allocation of the sediments into the replicate exposure vessels and following the 12-day mixing and equilibration period. During the definitive exposure period, sediment, pore water, and overlying water samples were removed from replicates I and J on test Days 0 and 10, respectively, and analyzed for total [ <sup>14</sup> C]residue concentrations using liquid scintillation counting (LSC). Overlying water samples were removed from the test vessels by pipetting into a graduated cylinder. The pore water was then removed by removing the entire sediment sample and centrifuging for 30 minutes at

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
	10,000 rpm. The resulting pore water was pipetted from the centrifuge tube.		
	In addition, six QC samples (three aqueous and three sediment) were prepared and analyzed with each analytical sampling of the test vessels.		

## 11. <u>REPORTED RESULTS</u>:

## A. General Results

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?Control MortalityMean control mortality must be ≤ 20% at end of the test.	Yes. Negative control: 1% Solvent control: 8%	
<ul> <li>Percent Recovery of Chemical:</li> <li>1) % of nominal;</li> <li>2) Procedural recovery;</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>All recoveries are based on the reported mean-measured treatment concentrations and were determined by LSC analysis.</li> <li><u>In sediment</u>: 92-100% of nominal sediment concentrations.</li> <li>Based on QC samples fortified and analyzed concurrently with the sediment and overlying water test samples (on Days 0 and 10). In sediment matrix spikes at 18.2-730 μg a.i./kg dry sediment, recoveries were 76.5-102% of nominal. In freshwater matrix spikes at 0.916-22.9 μg a.i./L, recoveries were 93.6-101% of</li> </ol>	
	nominal. 3) $LOQ = 0.034 \ \mu g a.i./L$ for overlying	

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
	water samples; 0.082-0.083 µg a.i./L for pore-water samples; and 0.31 µg a.i./kg dry weight for sediment samples.	
3) Limit of quantitation (LOQ)		
Data Endpoints	- Survival of larvae	
- Survival of Larvae	- Ash-free dry weight.	
- Ash-free dry weight should be		
determined by pooling all living		
organisms from a replicate and drying to a		
constant weight (e.g. 60°C for 24 h)		
Raw data included?	Yes, mean replicate data provided	

**Effects Data (reviewer-determined)** 

**Toxicant Concentration** 

	Mean-Measured (Days 0 and 10)		Cumulative	Mean Ash-Free	
Nominal Sediment (ug a.i./kg sediment)	Sediment (µg a.i./kg dry sediment)	Pore Water $(\mu g$ a.i./L) <sup>2</sup>	Overlying Water (µg a.i./L) <sup>3</sup>	Number Dead (and %)	Dry Weight per Midge, mg, (and % Inhibition) <sup>4</sup>
Negative control	<0.31	<0.083	< 0.034	1/80 (1)	2.04
Solvent control	<0.31	<0.083	< 0.034	6/80 (8)*	1.79*
22	22	0.096 <sup>5</sup>	< 0.034	12/80 (15)*	2.00 (2%)
44	43	0.20	< 0.034	11/80 (14)	1.91 (6%)
88	81	0.43	0.036 <sup>5</sup>	13/80 (16)*	1.98 (3%)
180	170	0.77	0.063 <sup>6</sup>	31/80 (39)*	1.31 (36%)**
350	340	1.7	0.14 <sup>6</sup>	59/80 (74)*	0.21 (90%)**
700	650	2.7	0.19 <sup>6</sup>	79/80 (99)*	$0.82~(60\%)^7$

<sup>1</sup> The LOQ for sediment samples was 0.31 µg a.i./kg dry sediment.

<sup>2</sup> The LOQ for pore water samples was 0.082 (Day 0) - 0.083 (Day 10) µg a.i./L. Note: Measured concentrations from the study are reported in this DER, but were not used to derive endpoints. See Verification of Statistical Results section for further details.

 $^3$  The LOQ for overlying water samples was 0.034  $\mu g$  a.i./L.

<sup>4</sup> Percent inhibition (reviewer-calculated) is relative to the negative control.

<sup>5</sup> Since the Day 0 measured concentration was less than the LOQ, the LOQ value and the Day 10 concentration were averaged.

<sup>6</sup> Reviewer-determined as the average of the Day 0 and Day 10 measured concentrations. Note: The overlying water was renewed at least two times per day to maintain water quality.

The overlying water was renewed at least two times per day to maintain water quality

Concentrations would presumably be higher under static conditions.

<sup>7</sup> Excluded from statistical analysis due to significant effect on survival.

\* Statistically significant reduction ( $p \le 0.05$ ) compared to the negative control using Steel's Many-One Rank Test.

\*Statistically significant compared to the negative control using a t-test ( $p \le 0.05$ , reviewer-calculated).

\*\* Statistically significant reduction ( $p \le 0.05$ ) compared to the negative control using Bonferroni's Test (reviewer-calculated).

