



CTION AGENC UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

14 DEC 1987

SPECIAL

TOXIC SUBSTANCES PESTICIDES AND

MEMORANDUM

Captan - Dietary Exposure Assessment. No RCB Number. SUBJECT: MRID Numbers Used Noted in Body of Review.

Nan S. Gray, Chemist mag Residue Chemistry Branch FROM: Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

Valerie Bael TO: Special Review Branch Registration Division (TS-767C)

and

Eugene Wilson, PM Team 23 Fungicide-Herbicide Branch Registration Division (TS-767C)

Charles L. Trichilo, Ph.D., Chief THRU: Residue Chemistry Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)

The Captar Task Force has submitted metabolism and residue chemistry data in response to the Data Call-In (DCI) Notice. for Captan and its metabolites dated April 29, 1985. Residue reduction data have also been submitted (April 25, 1986). Preexisting residue data will be combined with the newly submitted data and monitoring data from the FDA for the purpose of assessing dietary exposure. Our detailed review of the new studies and methods will be discussed in a following memorardum which will address the concerns of the Registration Standard.

In this memorandum, we will summarize the crops that will be retained by the task force, the analytical methods used to gather the data, and the known and new metabolism data. We will provide residue estimates, by crop, for captan and THPI residues on the raw agricultural commodity and the commodity after processing, where such data are available. In most cases, average residues are representative of varying application rates and PHIs combined and averaged.

The Captan Task Force has not submitted residue data in support of avocados, beans, blackberries, blueberries, broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage, carrots, cauliflower, collards, cottonseed, cranberries, dewberries, eggplant, garlic, kale, leeks, mangos, mustard greens, onions, peas, peppers, pineapples, pumpkins, raspberries, rhubarb, rutabagas, shallots, taro, turnips, and watermelons. Since no residue data are available for these crops, RCB recommends cancellation of these uses and revocation of the tolerances in our Registration Standard memorandum. Dietary exposures for these comodities have not been estimated.

While no data on celery, citrus (lemons, oranges, and grapefruit), lettuce, and summer squash were submitted by the task force in response to the DCI letter (April 29, 1985), studies were submitted in response to the residue reduction data section. These data were used in estimating dietary exposure for celery, citrus, lettuce, and summer squash.

Analytical Methods Used for New Data

The analytical method used to obtain the residue data on crops is the same as that used to produce the Residue Reduction Data, and is discussed in L.M. Bradley's review of July 22, 1986. The limit of detection for both captan and THPI is 0.05 ppm. Copies of the method are included in each volume. The analyses were performed by Morse Labs, with some apparently subcontracted to Hazleton Labs.

The storage stability study whose results support this procedure are discussed in the memorandum concerning Registration Standards. Recovery data are also discussed in that memorandum.

SSC Method RRC 86-62, "Gas Chromatographic Determination of Captan and Three Metabolites in Eggs and Tissues," was used for determination of captan and metabolite residues in eggs and tissues. Discussion of the method is included in the companion Registration Standard memorandum. The detection limit of the method is 0.02 ppm for THPI or 0.04 ppm for captan as THPI, 0.025 ppm for 3-OH THPI, 0.02 ppm for 5-OH(A) and 0.03 ppm for 5-OH(B) THPI.

Nature of the Residue

Plant Metabolism of Captan

Although the Registration Standard requires additional plant metabolism data (carbonyl label and trichloromethylthio label in both lettuce and potatoes with post-harvest treatments), we conclude that for the purpose of this dietary exposure assessment the residue of concern consists of captan and tetrahydrophthalimide, as should the tolerance expression.

The basis for this conclusion is that adequate metabolism studies are available for three crops, giving similar results, and side-chain metabolism studies for captafol (ethyl side chain) indicated no metabolites which require inclusion in the residue definition. For the present purpose, we are relying on available information. The data requirements outlined in the Standard are unchanged. This dietary exposure assessment and the tolerance expression may have to be revised if additional metabolites of concern are identified.

