US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Cycle		
PMRA Submission N	Number {}	EPA MRID Number 441848-02
Data Requirement:	PMRA Data Code EPA DP Barcode OECD Data Point EPA MRID EPA Guideline	{} D237791 {} 441848-02 72-4(a)
Test Material: Common name Chemical name:	Propazine Technical Propazine IUPAC: Not reported CAS name: 2-Chloro-4,6-bis(isopro CAS No.: 139-40-2 Synonyms: None reported	Purity (%): 98%  opylamino)-s-triazine
Primary Reviewer: Staff Scientist, Dyna		Signature: Christie 2. Padore. Date: 2/22/06
Secondary Reviewe Staff Scientist, Cam	r: Teri S. Myers bridge Environmental	Signature: Smym Date: 2/26/06
Primary Reviewer: EPA/OPP/EFED/EI		<b>Date:</b> {}
Secondary Reviewe {EPA/OECD/PMRA	r(s): {} <b>A</b> }	<b>Date:</b> {}
Reference/Submissi	on No.: {}	
Company Code Active Code Use Site Category		

**Date Evaluation Completed:** {dd-mm-yyyy}

080808

EPA PC Code

**CITATION:** Boeri, R.L., P.L. Kowalski, and T.J. Ward. 1995. Early Life-Stage Toxicity of Propazine to the Sheepshead Minnow, *Cyprinodon variegates*. Unpublished study performed by T.R. Wilbury Laboratories, Inc., Marblehead, MA and ABC Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, MO. Study submitted by Griffin Corporation, Valdosta, GA. Study initiated September 16, 1994 and completed November 21, 1995.

**DISCLAIMER:** This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the toxicity of a pesticide to fish, early life cycle. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The 36-day chronic toxicity of propazine to the early life stage of sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegates*) was studied under flow-through conditions. Fertilized eggs/embryos (80/level, <24 hours old) of sheepshead minnow were exposed to 0 (negative and solvent control), 0.30, 0.65, 1.3, 2.5, and 5.0 mg ai/L. Mean-measured concentrations were <0.0562 (<LOD, controls), 0.259, 0.668, 1.34, 2.59, and 5.25 mg ai/L, respectively. The test system was maintained at 29.0-30.8 °C and a pH of 7.5-8.3. The 36-day NOAEC and LOAEC values were 1.34 and 2.59 mg ai/L, respectively, based on a treatment-related delay in hatching (i.e., hatching success), the most sensitive endpoint. Embryo survival was 0% at the highest test level. At the 2.59 mg ai/L, hatching was complete by Day 5, whereas hatching was complete at all lower treatment levels and controls by Day 4. No other treatment-related effects were observed (normal versus abnormal fish, post-hatch survival, and terminal growth).

This study is scientifically sound and {does or does not} satisfy the guideline requirement for an early life toxicity study with sheepshead minnow.

### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism Size/Age(mean Weight or Length): Embryos, <24 hours old Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Flow-through

LOAEC: 2.59 mg ai/L

NOAEC: 1.34 mg ai/L

Endpoint(s) Affected: Embryo survival and hatching success

Most Sensitive Endpoint(s): Hatching success

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:** The study protocol was based on procedures outlined in the U.S. EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision E, §72-4 (1982); the U.S. EPA Standard Evaluation Procedure EPA 540/9-86-138 (1986); and the ASTM Standard Guide for Conducting Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with Fishes (1992). Deviations from §72-4a included:

- 1. Only two replicate aquaria/test level were maintained in this study, whereas four replicates/level are required.
- 2. Aeration was initiated after 24 hours of exposure to maintain the DO concentration above acceptable levels. Although not recommended, aeration did not adversely affect exposure concentrations.
- 3. The pH range (7.5-8.3) was higher than recommended (7.2-7.6).
- 4. An adequate discussion regarding sub-lethal effects observed at the 2.59 mg ai/L level (i.e., hatching delay and smaller appearance) was not provided. Based upon the reported NOAEC and LOAEC, the study authors apparently dismissed these effects as being significant to treatment.

These deviations do not affect the validity of the study.

