US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

## **Text Searchable Document**

DP Barcode: D175063 PC Code No.: 081901

EFGWB Out:

To: Cynthia Giles-Parker Product Manager 22 Registration Division

From: Akiva D. Abramovitch, Ph.D., Head

Environmental Fate Review Section #3

Environmental Fate & Ground Water Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

Thru: Henry Jacoby, Chief

Environmental Fate & Ground Water Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects/Diviston (H7507C)

Attached, please find the EFGWB review of ...

Reg./File #:

050534-00008

Chemical Name:

2.4.5.6-tetrachloro 1.3-benzenedicarbonitrile (Chlorothalonil)

Type Product:

fungicide

Product Name:

Bravo

Company Name:

ISK Biotech

Purpose:

exemption from requirement for tolerance for metabolites: lifting

of twelve-month crop rotation restriction

Action Code:\_\_\_

EFGWB #(s): \_92-0576

Total Review Time: 1.5 days

EFGWB Guideline/MRID/Status Summary Table: The review in this package contains...

I would be a second of the sec				
161-1	162-4	164-4	166-1	
161-2	163-1	164-5	166-2	
161-3	163-2	165-1	166-3	
161-4	163-3	165-2	167-1	
162-1	164-1	165-3	167-2	
162-2	164-2	165-4	201-1	
162-3	164-3	165-5	202-1	

Y = Acceptable (Study satisfied the Guideline)/Concur

N = Unacceptable (Study was rejected)/Non-Concur

Chlorothalonil 92-0576

2029306

1

P = Partial (Study partially satisfied the Guideline, but additional information is still needed)

S = Supplemental (Study provided useful information, but Guideline was not satisfied)

CHEMICAL:

chemical name:

2,4,5,6-tetrachloro-1,3-benzenecarbonitrile

common name:

Chlorothalonil

trade name: structure: Bravo

CAS #:

1897-45-6

Shaughnessy #: characteristics: 081901

 $\subset N$ 

SDS-46851

2. TEST MATERIAL:

n.a.

Chlorothalonil

5DS -3701

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

request for exemption from inclusion of metabolites in

tolerance; removal of twelve-month restriction on crop

rotation

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION: n.a.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Title:

Typed Name:

E. Brinson Conerly-Perks

Chemist, Review Section 3

Organization: EFGWB/EFED/OPP E.B. Coney-Perlo 4/28/92

6. APPROVED BY:

Akiva Abramovitch

Head, Review Section 3

EFGWB/EFED/OPP

Typed Name: Title:

Organization:

7. **CONCLUSIONS:** 

> EFGWB agrees to withdraw the rotational crop restriction, based on TOX and Residue Branches' lack of concern for metabolite residues. Available data indicate that identifiable residues in rotational crops are metabolite, not parent. If some concern should arise regarding these metabolites at a later date, acceptable rotational crop data may have to be provided. At present EFGWB has no acceptable data upon which to base a suitable rotational interval.

There are no new environmental fate data in this submission.

8. **RECOMMENDATIONS:** 

> The applicant should be notified that the rotational crop restriction is withdrawn for the present use patterns.

9. BACKGROUND: See the attached memo.

> Per the attached memo, TOX Branch has decided that metabolites SDS-3701 and SDS-46851 do not require regulation in all registered chlorothalonil uses. Residue Chemistry Branch has stated that they have no objections, TOX and EFGWB considerations permitting, to the exemption of these metabolites from tolerances in rotational crops.

A comprehensive review of submitted field crop accumulation data was completed 3/5/91 (q.v.). These were found to be unacceptable/unreviewable. To date, there are no acceptable data re accumulation of residues in field crops. The following is information which can be drawn from available data:

who the cotted

Based on an acceptable confined study at exaggerated rates (MRID 410302-11), there was accumulation of residues. Approximately 1/3 were recognizable metabolites of Chlorothalonil (i.e. SDS-3701 and SDS-46851) and ca. 2/3 were incorporated in cellular structural material. The latter apparently derived from the "carbon pool" of end metabolites such as CO<sub>2</sub> and acetic acid. These levels would not have been detected at label application rates.