### **B.** Statistical Results (From Study Report)

<u>Statistical Method(s)</u>: Endpoints assessed included percent midge larvae survival and ashfree dry weight (growth) per larvae. Analyses were performed using the mean replicate organism response and the mean-measured sediment treatment concentrations via Toxstat v. 3.5.

Survival and growth treatment response data were compared to the solvent control data since a *t*-Test indicated statistically significant differences between the negative and solvent controls. All data were assessed for normality using the Chi-Square test for normality and for homogeneity of variance using Bartlett's Test. Survival and growth data meet the assumptions of ANOVA. Therefore, Dunnett's Test and Bonferroni's Test were used to compare survival and growth treatment response data to the solvent control data, respectively. The 10-day  $LC_{50}$  and  $EC_{50}$  values and associated 95% confidence intervals (95% C.I.) based on midge survival and growth, respectively, were determined visually using the Inhibition Concentration Method (Norberg-King, 1993) via Toxstat. Note the study author excluded the highest treatment level tested from the statistical analysis of the growth data due to the statistically significant reduction in survival by Day 10 at this treatment level.

### Study Author's Statistical Results (Survival)

LOAEC	170 ug a.i/kg sediment		
NOAEC	81 ug a.i/kg sediment		
LC <sub>50</sub> (95% CI)	290 ug a.i/kg sediment (240 – 330)		

### **Study Authors' Statistical Results (Growth)**

LOAEC	170 ug a.i/kg sediment
NOAEC	81 ug a.i/kg sediment
EC <sub>50</sub> (95%CI)	220 ug a.i/kg sediment

### 12. <u>VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS</u>:

Statistical Methods:

Percent survival and growth treatment response data were statistically compared to both the negative and solvent control. T-tests indicated statistically significant differences in the dry weights of the solvent and negative control ( $p \le 0.05$ ), but not mortality (p = 0.98). For mortality data, the data passed Shapiro-Wilk's tests for normality, but not an F-test for homogeneity of variance. Therefore, Steel's Many-One Rank test (non-parametric) was used to calculate the NOAEC and LOAEC values with Toxstat v. 3.5 statistical software. The study author and study reviewers' obtained different NOAEC and LOAEC values for survival due to the study author comparing statistical difference in the analysis against the pooled control while the study reviewer compared to the negative control. The 10-day  $LC_{50}$ value (164 ug a.i./kg sediment) was calculated using the probit method with Toxanal v. 1.04 software. Although the probit method indicated significant lack of fit (p < 0.001), it was selected because: 1) the 95% confidence interval (72-469 ug a.i./sediment) better reflects uncertainty in the  $LC_{50}$  caused by the shallow slope compared to the moving average method (144-196 ug a.i./kg sediment); 2) the estimated probit slope (1.96) is consistent w/ the shallow slope of observed data; and 3) the  $LC_{50}$  values determined by the probit and moving average methods were nearly identical (164 vs. 167 ug ai/kg sediment, respectively). The difference in statistical methods (ICp for the study author vs. probitfor the study reviewer) likely reflects the difference in  $LC_{50}$  values obtained.

After confirming normality and homogeneity of variances, NOAEC and LOAEC values based on mean dry weight per larvae (growth) data were determined parametrically using ANOVA and Bonferroni t-test (due to unequal reps) via Toxstat v. 3.5 statistical software. The 10-day  $EC_{50}$  values for dry weight data were calculated using the probit method via Nuthatch statistical software. Like the study author, the reviewer also excluded the highest treatment level tested from the statistical analysis of the dry weight data due to the statistically significant reduction in survival by Day 10 at this treatment level. The study author, however used the ICp method, while the study reviewer used Nuthatch, which explains the difference in  $EC_{50}$  values obtained by the two statistical analyses. Statistical outputs are presented in Appendix I.

The above statistical analyses were performed in terms of the mean-measured sediment and estimated pore water treatment concentrations. Sediment endpoints are also reported on an OC-normalized basis, based on the following equation:

 $mg/kg OC = \frac{mg/kg dry weight}{kg TOC/kg dry weight}$ 

This reviewer notes that HPLC/RAM analysis of cypermethrin concentrations <u>in pore water</u> (conducted only at the highest test concentration) indicate that the parent material declined to a negligible fraction of total radioactive residues measured over the course of this study (0% at the 10-d measurement). In contrast, the recovery of parent compound from bulk

sediment was 100% for the initial and terminal measurements. Given that recovery of parent chemical was high based on QA/QC sample spikes, the low concentrations of parent material in the pore water appear to reflect desportion of the degradation products from the sediment particles into the pore water phase. This presumption is consistent with the expected lower hydrophobicity of the degradation products compared to the parent compound. Since the measured pore water concentrations of cypermethrin do not accurately describe the exposure to parent compound, endpoints from this study will not be expressed in terms of measured pore water concentrations.