**COMPLIANCE:** Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality claims

> statements were provided. The study followed the U.S. EPA (40 CFR, Part 160) GLP standards with the following exceptions: survival data collected on day 3 from the 2-2 replicate test vessel with a mean-measured concentration of 0.668

mg ai/L, and from the 4-1 replicate test vessel with a mean-measured concentration of 2.59 mg ai/L could not be verified from the raw data; and the

stability of the test substance under exposure conditions was assumed by not

verified.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material **Propazine Technical** 

**Description:** White powder

Lot No./Batch No.: 309027

**Purity:** 98%

Stability of compound

under test conditions: Stable, as indicated by relatively constant (within 20% of mean) measured

concentrations determined on days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, and 36 in all aquaria

containing live organisms.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals: Room temperature in the dark

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

**EPA MRID Number 441848-02** 

Physicochemical properties of Propazine.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	8.6 mg/L	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
pKa	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

### 2. Test organism:

**Species:** Sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegates*) [*EPA* 

recommends any of several freshwater fish species, including rainbow trout, brook trout, bluegill, fathead minnow, and channel catfish. See Standard Evaluation Procedure for listing of recommended species. OECD recommends rainbow trout, fathead minnows, zebra fish, and ricefish but does not exclude

the use of other species.]

**Age /embryonic stage at test initiation:** Embryos, <24 hours old [EPA recommends fish embryos 2 to

24 hours old.]

**Method of collection of the fertilized eggs:** Collected during natural spawning of 84 female and 28

male conditioned adult fish, which were introduced into spawning tanks approximately 24 hours prior to the test

initiation.

**Source:** Aquatic BioSystems, Inc., Fort Collins, CO

### **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

#### 1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding study: A 7-day static renewal screening study was conducted with sheepshead minnow embryos (<24 hours old) and nominal concentrations of 0.010, 0.10, 0.50, 1.0, and 5.0 mg/L. After 7 days of exposure, there was >50% survival at 0.10, 0.10, and 0.50 mg/L, 40% survival at 1.0 mg/L, and 35% survival at 5.0 mg/L.

b. Definitive study

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

**Table 1: Experimental Parameters** 

Parameter	Details	Remarks
Tarameter	Details	Criteria
Parental acclimation, if any		
Period:	Acclimation of the brood stock	
Conditions (same as test or not):	fish was not described.	
Feeding (type, source, amount given, frequency):		
Health: (any mortality observed)		
Number of fertilized eggs/embryos in each treatment at test initiation	80 embryos/treatment level, divided into 20 embryos/cage, 2	Fish were thinned to 30 level (15 per replicate) following hatch.
	cages/aquarium, and 2 replicate aquaria/treatment.	Each treatment should include a minimum of 20 embryos per replicate cup and a minimum of 30 fish per treatment for post-hatch exposure (OECD recommends at least 60 eggs, divided between at least 2 replicates)
Concentration of test material nominal:  measured:	0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.30, 0.65, 1.3, 2.5, and 5.0 mg ai/L <0.0562 ( <lod, controls),<br="">0.259, 0.668, 1.34, 2.59, and</lod,>	Test substance concentrations were determined at study initiation, weekly thereafter, and at study termination in all aquaria containing live organisms. All measured concentrations were within 20% of mean values.
	5.25 mg ai/L, respectively	A minimum of 5 concentrations and a control, all replicated, plus solvent control if appropriate should be used.  - Toxicant concentration should be measured in one tank at each toxicant level every week.  - One concentration should adversely affect a life stage and one concentration should not affect any life stage.  OECD recommends that 5 concentrations be spaced by a constant factor not exceeding 3.2; concentrations of test substance in solution should be within ∀20% of the mean measured values.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
Turumeeer	Detains	Criteria		
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	Dimethylformamide, 0.1 ml/L	The solvent should not exceed 0.1 ml/L in a flow-through system. Recommended solvents include dimethylformamide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone, ethanol.  OECD recommends that the solvent not have an effect on survival nor produce any other adverse effects; concentration should not be greater than 0.1 ml/L.		
Number of replicates  control: solvent control: treated ones:	2 2 2/level	Number of replicates should be 4 per concentration. A solvent control should be used in conjunction with a solubilizing agent.		
Test condition static renewal/flow-through: type of dilution system for flow through	Flow-through Intermittent-flow proportional	The diluter was calibrated before and after the test and observed for normal operation twice daily during the test.  Intermittent flow proportional diluters or		
method: flow rate: renewal rate for static renewal:	7.9 volume additions/day N/A	continuous flow serial diluters should be used. EPA recommends that flow rate to larval cups should provide 90% replacement in 8 to 12 hours (OECD recommends 5 test chamber volumes/24 hours). For static-renewal, OECD recommends 2 renewal procedures; either transfer eggs and larvae to new, clean vessels or reatain organisms in vessels and change at least 2/3 test water. A minimum of 5 toxicant concentrations with a dilution factor not greater than 0.5 and controls should be used.  Toxicant Mixing:  1) Mixing chamber is preferred; 2) Aeration should not be used for mixing; 3) The test solution should be completely mixed before introduction into the test system; 4) Flow splitting accuracy should be within 10%.		