Animal Metabolism of Captan

Although the Registration Standard requires some additional animal metabolism data (a carbonyl-labeled poultry study and trichloromethyl-labeled ruminant and poultry studies), we conclude that the residue of concern in poultry and livestock consists of tetrahydrophthalimide and its 3- and 5-hydroxy metabolites. The tolerance expression should be captan, tetrahydrophthalimide and 3- and 5-hydroxytetrahydrophthalimide.

The basis for this conclusion is that captafol poultry studies (using label in in both positions, see the February 10, 1987 Addendum to the Captafol Registration Standard) demonstrate the same metabolites as are found in ruminants (where captan metabolism has been adequately studied). We are relying on available data to reach a conclusion for the purposes of this review; the data requirements of the Standard are unchanged. This dietary exposure assessment and the tolerance expression for poultry and livestock may need to be revised if additional metabolites of concern are identified.

Seed Treatment Studies

Six seed treatment studies are submitted by the Captan Task Force: beets, corn, potatoes, rice, soybeans, and spinach. Additional studies on potato seed pieces and soybean seed treatments were submitted in PP#3F2898 and are included in this assessment. Most of the data are flawed and the deficiencies will be noted in the following memorandum responding to the Registration Standard. For dietary purposes, we are using zero residue since it is unlikely that any detectable residues will result from the seed treatment uses.

Residues in Meat, Milk, Poultry, and Eggs

The Registration Standard discusses two studies on dairy cattle submitted by Stauffer Chemical Company (MRID Nos. 00096910 and 00025125) which determined the residues of captan, THPI, 3-OH THPI and 5-OH THPI in milk and cream and cattle tissues. In study MRID No. 00096910, residues of captan were not found in any milk or cream, but residues of THPI, 3-OH THPI and 5-OH THPI were found.

Table 1. Maximum Milk Residues

	Nominal	Dose Le	evel, ppm	
Metabolite	100	600	1200	•
тнрт	0.40	7.50	31.60	
3-OH THPI	0.26	2.10	3.50	
5-OH THPI	0.59	2.30	270	

[From review of L.M. Bradley, November 15, 1985]

In study MRID_No. 00025125, the following residues were found:

Table 2

	-				
ı	Captan	Day of	Residu	e Found, pp	m
Tissue	Level	Slaughter	Captan	THPI	3-OH THPI
1155ue	Tever	Judginost		-	-
	100	21	0.00	0.03	0.01
		29	0.00	0.02-0.03	0.01-0.01
	ppm	32 (odd)	0.00	0.10-0.12	0.04
F	600	21	0.00	0.93-1.0	0.06-0.09
A	1 1	29	0.00	0.36-0.41	0.13
Т	ppm	32	0.00	0.01-0.02	0.00
	1200	21	0.00	3.9-4.0	0.09-0.14
		29	0.00	1.1	0.22-0.25
	ppm	32	0.00	0.01	0.00-0.01
· ·	100	21	0.00	0.11-0.12	0.02
		29	0.00	0.01	0.00
	ppm	32	0.00	0.00	0.00
H	- 600	21	0.00	2.5-2.9	0.15-0.16
Е	600	29	0.00	0.65	0.01
A	ppm	32	0.00	0.0	0.00
R	1200	21	0.00-0.03	13	0.12-0.19
Т	1	29	0.00	2.8-3.1	0.11-0.04
	ppm	32	0.00	0.00	0.00
	100	21	0.00	0.04	0.02
	4	29	0.00	0.01-0.02	0.00
K	ppm	32	0.00	0.02-0.04	0.00
I	600	21	0.00	1.6-2.0	0.04-0.06
D	1	29	0.00	0.30-0.74	0.17-0.19
N	ppm	32	0.00	0.01	0.00
E	1200	21	0.00	6.6-8.4	0.06-0.29
Y	1	29	0.00	3.9-4.3	0.49-0.67
	ppm	32	0.00-0.01	0.01-0.02	0.00
	100	21	0.00	0.04-0.07	0.00-0.01
		29	0.00	0.01	0.00
T	ppm	32	0.00	0.01	0.00
L	600	21	0.00	0.29-1.37	0.01-0.02
I	1	29	0.00	0.84-0.86	0.05-0.06
V	bbw	32	0.00	0.01	0.00
E	1200	21	0.00	5.8-10.5	0.05
R	1	29	0.00	2.9-3.2	0.24-0.30
	bbw	32	0.00	0.01-0.02	0.00
	100	21	0.00	0.08-0.09	0.01
	1	29	0.00	0.01	0.00
	ppm	32	0.00-0.01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00
M	600	21	0.00	2.7-2.9	0.06
U	600	29	0.00-0.01	0.78	0.04-0.05
S	ppm	32	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ċ	1200	21	0.00	12	0.18-0.20
L	1200		0.00	3.2-3.8	0.26-0.32
E	ppm	29 32	0.00	0.00	0.00
		32			