Instead, this reviewer has estimated freely dissolved pore water endpoints based on measured concentrations in bulk sediment, the fraction of total organic carbon in bulk sediment (5.5%) and the mean K<sub>OC</sub> (141,000 L/kg-OC; MRID 42129003) for cypermethrin (see Results Synopsis below). These estimated pore water endpoints, which are based on the freely dissolved test material (i.e., chemical that is not sorbed onto particulate organic carbon [POC] or dissolved organic carbon [DOC]), are consistent with the expression of aquatic estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) from PRZM/EXAMS. It is noted, however, that K<sub>OC</sub> values for cypermethrin vary considerably depending on soil type (20,800 to 328,000). This range of  $K_{OC}$  likely reflects differences in organic carbon composition and other soil properties used to determine K<sub>OC</sub>. Therefore, these estimated pore water endpoints are subject to the same uncertainty in determination and application of  $K_{OC}$  for cypermethrin.

### **Results Synopsis (reviewer-calculated):**

#### Treatment comparison to negative control

### Based on ESTIMATED<sup>1</sup> Pore Water Concentrations

Survival LC<sub>50</sub>:  $0.021 \,\mu g a.i./L$ 95% C.I.: 0.009- 0.060 µg a.i./L Probit Slope: NA NOAEC: <0.003 µg a.i./L LOAEC: 0.003 µg a.i./L

#### Dry Weight

EC<sub>50</sub>:  $0.026 \,\mu g \,a.i./L$ Slope: 5.49 NOAEC:  $0.011 \,\mu g a.i./L$ LOAEC: 0.022 µg a.i./L

95% C.I.: 0.023-0.030 µg a.i./L

<sup>1</sup> Freely dissolved pore water endpoints (ug a.i./L) estimated as:

Mean measured bulk sediment conc. (ug a.i./kg-d.w.) / [Fraction TOC (kg OC/kg-dw) \* K<sub>OC</sub> (L/kg-OC)]

Based on Bulk Sediment Concentrations (mean-m	easured)
Survival	
$LC_{50}$ : 164 µg a.i./kg dry sediment	95% C.I.: 72-469 µg a.i./kg dry sediment
Probit Slope: 1.96	
NOAEC: $<22 \ \mu g \ a.i./kg \ dry \ sediment$	
LOAEC: 22 µg a.i./kg dry sediment	
Dry Weight	
EC <sub>50</sub> : 200 $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment	95% C.I.: 180-230 µg a.i./kg dry sediment
Slope: 5.49	
NOAEC: 81 µg a.i./kg dry sediment	
LOAEC: 170 µg a.i./kg dry sediment	
Based on OC-normalized Sediment Concentration	s (mean-measured)
Survival	
LC <sub>50</sub> : 2980 µg a.i./kg TOC	95% C.I.: 1310-8530 μg a.i./kg TOC
Probit Slope: 1.96	
NOAEC: <400 µg a.i./kg TOC	
LOAEC: 400 µg a.i./kg TOC	
Dry Weight	
EC <sub>50</sub> : 3640 µg a.i./kg TOC	95% C.I.: 3270-4180 μg a.i./kg TOC
Slope: 5.49	
NOAEC: 1470 µg a.i./kg TOC	
LOAEC: 3090 µg a.i./kg TOC	

### 13. <u>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS</u>:

The reviewer's conclusions differ from those of the study author for both survival and ashfree dry weight. The reviewer-determined LC<sub>50</sub> (with 95% C.I.) based on the sediment concentrations, 164 (72-496)  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment, was lower than that of the study author, 290 (240-330)  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment. The reviewer-determined EC<sub>50</sub> (with 95% C.I.) based on the sediment concentrations, 200 (180-230)  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment, was also lower than that of the study author, 220 (200-250)  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment. This is presumably due to a difference in statistical methods as the study author used the ICp method while the study reviewer used Nuthatch. All toxicity values reported in the Conclusions section of this DER are reviewer-determined. The reviewer-determined NOAEC for survival is <22  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment, also lower than the NOAEC reported by the study author, 81  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment. The reviewer-determined NOAEC for dry weight is the same as that reported in the study. The reviewer used the negative control for statistical comparisons, while the study author compared treatments to the solvent control, and this can account for majority of the differences in the endpoints. The reviewer also normalized the endpoints for bulk sediment on an organic carbon basis, reported in the Conclusions and Verification of Statistical Results sections of this DER.

There are statistically significant differences between the negative and solvent control for both mortality and dry weight in this study (0.01 ). Mortality was 1% (1/80) in thenegative control and 8% (6/80) in the solvent control. Mean dry weight was 2.04 mg/midge for the negative control and 1.79 mg/midge for the solvent control. The mortality in the second lowest treatment level (44 µg a.i./kg dry sediment) did not differ statistically from the negative control. The measured dry weights for the negative control and solvent control also differed statistically, but the three lowest treatment levels (22, 44, 88  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment) did not significantly differ from the negative control dry weights. Because solvent was evaporated on silica sand prior to mixing with sediment and all treatments contained the same amount of initially-added solvent as the solvent control, the statistically significant reduction in survival in the solvent control appears to be a spurious result and is not likely related to the effect of the solvent. Considering all available information, the biological significance of the statistically higher mortality and lower dry weight seen in the solvent control is questionable and is not considered sufficiently robust as to interfere with the integrity of this test. Therefore, the reviewer relies on comparisons to the negative control for derivation of endpoints, in accordance with EFED guidance (Frankenberry *et al.*, 2008). Statistical comparisons to the solvent control are presented in Appendix II for comparative purposes only.