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
		Criteria		
Aeration, if any	Initiated after 24 hours of exposure to maintain the DO concentration above acceptable levels.	Aeration did not adversely affect exposure concentrations.  Dilution water should be aerated to ensure DO concentration at or near 100% saturation. Test tanks and embryo cups should not be aerated.		
Duration of the test	36 days (32 days post-hatch)	Recommended test duration is 32 days		
		for EPA. OECD recommendations for test duration are species specific and range from 28-60 days.		
Embryo cups, if used type/material (glass/stainless steel):	Glass cylinders closed at one end	The embryo cages were oscillated slowly to assure an adequate flow of media around the embryos.		
size:	with Nitex screen  Not reported	Recommended embryo cups are 120 ml glass jars with bottoms replaced with 40 mesh stainless steel or nylon screen.		
fill volume:	Not reported			
<u>Test vessel</u>				
type/material: (glass/stainless steel)	Glass	Recommended test vessel is all glass or glass with stainless steel frame.		
size:	20 L			
fill volume:	15 L			
Source of dilution water	Natural seawater collected in Marblehead, MA was adjusted to a salinity of 16-17 ppt with dechlorinated tap water and stored in polyethylene tanks where it was aerated and continuously passed through a particle filter, UV sterilizer, and activated carbon.	Results of chemical analysis of a representative sample of dilution water were provided. Results indicated: pH of 8.3, salinity of 17 ppt, TOC of 6 mg/L, and boron concentration of 3.3 mg/L. Other metals, pesticides, and PCBs were below limits of detection.  Source of dilution water should be natural or reconstituted water; natural water should be sterilized with UV and tested for pesticides, heavy metals, and other possible contaminants.  OECD accepts any water in which the test species show control survival at least as good as presented in SEP.		

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
- 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		Criteria		
Water parameters		Dissolved oxygen was not provided in terms of % saturation. As		
hardness:	N/A	aeration was initiated 24 hours following test initiation, DO levels		
pH:	7.5-8.3	likely remained in the acceptable range.		
dissolved oxygen: temperature (s) (record all the	4.8-7.7 mg/L	Light intensity averaged 36 footcandles.		
temperatures used for different life stages):	29.0-30.8°C (constant throughout study)	Recommended hardness: 40-48 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ;		
photoperiod:	16 hours light/8 hours dark, with 15-minute transition periods	Recommended pH: 7.2 to 7.6 Dissolved Oxygen (DO) should be measured at each concentration at least once a week;		
salinity (for marine or estuarine species):	16-17 ppt	Freshwater parameters in a control and one concentration should be analyzed		
other measurements:	None	once a week. Temperature depends upon test species		
interval of water quality measurements:	DO, pH, salinity, and temperature were recorded daily in each test chamber that contained live organisms. The temperature was also continuously monitored in one control vessel.	and should not deviate by more than 2EC from appropriate temperature. OECD recommends that DO concentration be between 60 - 90% saturation. As a minimum DO, salinity (if relevant) and temperature should be measured weekly, and pH and hardness at the beginning and end of the test. Temperature should be measured continuously.		
Post-hatch details  when the post-hatch period began:	Day 4, when hatching was at	Survival was at least 97.5% in all negative and solvent control replicates.		
when the post-haten period began.	least 90% complete in the control exposure.	Percentage of embryos that produce live		
number of hatched eggs (alevins)/ treatment released to the test chamber:	Newly hatched larvae were thinned to 30 organisms per	fry should be $\geq 50\%$ in each control; percentage of hatch in any control embryo cup should not be more than 1.6 times that in another control cup.		
on what day, the alevins were released from the incubation cups to the test chamber:	treatment level (15/replicate) on Day 4.  Day 4			

