

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Caswell File  
8-4-88

OFFICE OF  
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT: ACEPHATE - Statistical Review of Cholinesterase  
Activity in Humans, Monkey and Rat Caswell #2A

FROM: C.J. Nelson, Statistician *C. J. Nelson*  
Scientific Mission Support Staff *8/4/88*  
Toxicology Branch  
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

TO: Edwin Budd, Section Head  
Section II  
Toxicology Branch  
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

THRU: Richard Levy, M.P.H., Leader-Biostatistics Team  
Scientific Mission Support Staff  
Toxicology Branch  
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)  
and *R. Levy*  
Reto Engler, Ph.D., Chief *8-4-88*  
Scientific Mission Support Staff  
Toxicology Branch  
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C) *R. Engler*

SUMMARY:

At the request of Ed Budd, this memo is a re-review of the latest submission Chevron Chemical Company on acephate.

A statistical comparison was performed by the sponsor and the results of that test were the same as previously reported. There is still the problem of the small number of experimental units (3 or 4) and the fact that the statistical test does not take into account the within and between animal variation.

I agree with the conclusion that rat brain AChE is more inhibited by acephate than monkey brain which is more inhibited than human brain. The variances in this study are so small that extremely small differences would be significant. Hence, the question of significant mean differences is a function of both the size of the difference and the size of the standard deviation of the mean to test those differences.

Although individual comparisons of the slopes of the regression lines were made, I would still prefer an analysis of

18

variance approach. However the company spokesperson said that their method of analysis was standard in that field as well as the various transformations that were used.