In addition, the reviewer determined  $LC_{50}/EC_{50}$ , NOAEC and LOAEC values for survival and dry weight based on the reviewer-determined estimated pore water concentrations. These toxicity values are reported in the Conclusions and Verification of Statistical Results sections of this DER.

In this 10-day flow-through test, fresh dilution water (not spiked with test material) was slowly added to the each test vessel via an automated intermittent renewal system at a rate of approximately 2 to 2.8 volume additions per day. The aged overlying water from each replicate test vessel was displaced and/or diluted by the incoming fresh dilution water and allowed to leave the test vessels via two overflow slots located at the top edge of the vessel. This renewal system was used to maintain adequate water quality throughout the exposure period. However, the renewal system also allowed any test material that moved from the sediment and pore-water to the overlying water to escape the exposure system. The Day-0 overlying water concentrations were <0.034 (<LOQ), <0.034, <0.034, 0.039, 0.19, and 0.24 µg a.i./L while the Day-10 measured concentrations were <0.034(<LOQ), <0.034, <0.034, <0.034, 0.037, 0.037, 0.082, and 0.14 µg a.i./L for the 22, 43, 81, 170, 340, and 650 µg a.i./kg dry sediment treatment concentrations, respectively. The reviewer-determined mean-measured overlying water concentrations were <0.034 (negative and solvent controls), <0.034, <0.034, 0.034, 0.036,

0.063, 0.14, and 0.19  $\mu$ g a.i./L, respectively, (average of the Day 0 and Day 10 measured concentrations). The reviewer was unable to determine toxicity values in terms of the overlying water concentrations since the measured test material concentrations on Day 0 for the three lowest treatment levels tested were less than the LOQ (<0.034  $\mu$ g a.i./L) while the Day 10 measured concentrations were <LOQ in the two lowest treatment levels tested (note further details below). This particular type of test is designed to examine the effects of cypermethrin to sediment dwelling organisms through pore water and sediment exposure, and the overlying water treatment concentrations are not the focus of this study.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations ranged 3.0-8.0 mg/L throughout the exposure period, based on daily measurements. Only the overall range of DO concentrations was reported. On test Day 5, to prevent DO levels from decreasing below 2.5 mg/L, the rate of the flowthrough system was increased from 7 to 10 cycles per day (7 cycles=2 volume replacements, 10 cycles=2.8 volume replacements). The actual percent DO saturation relative to the test temperature was not reported and was estimated by the reviewer. According to OPPTS Guideline 850.1735, the DO should be between 40% and 100% saturation. During this test, 40% saturation would be approximately 3.3 - 3.4 mg/L assuming 100% oxygen saturation at 23-25°C is 8.2-8.6 mg/L at standard pressure, according to the US Geological Survey Techniques for Water Resources Investigations Book 9 (Radtke et al, 1998). Although OPPTS Guideline 850.1735 (1996 Public Draft), indicate that the DO in overlying water should be between 40% and 100% saturation (which is 3.4 mg/L and above at 23.5 C; Radtke et al, 1998), more recent sediment toxicity testing protocols (USEPA 2000) and expected revision to the 1996 draft 850.1735 recommend that DO be maintained > 2.5 mg/L based on measured DO tolerances of C. tentans. Furthermore, USEPA (2000) indicates that 10-d exposures to concentrations as low as 1.5 mg/L did not adversely affect C. tentans survival or development. Although the potential interaction of these low DO events and toxicant exposure on midge growth cannot be discounted with absolute certainty, it appears as if the low oxygen levels did not impact the test organisms in the controls given the tolerance of *C. tentans* to DO as low as 2.5 mg/L.

For the definitive test, six individual dosing stock solutions were prepared in acetone for application to the test material to the sediment. These stock solutions were prepared using radiolabeled test material according to the following preparation scheme:

Conc. of Radiolabeled Stock Used (µg/mL)	Volume of Radiolabeled Stock Used (mL)	Diluted to Final Volume with Acetone (mL)	Dosing Stock Concentration (mg/mL)	Percent Radiolabeled (%)
229	10.63	25	97.4	100

97.4	5.02	10	48.7	100
97.4	2.58	10	25.0	100
97.4	1.26	10	12.2	100
97.4	0.631	10	6.12	100
97.4	0.315	10	3.06	100

All dosing stocks were clear and colorless with no visible undissolved test material.

