US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

108501 SHAUGHNESSEY NO. 53 REVIEW NO.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EEB	REVIEW	ADD 0 1000	
DATE: IN	11-18-88	OUT	APR 3 1989	
FILE OR REG. NO		241-243	and the second	
PETITION OR EXP. NO.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DATE OF SUBMISSION		6-16-88	and the second programmer and the second programmer and the second programmer and the second programmer and the	
DATE RECEIVED BY HEI)	11-18-88	ayan ayan in angan angan ayan an ang maga man an ang maga man ang manang mang m	
RD REQUESTED COMPLET	TION DATE _	3-17-89	managan pagaman menganya ing menganya ing menganya menganya menganya menganya menganya menganya menganya mengan	
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLE	ETION DATE	3-17-89	i mangan ngangan kangang mangang mangang mangan kangan kangan kangan kangan kangan kangan kangan kangan kangan	
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE	OF REVIEW	330		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	And the second s	
TYPE PRODUCT(S) : I	, D, H, F,	N, R, S	Herbicide	
DATA ACCESSION NO(S)	•			
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.		R. Taylo	r (25)	
PRODUCT NAME(S)	Prowl (Pendimethalin)			
-		<u> </u>	en e	
COMPANY NAME	American	Cyanamid C	ompany	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Proposed	registrati	on of almond use	
en e		·		
		والمستقولة		
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.	снем	ICAL, & FOR	MULATION % A.I.	
108501	Pendimethalin (N-(1-ethyl propyl-3,			
The second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section sec	4-dim	ethyl-2,6-d	initrobenzenamine) 42.3	

EEB REVIEW

Pesticide Name: Pendimethalin

100 <u>Submission Purpose and Label information</u>

100.1 Submission Purpose and Pesticide Use

Registration amendment for use of PROWL herbicide for preemergence weed control in nonbearing and bearing almonds.

100.2 Formulation Information

ACTIVE INGREDIENT

Pendimethalin.....42.3 %

INERT INGREDIENTS.....57.7%

Total

100.0%

(1 gal. contains 4 lbs of pendimethalin)

100.3 Application Methods, Directions, Rates

Apply PROWL uniformly in 20 or more gallons of water per acre (broadcast basis) with ground equipment.

PRECAUTIONS: Apply the spray directly to the ground beneath the trees. Do not apply to newly transplanted trees until ground has settled and no cracks are present. Do not feed forage or graze livestock in treated fields.

Recommended Broadcast Rates:

Short-term control (4 months): 2 quarts PROWL per acre Long-term control (6-8 months): 4 quarts PROWL per acre Long-term control (8-12 months): 6 quarts PROWL per acre

100.4 Target Organisms

PROWL herbicide controls most annual grasses and certain broadleaf weeds as they germinate, but it will not control established weeds. Destroy emerged weeds with tillage prior to an application of PROWL or use PROWL in combination with a postemergence herbicide registerd to remove existing vegetation in almonds.

100.5 Precautionary Labeling

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD: This product is toxic to fish. DO NOT apply directly to water. Drift and runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to fish in neighboring areas. DO NOT contaminate water by cleaning of equipment or disposal of

101 <u>Hazard Assessment</u>

101.1 Discussion

Pendimethalin is currently registered for use on a variety of field crops. It is also currently registered for use on most orchard fruit and nut crops, but at a lower maximum rate (4 qts/acre) than the registration that is currently being sought. Current orchard registrations only include non-bearing crops.

Pendimethalin is very insoluble in water (0.5 ppm); it is strongly adsorbed on soil organic matter and clay and does not leach through the soil.

101.2 Likelihood of Adverse Effects to Non-Target Organisms

Pendimethalin is only slightly toxic to birds, with an LD50 of 1421 mg/kg to mallard ducks and a dietary LC50 of 4187 ppm to bobwhite quail. The most significant route of pesticide exposure for birds is through residues which occur on avian food items immediately after application. Given the fact that PROWL herbicide is applied preemergence to bare soil, its use is not expected to result in significant exposure to birds.

Pendimethalin is highly toxic to aquatic organisms, with acute LC50 values ranging from 140 ppb to 420 ppb. In order to calculate an EEC (Estimated Environmental Concentration), the scenario will be employed of a pond one acre in size supported by a 10-acre drainage basin. Prowl is to be used in an orchard situation, in an area of low rainfall generally requiring irrigation. Taking into consideration these facts, and also given the fact that pendimethalin has a low solubility in water (0.5 ppm), this pesticide is not expected to run off into aquatic systems to any great extent. Neither is drift expected to pose a problem. For the purposes of this EEC model, from 0.5 to as high as 1 percent of the applied pesticide will be expected to enter the pond (through runoff).

The resulting EEC is from 3.7 to 37 ppb (see Attachment A). Using the aquatic organism hazards trigger of 1/2 the LC50 (1/2 140 ppb'= 70 ppb), this EEC is seen to be below the level at which acute adverse effects to aquatic organisms may be expected.

101.3 Endangered Species Considerations

The use of Prowl herbicide is not expected to result in hazard to endangered bird species. A low potential for exposure (the pesticide is applied to bare soil) coupled with its low level of toxicity to birds result in little

hazard to endangered avian species.

