US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

四四四

105001 SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

22 REVIEW NO.

### EEB BRANCH REVIEW

	DATE:	IN _	9-17-84	OUT	9-19-84	_	
FILE OR REG. NO	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	241-238	<u>-</u> .			
PETITION OR EXP. P	ERMIT NO.		·	···			
DATE OF SUBMISSION							
DATE RECIEVED BY H							
RD REQUESTED COMPLE							
EEB ESTIMATED COMPI							
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE							
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I	, D, H, F,	N, F	R, S	Inse	ecticide	·	
DATA ACCESSION NO(S							
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.			W. Miller (	16)			,
PRODUCT NAME(S)			Counter				
COMPANY NAME						, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
SUBMISSION PURPOSE							
							, .
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.		СНЕ	MICAL, & FO	RMULAT	TION	% A	.r.
105001	Count	er 15	G (terbufo	s)		1	5
		<del></del>					
				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
					<u> </u>		. •

2 Poges Hackword



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 10460

2 1 SEP 1984

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

#### MEMORANDUM

:OT

Marilyn Mautz, PM Team (16)

Registration Division, TS-767c

THRU:

Dave Coppage Plus

Head, Sec. 3

Ecological Effects Branch/HED

THRU:

Clayton Bushong

noman let for

Chief

Ecological Effects Banch/HED

SUBJECT: Amendments to American Cyanamid's Terrestrial Field Study Protocol for Counter 15G (Terbufos) Use on Corn. Wildlife International, Ltd.

Protocol No. 050284/P6.

EEB has received and noted the changes to the protocol (No. 050284/P6 (981-84-124) - Wildlife International, Ltd.) to study terrestrial nontarget field effects of Counter 15G (terbufos) on corn. This research is sponsored by American Cyanamid Company.

It is our understanding that the field work phase of this study is complete and that these changes are de facto protocol amendments. Thus, EEB will not comment on the acceptability of the ammendments per se. We will reserve comment on the study until we are requested to review the report of this research.

John J. Buscie John J. Bascietto

Wildlife Biologist, Sec. 3

Ecological Effects Branch/HED, TS-769c



American Cyanamid Company Agricultural Research Division P. O. Box 400 Princeton, NJ 08540 (609) 799-0400

August 27, 1984

Mr. William Miller
Product Manager (16)
Insecticides-Rodenticides Branch
Registration Division (TS-767)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Crystal Mall, Building No. 2
1921 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Re: COUNTER systemic insecticide-nematicide, EPA Reg. No. 241-238. Our letter May 9, 1984 with the revised protocol, "An Evaluation of the Effects of COUNTER 15G to Terrestrial Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions" (Wildlife International Ltd.).

Dear Mr. Miller:

Enclosed are three (3) copies of Amendments No. 1 to 4 and two notices of deviation concerning the protocol cited above. These changes relate to the following:

Amendment No. 1. The "control" field was omitted because of the extensive use of Furadan in the area, but one more test field was added. The size of the test fields were altered to accommodate appropriate habitat.

Amendment No. 2. The person responsible for the study is now Mark Jaber and not Joann Beavers.

Amendment No. 3. The spacing between corn rows was changed from 20 to 30 inches. This is standard practice in the area and in line with the banded and infurrow recommendations on our main label submitted for your approval on August 3, 1984.

Amendment No. 4. The title of the section, "Pre-application Monitoring", was changed to "Searcher Efficiency and Predator Removal". Collection efficiency and predator removal will be measured two to three weeks after the last application. This should enhance field observations and check searchers' efficiency.

The pearcher efficiency could be greater at and browne of more properce throughout the obusines!

A loster from the state of the

3



Mr. W. Miller
COUNTER \*\* systemic
insecticide-nematicide

-2-

August 27, 1984

Deviation dated May 14, 1984. The schedule on protocol pages 4 and 5 could not be followed exactly because of unfavorable weather conditions.