An appropriate amount (9 mL) of each individual dosing stock solution (above) was added to 0.0500 kg of course silica sand and placed in glass petri dishes. The solvent was allowed to evaporate for 30 minutes. The dry sand, containing the test material, was then added to the 2.0000 kg of wet sediment (1.2027 kg dry weight based on a percent of solids of 60.11%) in individual 1-gallon jars. The total mass of sediment spiked on a dry weight basis for each treatment level and control was 1.2522 kg (0.0500 kg sand and 1.2022 kg dry weight sediment). The jars were sealed and rolled horizontally on a rolling mill for 4 hours at room temperature at approx. 15 rpm. Following the 4 hours of rolling, the jars were stored upright at 4°C overnight. The treated sediments were then allowed to equilibrate for 31 days in the refrigerator prior to allocation into the replicate test vessels. During the equilibration period the treated sediments were rolled on the mill for an additional 2 hours once per week.

On Day 0 and Day 10 sediment samples from the nominal 700  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry weight were analyzed by HLPLC/RAM to determine the percent of [<sup>14</sup>C]residue associated with the parent test material (measured concentrations,  $\mu$ g/kg as cypermethrin equivalents). Recoveries were 100% from the sediment samples on Day 0 and Day 10. On Day 0, insufficient radioactivity was observed at the cypermethrin retention time to determine the radioactive distribution (i.e., percent associated with cypermethrin) in a pore water sample collected form the nominal 180  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry weight treatment level and analyzed by HLPLC/RAM. On Day 10, none (0%) of the radioactivity was associated with cypermethrin in a pore water sample collected from the nominal 350  $\mu$ g a.i./kg dry sediment treatment level and analyzed by HPLC/RAM. All of the extracted radioactivity from this sample was observed in one peak.

The study author noted that prior to the initiation of the definitive test, a preliminary 10-day exposure was conducted to determine the relative toxicity of non-radiolabeled cypermethrin to midge larvae (9 days old). The nominal treatment levels tested were 0.0 (negative and solvent controls), and 0.070, 0.70, 7.0, 70, and 700  $\mu$ g a.i./kg sediment (ug a.i/kg sediment) and were prepared in the same manner as described for the definitive test. Three replicates

per treatment and control group with 10 midge larvae per replicate were tested. By Day 10, 97 and 90, 97, 97, 90, and 0% survival was observed in the controls, and nominal 0.070, 0.70, 7.0, 70, and 700  $\mu$ g a.i./kg sediment treatment groups, respectively. Ash-free dry weight among surviving midge larvae averaged 1.34 and 1.29, and 1.31, 1.31, 1.18, 1.17, and 1.04 mg per midge larvae in the negative and solvent controls, and nominal 0.070, 0.70, 7.0, 70, and 700  $\mu$ g a.i./kg sediment treatment groups, respectively. The definitive nominal sediment test concentrations of 22, 44, 88, 180, 350, and 700  $\mu$ g a.i./kg sediment were selected based on the preliminary results.

The study author mentions two protocol deviations, and neither of these deviations impact the results of the study:

- The study protocol states that the water temperature of the test solutions will be maintained at 23±1°C. During this study, on test day 10, the minimum/maximum reading ranged from 24 to 25 °C. The OPPTS 850.1735 guidelines state that mean and instantaneous temperatures should not vary from the desired temperature by more than 1°C and 3°C, respectively.
- The study protocol states that the data will be tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk's test. During this test, due to the number of data points being greater than 50, Chi-Square test was used. The reviewer agrees with the study author's determinations of normality for the mortality and growth data.

This study was conducted in compliance with all pertinent US EPA GLP regulations. Signed quality assurance, GLP and no data confidentiality statements were provided.

### 14. <u>REFERENCES</u>:

- Adams, W.J., R.A. Kimerle and R.G. Mosher. 1985. Aquatic safety assessment of chemicals sorbed to sediments. In: *Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment: Seventh Symposium* ASTM STP 854. R.D. Cardwell, R, Purdy and R.C. Bahner, Eds. American Society for Testing Materials. 1985. pp. 429-453.
- APHA, AWWA, WPCF. 1995. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 19<sup>th</sup> Edition, Washington, DC.
- ASTM. 2002. Standard practice for conducting acute toxicity tests with fishes, macroinvertebrates, and amphibians. Standard E729-96. American Society for Testing and Materials. 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA.
- Ditsworth, G.R., D.W. Schults, and J.K.P. Jones. 1990. Preparation of Benthic Substrates for Sediment Toxicity Testing. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. Vol. 9, pp. 1523-1529.

- Dunnett, C.W. 1955. A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. *Journal of American Statistics Association*. 50:1096-1121.
- Dunnett, C.W. 1964. New tables for multiple comparisons with a control. *Biometrics* 20:482-491.

Farrar, David. 1995. Using Nuthatch for Toxicity Estimates based on Nonlinear Regression.