- b) Based on an unacceptable field study (MRID # unknown, reviewed 5/2/91), no uptake was observed. The study was not fully acceptable because the soil was not analyzed at planting time. A number of <u>unreviewable</u> field studies were mentioned in the comprehensive document of 3/5/91.
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES: n.a.
- 11. <u>COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER</u>: no added information n.a.
- 12. CBI APPENDIX: n.a. informational material attached



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

## EXPEDITE

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT:

Chlorothalonil Metabolites SDS-3701 and SDS-46851 -Consideration of the toxicologic basis for inclusion in

the chlorothalonil tolerance expression

Caswell No. 215B

HED Project No. 2-0684

FROM:

Elizabeth A. Doyle, Ph.D., Section Head

Review Section IV, Tox Branch II (H7509C)

TO:

James Stone/Cynthia Giles-Parker, PM-22

Registration Division (H7505C)

THRU:

Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D., Branch Chief

Toxicology Branch II

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

ISK Biotech Registrant:

1) Review of toxicology studies for soil Action Requested: metabolite SDS-46851, and 2) consideration of the toxicological basis for inclusion of SDS-3701 and SDS-46851 in the tolerance expression for chlorothalonil.

The registrant has requested the removal of the Background: rotational crop restriction from the chlorothalonil label. support of this petition, the registrant has provided toxicology data for a soil metabolite, SDS-46851, which has been found as a in crops rotated to soil previously treated with This data is provided in conjunction with a chlorothalonil. petition for exemption from tolerance for this soil metabolite.

Currently, the tolerance expression for chlorothalonil contains plant metabolite SDS-3701 which occurs in many treated crops. Tox Branch, in conjunction with CBTS, has revisited the question of the need for inclusion of this plant metabolite in the tolerance expression based upon the database previously submitted by the registrant.

<u>Data Summary:</u> The data in support of exemption of SDS-46851 from tolerance are summarized below. Four new studies were submitted with the current petition.

- 1) 28-Day Feeding Study Mouse
  MRID No. 420901-02
  Doses: 0, 250, 500, 1000, 5000, 10,000 ppm in feed
  No treatment related effects in males or females.
  Core Supplementary (Not a guideline study)
- 2) 90-Day Feeding Study Mouse (82-1)
  MRID No. 420901-@3
  Doses: 0, 250, 750, 2200, 7500 ppm
  No treatment related effects in males or females.
  Core Supplementary (No clinical chemistry or ophthalmological examinations performed)
- MRID No. 420901-06
  A single oral dose of 10 or 1000 mg/kg of <sup>14</sup>C-SDS-46851 was given. Distribution and excretion of radiolabel was monitored for seven days. More than 90% of the radiolabel was excreted in urine and feces during the first 72 hours. No significant accumulation in tissue was reported.

  Core Supplementary (No identification of metabolites; only one treatment regimen was used)
- 4) Combined Chronic/Oncogenicity Rat (Interim Report)
  MRID No. 420901-04
  Doses: 0, 80, 200, 500, 1000 mg/kg/day
  No treatment related effects in males or females.
  Core Supplementary (Interim report only)

Although these studies do not meet guideline requirements, they provide sufficient information for consideration of this task. The registrant does not seek registration of SDS-46851.

Data previously reported for soil metabolite SDS-46851 included:

- no evidence of developmental toxicity in rats (NOEL > 2000 mg/kg/day) or rabbits (NOEL > 1000 mg/kg/day).
- a reproductive NOEL = 750 mg/kg/day and an LOEL = 2000 mg/kg/day based on reduced pup weights in rats.
- increased liver weights in rats and dogs given 750 and 50 mg/kg/day, respectively, for 90 days.
- no evidence of mutagenicity in assays from 84-2a, 84-2b or 84-4.

Data previously provided for plant metabolite SDS-3701 included:

- No evidence of carcinogenicity in mice or rats (NOEL > 20 mg/kg/day and 1500 mg/kg/day, respectively).
- No evidence of developmental (rat and rabbit) or reproductive toxicity (rats). (NOEL > 1000 mg/kg/day.)

- increased liver weights and decreased body weight gains in rats and mice (rats: NOEL = 3 mg/kg/day, LEL = 10 mg/kg/day; mouse: NOEL < 75 mg/kg/day).</p>
- no evidence of mutagenicity in assays from 84-2a, 84-2b or 84-4.
- less than 30% of the metabolite is absorbed from the gut of rats.

The parent compound, chlorothalonil, is classified as a B2 carcinogen with a  $Q* = 1.1 \times 10^{\circ}$  (mg/kg/day) based upon renal tumors in mice and rats. The RfD is 0.015 mg/kg/day based upon a chronic study in dogs in which renal tubular vacuolization was observed with an NOEL = 1.5 mg/kg/day and an LEL = 3.0 mg/kg/day.

Recommendation: Based upon the toxicologic data provided by the registrant, Tox Branch has no objections to 1) the exemption of SDS-46851 from tolerance in rotated crops, and 2) the deletion of SDS-3701 from the tolerance expression for chlorothalonil by direct application.