[From review of L.M. Bradley, November 15, 1985]

A new study is submitted by the Captan Task Force which measured residue concentrations of captan and its major metabolite, tetrahydrophthalimide (THPI) and minor metabolites, 3-hydroxytetrahydrophthalimide (3-OH THPI) and 5-hydroxytetrahydrophthalimide (5-OH THPI) in eggs and edible tissues of One hundred hens divided into five groups were laying hens. given oral doses of 0, 1.5, 15, 45, or 150 ppm captan daily The dosage levels were chosen by adding for a 28-day period. together the levels of captan which might be present in various feed commodities, based on percent of diet. Commodities chosen for these calculations were cottonseed, mustard, peas, soybeans, potatoes, pineapple, tomatoes, and grapes. After 28 days, ten hens from each of the five groups were sacrificed, followed by five more after a 3-day withdrawal period, and the final five from each dose group after a 7-day withdrawal period. Samples of liver, kidney, muscle, fat, heart, skin, and gizzard were collected and analyzed. Eggs were collected and analyzed the day before the study began, and on days 1, 4, 7, 10, 14, 21, 28, 32, and 35 thereafter.

Captan added to control samples was found to be quantitatively converted to THPI on contact with each tissue type and with eggs. Therefore, one portion of egg or tissue sample was fortified with captan; the sample was analyzed for THPI, and recoveries were calculated based on the conversion of captan to THPI. Other samples were fortified with THPI, 3-OH THPI, and 5-OH THPI.

Average recoveries for fortifications of control samples in eggs were as follows: 83 percent for 14 samples of captan fortification (recovery measured as THPI); 97 percent for 16 samples of THPI fortification, 70 percent for 17 samples of 3-OH THPI fortification, 83 percent for 17 samples of 5-OH THPI(A) fortification, and 82 percent for 17 samples of 5-OH THPI(B) fortification. The limit of detection is 0.02 ppm for THPI, 0.025 ppm for 3-OH THPI, 0.02 ppm for 5-OH THPI(A) and 0.03 for 5-OH THPI(B). (5-OH THPI occurs as two geometric isomers that elute separately during the gas chromatographic analysis.)

In the lowest dose group, THPI residue was found in the kidneys on the day of slaughter but no residues of any of the metabolites were found in any other tissues. No residues of any metabolites were found in any tissues or in eggs sampled 7 days after dosing was stopped. In samples taken 3 days after dosing was stopped, THPI residue was found in the kidney in the 150 ppm dose group and in eggs in the 15, 45, and 150 ppm dose groups. Residues were found in samples of all seven tissue types and in eggs taken on the day of sacrifice. See Table 3 for all residue levels identified on days 28 and 31 in tissues and eggs and Table 4 for maximum residues of captan metabolites in eggs and the day(s) on which they occurred.