- Frankenberry, M., Hammer, C., and K. Sappington. Guidance for the Use of Dilution-Water (Negative) and Solvent Controls in Statistical Data Analysis for Guideline Aquatic Toxicology Studies. US EPA. September 25, 2008.
- Gulley, D.D., Boetler, A.M. and H.L. Bergman. 1996. Toxstat Release 3.0. University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming.
- Norberg-King, Teresa J. 1993. A Linear Interpolation Method for Sublethal Toxicity: The Inhibition Concentration (ICp) Approach. National Effluent Toxicity Assessment Center, Environmental Research Laboratory - Duluth, U.S. EPA, Duluth, Minnesota. Technical Report 03-93.
- Radtke, D.B., White A.F., Davis, J.V. and F.D. Wilde. 1998. Dissolved Oxygen. US Geological Survey Techniques for Water Resources Investigations, Book 9.
- Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1981. *Biometry*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York. 859 pp.
- U.S. EPA. 10 CFR, Part 160. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act. Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule. Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA. 10 CFR, Part 158. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Data Requirements for registration. Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA. 2000. Methods for measuring the Toxicity and Bioaccumulation of Sedimentassociated Contaminants with Freshwater Invertebrates, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. U.S. EPA. Office of Research Development. EPA/600/R-99/064.

Toxanal. 1986. Release version 1.04, Seiko Epson Corp.

Weber, C.I. et al. 1989. Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. EPA/600/4-89/001. Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH.

Zumwalt, D.C. *et al.* 1994. A water-renewal system that accurately delivers small volume of water to exposure chambers. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. pgs. 1311-1314.

### 15. APPENDIX I: OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

#### Comparisons to negative control

			sediment tox C. t Transform:		ORMATION
			st for Normality		
			ected Frequencies		
			-0.5 to 0.5		
EXPECTED OBSERVED	3.7520	13.5520	21.3920 23	13.5520	3.7520
Chi-S	Square = (	0.3330	(p-value = 0.9	9876)	
		= 9.488	(alpha = 0.01 , (alpha = 0.05 ,	df = 4)	
Data PASS	normality t	test (alpha = 0.	01). Continue ana y sediment tox C.	alysis.	
File:	46591504.1 Sha	TXT apiro - Wilk's T		NO TRANSF	
			Test is aborted		
	est can not ater than s		ecause total numb	per of replicate	S
Total	number of 1	replicates = 5	6		
			y sediment tox C. Transform:		ORMATION
		-	omogeneity of Var		

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 21.5714 Table H statistic = 20.00 (alpha = 0.01) 11.80 (alpha = 0.05) Used df = 7 Based on R (# groups) = 7 \_\_\_\_\_ Data FAIL homogeneity test (alpha = 0.01). Try another transformation. Title: mortality cypermethrin 10-day sediment tox C. tentans File: 46591504.TXT Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Bartlett's Test for Homogeneity of Variance \_\_\_\_\_ ------Calculated B1 statistic = 24.6657 (p-value = 0.0004)Data FAIL B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Try another transformation. \_\_\_\_\_ Critical B = 16.8119 (alpha = 0.01, df = 6) = 12.5916 (alpha = 0.05, df = 6) Title: mortality cypermethrin 10-day sediment tox C. tentans 46591504.TXT Transform: File: NO TRANSFORMATION TABLE 1 of 2 Summary Statistics on Data \_\_\_\_\_ GRP IDENTIFICATION N MIN MAX MEAN \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 

 1
 negative contro
 8
 9.0000
 10.0000
 9.8750

 2
 22 ppb
 8
 7.0000
 10.0000
 8.5000

 3
 44 ppb
 8
 7.0000
 10.0000
 8.6250

 4
 88 ppb
 8
 7.0000
 9.0000
 8.3750

 5
 180 ppb
 8
 4.0000
 9.0000
 6.1250

 6
 350 ppb
 8
 0.0000
 4.0000
 2.6250

 7
 700 ppb
 8
 0.0000
 1.0000
 0.1250

 \_\_\_\_\_ Title: mortality cypermethrin 10-day sediment tox C. tentans File: 46591504.TXT Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION TABLE 2 of 2 Summary Statistics on Data \_\_\_\_\_ GRP IDENTIFICATION VARIANCE SD SEM C.V. % 1negative contro0.12500.35360.12503.5803222ppb0.85710.92580.327310.8920344ppb1.41071.18770.419913.7708488ppb0.55360.74400.26318.88395180ppb2.69641.64210.580626.80956350ppb2.26791.50590.532457.3692