Pendimethalin is highly toxic to aquatic organisms, with acute LC50 values ranging from 140 ppb to 420 ppb. The aquatic EEC calculated in the previous section ranged from 3.7 to 37 ppb. Using the aquatic endangered species hazard trigger of 1/20 the lowest LC50 (1/20 X 140 ppb = 7 ppb), it is seen that pendimethalin levels in a small, self-contained body of water (such as in a pond) can exceed levels that may result in adverse effects to aquatic endangered species.

In EEB's judgement, the endangered aquatic species most susceptible to effects from the use of pendimethalin are those species with restricted or isolated habitats, especially those species living in shallow water or small pools.

Following is a list of species which may occur in counties in which almonds are grown. Species are either known to occur in a given county (K), or may only possibly be found there (P). Some of these species may be adversely affected by the use of pendimethalin on almonds.

El Dorado (K) Lahontan cutthroat trout Fresno (P) Paiute cutthroat trout Little Kern golden trout Fresno (P) Unarmored threespined stickleback Los Angeles (K) Paiute cutthroat trout Madera (K) Lahontan cutthroat trout Madera (K) Monterey (K) Santa Cruz long-toed salamander Lahontan cutthroat trout Desert slender salamander Placer (K) Riverside (K) Riverside (K) Desert pupfish Santa Barbara (K) Unarmored threespine stickleback San Bernardino (K) Bonytail chub Mohave tui chub San Bernardino (K) Unarmored three-spined stickleback San Bernardino (K) Santa Cruz long-toed salamander Santa Cruz (K) Shasta crayfish Shasta (K) California freshwater shrimp Sonoma (K) Little Kern golden trout Tulare (K)

Attachment B lists almond-growing counties in California with the number of farms and total acreage for each county.

EEB will seek a formal consultation with the Office of Endangered Species, US Fish and Wildlife Service, to determine if this proposed use will result in jeopardy to endangered species.

101.4 Adequacy of Toxicity Data

The data are adequate to perform a hazard assessment.

101.5 Adequacy of Labeling

The Environmental Hazards labeling should be updated to read:

This product is toxic to fish. Do not apply directly to water or wetlands (swamps, bogs, marshes, potholes). Drift and runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to aquatic organisms in adjacent aquatic sites. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwaters.

102 Conclusions

EEB has completed an assessment of the proposed amendment to the label for Prowl Herbicide to add bearing and nonbearing almonds for preemergence weed control. upon the available data and use information, EEB concludes that the proposed use provides for mimimal hazards to avian and aquatic organisms, but there is the potential for adverse effects to aquatic endangered species. EEB will seek formal consultation with the Office of Endangered Species, US Fish and Wildlife Service.

(and M. Matilla 3-30-89

Carol M. Natella, Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

Harry Craven, Section Head, Section 4

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

- In. a. Dein 3/31/87 James/Akerman, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

EEC CALCULATION SHEET

I. For foliar application

A. Runoff

EEC of 1 lb a.i. direct application to 1 A. pond 6-foot deep = 61 ppb

Therefore, EEC = 61 ppb $\times 0.3$ (lb) = 3.66 ppb

II. For aereal application

A. Runoff

lbs x 0.6 x
$$0.0$$
 x 10 (A) = ____bs(tot. runoff) (appl. efficiency) ($\frac{3}{5}$ run- (10 A. d. off) basin)

B. Drift

EEC CALCULATION SHEET

I. For foliar application

A. Runoff

6 lbs x 0.0/ x 10 (A) =
$$\frac{0.60}{\text{(tot. runoff)}}$$
 lb (from 10 A. (tot. runoff) dranage basin)

EEC of 1 lb a.i. direct application to 1 A. pond 6-foot deep = 61 ppb

Therefore, EEC = 61 ppb $\times 0.60$ (lb) = 36.6 ppb

II. For aereal application

A. Runoff

lbs x 0.6 x
$$0.0$$
 x 10 (A) = ___bs(tot. runoff) (appl. efficiency) ($\frac{3}{5}$ run- (10 A. d. off) basin)

B. Drift

ALMOND-GROWING ACREAGE IN CALIFORNIA

	FARMS	ACRES
State total		•
California	6,891	436,776
Counties		
Alameda Amador Butte Calaveras Colusa Contra Costa El Dorado Fresno Glenn Kern Kings Lake Los Angeles Madera Mendocino Merced Monterey Napa Placer Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino San Diego San Joaquin San Luis Obispo Santa Barbara Santa Clara Santa Cruz Shasta Solano Sonoma Stanislaus Sutter Tehama	14 5 510 11 118 137 8 600 165 344 46 16 63 245 3 1,082 7 3 39 40 38 19 24 948 159 10 16 6 14 81 4 1,516 150 87	34 2 41,550 61 14,411 2,045 2 33,231 12,728 78,395 3,630 97 522 24,047 (D) 66,768 20 (Z) 533 47 142 47 (D) 41,795 5,073 4 98 2 11 2,787 2 72,733 5,554 7,037
Tulare Ventura Yolo	114 · 6 · 199	10,994 11 9,596
Yuba All other counties	32 12	2,632 8

- (D) Withheld to avoid disclosing data for individual farms(Z) Less than half an acre

1982 Census of Agriculture. Vol. 1, Part 5 (California).