<u>. </u>	and the second sec			·		·
:=	Captan	Days after	Resi	due Found, j	pm	1.1.2000 1.1.2000
	Fed	Cessation			5-OH	THPI -
-		of Feeding	THPI	3-OH THPI	A	B
<u>T issue</u>	ppm	or reearing	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	- -	* »			1 0 02	< 0.03
	1.5	0	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	
		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
М	\.	7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
U	15	0	0.09	< 0.025	0.02	< 0.03
	1.5	3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
S		7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
С			and the second sec	0.58	0.03	< 0.03
L	45	0	0.23		< 0.02	< 0.03
E		3 7	< 0.03	< 0.025	. ,	< 0.03
			< 0.03	< 0.025	< 0.02	and the second se
	150	0	1.05	0.28	0.07	< 0.03
		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	1 1	7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
·	1.5	0	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	1.5		< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		3 7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
L			the second s	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
I	15	0	0.11		< 0.02	< 0.03
V		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
Е		7	< 0.02	< 0.025	A second s	
R	45	0	0.25	0.038	< 0.02	< 0.03
	1	3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	1	3 7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
· .	150	0	1.1	0.18	0.07	< 0.03
	100		< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		3 7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
<u> </u>	1.5	0	0.03	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	1.5		< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	l.	3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
K			0.13	0.027	< 0.02	< 0.03
I	15	0		< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
D		3	< 0.02		2	< 0.03
N		7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
E	45	0	0.32	0.049	0.03	
Y		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	(7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	150	0	1.4	0.21	0.09	< 0.03
		3	0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
·····		0	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	1.5		< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
Н		7		< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
E	15	0	0.12			< 0.03
А		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	
R	ŧ.	7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
T	45	0	0.29	0.046	0.03	< 0.03
	1 2		< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
-	1	3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	150	0	0.68	0.13	0.05	0.03
	1.20	3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03_
-			< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
- 	_1	1/	1 \ 0.02	1		
_						

1

		Captan	Days after	Resid	ue Found, pp	m	·
	V-077 - 20. - 1. - 1. - 1. - 1.	Fed	Cessation		<u> </u>	5-OH TH	PI =
	Tissue	ppm	of Feeding	THPI	3-OH THPI	- A _	- B
	115540	Ppro		··			
		1.5	- 0	< 0.02			< 0.03
			- 3	< 0.02			< 0.03
	G		7	< 0.02	the second se	< 0.02	0.03
	I	15	0	0.08			< 0.03
	Z		3	< 0.02			< 0.03
	Z		7	< 0.02			< 0.03
	А	45	0	0.19			< 0.03
	R		3	< 0.02			< 0.03
	D		7	< 0.02		and the second se	< 0.03
		150	0	0.93	0.11		< 0.03
			3	< 0.02		· · · ·)	< 0.03
			7	< 0.02			< 0.03
		1.5	0	< 0.02			< 0.03
			3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			7	< 0.02	and the second	< 0.02	< 0.03 < 0.03
		15	0	0.04	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03 < 0.03
	F		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	
	А		7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03 < 0.03
	Т	45	0	0.10	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{0.03}}$
		150	0	0.31	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		1.5	0	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		15	0	0.08	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	S		3		< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	К		7	< 0.02 0.20	0.035	< 0.02	< 0.03
	Ι	45	0	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	N		3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
				0.73	0.12	0.04	0.07
		150	03	0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			3 7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
			0	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		1.5	3	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
				< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
		1	0	0.33-0.39	0.022-0.040	0.02	< 0.03
	E	15	3	0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	G	•	7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	G S	= 45	0	0.62-1.2	0.095-0.13	0.04-0.08	0.03-0.05
	G		3	0.05-0.09	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	a	<u> </u>	7	< 0.02	< 0.025	< 0.02	< 0.03
	÷.	150	0	2.6-3.2	0.34-0.47	0.19-0.22	0.12-0.16
		1 10	3	0.18-0.29	0.025-0.033		< 0.03
-	-		7	< 0.02	< 0.025	<_0.02	< 0.03
				<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

ŝ

7.

