

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

200811,
200813, 200814
RECORD NO.

109702
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN 08-05-87 OUT 15 MAR 1988

FILE OR REG. NO 10182-64, 10182-65, 10182-80

PETITION OR EXP. NO. _____

DATE OF SUBMISSION 07-23-87

DATE RECEIVED BY HED 08-04-87

RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 10-02-87

EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 10-02-87

RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 601

TYPE PRODUCT(S) : I, D, H, F, N, R, S Synthetic pyrethroid

DATA ACCESSION NO(S). _____

PRODUCT MANAGER NO. G. LaRocca (15)

PRODUCT NAME(S) Cypermethrin

COMPANY NAME ICI Americas Inc.

SUBMISSION PURPOSE Submission of honey bee data (residual toxicity study) for review

SHAUGHNESSEY NO.	CHEMICAL, & FORMULATION	% A.I.
<u>109702</u>	<u>Cypermethrin</u>	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

EEB Review

Cypermethrin

100 Submission Purpose and Label Information

100.1 Submission Purpose and Pesticide Use

The registrant (ICI Americas Inc.) submitted data from a residual toxicity study on honey bees. These data were submitted in response to a data call-in notice issued October 25, 1985.

100.2 Formulation Information (for Cymbush 3E)

Active Ingredient :
Cypermethrin 35.6%
Inert ingredients 64.4%

101 Hazard Assessment

101.1 Discussion

Cypermethrin is a synthetic pyrethroid insecticide whose main mode of use will be through foliar application to crop plants. As such, some uses will present a high exposure situation for honey bees.

101.2 Likelihood of Adverse Effects on Nontarget Organisms

Honey Bees

Data from the residual toxicity study indicate that cypermethrin is highly toxic to honey bees and that residual toxicity may extend at least 4 days after application. The study report indicates that test rates approximated the rates recommended for use on cotton.

101.4 Adequacy of Toxicity Data

Data are sufficient to assess hazard to honey bees. No further testing on bees is required for cypermethrin.

101.5 Adequacy of Labeling

For cypermethrin products intended for outdoor use, the label should bear the following bee precaution statement:

This product is highly toxic to bees exposed to direct treatment or residues on blooming crops or weeds. Do not apply this product or allow it to drift to blooming crops or weeds if bees are visiting the treatment area.

103

Conclusions

EEB has completed review of the submitted data on the residual toxicity of cypermethrin to honey bees. The data fulfill the requirement specified in the Data Call-In and indicate that residues of cypermethrin may remain highly toxic to honey bees for as long as 4 days. The data will be placed in EEB files.

Allen W. Vaughan 3-10-88
Allen W. Vaughan, Entomologist
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

Norman J. Cook 3-14-88
Norman J. Cook, Supervisory Biologist
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

Henry T. Craven 3-14-88
Henry T. Craven, Acting Chief
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. Chemical: Cypermethrin
2. Test Material: Cymbush 3E, 35.6% ai
3. Study Type: Honey bee - toxicity of residues on foliage
Species Tested: Apis mellifera
4. Study ID: Gough, H.J., D. Jackson, and W. Wilkinson. 1987. CYPERMETHRIN: Toxicity of Residues on Foliage to Honey Bees (Apis mellifera). Study conducted by ICI Plant Protection Division, submitted by ICI Americas Inc., Wilmington, DE. EPA Acc. No. 402740-01. EPA Reg. Nos. 10182-64, -65, -80.
5. Reviewed By:
Allen W. Vaughan
Entomologist
EEB/HED
Signature: Allen W. Vaughan
Date: 3.10.88
6. Approved By:
Norman J. Cook
Supervisory Biologist
EEB/HED
Signature: Norman J. Cook
Date: 3/4/88
7. Conclusions: This study is scientifically sound, and shows cypermethrin to be highly toxic to honey bees, with residual toxicity persisting for up to 4 days. This study fulfills the guideline requirement for a residual toxicity study on honey bees.
8. Recommendations: N/A
9. Background: This study was submitted in response to a Data Call-In Notice dated October 25, 1985.
10. Discussion of Individual Tests: N/A
11. Materials and Methods
 - A. Test Animals were worker honey bees from a research colony.
 - B. Test System: Oilseed rape plots (2m X 10m) were sprayed with the test chemical. Treated foliage was cut at specified times after treatment. About 500 ml of cut material was chopped and placed in a petri dish cage for each replication.

Cotton saturated with sucrose was placed on the cage bottom to serve as food for the test bees.

Fifty bees were placed in each cage, and the cages were placed in a growth chamber for 24 hours at $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $70\% \pm 10\%$ relative humidity. Mortality was then evaluated after 24 hr of exposure.

- C. Dose: Foliar application of formulated product; test bees exposed to treated foliage.
- D. Design: 2 application rates (67 and 150 g ai/hectare) plus control; 3 reps.; test replicated 2 times over time.
- E. Statistics: No analysis reported.

12. Reported Results:

At the application rate of 150 g ai per hectare, the toxicity of cypermethrin residues was high in both tests (75% to 95% mean corrected mortality), there being no decrease with time. The two tests with 67 g ai per hectare gave different results. The first showed a clear reduction in toxicity over time, due to a significant amount of rainfall early in the weathering period. Toxicity of the residue remained high in the second test (73% to 97% mean mortality), despite rainfall which occurred during the weathering period.

Under the conditions of the test the two rates of cypermethrin were toxic to worker bees for up to 96 hours.

13. Study Author's Conclusions/Q.A. Measures:

Under the conditions of the test cypermethrin sprayed at rates of 67 or 150 g ai per hectare onto oilseed rape left residues on the foliage which were toxic to honey bees for up to 96 hours.

Weather conditions, such as rainfall, can apparently reduce the toxicity of residues, as seen in one test at the lower rate.

High toxicity in the results obtained by this method does not necessarily mean high hazard in the field.

A Quality Assurance audit statement was included.

14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study

- A. Test Procedures: Procedures were in accordance with those recommended in the guidelines. There were no problems in this regard. Use of oilseed rape as a

test crop was agreed to by EPA in earlier correspondence.

B. Statistical Analysis: None reported

C. Discussion/Results: This study is scientifically sound. Residual toxicity of cypermethrin 3E, applied at 67 and 150 g ai/ha, persisted for the duration of the test (4 days), except when rainfall occurred soon after application.

D. Adequacy of Study:

1. Classification: Core
2. Rationale: Guideline protocol
3. Reparability: N/A

15. Completion of One-Liner: N/A

16. CBI Appendix: N/A