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
		Criteria		
Post-hatch Feeding start date:	Day 4	Fish were fed to excess as observed by live <i>Artemia salina</i> present		
type/source of feed:	Newly-hatched <i>Artemia salina</i> nauplii (lot number BS03)	approximately 10 minutes after feeding. Exposure vessels were cleaned daily beginning on day 8 of		
amount given:	Ad libitum	the exposure.		
frequency of feeding:	Twice daily except during the final 24 hours of the test			
Stability of chemical in the test system	Stable, as indicated by relatively constant (within 20% of mean) measured concentrations.			
Recovery of chemical:	99.2-105% of nominal	Reviewer-calculated, based on fortified and concurrently-analyzed		
Frequency of measurement:	Days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, and 36	QC samples (at 0.30, 1.3, and 5.0 mg/L).		
LOD:	0.0562 mg ai/L	3 /		
LOQ:	Not reported			
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations}	N/A			
Fertilization success study, if any	N/A			
number of eggs used:	IV/A			
on what day the eggs were removed to check the embryonic development:				
Other parameters, if any	N/A			

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

#### 2. Observations:

**Table 2: Observations** 

Parameters	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Parameters measured including the sublethal effects/toxicity symptoms	- Number of embryos hatched (normal and abnormal) - Larval survival (normal and abnormal) - Measurement of growth (length and wet weight) - Clinical signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior	Recommended parameters measured include: - Number of embryos hatched; - Time to hatch; - Mortality of embryos, larvae, and Juveniles: - Time to swim-up (if appropriate); - Measurement of growth; - Incidence of pathological or Histological effects; - Observations of other effects or clinical signs.
Observation intervals/dates for:		
egg mortality: no. of eggs hatched: mortality of fry (e.g.,alevins): swim-up behavior: growth measurements: embryonic development: other sublethal effects	Daily Daily Daily N/A Day 36 Not determined Daily	
Water quality was acceptable (Yes/No)	Yes	
Were raw data included?	Yes	
Other observations, if any	N/A	

### II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. MORTALITY:

Following 48 hours of exposure, the percent survival of embryos averaged 97.5 and 100% for the negative and solvent control groups, respectively, and 98.8, 97.5, 98.8, 87.5, and 37.5% for the mean-measured 0.259, 0.668, 1.34, 2.59, and 5.25 mg ai/L levels, respectively. No statistically-significant differences were reported. On Day 4, percent survival at hatch averaged 97.5 and 100% for the negative and solvent control groups, respectively, and 98.8, 97.5, 91.3, 65.0, and 0% for the mean-measured 0.259, 0.668, 1.34, 2.59, and 5.25 mg ai/L levels, respectively. The highest tested concentration caused complete mortality, was assumed to be different than the control, and was not included in statistical analyses. Post-hatch survival was assessed on days 7, 14, 21, 28, and 32 post-hatch. After 32 days post-hatch, survival averaged 100% for both control groups, compared to 96.7, 100, 90.0, and 83.3% for the mean-measured 0.259, 0.668, 1.34, and 2.59 mg ai/L treatment groups, respectively, with no statistically-significant differences reported. The subsequent NOAEC, LOAEC, and MATC for survival (all assessments) were 2.59, 5.25, and 3.69 mg ai/L, respectively.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

Table 3: Effect of Propazine Technical on egg hatching and survival at different life stage of fish.