DP Barcode:	D319265
-------------	---------

7	700 ppb	0.1250	0.3536	0.1250	282.8427
	mortality cypermeth: 46591504.TXT				TRANSFORMATION
	Steel's Many-One 1	Rank Test	- Но	Control<	Treatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	MEAN IN ORIGINAL UNIT	RANK S SUM	CRIT. VALUE	SIG DF 0.05
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	negative contro 22 ppb 44 ppb 88 ppb 180 ppb 350 ppb	9.8750 8.5000 8.6250 8.3750 6.1250 2.6250 0.1250	42.00 46.50 38.00 36.50 36.00	46.00 46.00 46.00 46.00 46.00	8.00 * 8.00 8.00 * 8.00 * 8.00 *
Critica	al values are 1 tailed	d ( k = 6 )			
Title: File:	mortality cypermeth: 46591504.TXT Kruskal - Wallis' AM	Trans	form:	NO	TRANSFORMATION
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN		CULATED IN	SUM
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	negative contro 22 ppb 44 ppb 88 ppb 180 ppb 350 ppb 700 ppb	9.8750 8.5000 8.6250 8.3750	9 . 8 . 8 . 8 . 6 . 2 .	8750 5000 6250 3750 1250 6250	401.0000 289.0000 304.0000
	ne = 46.0878 C Calc H > Crit H REJ		(p-va	alue 0.000	0)
	mortality cypermeth: 46591504.TXT	rin 10-day sed Trans	iment tox C. form:	tentans NO	TRANSFORMATION
Dunn '	s Multiple Comparison			TABLE 2 C	)F 2
GROUP	TRANS: IDENTIFICATION ME.	FORMED ORIGIN AN MEAN	GRC AL 0 0 0 0 7 6 5 4	) 0 0 0 4 2 3 1	
 7 6 5	700 ppb 350 ppb 180 ppb	0.1250       0.1         2.6250       2.6         5.1250       6.1	250 \ 250 . \	_	

8.3750 \* . . \ 4 88 ppb 8.3750 8.5000 \* . . . \ 8.6250 \* \* . . . 2 22 ppb 8.5000 3 8.6250 44 ppb / 9.8750 \* \* \* . . 9.8750 1 negative contro . \ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_\_\_\_\_ \* = significant difference (alpha = 0.05) . = no significant difference Table q value = 3.0380 (0.05, 7)SE = 8.0642

Percent survival (ppb a.i.); Estimates of LC%:

0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
650 79 78 98.7342 0 340 79 58 73.4177 0
170 79 30 37.9747 0 81 79 12 15.1899 0
43         79         10         12.6582         0           22         79         11         13.9241         0
0000000 000 000 000 00 0000000 0000 0 00 00 00 0000
00 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
$\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & .4537505 & 9.333191 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$
00000 = 1.957117 9500000000000000000000 = .6387835 000 3.275451
[] [] [] [] [] =-4.334408
00 50 = 163.9419 95 000000000000000000000000 = 72.25875 000 469.1022
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 25 \\ = \\ 74.13963 \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $
95 UUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUU = 12.67939 UUU 146.292

#### Dry Weight (nominal conc., ppb a.i.); NOAEC and LOAEC:

Title: dry File:	weight cype DW4659~1.7	rmethrin 10-day XT	sediment tox C. Transform:	tentans NO TRANSF	ORMATION
			st for Normality		
			ected Frequencies		
INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
	3.1490 4	11.3740 12	17.9540 16	11.3740 13	3.1490 2
Chi-S	Square = 1	.1288	(p-value = 0.8)	897)	
		= 9.488	(alpha = 0.01 , ( (alpha = 0.05 , (	df = 4)	
			01). Continue ana:		
Title: dr	y weight cy	- permethrin 10-da	ay sediment tox C Transform:	. tentans	ORMATION
			est for Normality		
D = W =	2.4239 0.9747				
Criti		9280 (alpha = 0 9460 (alpha = 0			
Data PASS	normality t	est (alpha = 0.0	01). Continue ana	lysis.	
		-	ay sediment tox C Transform:		ORMATION
	Hartl		omogeneity of Var:	iance	
Calculated	l H statisti	.c (max Var/min V	Var) = 10.0132		
			stic = 18.40 (a	alpha = 0.01)	

MRID No.: 46591504

10.80 (alpha = 0.05) Used Closest df = 7 (Actual value: df (avg # reps - 1) = 6.83) Based on R (# groups) = 6 \_\_\_\_\_ Data PASS homogeneity test (alpha = 0.01). Continue analysis. \_\_\_\_\_ NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, Hartley's test may still be used as an approximate test. Average df should be used as above. Title: dry weight cypermethrin 10-day sediment tox C. tentans File: DW4659~1.TXT Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Bartlett's Test for Homogeneity of Variance \_\_\_\_\_ Calculated B1 statistic = 14.7058 (p-value = 0.0117) Data PASS B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis. \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Critical B = 15.0863 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5) = 11.0705 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5) \_\_\_\_\_ Using Average Degrees of Freedom (Based on average replicate size of 7.83) Calculated B2 statistic = 12.5397 (p-value = 0.0281)Data PASS B2 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis. Title: dry weight cypermethrin 10-day sediment tox C. tentans File: DW4659~1.TXT Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Summary Statistics on Data TABLE 1 of 2 \_\_\_\_\_ GRP IDENTIFICATION N MIN MAX MEAN \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 