Deviation dated June 1, 1984. Gross necropsies were not done on dead birds during the study, because the Study Director felt that the examinations would interfere with results of the whole body tissue analyses.

Very truly yours,

AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY Agricultural Research Division

Henrick A, Jane

, Kenneth A. Sund, Ph.D. Registrations Coordinator Plant Industry Registrations

KAS:sd Enc.

Registered trademark of American Cyanamid Company

PROT	OCOL	AMENDMENT

WIL Projection: 130-135 Client: American Cyanamid
Protocol No.: 050284/P6 (981-84-124) Amendment No.: 1
Study Title: An Evaluation of the Effects of COUNTER 15G to Terrestrial
Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions
Test Material: Counter 15G
Effective Date of Amendment: 5/8/84
Original Protocol Section:
SUMMARY: COUNTER 15G will be applied at the maximum label use rate to five (5)
SITES AND TEST CONDITIONS: Five (5) test and one (1) control 20-30 acre
(Last Paragraph) The control field will closely approximate the test fields.
STUDY MONITORING: Transects will be established for each test and control field.
Amended Protocol Section:
SUMMARY: COUNTER 15G will be applied at the maximum label use rate to $\overline{\text{six}}$ (6)
SITES AND TEST CONDITIONS: Six (6) test 12-30 acre corn fields
Omit last paragraph of this section.  STUDY MONITORING: Transects will be established for each test field.
Rationale:  Because of heavy use of Furadan in the area it was felt that it
would be better to do without a control field. One more test field was added in order to get a better handle on variability. The size of the
test fields was altered to reflect the fields available with appropriate habitat.
Amendment Reviewed and Accepted:
Client Principal Investigator: 10 Med Rm Date: 81.3184
WIL Study Director: Mark Plan Date: 1/30/64
WIL Management: Wash Mun Date: 7/20/84

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT
WIL Project No.: 130-135 Client: American Cyanamid
Protocol No.: 050284/P6 (981-84-124) Amendment No.: 2
Study Title: An Evaluation of the Effects of COUNTER 15G to Terrestrial
Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions
Test Material: Counter 15G
Effective Date of Amendment: 5/8/84
Original Protocol Section:
STUDY DIRECTOR:
Joann Beavers Avian Physiologist
Amended Protocol Section:-
STUDY DIRECTOR:
Mark Jaber Wildlife Toxicologist
Rationale: The management felt that Ms. Beavers has many other responsibilities; and therefore, would not have time to take on this study.
Amendment Reviewed and Accepted:
Client Principal Investigator: Mount Date: 8/13/84
WIL Study Director: Mark the Date: 7/30/84
WIL Management: Mark Jahr Date: 7/30/84

## PROTOCOL AMENDMENT

WIL Project No.: 130-135 Client: American Cyanamid
Protocol No.: 050284/P6 (981-84-124) Amendment No.: 3
Study Title: An Evaluation of the Effects of COUNTER 15G to Terrestrial
Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions
Test Material: Counter 15G
Effective Date of Amendment: 5/8/84
Outsing Bucker 1 conti
Original Protocol Section:
AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES: (last sentence) Spacing between the corn will be twenty inches.
Amended Protocol Section:
AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES: (last sentence), Spacing between the corn will be thirty inches.
Rationale:
It is standard practice in this region to plant corn thirty inches apart. Also all the planters available planted corn thirty inches apart.
Amendment Reviewed and Accepted:
Client Principal Investigator: Molecul Rom Date: 6/15/84
WIL Study Director: Mark Jahr Date: 7/30/44
WIL Management: Mark Jahr Date: 7/30/84
$\lor$

Rationale:

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT
WIL Project No.: 186-135 Client: American Cyanamid
Protocol No.: 050284/P6 (981-84-124) Amendment No.: 4
Study Title: An Evaluation of the Effects of COUNTER 15G to Terrestrial
Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions
Test Material: Counter 15G
Effective Date of Amendment: 7/26/84
Original Protocol Section:
PRE-APPLICATION MONITORING:
Amended Protocol Section:
Change section title to: <u>SEARCHER EFFICIENCY AND PREDATOR REMOVAL</u> :
And add as a last sentence: Collection efficiency and prédator removalalso will be measured appoximately two to three weeks following the last application.