Treatment (mg ai/L) Measured (and nominal)	Egg hatched/embryo viability			Time to hatch <sup>1</sup>			Juvenile-survival on day 32 after hatch	
	No. of eggs at	hatch/embryo viability					No.	
concentrations	study initiation	No.	%	day x1	day x2	day xn	dead	% mortality
Control (dilution water only)	80	78	97.5	ND			0	0.0
Solvent control	80	80	100.0	ND			0	0.0
0.259 (0.30)	80	79	98.8	ND			1	3.30
0.668 (0.65)	80	78	97.5	ND			0	0.0
1.34 (1.3)	80	73	91.3	ND			3	10.0
2.59 (2.5)	80	47	65.0	ND			5	16.7
5.25 (5.0)	80	0	0.0*	ND				
NOAEC		2.59 mg a	i/L	ND			2.59 mg	g ai/L
EC <sub>50</sub>		ND		ND			ND	
Positive control, if used	N/A							
mortality: EC <sub>50</sub> : NOAEC								

ND – Not determined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It was reported that the time to fist hatch was not statistically analyzed because first hatch occurred on the same day (Day 2) for all concentrations producing live fish.

<sup>\*</sup> The highest tested concentration caused complete mortality and was assumed to be different than the control and not included in statistical analyses.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

Table 4: Effect of Propazine Technical on Growth of Juvenile Fish.

Treatment	Swim-up <sup>1</sup>				
(mg ai/L) Measured (and nominal) concentrations	day x1	day x2	day xn	Growth -length (cm)	Growth-wet weight (g)
Control (dilution water only)	N/A			24	248
Solvent control	N/A			24	268
0.259 (0.30)	N/A			24	265
0.668 (0.65)	N/A			24	263
1.34 (1.3)	N/A			24	253
2.59 (2.5)	N/A			24	271
5.25 (5.0)	N/A				
NOAEC	N/A			2.59 mg ai/L	2.59 mg ai/L
LOAEC	N/A			5.25 mg ai/L	5.25 mg ai/L
EC <sub>50</sub>	N/A			ND	ND
Positive control, if used mortality: EC <sub>50</sub> : NOAEC	N/A				

Swim-up is not applicable for this species.

ND - Not determined

#### B. SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY AND OTHER CHRONIC EFFECTS:

The time to first hatch occurred on the same day (Day 2) for all concentrations producing live fish. However, it was reported that hatching was complete on Day 5 of the exposure at the 2.59 mg ai/L level (compared to Day 4 for all lower treatment levels). All hatched fish fed when first presented with food. In addition to survival, normal versus abnormal survivors were assessed at each interval (48 hours, at hatch, and days 7, 14, 21, 28, and 32 post-hatch). Results were identical for percent survival data and percent normal at all intervals. However, it was reported that several fish exposed to 2.59 mg ai/L appeared visually smaller than controls during the test (not further described quantitatively) and that no other sub-lethal effects were observed. At study termination, total lengths and wet weights averaged 24 mm and 248-271 mg for all treatment and control groups, with no statistically-significant differences observed. The reported NOAEC, LOAEC, and MATC for sub-lethal endpoints were 2.59, 5.25, and 3.69 mg ai/L, respectively.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

Table 5: Sub-lethal Effect of Propazine Technical on Sheepshead Minnow.

Treatment (mg ai/L) Measured (and nominal) concentrations	% Deformed larvae	Behavioral effects (specify)	Behavioral effects (specify)	Smaller Appearance <sup>1</sup>	Toxicity symptoms (specify)
Control (dilution water only)	None	None	None		None
Solvent control	None	None	None		None
0.259 (0.30)	None	None	None	None	None
0.668 (0.65)	None	None	None	None	None
1.34 (1.3)	None	None	None	None	None
2.59 (2.5)	None	None	None	Several	None
5.25 (5.0)	None	None	None	N/A	None
NOAEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.59 mg ai/L	N/A
LOAEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.25 mg ai/L	N/A
Positive control, if used % sublethal effect: NOAEC:	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No discussion was provided regarding this effect and associated NOAEC and LOAEC levels. Based upon provided values, this effect was apparently dismissed as being treatment-related.