	 Maximum Resi	dues of Captan M	etabolites in Egg	<u>S</u>
Captan-Fed 	THPI ppm on day no. 0.06 28 0.39 28 1.2 Several 3.4 21	3-OH THPI ppm on day no. < 0.025 All 0.046 21 0.18 14 0.65 21	5-OH THPI (A) ppm on-day no. < 0.02 All 0.03 Several 0.10 14, 21 0.34 21	5-OH THPI (B) ppm on day no. < 0.03 All 0.03 Several 0.07 Several 0.28 21

Monitoring Data

Regarding the U.S. monitoring data which are mentioned in various places, we have relied on an FDA memorandum provided by Ellis Gunderson of the Division of Chemical Technology, FDA (from Jerry A. Burke, Director, Division of Chemical Technology, to the Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, dated July 11, 1985) which reports the number of positive findings, the number of samples, the residue range and the mean of all samples analyzed, by crop, for each year 1978 to 1984. While we have the actual printouts, we see no need to repeat the calculations, and so the monitoring data are presented in slightly different format than the field trial data. We included only domestic surveillance analyses (random sampling) done by the Luke procedure, which is the most reliable of the analytical methods used by FDA. These data are included in Attachment 1.

Dietary Exposure Calculations

The individual residue studies were tabulated, and the calculations performed, using Lotus 1-2-3 on a COMPAQ 286 personal computer. Studies not reflecting registered use patterns are not included in the calculations.

For the statistical calculations, all zero values were replaced with 0.03--approximating half the limit of detection (0.05 ppm). The printout has not been appended to this review, but is available in the RCB files. The average and variance functions of LOTUS were used, and the upper ninety-fifth confidence level was calculated using the formula below, provided by H. Lacayo of Toxicology Branch.

U95CL = average + $t.05 * variance \frac{1}{2}$

n 1/2

n is number of data points. t is Student's T for n-1 degrees of freedom. Crops which are not supported by the Captan Task Force (nodata submitted for the Standard) are not included in the dietary exposure calculations, since these uses will soon be eliminated as a result of the Registration Standard process. Our companion review recommends deleting those uses as soon as possible.

The summary table following tabulates the expected dietary levels for the unwashed and uncooked commodities from the registered use patterns, and incorporates the available residue reduction data and processing data. For example, apples as harvested contain an average of 3.8 ppm captan plus THPI. Washed apples would average 0.5 ppm (13% of 3.8 ppm), and cooked apples average 1.0 ppm (26% of 3.8 ppm).

We have underlined the values we believe should be used for TAS calculations. Where sufficient monitoring data were available, we have chosen the average of the positive finds. This value should be used without correction for percent crop treated. For those crops where no monitoring data exists or the data are very limited, we suggest using the average residue from field trials with the percent crop treated included in the calculation. (Data on the percentage of crops treated with captan represents estimates made by Benefits and Use Division and estimates provided by the major registrant, Chevron Chemical Company. See Attachment 2.) -10-

	-	. :		1	·	•
- 1	-	Captan +-			Manitāv	ing Data
	. ····	THPI -		 (-	Max.	Max year
		Average	No. of		Residue	av. (pos)
Commodity	Tolerances	Residue	Samples	U95CL	Residue	ur (F
					:	
Almonds	<u>^</u>	0.1	7	0.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
nut	2	<u>0.1</u>				
					-	
Apples	25	3.8	58	4.5	1.4	0.21
RAC washed		0.5	1			
peeled		0.1	1			
cooked		1.0	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1\\ 1\\ 3\end{array} $			
juice		1.3	4	4.5	1	
dip only]	3.5	4	1.5		
	50	5.0	24	6.1	5.1	1.50
Apricots	50	5.0				
Beets (S.T.)						
roots	2	0	1			
tops	100	<u>0</u>	1			
202-	(
Cantaloupes		1.0	14	1.4	0.2	0.22
RAC	25	0.1	2		-	
peeled fruit		0.1	1 -			
0 - 1	50				1.0	0.61
Celery Untrimmed		50.6	4			
washed		10.2	2			
washed &						
cooked		14.6	2 4			é.
Trimmed		33.9 9.9	2			
washed	·	9.9	2			
washed &		7.8	2			
cooked		1.00				
Cherries						2 57
all applic.	100	12.4	47	14.3	16	2.57
dip contrib.		9.2				
dip contra						
Corn (S.T.)						
kernels	2	0				*
_						
Cucumbers	25	2.7	- 14	4.7	0.2	0.16
RAC washed	20	0.1	2		· ·	and the second se
peeled		0	2			
					5.1	3.38
Grapes/Raisins	50		61	6.5		
RAC		5.2 1.5	2			
washed		24.5	9			
juice		22.9	11	37.3	-	
raisins	l	- 24.57	· · ·	• .		