 1
 negative contro
 8
 1.7400
 2.2500

 2
 22
 ppb
 8
 1.8900
 2.2000

 3
 44
 ppb
 8
 1.3200
 2.4100

 4
 88
 ppb
 8
 1.7900
 2.1300

 5
 180
 ppb
 8
 0.8100
 1.6100

 6
 350
 ppb
 7
 0.0030
 0.6900

 2.0400 2.0050 1.9088 1.9813 1.3138 б 0.2154 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

## MRID No.: 46591504

	dry weight cyr DW4659~1.TX		n 10-day se Trans			TRANSFORMAT	LIO.
	Summary Sta	atistics	on Data 		TABLE 2 of 2	2	
GRP	IDENTIFICATION		RIANCE	SD	SEM	C.V. %	
1	negative contro	)	0.0234	0.1529	0.0541	7.4940	
2	22 ppt	C	0.0161	0.1271	0.0449	6.3369	
3			0.1317	0.3629	0.1283	19.0146	
4		C	0.0132	0.1147	0.0406 0.1101	5.7891	
5	180 pp		0.0970	0.3114	0.1101	23.7066	
6 	350 ppł	) 	0.0757	0.2751	0.1040	127.7113	
Title:	dry weight cyr	permethri	n 10-day se	diment to	x C. tentans		
File:	DW4659~1.TX	ΚT	Trans	form:	NO 7	TRANSFORMAT	ΓIC
			ANOVA Table				
SOU	RCE	DF	SS		MS	F	
Bet	 ween	5	18.8	 593	3.7719	63.799	 98
Wit:	hin (Error)	41	2.4	239	0.0591		
Tot	al	46	21.2	832			
					(p-va	lue = 0.000	) ) )
Cri	tical F = 3.500 = 2.443		a = 0.01, d a = 0.05, d				
Sin	ce F > Critical	LF REJE	CT Ho: All	equal (a	lpha = 0.05)		
	dry weight cyr DW4659~1.T2			diment to form:		FRANSFORMA	ΓIC
B	onferroni t-Test	с – Т	ABLE 1 OF 2		Ho: Contro	ol <treatmer< td=""><td>nt</td></treatmer<>	nt
	IDENTIFICATIO		TRANSFORMED MEAN		CALCULATED IN GINAL UNITS		S
GROUP 05					2.0400		
05	negative co	ontro	2.0400				
05  1	negative co 22		2.0400 2.0050		2.0050	0.2879	
05	22		2.0400 2.0050 1.9088		2.0050 1.9088	0.2879 1.0796	
05  2 3 4	22 44 88	2 ppb 4 ppb 3 ppb	2.0050			1.0796 0.4832	
05  1 2 3	22 44 88 180	2 ppb 4 ppb 3 ppb ) ppb	2.0050 1.9088		1.9088	1.0796	*

Title: File:	dry weight cypermet DW4659~1.TXT	hrin 10-	day sediment tox ( Transform:		RANSFORMATION
Вс	nferroni t-Test -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho: Contro	ol <treatment< td=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	MIN SIG DIFF (IN ORIG. UNITS	% OF ) CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4 5 6	negative contro 22 ppb 44 ppb 88 ppb 180 ppb 350 ppb	8 8 8 8 7	0.2943 0.2943 0.2943 0.2943 0.2943 0.3046	14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.9	0.0350 0.1312 0.0587 0.7262 1.8246

#### Dry Weight (ppb a.i.); Estimates of EC%:

 $\underline{00} \ \underline{000} \ \underline{000} \ \underline{000} \ \underline{0000} \ \underline{00000} \ \underline{000000} \ \underline{00000} \ \underline{00000} \ \underline{000000} \ \underline{00000} \ \underline{000000} \ \underline{00000000} \ \underline{000000} \ \underline{00000} \ \underline{000000} \ \underline{00000}$ 

0000	0-0000-0000	

0	2.04			
22	2 0	).2879		
43	1.95	0.7814		
81	1.95	0.7814	0.0.	
170	1.31	5.974	<0.005	*
340	0.215	14.5	<0.005	*

<u>"\*"=0 @00@@000; "0 .0 ."=0 000 @00@@0</u>000

		95% [][[][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][	Ш.ШШ	
005	1.0 +02	79. 1.3 +02	0.055	0.77
10	1.2 +02	<u>95. 1.5 +02</u>	0.048	0.80
0025	1.5 +02	<u>1.30+02 1.80+</u>	02 0.035	0.85
∐∐ 50	2.01 +02	1.8 +02 2.3 +	02 0.024	0.89

#### MRID No.: 46591504

0.686

0.00	8.00	2.04	1.99	0.0495	100.	0.00
22.0	8.00	2.00	1.99	0.0145	100. 6	5.02 -06
43.0	8.00	1.91	1.99	-0.0815	100.	0.0110
81.0	8.00	1.98	1.96	0.0196	98.6	1.45
170.	8.00	1.31	1.32	-0.00250	66.1	33.9
340.	7.00	0.215	0.215	0.000459	10.8	89.2