### C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

Data that were statistically analyzed included 1) the percent of healthy embryos after 48 hours, 2) the percent of healthy and unhealthy embryos hatched, 3) mortality of embryos, larvae, and juveniles 7, 14, 21, 28, and 32 days post-hatch, 4) the mean total length of surviving fish at study termination, and 5) the mean wet weight of surviving fish at study termination. The time to first feeding was not statistically analyzed because all fish fed when first presented with food. The time to first hatch was not statistically analyzed because first hatch occurred on the same day (Day 2) for all concentrations producing live fish.

A parametric "t" test determined that control and solvent control growth data were not significantly different (at the  $\alpha=0.05$  level) and therefore the means were pooled for subsequent comparisons for length and weight. The data were then checked for normality using Shapiro-Wilks' Test and for homogeneity of variance using Bartlett's Test. A parametric one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's method of multiple comparisons were used to compare treatment and pooled control means. For survival and percent normal data, the Shapiro Wilks test was performed to determine normality; homogeneity of variances, however, could not be determined due to zero variance in at least one group. Kruskal and Wallis's test was then used to compare treatment and control data.

The NOAEC and LOAEC were based on significance data. The MATC was calculated as the geometric mean of the NOAEC and LOAEC. A computer program (TOXSTAT; Gulley *et al.*, 1990) was used to perform the statistical analyses, and mean-measured values were used in all estimations.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

**EPA MRID Number 441848-02** 

#### D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Survival at 48 hours and after 32 days hatch and wet weight data were analyzed. None of these data satisfied the assumptions of normality or homogeneity of variances, so the NOAEC and LOAEC values were determined using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test via TOXSTAT statistical software. Data for length and time to first hatch could be verified visually.

EC<sub>50</sub>: >2.59 mg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 1.34 mg ai/L LOAEC: 2.59 mg ai/L

#### **E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:**

There were no study deficiencies.

#### F. REVIEWER=S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's statistical results were similar to the study authors'; however, the conclusions regarding the NOAEC and LOAEC differed. No discussion was provided by the study authors to support the dismissal of sub-lethal effects observed at the 2.59 mg ai/L test level. Specifically, the completion of hatch was delayed at this level until Day 5, whereas the hatch time for all remaining groups with live young was complete by Day 4. Furthermore, visual inspection of fish (not further described) indicated "several" hatched fish from the 2.59 mg ai/L level appeared smaller than controls. No quantitative data were provided aside from terminal growth measurements, at which time no statistically-significant differences in length were observed. Based on the reported NOAEC and LOAEC levels, these sub-lethal effects were apparently dismissed by the study authors as being significant to treatment. The reviewer disagrees with this conclusion. As growth measurements were not determined at intervals during the study, no conclusions may be made regarding the size of the fish. However, it is obvious from the data provided that a treatment-related effect on hatching occurred at the 2.59 mg ai/L level. On Day 4, only 47/80 embryos hatched at this level, compared to 78/80 and 80/80 at the negative and solvent control levels, respectively. The NOAEC and LOAEC levels reported in the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections are modified from the study author's conclusions to reflect these treatment-related effects.

Insoluble test material, observed as a slight turbidity, was noted in test vessels with a mean-measured concentration of 5.25 mg ai/L on Days 0-5 of the test. Complete mortality occurred at this concentration level on Day 4 and observations of insolubility were discontinued after day 5 (at this level). Insoluble material was not observed at any other level tested.

The maximum loading rate during the study was approximately 0.25 g/L at any one time and 0.03 g/L/24 hours.

Experimental test dates were December 30, 1994 to February 4, 1995.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

#### **G. CONCLUSIONS:**

This study is scientifically sound and is thus acceptable or unacceptable. Based upon a treatment-related effect upon the time of hatch at the 2.59 mg ai/L level (the most sensitive endpoint), the NOAEC and LOAEC are 1.34 and 2.59 mg ai/L, respectively. In addition, a treatment-related effect on survival was observed at the 5.25 mg ai/L, whereas hatching success was 0% at this test level.