Table 6

						
	арана алана алана алана	Captan + THPI			And the second s	ing Data
		Average	No. of	U95CL	Max. Residue	Max year- av. (pos)
Commodity	Tolerances	Residue	Samples	09501	Restuce	<u>av. (poo/</u>
Grapefruit RAC washed pulp juice	25 (int)	$3.4 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	2 1 1 2			
Lemons RAC washed pulp juice	25 (int)	6.3 0.9 <u>0.1</u> <u>0.2</u>	4 2 1 1	9.0 5.7		
Lettuce RAC washed	100	20.5 8.3	4	30.3 50.5	31	3.53
Nectarines RAC	50	3.6	22	4.8	4.2	2.32
Oranges RAC washed pulp juice	25 (int)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 0.3\\ \underline{0.1}\\ \underline{0} \end{array}$	8 2 2 1	1.9		
Peaches all appli. dip contrib. foliar	50	27.3 85.7 5.9	39 29	41.7	8.8	1.42
Pears all appli. dip contrib. foliar (all)	25	2.1 5.6 1.3	68 59	2.8 1.7	0.3	<u>0.22</u>
Plums/Prunes RAC prune	50	<u>4.3</u> 5.8	49 1 4	5.2		·
Potatoes (S.T.)	25	<u>0</u>				
Rice (S.T.) grain		<u>0</u> .	2			-

11.

Table 7 (Con'td)

·	· · ·	1		- 1		•
Soybeans (S.T.) beans	2	- <u>0</u>	2	-		منبر بیری
Spinach (S.T.) leaf	100	1.1	2	-	2.8	2.34
Squash, summer RAC washed peeled cooked	25	0.6 0.1 0.02 0.03	4 2 2 2	1.6		
Strawberries RAC washed cooked	25	5.7 1.2 0.5	29 3 3	7.1	20	5.16
Tomatoes RAC puree juice ketchup	25	0.9 0.4 0.2 0.5 0.1	15 2 3 2	1.2	0.3	0.31
Milk Animal tissue other than poultry	0.05	0.1				
Poultry tissue		0				
Eggs		0			1	

Potential feed items are almond hulls (28 ppm), apples (3.8 ppm) and dry apple pomace (22 ppm), beet tops (0.05 ppm), field corn grain (0.14 ppm) and fodder (0.28 ppm), grape dry pomace (13 ppm) and raisin waste (114 ppm), citrus byproducts (0-0.5 ppm), rice grain and straw (undetected), soybean forage and hay (undetected), and wet (0.2 ppm) and dry (2.5 ppm) tomato pomace. We have not used the 100 ppm level on detreated seed corn since this tolerance is due to be cancelled in the near future.

Based upon the above feed items, we calculated the dietary levels for dairy animals to be 7 ppm, beef animals to be 11 ppm and poultry to be 0.1 ppm. Using these values we calculate maximum likely levels for milk to be 0.1 ppm, tissues (other than poultry) to be 0.05 ppm, poultry tissues and eggs to be zero.