It was felt that it was necessary to check searcher efficiency and predator removal again because of changes in vegetation.

Amendment Reviewed and Accepted:

Client Principal Investigator: 

WIL Study Director: 

Mach John Date: 7/30/84

WIL Management: 

Mach John Oate: 7/20/84

# PROTOCOL DEVIATION NOTICE

· Yellon
WIL Project No.: 130-135 Study/Group No: 26 Client: American Cyanamid
Protocol No.: 050284/P6 (981-84-124)
Study Title: An Evaluation of the Effects of Counter 15G to Terrestrial
Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions
Test Material: Counter 15G
Description of Deviation from Protocol:
Gross necropsies were not done on the birds found dead during the study.
Reason for Deviation from Protocol:
The Study Director felt that conducting gross necropsies could interfere
with the results of the whole body tissue analysis.
Scientist/Technician Mach John Date 1/30/64
Date of proposedor de facto \( \frac{1}{2} \) deviation \( \frac{6}{1/84} \)
Protocol Deviation reviewed by:
Client Principal Investigator: - TIME On Date: 8/15/84
WIL Study Director: Mark the Date: 7/30/84
WIL Management: Mach Jahr Date: 7/20/64

# PROTOCOL DEVIATION NOTICE

WIL Project No.: 130-135 Study/Group No: 26 Client: American Cyanamid	:
Protocol No.: 050284/P6 (981-84-124)	
Study Title: An Evaluation of the Effects of Counter 15G to Terrestrial	
Species Under Actual Field Use Conditions	
Test Material: Counter 15G	
Description of Deviation from Protocol:	
The schedule given on pages 4 and 5 of the Protocol was not followed. A	-
number of the pre-treatment surveys were not made. Post-treatment	,
carcass searches were made according to schedule. Post-treatment bird	
surveys were made according to schedule, weather permitting.	
Reason for Deviation from Protocol:  An attempt was made to follow the schedule; however, due to weather,	fue fuck
application schedules and planting schedules deviations were made for some intervals.	xy prety.
Scientist/Technician Mark Jahn Date 7/20/64  Date of proposedor de facto X deviation 5/14/64	for aurice
Protocol Deviation reviewed by:	
Client Principal Investigator: Alessel Am Date: 8/15/5-4	
WIL Study Director: The Jahr Date: 1/30/61	
WIL Management: Mark July Date: 7/20/84"	

1084	SAT.					ducting		105	21	7000	500			44		209			700		***************************************	OPTIONAL FORM 67
	FRIDAY	9					Company of the same		20			27					NOTES:					
	THURSDAY	2	A CAMALA MARKATAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	The state of the s	187			\$	6		201	9	1° Aeriel	Tarbules cyp	rained after	applies than 208		1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	14 15 16 17	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	
	WEDNESDAY	<b>4</b>	<u>N</u>	- DAY- - HOLIDAY	981	Bearflacers Ke	5	193	8		200	25—2				207		1 2	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	12 13 14 15	24 25 26 27 28 29 30   2	ę
EDULE	TUESDAY	3 Am Mackey	(Hunst Haus JANSKIN	The state of the s		Sixtants marxing		192	<u>                                     </u>		661		4			204	3	12 V (V) VO	13 19 10 V	5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	213	
ACTION SCHEDULE	MONDAY W	2 Just Extravely			96,000	The will the		191	<u> </u>		861	23				202	30	G KI VA / LAIVA	VI >>		212	1984
	SUN.				<b>E O</b>			190	15 1		.61	E I				204	29				211	JULY 19