LOAEC: 2.59 mg ai/L

NOAEC: 1.34 mg ai/L

Endpoint(s) Affected: Embryo survival and hatching success

Most Sensitive Endpoint(s): Hatching success

### **III. REFERENCES:**

Gulley, D.D., A.M. Boelter, and H.L. Bergman. 1990. TOXSTAT Version 3.3. Fish Physiology and Toxicology Laboratory, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY.

Steel, R.G.D., and J.H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill. New York.

- U.S. EPA. 1985. Hazard Evaluation Division Standard Evaluation Procedure Fish Early Life Stage. Hazard Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA. 1988. Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine Organisms. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA/600/4-87/028.
- U.S. EPA. 1993, 40 CFR Part 160. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA); Good Laboratory Practice Standards. Final Rules.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

### APPENDIX 1: OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

survival at 48 h

File: 4802s Transform: NO TRANSFORM

KRUSKAL-WALLIS ANOVA BY RANKS - TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1 2 3 4 5	solvent control neg control 0.259 0.668 1.34 2.59	100.000 97.500 98.750 97.500 98.750 87.500	100.000 97.500 98.750 97.500 98.750 87.500	25.000 14.000 19.500 14.000 19.500 10.000
7	5.25	37.500	37.500	3.000

Calculated H Value = 10.410 Critical H Value Table = 12.590 Since Calc H < Crit H FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

survival at 48 h

File: 4802s Transform: NO TRANSFORM

DONNS MOLLIPLE	COMPARISON -	KRUSKAL-WALLIS	-	TABLE 2	OF.	2

						GI	ROT	JΡ		
		TRANSFORMED	ORIGINAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	MEAN	7	6	2	4	3	5	1
				_	_	_	-	-	-	-
7	5.25	37.500	37.500	\						
6	2.59	87.500	87.500		\					
2	neg control	97.500	97.500			\				
4	0.668	97.500	97.500				\			
3	0.259	98.750	98.750					\		
5	1.34	98.750	98.750						\	
1	solvent control	100.000	100.000							\

survival d32

File: 4802s2 Transform: NO TRANSFORM

KRUSKAL-WALLIS	ANOVA	ΒY	RANKS	-	TABLE	1	OF	2
----------------	-------	----	-------	---	-------	---	----	---

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1	solvent control	100.000	100.000	21.000
			_	

Page 16 of 18

PMRA Subn	nission Number {}		EPA MRI	D Number 441848-02
2	neg control	100.000	100.000	21.000
3	0.259	96.650	96.650	16.500
4	0.668	100.000	100.000	21.000
5	1.34	90.000	90.000	14.000
6	2.59	83.350	83.350	8.500
7	5.25	0.000	0.000	3.000

Calculated H Value = 10.481 Critical H Value Table = 12.590 Since Calc H < Crit H FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

survival d32

File: 4802s2 Transform: NO TRANSFORM

DUNNS MULTIPLE COMPARISON - KRUSKAL-WALLIS - TABLE 2 OF 2

wet weight

File: 4802w Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

### KRUSKAL-WALLIS ANOVA BY RANKS - TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1 2 3 4 5	GRPS 1&2 POOLED 0.259 0.668 1.34 2.59 5.25	257.750 265.000 261.000 253.000 271.000 0.000	257.750 265.000 261.000 253.000 271.000	33.500 19.500 16.000 9.000 24.000 3.000

Calculated H Value = 8.276 Critical H Value Table = 11.070 Since Calc H < Crit H FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 441848-02

wet weight

File: 4802w Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNS MULTIPLE	COMPARISON -	KRUSKAL-WALLIS	-	TABLE 2 OF 2
----------------	--------------	----------------	---	--------------

			GROUP		
		TRANSFORMED	ORIGINAL	0 0 0 0 0	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	MEAN	6 4 1 3 2 5	
6	5.25	0.000	0.000	\	
4	1.34	253.000	253.000	. \	
1	GRPS 1&2 POOLED	257.750	257.750	\	
3	0.668	261.000	261.000	\	
2	0.259	265.000	265.000	\	
5	2.59	271.000	271.000	\	

<sup>\* =</sup> significant difference (p=0.05) . = no significant difference Table q value (0.05,6) = 2.936 Unequal reps - multiple SE values Table q value (0.05,6) = 2.936