Attachments (2)

cc: R.F., Reg. Std. F., S.F., SIS (T. Levine), Reviewer, TAS (K.Arne)

92286:I:Gray:C.Disk:KENCO:12/07/87:DD:VO:DD RCB:TS-769:N.Gray:Edited by vg:CM#2:Rm810:X77484:12/10/87 RDI: A.Rathman, 12/3/87; R.Schmitt, 12/9/87 Attachiment

UMMARY OF FDA MONITÓRING Domestic Surveillance using Luke method

	-	·	-			-
Commodity	positives .	total #	percent positive	max resi- due	°max yr avg′ ∙(all)	max yr avg (pos)
Apples	151	907	16.6	1.4	0.030	0.207
Apricots	34	93	38 . 7	5. l	1.200	1.500
Flackbernies	<u>.</u>	20	25.0	1	0.150	0.525
N Luzberries	1	27	3.7	0.001	0.001	0.027
Soysenberries	- -	1	100.O	0,001	0.001	0.001
Eroccoli	1	46	ang ang ala a ala	0.36	C.OO8	0.368
Cantaloupe	1	72	1.4	0.2	0.003	0.216
Carrots	4	113	3.5	0.41	\circ . \circ 1 \circ	0.283
Celery	4	232	1.7	1	0.013	0.205
Cherries	46	345	13.3	16	0.570	2.565
Cranberries	1	31		0.14	0.005	0.155
Cucumbers	2	183	1.1	0.2	0.002	0.158
Eggplant	1	34	2.9	0.001	0.001	0,034
Endive	1	47	2.1	18.6	0.400	18,800
Gooseberries		4	25.0	0.7	0.180	0.720
Grapes	31	279	11.1	5.1	0.370	3,375
Lettuce	39	2268	3.9	31	0.180	3.533
Loganberries	Ĵ	5	60,0	1.1	0.350	0.700
	6	40	15.0	4.2	0.290	2.320
Nectarines	89	531	16.8	8.8	0,230	1.417
Peaches	9	229		0.3	0.020	0.220
ferare		45	1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997	0.3	0.007	o.JiS
Peppers	9 <u>2</u>	200	46.0	13.3	2.100	3.436
Saspbernies		230		2.8	0.130	2.340
Spinach	341	686	49.7	20	3.400	5.155
Strawberries	+ 1	49	2.0	0.01	0.000	$O_{\bullet}OOI$
Sweet Fotatoes Tomatoes	1 4	499	0.8	0.3	0.002	0.306

A Hackment



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

July 8, 1987

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Extent of Crops Treated with Captan

- FROM: E. Neil Pelletier, Ph.D. Science Support Branch Benefits and Use Division (TS-768-C)
- TO: Lynn Bradley, Chemist Residue Chemistry Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769-C)

The information in this response to the request for data on the percentage of crops treated with captan represents estimates made by BUD and estimates provided by the major registrant, Chevron Chemical Company. The percentages are for all captan usage including captan sources from registrants other than Chevron.

OFFICE OF

Estimated Percentages of Crops Treated with Captan

İ.

Foliage and/or Fruit Treatment

Almonds $591/$ Strawberries $821/$ Apples $34T/$ Cantaloupes 40 Apricots $61T/$ Carrots 30 Avocadosnegligible2/Celery 30 Bushberries3/ $321/$ Corn (sweet)negligible2/Cherries 60^- Collards 30 Cranberriesnegligible2/Cucumbers 40 Citrus4/negligible2/Eggplant 40 Grapes 45 Lettuce 30 Nectarines $611/$ Onions 30 Peaches $61T/$ Peppersnegligible2/Pearsnegligible2/Potatoesnegligible2/Pineapples $201/$ Pumpkins 40 Plums/prunes 60^- Spinach 30 Raisins15Squash 40 BeansnegligibleTomatoes 20 Rutabagas 30 30
Watermelon 40

Preplant Soil Treatment II.

Beans/peas Cole Crops⁵/ 20 negligible

III. Preplant Root-Dip

72 Asparagus

Seed Treatment IV.

Field corn Sweet corn	100 75	Peanuts Rice	56 37 5
Cotton Sorghum	80 94	Small grains Potatoes (seed	27
Soybeans	20	pieces) Vegetables	72

EPA estimates.

One percent or less.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Includes blueberries, blackberries, raspberries, gooseberries.

Includes oranges, grapefruit, lemons, limes. Includes broccoli, brussels sprouts, cabbage, cauliflower. 5,

Valerie Bael, Captan Review Manager cc:

0 e

16