

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

RESIDUE CHEMISTRY BRANCH, HED  
PETITION REVIEW QUICK FORM

(661A)

JUL 14 1982

FROM: M. Nelson, Chemist  
Residue Chemistry Branch  
HED (TS-769)

JUL 14 1982

THRU: C. Trichilo, Chief  
Residue Chemistry Branch  
HED (TS-769)

R.P. Schmitt  
for

TO: R. Taylor, PM 25  
Registration Division (TS-767)

and

Toxicology Branch  
HED (TS-769)

1. Petitioner: Monsanto
2. Petition No(s): 2F2680/2H5339
3. Chemical(s): Glyphosate
4. Tolerance Proposal (RAC's & Levels): Copra - 0.1 ppm (rac)  
coconut oil, copra meal, desiccated coconut - 0.1 ppm (EAT's)
5. Tolerance Expression: glyphosate and its metabolite  
aminomethylphosphonic acid
6. Established Tolerances: 40 CFR 180.364; 21 CFR 561.253 and  
193.235. 0.1-30 ppm. Includes 0.1 ppm - palm oil
7. Letter(s) of Authorization (if applicable): N/A
8. Formulation(s): Roundup (EPA Reg. No. 524-308)  
Contains 3 lbs glyphosate (acid form) / gal.
9. Inerts Status: all cleared

10. Manufacturing Process: Submitted with PP# 6E1809; detailed in D. Duffy review of 11/30/76, PP# 6E1826/PP# 6H5140.
- M-nitroxyglyphosate is present as an impurity and has previously undergone hazard assessment review (see elat's memo, R. Taylor, PP# 6H5144 correspondence).
11. Proposed Use(s): As a directed foliar postemergent spray to undesired vegetative growth in coconut plantations. Do not apply more than 5.3 gts (4 lbs ai)/A/application. Do not exceed 16 gts (i.e., 3 applications)/A/yr. Minimum 7-day PHI. Avoid contact with coconut fruit, foliage, branches, and suckers because damage or destruction may occur.
12. Plant Metabolism Data on: Orchard and tree nut crops, vegetables, root crops, small grains, cotton, et al.
13. Plant Residues Comprised of: parent undergoes C-N bond cleavage to form glyoxylate (natural plant constituent) and major metabolite aminomethylphosphonic acid.
14. Plant Metabolism Data Translatable Here: #12, especially tree nut crops.
15. Nature of Plant Metabolism Data (is) is not adequately defined. The Residue of Concern is: parent and aminomethylphosphonic acid metabolite.
16. Animal Metabolism Data on: rats, rabbits, and cows
17. Animal Residues Comprised of: parent mainly with some aminomethylphosphonic acid metabolite.

18. Animal Metabolism Data Applicable Here: #16, especially  
cow.
- 
19. Nature of Animal Metabolism Data (is) is not adequately defined  
The Residue of Concern is: parent and aminomethyl-  
phosphonic acid metabolite
20. Analytical Methods (reference or brief description):  
None submitted. Residue data will be translated from  
oil palms (EAP# GHS144) and that data was obtained via the  
PAM II method. Glyphosate and metabolite are extracted, cleaned  
up, derivatized, and analyzed (as 2 peaks) by FPID-GLC.  
Sensitivity: 0.05 ppm per component. MTD in PP# 5F15
21. Method Validation (crop recoveries): palm oil fortified with  
0.05-0.4 ppm parent or metabolite. Recoveries: 53-97%.  
(Translate to coconut). Tree nut data also available.
22. Method Validation (control values): palm oil < 0.05 ppm  
each component (translate to coconut). Other, incl. tree n
23. Residues Determined by Method: See #20
- 
24. Enforcement Methodology (is) is not available.

25. Residue Data (crop and maximum residue from Proposed Use):

Crop (ppm range): translating from palm oil (EAP# 645  
in which NDR were reported. No f  
trial data submitted for coconuts.

