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MEMORANDUM

TO:        W. Miller, PM Team 10
            Registration Division, TS-767c

THRU:      Dave Cogappage
            Head, Sec. 3
            Ecological Effects Branch
            Hazard Evaluation Division, TS-769c

THRU:      Clayton Bushong
            Branch Chief
            Ecological Effects Branch
            Hazard Evaluation Division, TS-769c

Subject:   Review of Data Acceptability – Terbufos/Avian dietary LC50
with Bobwhite Quail.

EEB has reviewed the following avian dietary toxicity study of terbufos
with bobwhite quail:

Beavers, J.B. and M. Jabar. 1984. A dietary LC50 study in the Bobwhite
quail with AC, 92, 100. Performed by Wildlife International, Ltd.;
submitted by American Cyanamid.

The above study is assigned Accession No. 253092 and is submitted under
Reg. No. 241-238.

EEB finds the study is scientifically sound and fulfills a guidelines
requirement for an avian dietary LC50 study with an upland game species.

John Bascietto
Wildlife Biologist, Sec 3
Ecological Effects Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division, TS-769c
DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. **CHEMICAL:** Terbufos

2. **FORMULATION:** "AC 92,100 Counter terbufos OP Insecticide" (87.8% a.i.)


4. **REVIEWED BY:** John J. Bascietto
   Wildlife Biologist
   Ecological Effects Branch/HED

5. **DATE REVIEWED:** 6/12/84

6. **TEST TYPE:** Avian dietary toxicity (8-day LC$_{50}$)
   a) Bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*)

7. **REPORTED RESULTS:**

   
   LC$_{50}$ = 157 (125-201) ppm

8. **REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS:**

   The study is scientifically sound. With a LC$_{50}$ = 157 (125-201) ppm terbufos technical is considered "highly toxic" to bobwhite when administered in the diet. The study fulfills a requirement for an eight-day dietary toxicity study on an upland game species.
9. **Materials/Methods**

A. **Procedure:** the study was conducted in accordance with the EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines (1982).

B. **Statistical Analysis:** The raw mortality data was analyzed by probit analysis using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) program.

10. **Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>Number Dead/Number Exposed Day 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control 0</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cumulative Control mortality was 2%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terbufos</th>
<th>Number Dead/Number Exposed Day 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>6/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316.0</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>652.0</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 56.2 ppm - no mortality; no observations of signs of poisoning; no effect on body weight gain or food consumption.

At higher levels tested signs of toxicity "were similar". These included: depression (lethargy), reduced reaction to sound and movement, wing droop, loss of coordination, prostrate posture, lower limb rigidity, a ruffled appearance and lower limb weakness.

At 100 ppm - toxic signs appeared on Day 4 and disappeared by Day 6. There was a reduction in body weight gain. Food consumption was similar to controls.

At 178 ppm - signs of toxicity appeared on Day 3 and persisted to Day 6. Body weight gain was slightly reduced compared to controls. Food consumption was similar to average control values for Day 0-5 and Day 6-8 but slightly less than the 56.2 and 100 ppm treatment groups.

At 316 ppm - Signs first noted on Day 2.

At 562 ppm - """" at two(2) hours after exposure. Total mortality at both of the high concentrations prevented meaningful comparison of body weight and food consumption data.
11. **Reviewer's Evaluation**

A. **Procedures**: the procedures, materials and methods used were acceptable under the current guidelines.

B. **Statistical Analysis**: the method used (SAS) is completely acceptable and generally provides an accurate result.

C. **Results**

The dietary toxicity of the compound appears to be "highly toxic" according to EEB's classification. No adjustment to the LC50 is necessary since the diet preparation method corrects for 100% a.i. to prepare nominal concentrations.

The onset of the signs of toxicity appears to be dose-related, as does the effect on body weight gain inhibition. There does not appear to be a palatability problem.

D. **Conclusions**

1. Category - Core
2. Ratationale - Guidelines
3. Repair - N/A