US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

431

NOV 28 1989

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

SUBJECT: Screen of an Ametryn Chronic Feeding Study in Rats.

EPA No. 80801-04

Record No. 253683, 253808

Project No. 0-0056

Tox. Chem. No. 431

TO:

Thomas Luminello, PM #50

Registration Division (H7505C)

FROM:

John E. Whalan, D.A.B.T., Toxicologist

Section 1, Toxicology Branch I (IRS)

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

THROUGH:

Roger L. Gardner, Acting Section Head

Section 1, Toxicology Branch I (IRS)

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

121.4.

Ametryn was recently selected to be a pilot chemical in the FIFRA 88 review process. A Chronic Feeding/Oncogenicity Study in Rats (Ciba Geigy, Project No. 842119, August 24, 1987) was screened for acceptability for review at that time. The study was found to be unacceptable by HED Acceptance Criteria.

The report did not mention the purity of the test article. There were three dose groups. The dose level for the high-dose group was reduced twice (from 5000 ppm to 4000 ppm to 2000 ppm) because of severe weight changes. This matter will require further scrutiny. Survival to termination (2 years) was low in all groups, but especially in the controls (43%). Creatinine was not measured. This parameter is not strictly required, and BUN was measured in its place. This study received Quality Assurance review.

Because of these inadequacies, the Registrant should be required to initiate a new study unless these issues can be resolved.

## REFERENCE DOSES (RFDs) FOR ORAL EXPOSURE

Chemical: Ametryn			CAS #: 834-12-8 Caswell #: 431		
Carcinogenicity: No da	ta available				
Systemic Toxicity: See	below.				
Preparation Date: 12/0	3/86				
Endpoint	Experimental Doses	UF	MF	R£D	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Roulet et al. (1961)	8.6 mg/kg/day* NOEL	1000		0.009 mg/kg/day	
Rat Subchronic Oral Bioassay	86 mg/kg/day* LEL				
liver toxicity					
*10 mg/kg/day adjusted	because chemical was fe	ed 6 of 7 d	ays	•	
Endpoint and Experiment	tal Doses:				
Roulet et al. Toxicology of Ametryn Ciba-Giegy; 1961					
is associated with fatt	level is a rat subchror ty degeneration of the l ed with 12 males and 12	liver. The	chosen C	BI study appears to	
				•	
	•••••		******	•••••	

Uncertainty Factors (UFs):
An uncertainty factor of 1000 was used to account for the inter- and intraspecies differences, and to account for the subchronic duration of the critical study.
Modifying Factors (MFs):
None

## Additional Comments:

Although the rat teratology study had a NOEL of 5 mg/kg/day it was not used to establish the RfD for the following reasons. (1) The exposure in the teratology study was by gavage, thus exaggerating exposure, (2) the NOEL of the rat study used to establish the RfD is not significantly different from the NOEL in the teratology study and (3) the effects noted at the 50 mg/kg/day in the teratology study are minimal and of marginal biological significance.

Data Considered for Establishing the RfD

- 1) 90-Day Feeding Rat (NOEL = 8.6 mg/kg; LEL = 86 mg/kg (liver toxicity; doses are adjusted since feeding regium; CBI)
- 2) 4-Week Feeding (Range Finding) Rat (NOEL = 100 mg/kg; LEL = 250 mg/kg; decrease in body weight and bloody nasal secretion; CBI)
- 3) 90-Day Feeding Dog (Systemic NOEL = 25 mg/kg; IBT study)
- 4) Reproduction Rabbit (NOEL = 10 mg/kg; LEL = 100 mg/kg; body weight loss; CBI)
- 5) Teratology Rat (Maternal and Fetotoxic NOEL = 5 mg/kg; Maternal and Fetotoxic LEL = 50 mg/kg; reduction in food consumption and body weight, hypoactivity, elevated forepaw metacarpals not-ossified, and increase in salivation and ptosis; CBI)
- 6) Teratology Rabbit (Maternal NOEL = 10 mg/kg; Fetotoxic NOEL = 60 mg/kg [HDT]; Maternal LEL = 60 mg/kg; weight loss (gestational days 7-10); CBI)

## Data Gap(s)

- 1) Chronic Dog Feeding Study
- 2) Chronic Rat Feeding Study
- 3) Rat Reproduction Study Note: CIBA Geigy is currently conducting a rat reproduction study (see attached document 7/22/85)

••••••	
Other Data Considered	
*************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Confidence in the RfD:	
Study: Medium Data	a Base: Low RfD: Low
The confidence in the RfD is low due	quality and is given a medium confidence rating. e to the fact that the data base on chronic toxicit st sensitive toxicological endpoint can not be
•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Documentation of RfD and Review:	
Registration Files	
******************************	
Agency RfD Review:	U.S. EPA Contact:
First Review: 12/16/87 Second Review: 2/18/87	Primary: Reto Engler FTS 557-7491
Verification Date: 2/18/87	Secondary: George Ghali FTS 557-7490