US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Glyphosnie / Tox

CASWELL FILE

JAN 1 2 1987

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MEMORANDUM:

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT:

Glyphosate; Roundup; EPA Reg. NO. 524-308;

Addendum to one year dog study with glyphosate; PP# 6F3380/6H5502; Glyphosate in/on soybeans; revised

Section F; and amended label text

Caswell No. 661 A

Record No. 186082/186083/186084

Project No. 7-0230 Accession No. 264334

TO:

Robert Taylor

Product Manager (25)

Registration Division (TS-767)

and

Residue Chemistry Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

THRU:

Edwin Budd, Section Head

Review Section II Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

FROM:

William Dykstra

Toxicology Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Blass

William Dykstre 1/9/87 Division (TS-769) Mesows

Requested Action:

Review revised Section F, amended label text and addendum to one-year dog study with glyphosate.

Background:

1. PP#6F3380/6H5502

Monsanto submitted a Roundup herbicide petition that requested Agency approval to apply Roundup preharvest to soybeans. This submitted petition included a request to amend the current 15 ppm glyphosate tolerance on soybean hay to 200 ppm.

At this time, Monsanto requests to amend the submitted (2/21/86) petition by deleting the 200 ppm glyphosate soybean hay tolerance request and modifying the submitted preharvest soybean label text to restrict against feeding or grazing soybean hay or forage from preharvest soybean treated areas.

V

One-year dog study

With respect to the one-year dog study with glyphosate, it was previously concluded in memo of 3/12/86 from W. Dykstra to R. Taylor that "the absolute and relative pituitary weights of the mid-and high-dose male dogs are suggestive of a possible compound-related effect. The registrant is requested to further address the pituitary findings and the relationship to treatment."

The registrant is responding to that memo with this addendum.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

1. In PP#6E3424, RCB states that "RCB can reach no final conclusion regarding the likelihood that contaminants in the technical product will or will not result in a residue problem until issues involving identification/quantitation of nitrosamine presented in Glyphosate registration Standard have been resolved." This same issue regarding nitrosamines in technical glyphosate also applies to the current petition for soybeans.

Toxicology Branch requires that the identification/quantitation of nitrosamine be determined for technical glyphosate before the requested tolerances are granted.

The revised Section F has no effect on the TMRC or percent ADI utilized (review of 8/13/86 is attached). Toxicology Branch requests that RCB address the acceptability of the amended label.

2. Toxicology Branch concludes that the apparent decreases in the absolute and relative pituitary weights of the midand high-dose male dogs are not compound-related. The NOEL for the study is the high-dose of 500 mg/kg/day. The study is acceptable as guideline data.

Review:

1. PP# 6F3380/6H5502: Revised Section F

Proposed Tolerances

Tolerances are established for combined residues of glyphosate and its metabolite aminomethylphosphonic acid on soybeans.

40 CFR 180.364

Soybeans, Forage. 6 ppm Soybeans, Hay 15 ppm

21 CFR 561.253

Soybean Hulls 20 ppm

When used as directed on the requested preharvest application label, the soybean tolerances will need to be the following:

40 CFR 180.364

Soybeans 20 ppm

When used as directed on the requested preharvest application label, a food additive tolerance will need to be the following:

21 CFR 561.253

Soybean, Hulls 100 ppm

2. In memo of March 12, 1986, it was concluded that the decrease in the absolute and relative pituitary weights of the mid- and high-dose male dogs are suggestive of a possible compound-related effect. In response to this conclusion, the present addendum (Accession No. 264334) was submitted by the registrant. In the memo of 3/12/86 of the one-year dog study, the following data were presented:

Dituitary in Males

			Weight (gram)	
Dogs	Control	Low	Mid	High
1)	0.088	0.079	0.066	0.064
2)	0.096	0.069	0.050	0.070
3)	0.076	0.090	0.061	0.055
4)	0.080	0.073	0.054	0.062
5)	0.083	0.089	0.071	0.074
6)	0.079	0.077	0.067	0.080
Mean S.E.	0.084 0.003	0.080 0.003	0.062 0.003	0.068 0.004

Relative pituitary weight are shown below: Percent Relative to Terminal Body Weight

Pituitary in Males

Dogs	Control	Low	Mid	<u>High</u>
1)	0.00073	0.00057	0.00061	0.00059
2)	0.00106	0.00056	0.00047	0.00064
3)	0.00069	0.00066	0.00053	0.00061
4)	0.00065	0.00063	0.00054	0.00061
5)	0.00090	0.00099	0.00068	0.00069
6)	0.00068	0.00066	0.00059	0.00060
Mean	0.00079	0.00066	0.00057	0.00062

In the registrant's letter of August 1, 1986 from F.A. Ruecker, the following information is presented.

"After a review of the pituitary weight data for the 1year glyphosate oral toxicity study in dogs (EHL No. 830116) it is my opinion that there is no conclusive evidence that the decreased mean pituitary weight for high and mid dose males can be attributed to compound administration for the following reasons:

- 1. The magnitude of the weight decrease is greater for the mid dose when compared to high dose (0.0222 g. vs. 0.0162 g.) therefore there is no apparent dose relationship.
- There is no apparent microscopic lesion present in the pituitary which would be expected to be a correlate of the decreased weight changes (atrophy or necrosis of pituicytes).

- 3. Since the pituitary exerts hormonal control over a variety of endocrine organs, one might expect that any lesions which would reduce pituitary weights could possibly effect the hormonal output of the pituitary and thus have effects on the organs under pituitary control (thyroid, testes, adrenals, etc.). There were no weight changes or microscopic lesions observed for any of these ograns.
- 4. There were no comparable pituitary weight differences for females when compared to controls.
- Finally, a review of 3 other EHL-conducted, 1 year, oral, 5. toxicity studies in dogs (81124, 82165, 84056) showed a pituitary weight range for male controls from .064 to .072 g., and inter-group mean pituitary weight range of .062- 0.088 g., .059- 071 g. and .070-. 083 g., for each of the studies, respectively. It should be noted that the mean pituitary weights for control males (.084 g.) in the 830116 study are higher than have been observed for control males in these other studies while the mean weights for the mid and high dose males are closer to the historical mean weight ranges for controls. Additionally the mean pituitary-to-body weight ratio of .001% for each group in the 830116 study is not different from that observed in all groups in the other three studies. data strongly suggest that the apparent decrease in pituitary weights for the mid and high dose males in the 830116 study may be actually an aberration due to an unusually high mean weight for the control males."

Conclusion:

Toxicology Branch concludes that the apparent decreases in absolute and relative pituitary weights of the mid- and high-dose male dogs are not compound-related.

The NOEL for the study is the high-dose of 500 mg/kg/day. Classification: Guideline.