NDR (<0.05 ppm each component)  
1-2X rates (4.8 lbs ai/A/apply)  
1-14 day PHI's  
4 locations in Malaysia

Other Comments: Glyphosate exhibits only limited uptake from soil a  
proposed use is for a directed spray to weeds (not to contact coconut plant per  
Thus NDR would be expected (contaminative only) in "coconuts".  
We are translating residue data from palm oil in absence of coconut data;  
use is identical and cultural practice and botany closely related.

26. Residues will not exceed proposed tolerance on (commodities)

"coconut" (the rac) or its processed by products, inclu  
copra oil (aka coconut oil); NDR (<0.05 ppm, each  
residue component) are expected in any of these commoditi

27. Livestock Feeding Studies on (species): cattle, poultry,  
swine.

28. Animal Feeding Levels: 10, 30, 100 ppm

29. Animal Residue Ingestion Levels from Proposed Crop Tolerance

COPRA MEAL-0.1 ppm  
Levels (proposed tol. level x % in diet): 0.015 ppm in  
beef cattle; 0.015 ppm in dairy cattle/goats; N/A  
ppm in hogs; 0.015 ppm in horses; 0.01 pp  
in sheep; 0.015 ppm in poultry.

30. Livestock Tolerances are Adequate in (species) cattle, goats,  
horses, poultry, and sheep, but not adequate in \_\_\_\_\_

(species/levels): Necessary livestock tolerances already exist.

32. Other Comments: Import tolerance(s) are involved in this petition  
Clarification is requested as to the specific countries of  
intended use, and information on the registration policies  
in those countries should be submitted.

33. Other Considerations: What to regulate? We consider the rac.  
be "coconut". This would include the fresh "meat" and the "milk" but, in  
analyzing, the "shell" would be excluded as with other nuts. The terminal  
"coconut" is also consistent with the Codex commodity definitions. Coconut  
byproducts are copra ("dried meat"), copra oil (aka coconut oil), copra meal, a  
disseminated coconut. In this petition, food additive tolerances are not needed for  
these since no concentration in byproducts would be expected. Revised Sec F.

~~34. Additional Data Needed: Are there feed item(s)? If copra is~~  
~~processed into oil within the USA, which is a possibility, then the residue:~~  
~~copra meal - would be a livestock feed item. If processing of the~~  
~~oil is performed outside the USA, which is most likely the case to a large~~  
~~extent, we consider it unlikely that it would be economically advantageous~~  
~~to import copra meal for feed usage. In any event, the "coconut" tolerance~~  
~~would cover residues therein, and adequate meat tolerances exist.~~

35. Recommendations: Negative. We need: (1) revised Section F proposing  
a pesticide tolerance for "coconut" at 0.1 ppm. All other proposed tolerances  
are unnecessary and should be deleted. No food additive tolerances needed.  
"Coconut" is considered the rac. Ref. #33; (2) clarification as to the specific  
countries of intended use, and information on the regulatory policies  
in those countries. Ref. #32.

36. Other Comments under Recommendations: \_\_\_\_\_

37. Compatibility with Codex Tolerances: N/A for coconut or  
its by-products. See attachment.

cc: RF, Circ, Reviewer, Thompson, Tox, EEB, EFB, FDA, PP\*

Approved: Quick 7 | 14 | 82  
DM

Schmitt  
RA,

7/14/82

CHEMICAL Glyphosate

PETITION NO 2F2680/2H5339

CCPR NO. None

Codex Status

No Codex Proposal  
Step 6 or above

Proposed U. S. Tolerances

for 180.364  
and 193.235

Residue (if Step 9): \_\_\_\_\_

Residue: glyphosate and  
aminomethylphosphonic acid

Crop(s) Limit (mg/kg)

None

Crop(s) Toi. (ppm)

Copra	0.1	} FAT'S
coconut oil	0.1	
Copra meal	0.1	
desiccated coconut	0.1	

CANADIAN LIMIT

Residue: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

MEXICAN TOLERANCIA

Residue: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Crop Limit (ppm)

none (on above commodities)

Crop Tolerancia (ppm)

none

Notes: