US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

FEB 1 0 1988

OFFICE OF
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMO RAN DUM

SUBJECT: DEET: Review of Three 90-Day Toxicity Studies

Caswell No.: 346 Record No.: 206238

TO: Joseph Tavano

Product Manager

Registration Division (TS-767c)

FROM:

Whang Phang, Ph.D.

Pharamcologist

Toxicology Branch / HED (TS-769c)

5/5/88

THROUGH:

Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D.

Head, Section III

and

Theodore M. Farber, Ph.D.

Branch Chief

Toxicology Branch / HED (TS-769c)

M. nau Sement 2/5/88

Chemical Specialties Manufacturers Association submitted the final reports of a 90-day oral dose range finding study in rats, a 90-day dermal toxicity study in rats, and a 90-day oral dose range finding study in mice. These studies have been reviewed, and the data evaluation reports are attached. The conclusion of each study is summarized in the following:

I. 90-Day Dermal Toxicity Study in Rats

When groups of rats (15/sex/dose) were dermally applied DEET at dose level of 0, 100, 300, and 1000 mg/kg; the following effects were observed:

- Increased incidence of acanthosis and hyperkeratosis on the dermal application sites of all compound-treated rats.
- 2. Decreased body weights of high dose males.
- 3. Increased absolute and relative kidney weights (kidney/body & kidney/brain) in mid and high dose males and in relative kidney weights in high dose females.
- 4. Increased incidence of renal lesions which included granular casts, inflammation, tubular regeneration, hyaline droplets in all treated males; marginal renal effect in high dose females.

A Land

The study has been well conducted, and the report is well written However, based on the renal lesions in all treated males and dermal toxicity in all treated rats, a NOEL can not be established. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

II. 90-Day Oral Dose Range Finding Study in Rats

When groups of rats (15/sex/dose) were fed DEET at dietary concentrations of 0, 100, 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 mg/kg, the following effects were observed:

- 1. Decreased food consumption and body weight and also deaths in 4,000 mg/kg animals. All the animals in this dose level were sacrificed at week 13.
- 2. Decreased body weight and food consumption in all treated males and females in 500 mg/kg and above.
- 3. Increased absolute kidney weight in 500 mg/kg males and increased absolute and relative liver weights in all treated groups except 100 mg/kg females.
- 4. Increased incidence of renal lesions which included granular casts, inflammation, regeneration, and hyaline droplets in all treated males.

The study has been well conducted, and the report is well written However, based on the renal lesions in all treated males and liver weights in all treated rats, a NOEL can not be established. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

III. 90-Day Oral Dose Range Finding Study in Mice

When groups of CR CD-1 mice (15/sex/dose) were fed DEET at dietary concentrations of 300, 1000, 3000, 6000, and 10000 mg/kg for 13 weeks, the following effects were observed:

- Markedly decreased food intake and body weight and death during the first week of the study in 6000 and 10000 mg/kg mice which were removed from the study at week 3.
- 2. Decreased body weights in 3000 mg/kg males and females.
- 3. Increased absolute and relative liver weights in 1000 and 3000 mg/kg mice and relative liver weights in 300 mg/kg females.
- 4. Increased incidence of liver hypertrophy in 3000 mg/kg males and females and in 1000 mg/kg females.

The study has been well conducted, and the report is well written. However, based on liver weight increase in all treated females, a NOEL can not be established. In addition, this study was a dose range finding study. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

DISCUSSION

The crucial point in these study is the renal lesions which were found in all treated male rats of the 90-day dermal and oral toxicity studies. The DEET Steering Committee of CSMA believes that this finding is unique to male rats because similar renal lesions have been reported in male rats which were treated with other xenobiotics. The cause of these renal lesions has been reported to be mediated through stimulation of the synthesis of alpha 2u-globulin by the liver. The synthesis of this protein was thought to be under androgenic control. Therefore, the DEET Steering Committee has offered to conduct additional studies to show that the renal lesions observed in male rats are sex and species specific. The proposed studies are 90-day dermal toxicity studies in miniature swine and castrated male rats and a 90-day oral toxicity study in hamsters.

A discussion was held between Dr. Marcia van Gemert, Section Head and this reviewer. The results of the three 90-day toxicity studies and other alternative studies were considered. It was decided that the proposed studies might provide evidence to demonstrate whether or not the compound-related renal lesions were sex and species specific, and they should be conducted. However, if the data were inadequate to support the conclusion that the renal lesions were sex and species specific, further work in the rats at dosage levels below those used in the recent rat dermal toxicity study ought to be conducted.

In addition, the registrant is encouraged to discuss with Toxicology Branch about the dosage selection, specifically maximum tolerated dose, for chronic/oncogenicity studies on rats/mice after satisfactorily completing the proposed studies.

Reviewed by: Whang Phang, Ph.D. Who for 1/27/88 Section III, Tox. Branch (TS-769C). Secondary reviewer: Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D. M. waufunet 2/3/88 Section III, Tox. Branch (TS-769C)

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

STUDY TYPE: 90-Day Dermal Toxicity Study-Rats

CHEMICAL: DEET (N, N-diethyl-m-toluamide)

RECORD NUMBER: 206238 CASWELL NO.: 346

MRID No.: 40241702 EPA ID No.: 51147-1

SPONSOR: DEET Joint Venture/Chemical Specialties Manufacturers

Association, Washington, DC.

TESTING FACILITY: International Research & Development Corp., Mattawan, Michigan.

CITATION: Johnson, D.E. Evaluation of DEET in 90-Day Subchronic Dermal Toxicity Study in Rats. International Research and Development Corp., Project No.: IRDC 555-003 (June 5, 1987). Submitted by DEET Joint Venture/Chemical Specialties Manufacturers Association. EPA MRID No.: 40241702.

CONCLUSIONS:

When groups of rats (15/sex/dose) were dermally applied DEET at dose level of 0, 100, 300, and 1,000 mg/kg; the following effects were observed:

- Increased incidence of acanthosis and hyperkeratosis on the dermal application sites of all compound-treated rats.
- 2. Decreased body weights of high dose males.
- 3. Increased absolute and relative kidney weights (kidney/body & kidney/brain) in mid and high dose males and in relative kidney weights in high dose females.
- 4. Increased incidence of renal lesions which included granular casts, inflammation, tubular regeneration, hyaline droplets in all treated males; marginal renal effect in high dose females.

The study has been well conducted, and the report is well written However, based on the renal lesions in all treated males and dermal toxicity in all treated rats, a NOEL can not be established. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

A. MATERIALS:

- 1. <u>Test compound</u>: The details of N,N-diethyl-m-toluamide is presented in Appendix 1.
- 2. <u>Test animals</u>: 5-weeks old Charles Rivers CD rats were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Portage, Michigan. The average weights were 280 gm for males; 180 gm, females.

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Animal assignment

Groups of rats (10/sex) were randomly selected for pretest health screen which included clinical pathology examinations, viral serology testing, and gross necropsy. Healthy animals were then assigned randomly to the following test groups:

Test Group	Dose in diet (mg/kg)	90-day dermal male	toxicity study female
1 Cont.	0	15	15
2 Low (LDT)	100	15	15
3 Mid (MDT)	300	15	15
4 High (HDT)	1000	15	15/

Compound Administration

Approximately 24 hrs prior to study initiation, the hair on dorsal aspect of rats was clipped from the nape of the neck to the base of the tail. During the study, this process was was carried out on the last day of each week. The compound was dermally applied to the shaved area at volumes of 0.1, 0.3, and 1.0 ml/kg corresponding to the dose levels of 100, 300, and 1,000 mg/kg, respectively. For the controls, tap water at 1.0 ml/kg was applied.

It should be noted that the highest dose (1000 mg/kg) represented the maximum amount of the test compound which could be applied without significant runoff.

3. Animals received food and water ad libitum.

TABLE 1. (Dala TAKEN FROM Submission) MALES: Summary of CI

90-Day DERMAL TOXICITY Study-RATS (DEET)
MALES: Summary of Clinical Findings
Total Incidence (\$ Affected)

Contraction of the Contraction	1 90 /			
Study Interval (Weeks)	0 mg/kg (Control)	100 mg/kg	XOO mg/kg	1000 mg/kg
7-14	0	13 (87)	14 (93)	17 (20)
<u>-</u>	0	2 (13)	3 (20)	9 (8)
1-14	0	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)
T-14//	0	2 (13)	0	o .
	0	1 (7)	Ó ·	o (
7.	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)	. (<u>7</u>
<u>-</u>	0	1 (7)	3 (20)	1 (7)
-1	3 (20)	1 (7)	2 (13)	4 (27)
1-14	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)	1 (7)
1-14	0	0	o	1 (7)
7-14	0	0	1 (7)	0
	0	0	1 (7)	0
1-14	0	1 (7)	2 (13)	0
1-14	0	1 (7)	0	0
) -	0	2 (13)	0	0
1-14	0	1 (7)	0	0
1-14	0	2 (13)	. 1 (7)	0
1-14	1 (7)	4 (27)	2 (13)	4 (27)
1-14	0	0	0	1 (7)
		(Cont	0 mg/kg 0 control) 100 0 0 1 (7) 1 (7) 0 0 1 (7) 1 (7) 1 (7)	0 mg/kg 0 mg/kg 0 13 (87) 14 0 2 (13) 14 0 2 (13) 14 0 2 (13) 14 0 1 (7) 1 (7) 1 1 (7) 1 (7) 1 0 0 0 1 (7) 1 1 (7) 1 (7) 1 0 0 0

INDC

100

TABLE 1. Cont.

555-003

^eNumber of enimals affected during interval bPercent of animals affected based on survival at start of interval indicated

Study				
interval (Weeks)	0 mg/kg (Control)	100 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	1000 mg/kg
	o .			
• .		11 (13)	15 (8/)	14 (93)
	1 (7)	7 (47)	11 (73)	8 (53)
7.7	2 (13)	0	0	0
Į	1 (7)	0	5 (33)	4 (27)
-	0	0	2 (13)	0
7-14	•	0	2 (13)	0
<u>-</u>	0	0	1 (7)	0
1-14	1 (7)	0	1 (7)	0
	1 (7)	O		o (
1-14	1 (7)	O	o	.
7	1 (7)	o	1 7 7)	>
7	0	0	1 (7)	.
7	0	ō	1 (7)	,
	0	0	1 (7)	5 (
	0	o		
1-14	0	o (> (
1-14	oʻ	o ,	2 (14)	
	Ο.	o ` '	9 1	o
	Study Interval (Wocks) 1-14 1-14 1-14 1-14 1-14 1-14 1-14 1-14 1-14		0 mg/kg (Control) 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	O mg/kg (Control) 100 mg/kg XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

<u>ا</u> پېر

- 4. Statistics The statistical methods used in this study are presented in Appendix 2.
- 5. Quality assurance was performed.

C. METHODS AND RESULTS:

1. Observations

Animals were inspected twice daily for signs of dermal toxicity and "pharmacotoxicity".

Mortality: Only one male in 100 mg/kg group died prior to the termination of the study. The cause of death was not compound related.

"Pharmacotoxic" signs: The summary of clinical observations are presented in Table 1. Increased incidences of red and scabbed areas on the application site were observed in the treated rats of both sexes relative to the controls. No neurotoxic signs were reported in any test animals.

2. Body weight

Animals were weighed weekly. The summary of mean body weights at week 13 and mean body weight changes is presented in Table 2. Both high dose males and females showed weight loss relative to the control, and the loss in high dose males was statistically significant (Table 3).

TABLE 2

Mean Body Weight and Mean Body Weight Change

		Male	Fema	le .
Dose	Week 13	Week -1 to 13	Week 13	Week -1 to 13
Levels mg/kg	Mean Body Wt.; gm	Mean Body Wt. Change; gm	Mean Body Wt.; gm	Mean Body Wt. Change; gm
0(cont)	534	244	3 1 2	99
100	509 (-4.7)	221 (-9.4)	310 (-0.6)	98 (-1.0)
300	509 (-4.7)	225 (-7.8)	311 (-0.3)	96 (-3.0)
1000	484 (-9.4)	201 (-17.6)	304 (-2.6)	87 (-12.1)

^{():} Percent difference from control.

S.D. - Standard Deviation
N - Number of Animals

Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.05

2Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

TABLE 3 (Data TAKEN FROM Submission)

	WEEK			70	notes: Summary of body weight values	or body we	iduc Agir	ies					
	유	0 HG/	O MG/KG (CONTROL)		-	100 MG/KG		د ع	300 MG/KG		10	000 MG/KG	
Parameters Measured SIUDY	STUDY	HEAN	S.D.	Z	MEAN	S.D.	z	MEAN	\$.0.	z	неан	5.0.	2
Body Weight	-2	272	14.1	5	271	14.0	5	269	13.6	ij.	266	13.7	5
grams	_	290	14.2	55	288	15.3	5	284	13. 60	5	283	14.9	5 ;
		330	17.3	5	325	18.8	15	320	16.0	5	313	6	<u>ن</u> ج
	2	364	17.4	15	356	19.1	15	348	19.9	15	3421	20.8	<u></u>
	u	392	19.7	15	370	49.8	15	377	21.4	5	369 ²	22.4	5
	ھ	421	23.4	15	406	25.7	14	402	25.2	15	3931	24.5	5
•	5	439	27.5	15	425	31.8	¥	422	28.4	5	412	27.5	5
•	6	460	26.5	15	442	32.1	=	443	27.8	15	4291	26.3	5
	7	479	29.3	15	461	33.1	14	459	30.5	15	4432.	31.4	15
	ය	491	30.2	15	476	34.8	14	472	30.8	15	4522	40.9	15
	9	508	31.3	15	489	31.8	- 4	485	33.8	5	4702	33,4	5
•	10	519	33.1	15	501	32.0	14	495	33.2	15	4802	33.5]5
	_	534	34.1	5	515	33.4	14	509	36.1	5	4912	35.8	15
	12	541	36.4	15	522	36,9	=	516	37.7	5	501	39.4	35
	ដ	534	37.6	15	509	33.4	1	509	38.0	15	4842	42.6	5

S.D. - Standard Deviation

*No statistical significance observed

N - Number of Animals

TABLE 3 (Cont'd) (Data TAKEN FROM Submission

1
1
1
i
1
1
1
Females: S
lo.
13
تة
emales:
100
100
1
100
le
13
13
12
nary
1
10
1=
i do
ğ
2
E
0
12
10
ight !
14.4
9
lues
=
13
122
1 -
1
1
1
1
1
1

	OF EEK	O MG/KG	G (CONTROL))	10	00 MG/KG		i O	O MG/KG		. 10	00 MG/KG	
Parameters Measured STUDY	STUDY	HEAN	S.D.	×	MEAN	5.D.\\	z	HEAN	5.0.	=	HEAN	S.D.	×
Body Weight	-2	201	9.4	15	202	10.2	15	205	့ 6	15	205	و. د.	5
grams	_	213	9.8	15	212	12.7	5	215	11.0	15	217	8.7	5
		230	12.5	15	230	15.2	5	234	9.4	5	228	10.9	5
••	2	247	14.6	15	249	16.3	15	249	16.0	5	248	17.8	5
	u	258	16.1	15	258	17.8	15	258	12.7	15	259	16.2	15
	خد	270	17.7	15	270	19.9	5	271	14.1	15	270	18.5	5
	Ġι	275	19.5	15	274	19.7	15	278	17.3	15	275	17.1	5
	6	286	22.2	15	285	21.9	15	286	17.7	15	284	21.4	15
•	7	298	20.4	15	295	22.7	15	294	14.4	15	293	20.1	15
	8	303	22.3	15	299	22.0	5	301	15.4	15	299	21.6	35
	9	305	21.8	15	306	23.8	15	309	16.0	15	303	21.4	5
	10	309	22.9	15	309	25.0	15	307	20.0	15	305	21.8	15
		317	20.8	15	313	23.8	15	312	15.8	15	310	25.3	15
	12	320	21.3	15	317	24.0	15	314	16.2	15	311	21.9	15
	15	312	23.6	15	310	24.6	15	311	18.8	15	304	23.3	15
555-003													
555-003													

IEDC

*

3. Food consumption

Food consumption was determined, and the results calculated as gm/kg/day were presented in Table 4. Both high dose males and females showed significant increase in food consumption relative to the controls from approximately week 8 to the termination of the study.

4. Ophthalmelogical examinations

Ophthalmalogical examinations were conducted at pretest period and at week 13 on all rats; no compound-related effects were reported.

5. Blood was collected before treatment from 10 animals/sex and at week 13 from all the animal on study for hematology and clinical analysis. The CHECKED (X) parameters were examined.

a. Hematology

X		x	
x	Hematocrit (HCT)*	x	Leukocyte differential count*
	Hemoglobin (HGB)*		Mean corpuscular HGB (MCH)
x	Leukocyte count (WBC)*		Mean corpuscular HGB conc.(MCHC)
	Erythrocyte count (RBC)*		Mean corpuscular volume (MCV)
x	Platelet count*		Reticulocyte count
1 1	Blood Clotting Measurements		
1 1	(Thromboplastin time)		
	(Clotting time)		
11	(Prothrombin time)		

^{*} Required for subchronic and chronic studies

Although there were changes in MCHC in high dose females and in MCH in low dose males relative to the controls, these changes were not marked as indicated below and were not considered biologically significant.

MCV (microns ³)	62 ± 2.4	64 + 1.8*
	Controls	Low Dose Males
MCHC (g/dl)	32.6+0.44	32.2 <u>+</u> 0.36*
	Controls	High Dose Females

^{*} Statistically significant at p < 0.05

S.D. - Standard Deviation

 1 Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.05

2Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

10.75

N - Number of Animals

TABLE 4 (DATA TAKEN Franciscion)

<u>-</u>-

Summary of Food Consumption Values

NEEK OF	0 MG/KG	(CONTROL)		10	O MG/KG			O MOVED		10	מס עם ארי	
STUDY	HEAN	s.o.			S.O.	z		\$ D	2		טא /אט	=
Males:						:	210,000	3,0,	3	TCAN	3.0.	=
	87.1	3.58	15	86.5	3.79	5	87.6	2.79	5	85.7	- 5 S	7
2	80.4	2.80	15	79.6	4.60	15	80.9	3.09	5	81.3	3.50	5
w	77.1	3.50	15	72.6	14.47	15	77.0	3.27	15	78.0	4.03	5
جد	74.1	3.48	=	73.3	3.17	<u>=</u>	73.5	2.61	5	75.1	3.17	5
55	66.1	3.40	15	67.3	2.96	Ξ.	67.6	3.07	5	67.9	2.32	5 ;
Ó	64.4	3.78	14	67.2	3.34	ដ	68.21	3.64	15	69.82	2.93	5
7	63.3	3.99	15	65.2	3.48	=	65.2	3.13	15	66.2	4.62	—
α	61.5	3.56	15	63.5	4.82	=	65,31	3.76	5	65.81	4.76	示 :
φ.	60.2	3,42	15	61,2	7.71	=	61.4	3.60	5	65.52	5.06	5
6	57.6	3.76	15	59.2	3.90	ī	59.8	4,25	5	61.92	3,26	<u>.</u>
-	53.6	3.72	15	54.5	3.04	<u></u>	55.5	3.45	5	57.32	2.58	5
12	52.0	2.76	15	54.3	3,36	ī	54.7	3.47	5	56.8 ²	3. <u>19</u>	5
13	47.6	3.35	15	48.3	3.75.	7	49.8	3,66	15	51.31	3.28	5
Femajes												
	94.2	4.25	15	97.0	7.21	15	92.9	5.02	15	95.4	6.75	3
2	90.7	4.42	15	92.9	6.93	15	91.2	4.87	15	95.8	5.68	15
Ċ	89.4	4.92	15	89.2	7.26	15	89.1	4.75	15	92.0	4.14	5
ھ.	85.7	5.88	15	86.5	6.23	15	85,6	3.69	15	87.9	4.34	15
cń	79.4	3.84	15	82.7	6,68	15	79.2	4.24	3	83.4	7.27	15
o.	80.5	5.25	55	81.8	6.71	5	80.0	4.11	5	85.8 ¹	4.05	15
7	77.7	4.98	15	80.0	6.12	15	79.9	3.80	15	82.7	5.15	15
8	76.3	4.23	15	77.9	5.01	15	76.4	3.03	15	80.91	4.63	15
9	74.6	4.55	15	75.8	4.61	15	76.2	5.76	15	81.12	4.46	15
10	72.2	3.98	15	74.0	6.12	15	70.7	3.35	15	77.32	3.50	15
11	64.8	4.08	15	67.9	5.66	15	67.6	3,29	15	73.82	4.63	15
	64.2	4.61	15	66.8	6.01	15	66.0	3.29	15	72.02	5,63	5
12				r) o	2	ñ	0	2	1	50.23	4 67	<u></u>
		Hales: HE HE Hales: 87 77 66 66 63 52 52 47 90 89 89 89 77 76 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	Hales: HE HE Hales: HE 57 74 66 63 52 52 47 90 89 89 89 77 76 76 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89	O MG/KG (CONTROL) HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. 13.58 80.4 2.80 77.1 3.40 66.1 3.40 66.1 3.40 66.2 3.42 57.6 3.76 53.6 3.72 52.0 2.76 47.6 3.35 Females: 94.2 4.25 90.7 4.42 80.5 5.25 77.7 4.98 76.3 4.23	O MG/KG (CONTROL) WEAN S.D. N MEAN Hales: 87.1 3.58 15 86.5 80.4 2.80 15 79.6 77.1 3.50 15 79.6 77.1 3.48 14 73.3 66.1 3.40 15 67.2 63.3 3.99 15 65.2 61.5 3.56 15 63.5 52.0 2.76 15 59.2 53.6 3.72 15 54.5 52.0 2.76 15 54.5 52.0 2.76 15 54.5 94.2 4.25 15 97.0 90.7 4.42 15 92.9 89.4 4.92 15 89.2 85.7 5.88 15 80.5 79.4 3.84 15 82.7 80.5 5.25 15 81.8 77.7 4.98 15 80.0 77.9 4.23 15 77.9	0 MG/KG (CONTROL) 100 MG HEAN S.D. N HEAN S 87.1 3.58 15 86.5 86.5 80.4 2.80 15 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.6 79.2 79.2 79.0 80.5 77.9 80.0 77.9 77.9 79.0 79.0 79.0 79.0	O HG/KG (CONTROL) 100 Hg/KG HEAN S.D. N HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. A.B. HEAN S.D. S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN	O HG/KG (CONTROL) 100 HG/KG HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN S.D. HEAN HEAN HEAN S.D. HEAN HEAN HEAN S.D. HEAN HEAN S.D. HEAN HEAN HEAN S.D. HEAN HEAN HEAN HEAN S.D. HEAN HEA	# HO HG/KG (CONTROL) Hales: ## HEAN ## HEAN	O HG/KG (CONTROL) 100 MG/KG 300 HG	D MG/KG (CONTROL) 100 MG/KG 100 MG/K	D. HOCKE (CONTROL) 100 M9/KG . 300 M6/KG . 300 M6/

13

b. Clinical Chemistry

X Electrolytes: Other: |x| Calcium* |x| Albumin* |x| Chloride* |x| Blood creatinine* | | Magnesium* |x| Blood urea nitrogen* |x| Phosphorous* | | Cholesterol* |x| Potassium* |x| Globulins |x| Sodium* |x| Glucose* |x| Total Bilirubin* Enzymes |x| Total Serum Protein* | | Alkaline phosphatase | | Triglycerides | | Cholinesterase# | | Creatinine phosphokinase** | | Serum protein electrophoresis | | Lactic acid dehydrogenase |x| Serum alanine aminotransferase (also SGPT)* |x| Serum aspartate aminotransferase (also SGOT)* | | gamma glutamyl transferase | | glutamate dehydrogenase

- * Required for subchronic and chronic studies
- # Should be required for OP
- · Not required for subchronic studies

Marginal increases in blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) was observed in mid and high dose males relative to the controls (control, 14.3 ± 2.09 ; mid dose, 16.3 ± 2.51 ; high dose, 16.3 ± 1.56). These increases in mid and high dose males were statistically significant (p < 0.05). There were also significant decreases in glucose in high dose males and in alanine aminotransferase in high dose females; these decreases were not biologically significant.

6. Sacrifice and Pathology

All animals that died and that were sacrificed on schedule were subject to gross pathological examination and the CHECKED (X) tissues were collected for histological examination. The (XX) organs in addition were weighed.

Digestive system Cardiovasc./Hemat. Neurologic Tonque |x| Aorta* |xx.Brain*t |x|.Salivary glands* |x|.Heart* |x| Periph. nerve*# |x| .Esophagus* |x | .Bone marrow* |x| Spinal cord (3 levels)*# |x|.Stomach* |x|.Lymph nodes* |x|.Pituitary* |x|.Duodenum* |x|.Spleen* |x| Eyes (optic n.)*# |x|.Jejunum* x . Thymus* Glandular |x|.Ileum* Urogenital 1xx.Adrenals* |x|.Cecum* xx.Kidneys*t | | Lacrimal gland# |x|.Colon* |x|.Urinary bladder* |x| Mammary gland*# |x|.Rectum* xx.Testes*t |x|.Parathyroids*tt |xx.Liver*t |x| Epididymides |x|.Thyroids*tt | | Gall bladder*# x Prostate Other |x|.Pancreas* | | Seminal vesicle |x| Bone*# Respiratory lxx Ovaries*t |x| Skeletal muscle*# |x|.Trachea* |x|.Uterus* x Skin*# |x|.Luna* |x| All gross lesions Nose and masses* Pharynxº Larynx°

- * Required for subchronic and chronic studies
- ° Required for chronic inhalation
- # In subchronic studies, examined only if indicated by signs of toxicity or target organ involvement
- † Organ weights required in subchronic and chronic studies
- tt Organ weight required for non-rodent studies

a. Organ weight

The summary data of organ weights are presented in Table 5. Absolute and relative kidney weights (kidney/body weight and kidney/brain weight) were increased in both high dose males and females. These increases were statistically significant except the absolute kidney weight of high dose females. Although absolute and relative kidney weights were increased in mid dose males, only the increase in kidney/body weight ratios showed statistical significance.

Absolute and relative liver weights (liver/body weight & liver/brain weight) were increased in all treated females, but statistical significance was seen only in mid and high dose females. Similarily, absolute and relative liver weights of high dose males were also significantly increased.

b. Gross pathology

The findings of gross pathology are presented in Table 6.

TABLE 5

.

(Data taken from the submission)

Parameters Heasured	DAY OF STUDY	NEAN O NG/K	O HG/KG (CONTROL)	z I	100 MG/KG	100 HG/KG			300 нс/кс		1 1	1000 MG/KG	
Body Weight g	90	533	37.0	15	- 1	33.4	=	4941	48.1	5 2	488 ¹	48.0	
Brain	90	2.04	0.104	15	2.02	0.089	=	10.2	0.088	15	2.00	0.104	15
Brain/Body Weight %x10	88	3.86	0.365	æ	3.97	0.284	x	Ė	0,530	2	4.14	0.404	3
Adrenal mg	90	66	10.2	5	71	10.1	\$	68	5	15	69	9.8	5
Adrenal/Body Weight Xx10 ³	98	12.5	7.01	5	11.8	2.15	#	13.9	1.89	55	H.,	2.19	15
Adrenal/Brain Weight	90	3.25	0.518	5	3,49	0.486	=	3.38	0.279	15	3,46	0.519	5 .
Kidney	90	4.27	0.457	55	1.49	0.633	ï	4.65	0.477	5	4.892	0.512	15
Kidney/Body Weight %x10	90	8.03	0.855	5	8.76	0.997	=	9,482	1.356	5	10.082	1.087	5
Kidney/Brain Weight \$x10 ⁻²	90	2.09	0.256	3	2.22	0.325	T	2,31	0.233	15	2.442	0,246	5
Liver	90	21.92	2.921	15	21.29	2.44	I	23.23	2.430	3	25.993	3.787	15
Liver/Body Weight	90	4.12	0.544	15	4.16	0.387	=	4.742	0.707	5	5.322	0.525	5
Liver/Urain Weight \$x10 ⁻²	90	10.74	1.459	15	10.54	1.262	Ħ	11.56	1.238	35	12.992	1.993	15
Testis g	90	3.55	0.415	15	3,59	100.0	:	3.47	0.211	5	3.63	0.339	35
Testis/Body Weight Xx10	90	6,68	0.893	15	7.03	0.510	7	7.10	0.929	5	7.49	0.904	15
Testis/Orain Weight	90	1.74	0.206	35	1.78	0.147	Ï.	1.73	0.138	5	1.81	0.165	<u>_</u>

S.D. - Standard Deviation
N - Number of Animals

²Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

76

TABLE 5 Cont'd (Data taken from submission)

•												-	
OF Parameters Heasured STUDY)Y	HEAN 0 HG/K	O HG/KG (CONTROL)	x	HEAH	100 HG/KG S.D.	z	HE AH	300 НG/KG S.D.	×	ICAN ICAN	1000 HG/XG	E .
Body Weight 90 9	Ū	<u></u>	28.3	15	1	23.8	(¥)	310	26.2	15	305	25.4	15
Brain 90 9		1.93	0.091	5	2.00	0.150 15	5	2.00	0.128	Ħ	1.91	0.096	15
Brain/Body Weight 90 %x10		6.18	0.503	25	6.54	0.657	5	6.49	0.627	15	6.31	0.517	- 55
Adrenal 90	-	©	9.1	5	09	17.0	5	86	12.2	5	90	13.7	15
Adrenal/Body Weight 90 \$x10 ³		27.0	3.40	55	29.1	6.13	5	28.0	4,35	.	79.6	5.02	<u> </u>
Adrenal/Brain Weight 90		4.38	0.483	35	÷	0.717	5	4.34	0.676	15	4.70	0.725	5
Kidney 90 9		2.70	0.269		2.06	0.279	5	2.87	0.389	5	3.02	0.317	15
Kidney/Body Weight 90 \$x10		8.64	0.983	55	9,34	0.890	5	9.30	1.301	15	9,922	0.826	5
Kidney/Brain Veight 90 \$x10 ⁻³		1.40	0.132	15	1.40	0.136	2	1.44	0.170	15	1.582	0.179	5
Liver 90		12.62	1.725	55	14.14	1.750	5	14.451	1.793	35	17.143	2.693	15
Liver/Body Weight 90		4.04	0.542	15	4.601	0.366	15	4.672	0.494	35	5.612	0.622	5
Liver/Brain Weight 90 %×10 ⁻³		6.54	0.821	15	7.11	0.900	25	7.221	0.779	15	8.992	1.511	5
Ovary 90		151	25.7	15	159	26.9	3	155	20.7	15	157	16.1	15
Ovary/Body Weight 90 %x10 ²		4.83	0.797	56 -	5.21	0.881	15	5.05	0.894	15	5.19	0.643	15
Ovary/Brain Weight 90	-	7.85	1.426	15	8.01	1.313	15	7.76	1.089	15	8.26	1.012	5

S.D. - Standard Deviation N - Number of Animals

isignificantly different from the Control group; p<0.05 ignificantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

1

TABLE 6

INCIDENCE OF MACROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS Deaths and Unscheduled Sacrifices, 0 to Termination, Terminal Sacrifice

HIE		O mg	∤kg Irol)	100	ng/kg	300 a	ng/kg	1000	mg/kg
- Observation		DOS	TS	005	TS	005	TS	DOS	TS
UHBER OF ANIMALS EXAMINED		0	15	1	14	0	15	0	15
UMBER VITHIN NORMAL LIMITS		0	13	0	7	0	t	0	0
HULES									
(IDMEY - Hottled, bilateral, mild - Focus, depressed, mild - Hydronephrosis, unilateral,	- alld		1		.1 1		J		2
- Enlarged, bileteral, mild	- moderate	-	-		- 2		4		6
- Palo, bilatoraj, mild	- mild						1		1
<u>.IYER</u> — Enlarged, generalized, mild									2
KIN, EYEL10 - Hair thinned, unitatoral, mild							1		
CIN, TREATED									
- Within normal limits - Thick,	- trece - mild		15	1	10		.4 1 1		
- Subcutis, white streaks, mild - Scale, diffuse/focal,	- trace - mild		•		4		2 2 9		15
FEMALES									
HUNDER OF ANIMALS EXAMINED			15		<u>/</u> /15		15		
HUMBER WITHIN NORMAL LIMITS			13		10		2		15 2
EYE Lens, cloudy, unitatoral, severa Rupture, unitatoral, png Cornea, cloudy, unitatoral, alld			1			······································	1		
KIDNEY — Hottled, bilateral, mild							•		
SKIN, EYELID - Abrasion, mild	•						i		1
SKIN, TREATED			•						
- Vithin normal limits - Scale, diffuse, focal, mild - Crust, multifocal, mild			15		11		.2 10 2		2 13

005 - Deaths and Unscheduled Secrifices
TS - Terminal Secrifice

pmg - Present, no grade NOS - Not otherwise specified

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)
90-Day Dermol Toxicity Study-RATS

In all treated males there was an increased incidence of enlarged kidney relative to the controls, and it was dose-and compound-related. Pale and granular kidneys were also observed in mid and high dose males.

In the compound-treated skin of all test males and females, increased incidence of dermal scaling was observed and was dose- and compound-related. Other findings were not considered to be treatment-related.

c. Microscopic pathology

The increased incidence of microscopic findings relative to the controls are presented in Table 7.

In all dosed males, increased incidence of histopathology findings in the kidneys, liver, and treated skin were observed, and these findings were graded trace to mild. The kidney lesions included granular casts, inflammation, regeneration, and hyaline droplets which are summarized in Table 8.

Table 8

Summary of Significant Microscopic Renal Findings
in Deet Treated Rats

Dose (mg/kg)	0	100	300	1000
<u>Males</u>				
Granular casts	0/15	8/15	9/15	10/15
Inflammaton	6/15	14/15	15/15	15/15
Regeneration	3/15	14/15	15/15	15/15
Hyaline droplets	0/15	11/15	13/15	14/15
_Females				
Hyaline cast	0/15	1/15	0/15	3/15
Inflammation	0/15	1/15	0/15	4/15

The increased incidences of renal cast and inflammation in high dose females were less in number and in severity than those seen in males; nevertheless, the incidence was more than that seen in the concurrent controls. It should be emphasized that the presence of hyaline in renal tubules was observed in both sexes of the treated animals.

In all treated male rats a slight increase in the incidence of liver change which was characterized by vacuolar change was

TABLE 7 .

Incidence of Microscopic Observations O to Termination: Rats

0

15

0

0

(15)

0

0

3

(15)

0

Ω

2

13

4

0

4

0

(15)

2

1

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission; MRED NO. 40241702) TISSUE 0 mg/kg 100 300 OBSERVATION (Control) mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg Male Kidney (15)(15) (15)(15)Cast, granular 0 8 9 10 -trace 0 6 4 -mild Hydronephrosis, mild 3 6 0 1 0 Inflammation. 14 15 15 -trace 2 6 -mild 12 9 14 Mineralization, trace 0 ō Within normal limits 0 0 Regeneration. 14 15 15 -trace 3 5 -mild Ô 10 Hyaline droplets+ 0 11 13 14 Liver (15)(15)(15) Hypertrophy, mild Within normal limits (15)O 0 0 15 11 Vacuolar change, trace 13 a 2 4 2 Skin, Eyelid (0) (0) Inflammation, mild (1) (0) 0 Skin, Treated (15)(15)Acanthosis. (15) (15) 12 5 7 15 15 -trace 0

Parakeratosis, trace 15 15 13 0 Female Kidney (15) (15) (15) (15) Cast, hyaline, 0 3 -trace 0 0 2 -mild Ö 0 Inflammation, trace 0 0 4 Mineralization, trace Within normal limits 0 1 15 1.3 <u>Liver</u> Within normal limits (15)(15)(15) (15)

-m11d

-trace

-mild

0

0

0

(15)

0

0

15

(15)

0

15

(15)

0

15

(15)

0

15 15 15 15 Skin, Treated (15) (15) (15)(15)Acanthosis, n 13 15 15 -trace Ò 6 2 -mild 0 7 13 14 Eroston. n 0 -trace 0 0 0 -mild 0 n 0 Exudate. 0 0 0 0 -trace 2 0 -mild 0 Hyperkeratosis, 0 4 0 -trace O 3 0 -mild 0 0 Inflammation, trace Within normal limits 0 0 0 15 0 0 Skin, Untrested

Acanthosis, mild

Within normal limits

Hyperkeratosis.

Acanthosis, mild

Erosion, trace

Skin, Untreated

Within normal limits

Within normal limits

(15)

14

⁵⁵⁵⁻⁰⁰³

CODE: () = NUMBER OF ANIMALS EXAMINED

found (Table 7), but this change was not observed in females.

In the treated skin of both male and females of all dose levels, increased incidences of acanthosis and/or hyperkeratosis were found. Acanthosis of the skin was also observed in the untreated skin site of a male and a female in 1000 mg/kg groups. The dermal findings were compound-related.

There were other sporadic histopathological findings in different tissues, but they were not considered to be compoundrelated.

DISCUSSION

When groups of rats (15/sex/dose) were dermally applied DEET at dose level of 0, 100, 300, and 1,000 mg/kg; increased incidences of acanthosis and hyperkeratosis were observed on the application sites of all DEET treated animals.

Body weights of all high dose males were significantly decreased relative to the controls, but food consumption was comparable between treated and control animals.

Clinical chemistry revealed marginal increase in blood urea nitrogen in mid and high dose male rats, and this increase was statistically significant. In view of the histopathological findings in the kidneys of these animals, this slight increase was considered as compound-related.

Absolute and relative (kidney/body and kidney/brain) kidney weights were increased in mid and high dose males. In addition, statistically significant increases in relative kidney weights were observed in high dose females.

Absolute and relative liver weights (liver/body & liver/brain) were increased in all treated females and in mid and high dose males. However, liver histopathology findings did not indicate any adverse effects, and the "changes in liver weight were probably an adaptive response".

Both gross and histopathology data indicated kidney lesions in all treated males and marginal renal effects in high dose females. The histopathology findings in the kidneys of the treated males included granular casts, inflammation, regeneration, and hyaline droplets whereas in high dose female hyaline casts and inflammation were observed.

The renal cast observed in males was different from that of females. The author of the report believed that renal lesions in males were caused by alpha 2u-globulin which is produced in the liver of males only under the androgenic control. However, this does not explain the marginal effects on the kidney



observed in high dose females. In addition, no experimental data were reported to prove that the granular cast in male rats consisted of alpha 2u-globulin.

The study was has been well conducted, and the report is well written. However, based upon the findings of renal lesions on all treated males and dermal lesions on the application sites of all treated rats, a NOEL can not be established. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

Appendix 1

	e is not included in this copy.
Pag	es 2^{4} through 26 are not included in this copy.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
The	material not included contains the following type of ormation:
	Identity of product inert ingredients
	Identity of product impurities
	Description of the product manufacturing process
	Description of product quality control procedures
· · ·	Identity of the source of product ingredients
	Sales or other commercial/financial information
	A draft product label
·	The product confidential statement of formula
 -	Information about a pending registration action
χ.	FIFRA registration data
·	The document is a duplicate of page(s)
:	The document is not responsive to the request

Reviewed by: Whang Phang, Ph.D. Whang To 2/3/88 Section III, Tox. Branch (TS-769C).

Secondary reviewer: Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D. M. Wan Sement 2/3/88 Section III, Tox. Branch (TS-769C)

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

STUDY TYPE: 90-Day Oral Dose Range Finding Study in Rats

CHEMICAL: DEET (N, N-diethyl-m-toluamide)

RECORD NUMBER: 206238 CASWELL NO.: 346

MRID No.: 40241703 EPA ID No.: 51147-1

SPONSOR: DEET Joint Venture/Chemical Specialties Manufacturers

Association, Washington, DC.

TESTING FACILITY: International Research & Development Corp.,

Mattawan, Michigan.

CITATION: Johnson, D.E. Evaluation of DEET in a 90-Day Oral Dose Range Finding Study in Rats. International Research and Development Corp., Project No.: IRDC 555-001 (June 1, 1987). Submitted by DEET Joint Venture/Chemical Specialties Manufacturers Association. EPA MRID No.: 40241703.

CONCLUSIONS: When groups of rats (15/sex/dose) were fed DEET at dietary concentrations of 0, 100, 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 mg/kg, the The following effects were observed:

- Decreased food consumption and body weight and also deaths in 4,000 mg/kg animals. All the animals in this dose level were sacrificed at week 13.
- 2. Decreased body weight and food consumption in all treated males and females in 500 mg/kg and above.
- 3. Increased absolute kidney weight in 500 mg/kg males and increased absolute and relative liver weights in all treated groups except 100 mg/kg females.
- 4. Increased incidence of renal lesions which included granular casts, inflammation, regeneration, and hyaline droplets in all treated males.

The study has been well conducted, and the report is well written However, based on the renal lesions in all treated males and liver weights in all treated rats, a NOEL can not be established. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

A. MATERIALS:

- 1. Test Compound is 98.3% pure technical N, N-diethyl-m-tolu-amide. The details of the test article are presented in Appendix 1.
- 2. <u>Test animals</u>: 5-weeks old Charles Rivers CD rats were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Portage, Michigan.

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Animal assignment

The animals used in this study were selected based on the body weight (male, 269-300 gm; female, 165-191 gm) and acceptable pretest weight gain. The selected animals were randomly assigned to the following treatment groups:

Test Group	Dose in diet (mg/kg)	90-day oral dose	e range finding study female
1 Cont.	0	15	15
2	100	15	15
3	500	15	15
4	1000	15	15
5	2000	15	15/
6	4000	15	15

2. Diet Preparation:

The test compound/diet mixture was prepared weekly with the concentrations adjusted based on mean weekly food consumption measurements. The mixtures were store in stainless steel containers at room temperature. Samples of the mixture were taken for chemical analyses.

The mixture was found to be stable for 21 days, and the individual test diet preparation contained an average of 90 to 105% of the targeted concentrations (Table 1)

- 3. Animals received food and water ad libitum.
- 4. Statistics: The statistical methods used in this study are presented in Appendix 2.
- Quality assurance statement was signed.

TABLE 1 (Data taken from submission)

Periodic Analyses; % of Target Concentration Found

	assays unless otherwise indicated	of duplicate assesse uple			in parenthesis are	lvalues in			555-001
						100, 97 (99)	Female 100, 97 (99)		
						102, 102 (102)	Ma Le	4000	62
97 ± 3.2%	92, 85, 95 (91) ⁴	97, 100 (99)	96, 96 (96)	103, 95 (99)	(99)	93, 97 (95)	Female		
98 + 3.2%	96, 97, 83 (92) ⁴	100, 102 (101)	98, 101	95, 101 (98)	99, 99 (99)	100, 97	Ma le	2000	J.
100 + 4.2%	107, 102 (105)	98, 100 (99)	94, 94 (94)	98, 96 (97)	105, 102 (104)	Female 100, 98 (99)	Female		
100 + 2.62	95, 97 (96)	102, 103 (103)	99, 100 (100)	96, 99 (98)	102, 101 (102)	102, 99 (101)	Male	1000	4
97 ± 2.6%	101, 97 (99)	(101)	96, 94 (95)	94, 94	97, 95 (96)	95, 100 (98)	Female		
98 + 3.02	97, 96 (97)	: (103)	92, 98 (95)	96, 96 (96)	95, 98 (97)	(100)	Male	500	u
	(99)	(101)	(90)	(90)3	(94)	(101)			
96 ± 5.2%	99, 99	99, 103	90, 89	91, 87	95, 93	98, 103	Pemale		
96 ± 5.0 z	91, 93 (92)	104, 104 (104)	97, 96 (97)	90, 89 (90)	93, 92 (93)	94, 100 (97)	Ma le	100	2
Mean + S.D.5	12	8	4	w	2	-	y) Sex	(mg/kg/day) Sex	Group
			Study Week	218				Level	

T.

C. METHODS AND RESULTS:

1. Observations

Animals were inspected twice weekly for signs of toxicity and mortality.

On the first day of week 2, 1 male and 2 females in the 4,000 mg/kg group died or sacrificed in extremis. The remaining animals in this group were sacrificed during week 3. The animals, which died or sacrificed in extremis, showed signs of reduced defecation, hunched posture, labored breathing, and reduced motor activity prior to death. One female in 500 mg/kg group and 4 females in the 2,000 mg/kg group died prior to termination of the study.

2. Body weight

Animals were weighed weekly during the test and weighed twice prior to the administration of the test materials.

In both males and females decreases in mean body weights were observed in 500; 1,000; 2,000; and 4,000 mg/kg groups (Table 2a and 2b). These decreases were statistically significant at dose levels of 1,000 mg/kg or above in males and of 500 mg/kg or above in females. In 4,000 mg/kg animals, severe weight loss was observed in week 1 of the compound administration; these animals were sacrificed at week 3.

Table 3 summarized the mean body weight and mean body weight changes. Dose-related decreases in body weight gains were found in all treated animals of 500 mg/kg or above relative to that of the controls. The mean body weights of 100 mg/kg animals were comparable to those of the controls.

TABLE 3*

Mean Body Weight and Mean Body Weight Change (percent difference from control)

	<u>Ma</u>	le	Female				
Dosage Level (mg/kg/day)	Mean Body Weight, g	Mean Body Weight Change, g	Mean Body Weight, g	Mean Body Weight Change, g			
0 (Control) 100 500 1000 2000	530 525(-0.9) 506(-4.5) 455(-14.2) 317(-40.2)	232 226(-2.6) 209(-9.9) 155(-33.2) 17(-92.7)	274 268(-2.2) 246(-10.2) 231(-15.7) 200(-27.0)	86 82(-4.7) 62(-27.9) 44(-48.8) 14(-83.7)			

^{* (}Data taken from Submission)

TABLE 2a

	WEEK				Cales: Summary	or Body We	ight Value	s				
	OF	. 0 HG/	KG/DAY (CO	MTDOL 1								
Parameters Heasu	red STUDY	HEAN	\$.0.	H	-	10	DO HG/KG/C	MY		£/	00 HG/KG/D	
			<u> </u>			HEAN	S.D.			HEAH		
Body Weight	-2	283	8.6	15					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- K.A.	<u>s.o.</u>	H
grams	-1	298	10.5			283	8.5	15		282		
	.1	340	13.0			299	10.1	15		282 297	8.6	15
	.2	371	14.9			342	14.1	15		333	10.3	15
	3	398				371	18.0				13.1	35
	4	422	17.9			398	21.2	15		360	17.4	15
	5		19.1	15		423	23.4	15		384	18.9	15
	6	442	20.8	15		441	25.7	15		405	21.1	15
	7	460	22.1	15		460	27.9			424	25.0	15
	8	482	22.6	15		47/	30.7	15		443	24.3	15
		496	23.1	15		490		15		460	26.5	15
	.9	509	24.8	15			32.1	15		4703	24.7	15
	10	517	24.3	15		504	33.4	15		48/	24.6	15
	i)	526	24.7	15		513	34.3	15		497	27.4	
	12	536	24.7	15.		519	32.3	15		504	30.4	15
	13	530	29.8	15		525	32.7	15		513		15
			-2.0	1.7		525	29.6	15		506	32.4	15
	WEEK									.506	34.8	15
	OF	100	MG/KG/D/	ιÝ			_					
rameters Heasure	d STUDY	- HEAN	S.O.	K		2000	HG/KG/DA	Y		4000	HG/KG/DA	J
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HEAN	<u> 5.0.</u>	H		HEAN	S.D.	
dy Height	-2	286	8.3	15							3.0,	N.
2005	- 1	300	10.3	15		284	8.7	15		282		
	1	3272	12.5	15		300	9.1	15		297	8.7	15
	2	353 ¹	16.0	15		295 ²	12.1	15		221 ²	7.0	15
•	,3	376 ²	16.9			292 ²	9.9	15		319 ² .a	29.2	15
	-4	392 ²		15		316 ²	12.0	15	1	213-10	18.2	14
	5	4102	18.3	15.		329 ²	17.1	15	1			
	6	420 ²	18.0	15		336 ²	20.5	15	1			
	ž	120-	19.9	15		332 ²	22.4					
	8	4312	19.4	15		32/2		15				
•		440 ²	25.7	15		3262	27.5	15				
	·	453 ²	24.8	15		3292	31.0	15				
	10	4542	30.0	15		329-	33.5	15				
	11	458 ²	31.3	15		3312	37.6	15				
	12	462 ²	32.8	15		328 ²	38.6	15				
	13	455 ²	36.9	.15	•	326 ²	39.1	15				
			30.3	13		31/ ²	38.4	15				

S.O. - Standard Deviation

No Number of Animals

 $^{I}\text{Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.05}$ $^{a}\text{Animals removed from treatment}$

(DATA TAKEN From Submission)

²Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

TABLE 26

WEEK		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	1.64	iales: Summary	or Body We	ight Value	\$		-		
OF	0 HG/K		TROL	_	10	O MG/KG/NA	Y			10000	
2100A	HEAN	<u> </u>	н	-	KEAH				MEAN DOL		
_								· ; · ,	· ncna	3.0.	. N
			15	e e estado	178	7.4	15		177		•
					186					7.4	15
					204						15
					213						15
٠,			15		224						15
•			15		233				213		15
_		18.5	15						221-		15
-		20.5	15	-					221-		15
	266	20.8	15						2312		15
	268	21.2	15						2382	14.5	15
	212	26.2	15						2382	14.6	15
10	276	23.2								16.0	15
11	277	25.0								19.1	15
12	2/8									18.0	14
13	274									17.5	14
					.208	17.5	15		246 ²	17.3	14
				7,7,7				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
	100	O HG/KG/DA	Υ.		200	IN HE IKE IN					
STUDY	HEAN	S.D.	н		HEAH				4000		Y
						<u> </u>			HEAN	S.D.	H
	180	7.3	15		178	7 4	• •	and the second			
	187	8.0						12		6.9	15
	182 ^Z	8.5								8.5	15
_	188 ²	10.9								9.3	13
3	200 ²	10.0			139-				20u ² ∙4	9.7	13
4	2112				1672						••
5	2172				1792	13.1	13				
6	2192					15.0	13				
,	2252					17.7	13				
8	2202					19.2	13				
-	27.42					20.0				•	
10	2352		15		188 ²	24.1	13				
	Z 33-	10.5	15		189 ²	26.4					
	222										
11	233 ²	11.9	15		1892		13 13				
	233 ² 235 ² 231 ²		15 15 15		189 ² 189 ²	30.1 33.3	13 13				
	OF \$TUDY -2 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 WEEK OF \$TUDY -2 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	OF O HG/K STUDY HEAM -2 180 1 202 2 21/ 3 232 4 241 5 248 6 257 7 266 8 268 9 272 10 276 11 277 12 278 13 274 MEEK OF 100 STUDY HEAM -2 180 -1 187 1 182 2 188 2 188 3 200 4 211 5 217 6 219 7 225 8 229	OF STUDY HEAM S.D. -2 180 7.5 -1 188 7.9 -1 202 12.3 -2 211 12.1 -3 232 14.5 -4 241 11.5 -5 248 18.5 -6 257 20.5 -7 266 20.8 -8 268 21.2 -9 272 26.2 -10 276 23.2 -11 277 25.0 -12 278 24.1 -13 274 23.6 MEEK OF 1000 MG/KG/DA MEEK OF 1000 MG/KG/DA STUDY HEAM S.D. -2 180 7.3 -1 187 8.0 -2 1882 10.9 -3 2002 10.0 -4 2112 10.1 -5 2172 11.2 -6 2192 10.6 -6 2252 10.9 -8 2252 10.9	OF STUDY HEAM S.O. N -2 180 7.5 15 1 188 7.9 15 1 202 12.3 15 2 211/ 12./ 15 3 232 14.5 15 4 241 17.5 15 5 248 18.5 15 6 257 20.5 15 7 266 20.8 15 8 268 21.2 15 9 272 26.2 15 10 276 23.2 15 11 277 25.0 15 12 278 24.1 15 13 274 23.6 15 WEEK OF 1000 HG/KG/DAY HEAM S.D. N -2 180 7.3 15 1 182 8.5 15 2 188 10.9 15 3 2002 10.0 15 4 2112 10.7 15 5 2172 11.2 15 6 2192 10.6 15 8 2292 10.6 15	OF OHG/KG/DAY {CONTROL; STUDY HEAN S.D. N -2 180 7.5 15 1 188 7.9 15 1 202 12.3 15 2 21/ 12./ 15 3 232 14.5 15 4 241 1/.5 15 5 248 18.5 15 6 257 20.5 15 7 266 20.8 15 8 268 21.2 15 9 272 26.2 15 10 276 23.2 15 11 277 25.0 15 12 278 24.1 15 13 274 23.6 15 NEEK OF 1000 MG/KG/DAY STUDY MEAN S.D. N -2 180 7.3 15 1 187 8.0 15 1 182 8.5 15 2 188 10.9 15 3 2002 10.0 15 4 2112 10.7 15 5 2172 11.2 15 6 2192 10.6 15 7 2252 10.9 15 8 2292 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15 9 2222 10.6 15	OF OF HEAM S.O. N NECAN -2 180 7.5 15 178 -1 188 7.9 15 186 -1 202 12.3 15 204 -2 217 12.7 15 213 -3 232 14.5 15 224 -4 241 17.5 15 233 -5 248 18.5 15 246 -7 266 20.8 15 255 -8 268 21.2 15 258 -9 272 26.2 15 268 -10 276 23.2 15 268 -11 277 25.0 15 269 -12 278 24.1 15 271 -13 274 23.6 15 268 MEEK OF 1000 NG/KG/DAY NEAM S.D. N NECAN -2 180 7.3 15 178 -1 182 8.5 15 268 -1 182 8.5 15 162 -2 188 10.9 15 139 -3 2002 10.0 15 139 -4 2112 10.7 15 139 -5 2172 11.2 15 139 -6 2192 10.6 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812 -7 2252 10.9 15 1812	OF STUDY HEAM S.O. N KEAM S.O. -2 180 7.5 15 178 7.4 1 188 7.9 15 186 7.5 2 217 12.7 15 213 13.0 3 232 14.5 15 224 13.1 4 241 17.5 15 233 11.9 5 248 18.5 15 241 12.2 6 257 20.5 15 258 16.2 7 266 20.8 15 255 17.0 8 268 21.2 15 258 16.2 9 272 26.2 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 11 277 25.0 15 268 17.5 WEEK OF 1000 KG/KG/DAY KEAN S.O. N HEAN S.O. -2 180 7.3 15 178 7.4 13 274 23.6 15 162 8.5 2 1882 10.9 15 1392 9.7 3 2002 10.0 15 1662 8.5 2 1882 10.9 15 1392 9.7 4 2112 10.7 15 1392 9.7 3 2002 10.0 15 1672 11.8 5 2172 11.2 15 1812 15.0 6 2192 10.6 15 1812 17.7 7 2252 10.9 15 1812 17.7 8 2292 10.6 15 1812 17.7 8 2292 10.6 15 1812 17.7 8 2292 10.6 15 1812 17.7	OF OHG/KG/DAY (CONTROL) STUDY HEAM S.O. N KEAN S.O. N KEAN S.O. N 1	OF STUDY HEAM S.O. N MEAN S.D. N -2 180 7.5 15 178 7.4 15 -1 188 7.9 15 186 7.5 15 -1 202 12.3 15 204 8.7 15 -2 211/ 12./ 15 213 13.0 15 -3 222 14.5 15 224 13.1 15 -4 241 1/.5 15 233 11.9 15 -5 248 18.5 15 241 12.2 15 -6 257 20.5 15 246 16.8 15 -7 266 20.8 15 255 17.0 15 -8 268 21.2 15 258 16.2 15 -9 272 26.2 15 268 16.2 15 -9 272 26.2 15 266 20.3 15 -11 277 25.0 15 266 20.3 15 -12 278 24.1 15 271 17.4 15 -13 274 23.6 15 268 17.5 15 WEEK OF STUDY HEAN S.D. N MEAN S.D. N -2 180 7.3 15 178 7.4 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 182 8.5 15 186 7.3 15 -1 182 8.5 15 186 7.3 15 -1 182 8.5 15 186 7.3 15 -1 182 8.5 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 182 8.5 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 186 7.3 15 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 8.0 15 187 9.7 13 -1 187 9.7	OF O MG/KG/DAY (CONTROL) STUDY HEAN S.O. N MEAN T.4 15 177 1 188 7.4 15 188 1 202 12.3 15 204 8.7 15 194 1 202 11.2 15 2 211 12.1 15 2 221 14.5 15 2 224 13.1 15 2 233 11.9 15 2 248 18.5 15 2 246 16.8 15 2 272 6 257 20.5 15 2 246 16.8 15 2 272 7 266 20.8 15 2 255 17.0 15 2 382 9 272 26.2 15 2 262 16.9 15 2 272 10 276 23.2 15 2 266 20.3 15 11 277 25.0 15 2 266 20.3 15 2 279 11 277 25.0 15 2 279 12 278 24.1 15 2 279 MEEK OF STUDY MEAN T. 100 MG/KG/DAY MEAN T. 2000 MG/KG/DAY MEAN T. 2000 MG/KG/DAY MEAN T. 3 15 180 T. 3 15 T. 8 7.4 15 T. 8 246 MEAN T. 4 15 T. 8 246 T. 8 246	OF O HG/KG/DAY CONTROL NEAN S.D. N NEAN S.D.

\$.D. - Standard Deviation

²Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

Animals removed from treatment

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

3. Food consumption and compound intake

Consumption was determined and mean daily diet consumption was calculated. Compound intake was calculated from the consumption. These data are presented in Table 4a and 4b.

Dose-related decreases in food consumption were observed in treated animals of 500 mg/kg or above. When food consumption values were expressed as mg/animal/day, statistically significant decreases were seen in animals treated with 500 mg/kg or above. The food consumption of 100 mg/kg animals was comparable to that of the controls.

- Blood was collected before treatment (5 animals/sex/dose) and at week 13 (10 animals/sex/dose) for hematology and clinical analyses. The CHECKED (X) parameters were examined.
 - Hematology a.

X

^{*} Required for subchronic and chronic studies

In males, there was a decrease in lymphocytes in 1,000 and 2,000 mg/kg groups as indicated below:

	Control	1000 mg/kg	2000 mg/kg
Lymphocytes (x 10 ³ /cmm)	10.3 ± 2.11	8.4 <u>+</u> 0.95	8.1 <u>+</u> 1.86

In females, there were slight increases in hematocrit and erythrocyte values and decreases in MCV and MCH values of 2000 mg/kganimals; as indicated below these changes were significantly different from those of the controls.

	Hematocrit	erythrocyte x10 ⁶ /cmm	MCV microns	(bd) wch
Control	49 <u>+</u> 1.9	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.24	66 ± 1.2	$\begin{array}{c} 21.4 \pm 0.47 \\ 20.2 \pm 0.50 \end{array}$
2000 mg/kg	52 <u>+</u> 4.3*	8.4 <u>+</u> 0.88†	63 ± 2.5†	

TABLE Ya

MALE ANIMALS: MEAN FOOD AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION

		00	A (COH.				/KG/DA				/KG/DAY				G/KG/O/	LY.
		Uυ		CMPD		QD		CHPD		00		CMPD		00		CMPC
SERV.	G/ AHIM/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	G/ AHIM/ DAY	STO	KG/ BAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	G/ AHIM/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	G/ AHIM/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY
1	26.6	1.26	78.3	0.000	26,5	1.78	77.5	96.1	25.0	1.04	75.3 ⁴	467	22.8*	1.98	69.4	860
2	27.4	1.35	73.7	0.000	27.0	1.96	72.8	90.3	26.0	1.37	72.5	449	25.0*	2.26	70.9	878
3	27.5	1.42	69.2	0.000	27.1	1.97	68.2	103	25.6	1.06	66.6	506	24.9*	1.93	66.1	1026
	26.8	1.35	63.4	0.000	26.7	1.66	63.1	101	25.7	1.08	63.6	523	24.1*	1.52	61.3	1020
5	25.9	1.06	58.6	0.000	25.8	1.69	58.5	101	25.2	1.34	59.6	515	24.4*	2.45	59.7	1070
6	25.6	1.52	55.5	0.000	25.3	1.65	55.0	103	24.5	1.34		510	23.4*	1.87	55.6	1024
.7	27.9	1.03	58.1	0.000	26.9	1.74	56.4	106	25.7*	1.33	55.94	515	24.49	2.47	56.1	1033
. 8	28.4	1.14	57.4	0.000	27.4	1.93	55.9	105	26.1	1.35	55.8	515	24.5	2.31	55.5	1023
9	27.7	1.38	54.8	0.000	27.4	1.85	54.4	102	27.3	1.54	56.1	517	24.6*	2.36	53.9	
1.0	26.6	1.56	51.9	0.000	26.6	1.21	52.4	98.6	26.1	1.49	52.5	484	24.3	2.45	52.5	993
1.1	26.8	1.20	51.0	0.000	26.2	1.11	50.6	95.2	26.0	1.44	52.2.	481	23.2*	2.60	50.5	
12	26.1	1.05	48.8	0.000	25.8	1,40	49.3	107	25.9	1.61	50.6	533	23.2	2.35	50.4	930
13	24.0	2.39	45.2	0.000	23.8	2.94	45.4	101	23.2	2.47	45.9	499	19.9*	3.27	44.0	962

OBSERV. PERIODS	F000			CMPD		OD	IG/KG/DA	CMPD			
	G/ AHIH/ DAY	STD V30	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	G/ ANIH/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY			
ı	15.8	3.79	53.9		4.8*	3.04	20.94	1036	 		
2	19.6	1,48	66.8		30.7	2.29	96.34			/	
.3	22.8*	1.52	72.1	2158				-		4	
4	21.8*	1.75	66.5*							11	
5	20.2*	1.22		2010							
6	19.14	2.23	56.3	2037							
7	18.9 4		56.2	2033							
B	18.64		57.5	2081							
9	19.4	2.31	57.2	2069							
10	18.1*	2.71	54.5	1973							
11	17.9*	2.41	55.1	1993							
12	17.6										
13											

555-001

OBSERV. - Observation
ANIM - Animal
STD DEV - Standard deviation
CMPD - Compound consumption
Animals received control diet week 2

* Significantly different from controls (p20.01)

+ Significantly different from control (p20.05)

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

TABLE 4%

FEMALE ANIMALS: MEAN FOOD AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION

	FOOD CMPD				FOOD CHPD			FOOD CHPD			1000 MG/KG/DAY					
BSERV. A	HEM/	STO DEV	KG/ DAY	MG/- KG/ DAY	G/ ANIM/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	G/ ANIM/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ MG/ MG/ DAY	G/ ANIM/ DAY	STD DEV	G/ KG/ DAY	CMPO MG/ KG/ DAY
2 15 3 19 4 14 5 16 6 17 7 18 8 18 9 18 10 17 11 18	8.4 9.1 9.7 8.9 8.6 7.6 8.4 8.8 7.9 8.4	1.48 1.32 1.53 1.75 1.75 1.49 1.30 1.35 2.57 1.95 1.44 1.52	90.9 88.2 84.7 78.5 75.0 68.6 69.4 70.6 69.2 65.2 66.7 61.9 58.1	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	18.5 19.4 19.6 18.9 17.9 18.4 18.4 18.4 18.3	1.48 1.70 1.97 -1.46 1.57 1.68 1.56 1.48 1.92 1.92 1.33 1.26	90.3 91.1 87.4 61.0 74.4 70.7 72.3 71.5 69.9 68.5 70.0 66.1 60.8	101 102 95.9 92.7 91.9 95.0 97.2 96.1 94.0 94.2 94.2	15. 2 17. 8 17. 9 17. 0 16. 1 15. 4 16. 1 16. 4 16. 0 15. 9 15. 6 14. 2	1.29 1.35 1.17 1.19 1.09 0.93 0.92 2.28 1.71 1.10 1.32 1.18	78.5% 86.9 83.4 76.9 71.04 68.7 67.7 68.8 65.4 64.1 62.8 57.7	442 489 461 461 461 469 476 484 475 480 451 489	11.8 14.3 16.4 16.6 15.4 14.8 15.5 16.3 15.4 14.7 14.1	1.53 1.63 1.15 0.80 1.43 0.90 1.20 0.99 1.08 1.28 1.47	64.8# 75.9# 81.9 79.2 70.9> 67.5 69.6 71.2 65.7 62.5 60.2# 58.2	

	FOOD MG/KG/DAY			4000 MC/KC 414A							
OBSERV. PERIODS	ANIM/ DAY	012 V30	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	G/ ANEM/ DAY	STO	G/ KG/ DAY	MG/ KG/ DAY	4		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	7.8 7.0 14.5 14.1 12.6 11.9 11.7 12.5 12.2 11.8 12.3 12.2	1.03 1.16 1.35 1.49 1.51 1.30 1.72 1.68 1.74 2.09 1.93 2.75	47.94 50.5 86.2 78.5 67.7 65.7 65.0 68.2 65.3 63.1 63.6 62.7 55.5	1138 1955 1821	3.6 23.5	1.65	26.1 [±] 117.0 [±]	1175 0*			· .

OUSERV. - Observation
ANIM - Animal
STO DEV - Standard deviation
CMRO - Compound consumption
Animals received con

* significantly different from controls (pc0.01)

+ significantly different from controls (pc0.05)

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

b. Clinical Chemistry

Electrolytes: Other: |x| Calcium* |x| Albumin* |x| Chloride* |x| Blood creatinine* | | Magnesium* |x| Blood urea nitrogen* |x| Phosphorous* | | Cholesterol* |x| Potassium* |x| Globulins |x| Sodium* |x| Glucose* Enzymes |x| Total Bilirubin* | | Alkaline phosphatase |x| Total Serum Protein* | | Cholinesterase# | | Triglycerides | | Serum protein electrophoresis | | Creatinine phosphokinase** | | Lactic acid dehydrogenase |x| Serum alanine aminotransferase (also SGPT)* |x| Serum aspartate aminotransferase (also SGOT)* | | gamma glutamyl transferase | | glutamate dehydrogenase

- * Required for subchronic and chronic studies
- # Should be required for OP
- Not required for subchronic studies

Certain changes in clinical chemistry parameters were seen predominantly in 2,000 mg/kg females, and these changes included decreases in total protein, albumin, glucose, and globulin and increases in potassium and phosphorus. In 2,000 mg/kg males a decrease in phosphorus was observed. Although most of these changes showed statistical significance, they were not marked and were related more to nutritional effects than to the direct effects of the compound.

6. Sacrifice and Pathology

According to the original protocol, histopathology was to be conducted on 10 randomly selected animals/sex of controls and the high-dose groups. However, the highest dose (4,000 mg/kg) animals were terminated early in the study, and the animals in the next highest dose level showed severe body weight loss; "it was decided that the toxicological potential of the test compound could best be defined by examining a full tissue complement from randomly selected 10 animals/sex from the control and 1,000 mg/kg groups". In addition, limited number of tissue samples from 10 animals/sex from the remaining dosage groups.

The CHECKED (X) tissues were collected for histological examination. The (XX) organs in addition were weighed.

<u>x</u>	<u>x</u>	X
Digestive system	Cardiovasc./Hemat.	Neurologic
Tongue	.Aorta*	xx.Brain*t
.Salivary glands*	x .Heart*	Periph. nerve*#
Esophagus*	x .Bone marrow*	x Spinal cord (3 levels)*#
Stomach*	x .Lymph nodes*	x .Pituitary*
.Duodenum*	x .Spleen*	Eyes (optic n.)*#
.Jejunum*	x . Thymus*	Glandular
.Ileum*	Urogenital	xx.Adrenals*
Cecum*	xx.Kidneys*†	Lacrimal gland#
.Colon*	.Urinary bladder*	Mammary gland*#
Rectum*	xx.Testes*†	x .Parathyroids*tt
xx.Liver*t	x Epididymides	x .Thyroids*tt
Gall bladder*#	Prostate	Other
x .Pancreas*	Seminal vesicle	x Bone*#
Respiratory	xx Ovaries*t	x Skeletal muscle*#
.Trachea*	.Uterus*	Skin*#
x .Lung*		All gross lesions
Nose°		and masses*
Pharynx°		
Larynx°		

- * Required for subchronic and chronic studies
- Required for chronic inhalation
- # In subchronic studies, examined only if indicated by signs of toxicity or target organ involvement
- † Organ weights required in subchronic and chronic studies
- tt Organ weight required for non-rodent studies

a. Organ weight

The absolute and relative organ weights are presented in Table 5a and 5b.

Significant decrease in absolute brain weight was observed in 2,000 mg/kg males. Statistically significant increases in relative brain weight (brain/body) were seen in both males and females of 500, 1000, and 2000 mg/kg groups. The increase in relative brain weight was primarily due to marked decreases in body weights of those animals.

Absolute liver weights were significantly increased in 100; 500; and 1,000 mg/kg males and in 500; 1000; and 2000 mg/kg females. Relative liver weights (liver/body & liver/brain) were significantly increased in all treated males and in all females of 500 mg/kg or above.

TABLE Sa

Absolute + Relative Degan Weight of DEET TREATED Mode Rats

Of Erranters Messured STUDY Body Weight 13 9 Arela 13		The part of the part of	COMTROL 1		*********										
Andy Weight 13		MEAN S.O. N	=	100 HEAN	100 HG/KG/DAY \$.0.	=	25 E	500 HG/KG/DAY 5.0.	-		8	- 1		2000 HG/KG/DAT	ล้
		528 38.6	3 .	\$26	28.9	15	105	776	15	4523	÷.	# E	3192	2.0.2 28.9	
•	2.04	34 0.085	S S	2.04	0.155	<u>s</u>	2.10	0.127	52	2.04	0.116	s	1.942	990.0	
Brain/Body Height 13	3.89	9 0.312	52 22	3.90	0.376	15	4.13	0.284	Se	4.542	. 0.466	±	6.173	0.636	
Adresa 1		3	4	3	6.3	ž	2	9.3	, 22	æ	23.6	5 2	9	4.4	
Adresal/Body Weight 13	127	7 2.87	13 15	12.2	1.53	15	14.2	%:	2	14.2	7,15	=	20.03	3	
Adresal/Brain beignt 13 S	3.26	0.644	\$1 •	7.7	0.404	, sa	3.44	D.498	.	3.50	1,221	22	3.23	0.422	
£ .	21.74	74 2.635	35 35	23.56	1.867	2	26.792	2.195	4	28.34	605	. <u>4</u>			
Liver/Body Weight 13	4.12	12 0.336	36 15	4.482	0.269	15	5.28	0.317	: <u>,</u> <u> </u>	73		: <u>,:</u>	\$	ì	2
liver/Arain Height 13	10.65	1.240	SI	11.61	1.475	22	12,832	30	: 5) .e.	BCC	Ξ ;	. 98. : •	9.56	= :
fidney 13	4.01	1 0.37/	4	4.13	0.440	2	4.47	0.60/	: 4		8 60 0	<u>.</u>	11.02	1.78)	≏ :
Kidney/Body Height 13	7.62	2 0.647	S 1	7.87	0.946	<u>\$</u>	18,85	1.235	: <u>:</u>	7	6,65		3.27	0.43	≘ :
Kidney/Brain beignt 13 Seld ⁻²	1.97	161.0	2	2.04	4.337	2	2.13		21	1.95	0.178	: 22	FF.58	0.228	*

S.D. - Standard Deviation Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.05

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

Significantly different from the Control group; p-0.01

TABLE 58
Absolute + Relative ORGAN Weights OF DEET
TREATED FEMALE RATS

3.4. 15 1.5. 16 1.5. 17 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 2.0. 15 2.0. 14 2.0. 15 <t< th=""><th>MEER UP OF PRESENCE STUDY</th><th>study Study</th><th>0 HG/</th><th>0 HG/KG/DAY (CDATROL)</th><th>ONTROL)</th><th></th><th>100 MG/KG/DAY</th><th>, A</th><th></th><th>4 005</th><th>500 MG/KG/DAY</th><th></th><th>001</th><th>1000 HC/KG/DAY</th><th>1 1</th><th></th><th>2000 MG/KG/DAY</th><th>1 1</th><th> </th></t<>	MEER UP OF PRESENCE STUDY	study Study	0 HG/	0 HG/KG/DAY (CDATROL)	ONTROL)		100 MG/KG/DAY	, A		4 005	500 MG/KG/DAY		001	1000 HC/KG/DAY	1 1		2000 MG/KG/DAY	1 1	
1 1.48 1.4	Body Weight	:	3115		l	270		-		2423	23.0	= =	2303	9.2	15	2003	5.0 15.	1	
1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	e frais	2	1.86	0.092		1.87	0.124		•	1.09	0.096	=	1.87	0.072	2	1.11	6.14		
1	Brain/Body Weight Said	2	18.9	0.682		6.94	605.0			7.802	1.042	=	8.152	0.467	S 1	6.883	9.71		
Maria beight 13 13.6 6.15 15 12.6 15 15 10.0 15 10.0 15 10.0	Adrena 1	=	02	15.0		3/6	11.2			~	.	Ξ	3	15.9	51	3	Æ		
11 11 12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Adrenal/Body Helyit Ex10*	2	25.5	6.13		28.4	4.90			30.00	6.26	ž	3.6	6.79	2	32.5			
13 11.07 1.444 15 11.73 1.604 14 18.07 1.112 14 13.37 1.115 15 1.115 15 1.115 15			3.76	0.942		4.12	0,751				0.634	*	3.61	0.781	2	3.68	8.0		
Light Weight 13 4.05 15 6.29 14 5.20 ² 0.590 14 5.80 ² 14 5.80 ² 15 0.594 14 5.21 ² 0.590 15 0.590 15 0.590 15 0.590 15 0.590 15 0.591 14 0.19 14 0.11 1.14 0.690 15 0.19 14 0.11 1.14 0.690 15 0.19 14 0.11 1.14 0.690 15 0.19 14 0.11 <td>Liver</td> <td>=</td> <td>11.07</td> <td>1.464</td> <td></td> <td>11.78</td> <td>1.064</td> <td>Ξ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7117</td> <td>Ξ</td> <td>13.374</td> <td>1.13</td> <td>\$</td> <td>13,453</td> <td>0.9</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Liver	=	11.07	1.464		11.78	1.064	Ξ			7117	Ξ	13.374	1.13	\$	13,453	0.9		
13 2.36 0.884 15 15.22 0.207 14 0.715 14 0.715 14 0.715 15 0.107 15 0.107 15 0.105 1	Liver/hody Weight	2	4.05	0.662		4.38	0.410	Ξ			0.590	=	5.803	0.398	22	6.742	0.44		
13 2.30 0.221 15 2.32 0.207 14 2.19 0.159 14 2.12 ² 0.107 15 1.73 ² 0.116 13 1.24 0.127 15 0.508 14 0.059 14 0.119 14 1.11 ³ 0.016 15 0.504 15 0.504 15 0.504 15 0.119 14 1.11 ³ 0.016 15 1.24 0.130 14 1.11 1.11 1.11 0.016 15 15 15 0.504 14 1.11 1.11 0.016 15 15 15 15 1.006 1.007 15 1.006 1.007 15 1.006 1.007 15 1.006 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 1.007 15 15 15 15 1.007 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	Liver/Brain Weight Salo ⁻²	2	96.5	0.884		67.9	0.704	Ξ				z	7.142	0.606	91	7.633	0.789		
Mocy Weight 13 8,47 1,086 15 6,50 14 9,09 6,939 14 9,211 0,504 15 8,67 0,553 Brain Weight 13 1,24 0,127 15 1,24 0,130 14 1,16 0,119 14 1,131 0,076 15 0,993 0,113 13 130 33,4 15 17,8 15 134 11,9 14 11,9 14,5 15 963 0,113 Ari Weight 13 4,72 1,253 15 5,06 0,739 15 5,64 1,397 14 5,20 0,913 15 963 19,5 Ari Weight 13 1,00	k lidney 9	<u>.</u> =	2.30	0.221	2	2.32	0.207	=				ĭ	2.12	0.107	<u>s</u>	1.732	0.11		
13 130 13.4 15 136 17.8 13 134 21.9 14 14.13 0.076 15 0.993 0.113 13 130 13.4 15 13.6 17.8 13 13 21.9 14 149 14 15 15 15 14 15 1.00 1.834 13 1.028 13 1.098 13 1.109 14 14 15 14 15 15 1.050 15 15 1.050 1.834 13 1.038 13 1.039 14 6.37 0.992 15 5.41 ² 1.050 15 15 1.050 1.834 13 1.050 13 1.050 14 6.37 0.992 15 1.050 15 1.050 1.050 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 15 1.050 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 15 1.050 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 1.050 15 15 15 15 15 1.050 15 15 1.050 15	Kidmey/Body Weight Sai0	2	8.43	1.086	æ	8.63	0.608	Ξ				**	9.211	. 50	. 22	8.67	0.653		•
13 130 33.4 15 136 17.8 15 134 21.9 14 189 19.5 15 96 ² 19.5 15 15 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.5 15.		=	1.24	0.127	ž	8.1.3	0.130	Ξ				z .	1.13	0.076	ž	0.96	0.113		
4,72 1,233 15 5,06 0,739 15 5,64 1,397 14 5,20 0,913 15 96 ² 19,5 19,5 11 metpht 13 7,00 1,854 15 7,32 1,096 15 7,10 0,994 14 6,37 0,992 15 5,41 ² 1,090		=	82	33.4	2	97.	17.8		,			<u>.</u>	:	, :	:				
1.77 0.061 13 1.00 1.834 15 1.026 15 1.096 15 7.10 0.994 14 6.37 0.992 15 5.41 ² 1.050		2	4.72	1.253	2	30.8	0.739			-		; ,	2	19.5	<u></u>	**	É		
6.17 0.992 15 5.41 ² 1.050	ry/krafn Weight	=	. 2	1.834	2	7.32	1.098	22	`				s. 20	0.913	2	4.33	98.		
	555-001											•	6.37	266.0	s .	5.413	1.08		

5.0. - Standard Devisition "No statistical significance observed it - Number of Animals

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

In male rats, the absolute kidney weight was increased in 500 mg/kg group whereas that in 2000 mg/kg group was decreased. The kidney/body weight ratios were consistently increased in male of 500 mg/kg or above. A significant decrease in kidney/brain weight was observed in 2000 mg/kg males. In females, significant decreases in absolute kidney weight and the ratios of kidney/brain weight were found in 1000 and 2000 mg/kg groups. The changes in the ratios of kidney/body weight were variable among various treatment groups.

Absolute ovary weight and ovary/brain weight were significantly decreased in 2000 mg/kg females whereas the relative testes weights (testes/body) were increased in 1000 and 2000 mg/kg males.

b. Gross Pathology

Gross pathology data are presented in Tables 6a and 6b.

Increased incidence of granular kidneys were observed in 500 and 1000 mg/kg males relative to the controls. Increased incidences of rounded edge and tan/white foci of the liver were also observed in 500 and 2000 mg/kg males, respectively.

c. Histopathology

The increases in the incidence of histopathology findings are presented in Tables 7a and 7b. The kidney lesions seen in males are further tabulated on Table 8.

Table 8*

Incidence of Kidney Lesions in Male Rats

Doses (mg/kg)	0	100	500	1000	2000
Granular casts	0/10	1/10	5/10	2/20	3/20
Inflammation	0/10	3/10	10/10	7/10	5/10
Regeneration	3/10	4/10	10/10	8/10	7/10
Hyaline droplets	2/10	9/10	10/10	9/10	5/10

^{*} Data taken from submission

TABLE 6a

Ħ

.

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

Deaths and Unscheduled Sacrifices, 0 to Termination, Terminal Sacrifice - MALES

		0 00/60/44					LANE	۱,						
- Observation		(Control)	=	mg/kg/day	day	500 mg/kg/day	day	1000	1	2000		4000		
TO STORY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		S03	TS	200	TS	88	13	DOS 15	15	2 8	- N	Mg/kg/day	<u>ا</u>	
NUMBER OF ANIMALS EXAMINED		0	15	0	15	6	٤		:				2	
NUMBER WITHIN NORMAL LIMITS	•	0	8				. «		<u>.</u>	o (~	-	0	
CAVITY, ABDOMINAL						,		-	n	0	_	0	0	
Fluid, clear, moderate									-					
EYE									•					
control of the contro							-							
Granular, blisteral,	- trace		•				4							
Exudate, suppurative, bilateral, moderate Calculi, intrapapillary, unliateral, trace Dilated pelvis, unliateral, mild Discoloration, tan, bilateral, mild Cyst, unliateral, mild	P I I		4 				vo		**		~		·	
LIVER - Rounded adda multilohar Atti											-			
formers described to the second	- trace												,	
 Enlarged, moderate Focus/foci, tan/white, multilobar, mild Accentuated lobulations, 	t t i		_		~ ~		5				- ^			
- Focus, red, raised, mild.	Ť										~ ~			
TUNG	4	4									•			
- Nodule - Foci, tan/white,	- trace		4		. .		~		5 0					
- Congestion, muitifocal, mild	D E													
ORAL TISSUES												_		
- Teeth broken, png - Thick gingive, mild														
PROSTATE			_							•				
- Abscess, moderate														
555-001	DOS - Deaths 15 - Torains 19 - Present	Deaths and Unschoduled Sacrificos Terminal Sacrifico Present, no grado Surviving animals sacrificed and discarded	sacrif	acrific	os d disca	papu							IRDC	

TABLE 66

.

INCIDENCE OF MACROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS

		2000	
EMALES		0001	
Sacritice - Fi		200	
on. Torminal		100	
of to Torminatio		O mo/ko/day	
Secritice - FEMALES	Deaths and Unscheduled Sacillis	0 an/kg/day 100 500 1000 2000	

0 mg/kg/day (Control)		100 mg/kg/day		500 mg/kg/day	1000 mg/kg/day)/day	2000 mg/kg/day	/day	mg/kg/day	day
TES ST		/ Ry/ Cay					1	1	5	
888		S TS		15	S00	12	88	13	ŝ	13*
	9.	53	-	Ξ	0	15	0	15	8	0
			0 2	10	0	01	0	6	0	0
•			-							
			-							
						-				
										
	•									
m11d moderate			ينبو هنب	× 		منه اسب				
severe mlld moderate	-		-							
- frace	-									
	معية هنب					-				
	-		-							
DOS - Deaths and U TS - Terminal Sac NOS - Not otherwis	inscheduled rillee te specified	Sacrifi 1 111ced	icos and disco	rded						
DATA TAK	EN FR	25 X	bmiss.	100/						
mild anoderate severe anoderate anoderate anoderate anoderate anild anil	s and unal Sacrata Arting and TAK	ate ate ate ate ate Terninal Sacrifice Not otherwise specifies Surviving animals sacri	moderate savere	s and Unscheduled Sacrifices nal Sacrifice therwise specified wing animals sacrificed and disca	Death's and Unscheduled Sacrifices Terminal Sacrifice Not otherwise specified Surviving animals sacrificed and discarded TA TAKEN Rom Submission	s and Unscheduled Sacrifices nal Sacrifice whervise specified ving animals sacrificed and discarded THKEN Kom Submission	s and Unscheduled Sacrifices nal Sacrifice wherwise specified wing animals sacrificed and discarded TAKEN Kom Submission	and Unscheduled Sacrifices is and Unscheduled Sacrifices nal Sacrifice therwise specified ving animals sacrificed and discarded YAKEN From Submission	and Unscheduled Sacrifices nal Sacrifice thereise specified ving animals sacrificed and discarded ving animals sacrificed by Submission	and Unscheduled Sacrifices the specified with a specified and discarded wing animals sacrificed and discarded wing animals sacrificed and discarded with the specified with the specified and discarded with the specified and discarded with the specified and discarded with the specified with the specified and discarded with the specified with the specified and discarded with the specified with the

TABLE 7a

Incidence of Microscopic Observations

0 to Termination: Rats
Male

(10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)	OBSERVATION		O mg/kg/day	100	500	1000	2000	000
Trace (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)	Kidney		(Lentre)	mg/kg/day	ing/kg/day	mg/kg/day	mg/kg/day	4000 mg/kg/
-trace 0 0 0 2 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cast. Oranular		(10)	(10)	(01)	(10)	(10)	(0)
-frace 0 1 3 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-trace	9 0	- c	ഹ റ	~	ີ່ ຕ	90
-trace 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cyst, mild	Dije-	0)	Ÿ (*		2	a
-trace 0 3 10 7 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Hydronephrosis, mild		0 (• •	, 0		- c	0
-trace 0 3 4 5 4 4 5 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Infirmation,		3 C	۰ د	0 (-	o	9 0
-mild 0 0 6 5 7 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		- trace	a a	n (1	0.4	~ .	ល្វ	o
Trace 3 4 10 2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Nucrosis, traca	₽1:€-	a c	0	ros	a 04	প -	0 (
mild	Mithin normal limits Regeneration:		۰ د	- .c	N C	0	• 0	o a
			.eo) . e r	9 9	~ 6	~	0
-moderate 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		- trace - mild	,n c	· m -	e e	o	r 2	9 0
(10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)	Hyaline droplets+	moderate	· o (-0	o	- c	. ~ C	0
(10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)	Liver		N	G.	0	g		3 C
-trace 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Inflammation, trace	.•	(01.)	(jo)	(10)	(10)	(01)) (
-irace 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Within normal limits		- 0	.	00		0	<u></u>
	Vacuolation,		~ (0	ō) @	- 0	0
		-trace	× -	0 0	٥	.0	no	00
		ם זשי	-	0.0	0.0	a c	00	0

555-001 CODE:

CODE: () = NUMBER OF ANIMALS EXAMINED

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

1

TABLE 76

Incidence of Microscopic Observations 0 to Termination: Rais Fomate

TISSUE OBSERVATION		0 mg/kg/day (Control)	100 mg/kg/day	500 mg/kg/day	1000 mg/kg/day	2000 mg/kg/day	4000 mg/kg/day	
		(10)	(101)	(10)	(ot)	(01)	(0)	
Hemstopolesis, extramedullary, trace			à	a	ò	ìo	90	
Hypertrophy, truce		0	-	0		0	0	
Inflammation, moderate			0	c	0	0	•	
Within normal limits		9	Ø.	01	o,	01	0	
Kldney		(01)	(01)	(a.)	(01)	(01)	(0)	
Crystals, alld		0		0	0	0	a	
Cast, hyaline, trace			0	0	0	a	0	
Hydronaphrosis,		Ö	0		7	_	0	
	-trace		a	0	0	0	•	
	-שַּבְּי		o	-	0			
	-moderate	-	0	0	-	•	• •	
	-50/676	0	0	0		0	· c	•
Inflammation,		_	0	0	8		0	
	-trace	_	0	0		-		
	-mild	0	0	0	. ;	. 0) C	
Within normal limits		9	6	ത	. 49	. cc	o c	
Regeneration, trace		0	a	0	0		0	

CODE: () * HUMBER OF ARTHALS EXAMINED

555-001

*Examined with Mallory-Heidenhain stain

(DATA TAKEN FROM Submission)

The increased incidence of compound-related histopathology lesions were predominantly found in the kidney of treated males of all dose groups. The kidney histopathology findings included granular casts, inflammation, regeneration, and hyaline droplets. These findings seem to be more marked in 500 mg/kg males (Table 8).

DISCUSSION

When groups of rats (15/sex/dose) were fed DEET at dietary concentrations of 0, 100, 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 mg/kg, the animals at 4000 mg/kg showed marked decreases in food consumption and in body weight. Death also occurred at week 2 of the study. At week 3, the animals in 4000 mg/kg were terminated from the study.

Decreased defecation, reduced motor activity, haunched posture, and labored breathing were observed in animals which were sacrificed <u>in extremis</u>.

Decreases in mean body weight, body weight gain, and food consumption were found in all treated males and females of 500 mg/kg or above. Little changes were observed in body weight, food consumption, and body weight gain in 500 mg/kg animals relative to the controls.

Slight decrease in lymphocytes was observed in 1000 and 2000 mg/kg males. In 2000 mg/kg females, increase in hematocrit and erythrocyte and slight decreases in MCH and MCV were found. However, the changes in the hematological parameters could be related to the poor nutritional state.

At terminal sacrifice, gross pathology findings such as granular kidneys in 500 and 1,000 mg/kg males and livers with tan/white foci and/or round edge in 500 and 2000 mg/kg males were found.

Increases in absolute kidney weights in 500 mg/kg males and in absolute and relative liver weights (liver/body and liver/brain) om all dose groups except 100 mg/kg females were seen, and these changes were considered to be compound-related.

Histopathology findings showed increased incidence of kidney lesions, which included granular casts, inflammation, regeneration, and hyaline droplets, in all treated males. The increase in kidney lesions was more marked in 500 mg/kg males than any other treatment groups.

The study was well conducted, and the report was also well written. However, based upon the kidney lesions and changed in organ weights, a NOEL could not be established. In addition, the study was a dose range-finding study. Therefore, this study is classified as <u>Supplementary</u>.

Appendix /

46

	e is not included in this copy.
?ag	es 47 through 49 are not included in this copy.
	
he nfo	material not included contains the following type of ormation:
,	Identity of product inert ingredients
·	Identity of product impurities
 -	Description of the product manufacturing process
	Description of product quality control procedures
	Identity of the source of product ingredients
	Sales or other commercial/financial information
	A draft product label
· · · ·	The product confidential statement of formula
	Information about a pending registration action
, <u>v.</u>]	FIFRA registration data
_ :	The document is a duplicate of page(s)
	The document is not responsive to the request

Reviewed by: Whang Phang, Ph.D. When The 2/4/88 Section III, Tox. Branch (TS-769C).

Secondary reviewer: Marcia van Gemert, Ph.D. Museuf ment 2/4/88 Section III, Tox. Branch (TS-769C)

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

STUDY TYPE: 90-Day Oral Dose Range Finding Study in Mice

CHEMICAL: DEET (N, N-diethyl-m-toluamide)

RECORD NUMBER: 206238 CASWELL NO .: 346

MRID No.: 40241704 EPA ID No.: 51147-1

SPONSOR: DEET Joint Venture/Chemical Specialties Manufacturers

Association, Washington, DC.

TESTING FACILITY: International Research & Development Corp., Mattawan, Michigan.

CITATION: Johnson, D.E. Evaluation of DEET in a 90-Day Oral Dose Range Finding Study in Mice. International Research and Development Corp., Project No.: IRDC 555-002 (June 2, 1987). Submitted by DEET Joint Venture/Chemical Specialties Manufacturers Association. EPA MRID No.: 40241704.

CONCLUSIONS: When groups of CR CD-1 mice (15/sex/dose) were fed DEET at dietary concentrations of 300, 1000, 3000, 6000, and 10000 mg/kg for 13 weeks, the following effects were observed:

- 1. Markedly decreased food intake and body weight and death during the first week of the study in 6000 and 10000 mg/kg mice which were removed from the study at at week 3.
- 2. Decreased body weights in 3000 mg/kg males and females.
- 3. Increased absolute and relative liver weights in 1000 and 3000 mg/kg mice and relative liver weights in 300 mg/kg females.
- 4. Increased incidence of liver hypertrophy in 3000 mg/kg males and females and in 1000 mg/kg females.

The study has been well conducted, and the report is well written. However, based on liver weight increase in all treated females, a NOEL can not be established. In addition, this study was a dose range finding study. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as Supplementary.

A. MATERIALS:

- 1. Test Compound is 98.3% pure technical N, N-diethyl-m-tolu-amide. The details of the test article are presented in Appendix 1.
- 2. <u>Test animals</u>: 5-weeks old Charles Rivers CD-1 mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Portage, Michigan.

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Animal assignment

The mice used in this study were selected based on the body weight of those animals which did not lost any weight during pretest period (21 days). The selected animals (with body weights of 26-32 gm for males; 20-26 gm, females) were randomly assigned to the following test groups:

Test Group	Dose in diet (mg/kg)	90-day oral dose male	range finding study female
1 Cont.	0	15	15
2	300	15	15
3	1000	15	15 /
4	3000	15	15/
5	6000	15	15
6	10000	15	15

2. Diet Preparation:

The test compound/diet mixture was prepared weekly with the concentrations adjusted based on mean weekly food consumption measurements. The mixtures were store in stainless steel containers at room temperature. Samples of the mixture were taken for chemical analyses.

The mixture was found to be stable for 21 days, and the test diet preparations were periodically analyzed at weeks 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, and 12. The results indicated that the mean concentrations of the test diets were 94 to 101% of the targeted concentrations.

- 3. Animals received food and water ad libitum.
- 4. Statistics: The statistical methods used in this study are presented in Appendix 2.
- 5. Quality assurance statement was signed.

C. METHODS AND RESULTS:

1. Observations

Animals were inspected twice daily for signs of toxicity and mortality. Daily inspections included an external physical examination, gentle palpation of internal organs, and assessment for abnormal behavior or clinical signs.

Toxicity/Mortality (survival)

The mice in 6000 and 10000 mg/kg groups rejected the test diet as indicated by a sharp drop in food consumption (Table 3a & 3b). The body weights of these animals were significantly decreased (Table 1a & 1b). These animals showed signs of inanition. During the first week of treatment some deaths occured in 10000 mg/kg groups while in the 6000 mg/kg groups death or sacrifice in extremis occured in week 2. Prior to death, the animals in the 6000 and 10000 mg/kg groups showed signs of decreased defecation, haunched posture, hypothermia, tremors, reduced motor activity, labored breathing, partially closed eyes, and yellow material in the anogenital region. The animals in 6000 and 10000 mg/kg groups were sacrificed at week 3.

The survival rates of the animals in other dose groups were comparable to those of the controls. In the 3000 mg/kg group, decreased defecation was observed in most of the males, and hunched posture was also found in 2 females. For the 1000 and 300 mg/kg animals, there were no treatment related differences in clinical signs were observed relative to the controls.

2. Body weight

Animals were weighed weekly during pretest and treatment periods. The mean body weight of the test animals are presented in Tables 1a and 1b.

The decreases in body weights in 6000 and 10000 mg/kg males and females were discussed above. Statistically significant decreases in body weights of 3000 mg/kg males and females were observed. The mean body weights of 300 and 1000 mg/kg mice were comparable between treated and control mice.

The group mean body weight at week 13 and mean body weight changes from pretest period through week 13 are presented in Table 2. Greater than 10% drop in body weight was observe in 3000 mg/kg male and female mice at week 13 relative to the controls.

TABLE la

	WEEK				dummary of Body Weld	***		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-
	OF	O HG/KG	/DAY (CONT	ROL)	30	O MG/KG/DAY	•	100	OO HG/KG/DA	i.y
Parameters Heasured	STUDY	HEAN	<u>s.o.</u>	<u> </u>	HEAN	S.D.	N .	HEAN	S.D.	N
Body Weight	-2	30	1.7	15	30	1.7	15	30	1.7	15
grams	-,1	30	1.7	15	30	1.5	15	29	1.8	15
	1	31	17	.15	31	1.7	15	31	1.5	15
	2	32	1.9	15	32	1.8	15	32	1.8	15
	3	32	2.0	15	32	1.9	15	32	2.0	is
	' 4	33	2.0	15	33	2.5	15	32	2.0	15
	.5	34	1.7	15	34	2.1	15	33	2.0	15
	6	34	1.9	15	34	1.8	15	33	1.8	15
	,	35	2.1	15	34	1.9	15	34	1.9	15
	8	35	1.8	15	34	2.1	15	.34	1.6	15
	9	35	1.8	15	35	1.9	15	34	2.0	15
	10	35	1.9	15	35	2.2	15	34	1.8	15
	11	35	2.3	15	35	1.8	15	35	1.8	15
	12	35	2.1	15	36	2.2	15	35	2.0	15
	13	36	2.0	15	36	2.1	15	35	1.7	15

	WEEK OF	300	O HG/KG/UA	Y	.600	O MG/KG/DA	Y	1	1000	O MG/KG/DA	Y
arameters Heasured	STUDY	HEAN	S.D.	N	HEAN	\$.0.	н		HEAN	S.D.	H
Body Weight	-2	30 29	1.6	15	30	1.8	15		- 30	1.7	15
grams	-1		1.5	15	29_	2.0	15		29	1.6	15
•	1	29 ²	2.0	15	22 ²	1.2	11		31 ª	1.6	12
	2	28 ⁷	3.0	15	222	0.7	2		33 a	1.4	12
	3	29 ²	2.6	15							
	4	29 ²	2.4	15							
	5	30 ²	2.2	15							
	6	30 ²	2.5	15							
	1	31 ²	2.1	15							
	8	312	7.0	.15							
	.9	32 ²	2.2	15						•	
	10	31 ²	2.0	4.5		•					
	11	32 ²	2.0	.15							
	12	32 ²	1.6	15							
	13	32 ²	2.0	15							

555-002

S.D. - Standard Deviation

 $^2 \text{Significantly different trem the Control group; } \rho {<} 0.01$

N - Number of Animals

^aAnimals received control diet

* DATA TAKEN FROM SUBMISSION

TABLE 16

	WEEK			Fer	ales: Summary	of Body We	ight Value	<u> </u>			
	ÖF	O MG/K	G/DAY (CON	ITROL 1		30	O HG/KG/DA	•	10	00 W0 W0 W	•
Parameters Heasured	STUDY	HEAN	5.0.	H	_	HEAN	S.D.	H	HEAN	00 MG/KG/D. S.D.	AT H
Body Weight	-2	24	1.2	15							
grans	-1	23	1.4	15		24	1.3	15	.24	1.2	15
3. 0.23	1	25	1.3	15		23 25	1.3	45 15	24	1.4	15
	2	26	1.4	15		25 26	1.6		26	1.8	15
	3	26	1.6	15		27	1.3	15	26	1.4	15
	4	21	1.5	15				15	27	1.6	15
	ķ	27	1.6	15		27	1.2	15	27	1.8	15
	6	28	1.7	15		28	1,5	15	28	1.8	.15
	,	28 28	1.7	15		27	1.6	15	27	1.4	15
	8	28 28				28	1.7	15	28	1.3	15
	9		1.7	15		28	1.8	15	28	1.2	15
	10	29	1,4	15		28	1.6	15	29	1.4	15
	11	29	1.9	15		28	1.5	15	28	1.2	15
		29	1.8	15		29	1.9	15	29	1.7	15
	12	29	1.7	15		29	1.8	15	29	1.5	15
	13	29	2.1	15		29	1.8	15	29	1.5	15
	WEEK	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					,	
	OF	3000	MG/KG/DA	Y		600	O HG/KG/DA	γ .	1000	D HG/KG/DA	Ý
Parameters Heasured	STUDY	HEAN	5.0.	н		ME AN	S.D.	N	HEAH	S.D.	N
Body Weight	-2	24	1.3	15		24	1.2	15	22		
grams	-1	23	1.1	15		23	1.4	15	24 23	1.2 1.1	15 15
•	1	23 ²	2.0	15		23 18 ²	1.9	14	25 à	2.0	8
	2	23 ^Z	2.2	15		192	1.3	5	27 4	1.1	8
	3	242	2.1	15		••					• /
	4	25 ²	1.7	15							
	5	25 ¹	1.5	15							
	6	26 ²	1.7	15	*						
	7	26 ²	1.2	14							
	8	25 ²	1.9	14							
	9	26 ²	2.0	14							
	10	26 ²	7.1	14							
	11	26 ²	1.7	14							
	12	26 ²	1.3	14							
	13	. 26 ⁷	7.7	14							
	1.3	70	7.7	14							

555-002

S.D. - Standard Deviation

¹Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.05

2Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

H - Number of Animals

Animals received control diet

* DATA TAKEN FROM Submission

Table 2*

Mean Body Weight and Mean Body Weight Change (percent difference from control)

		Male differen		emale
Dosage Level (mg/kg/day)	Week 13 Mean Body Weight,	Weeks (-)1-13 Mean Body Weight Change,	Week 13 Mean Body Weight,	Weeks (-)1-13 Mean Body Weight Change,
0 (Control) 300 1000 3000	36 36 (0.0) 35 (- 2.8) 32 (-11.1)	6 6 (0.0) 6 (0.0) 3 (-50.0)	29 29 (0.0) 29 (0.0) 26 (-10.3)	6 6 (0.0) 5 (-16.7) 3 (-50.0)

* Data taken from submission

3. Food consumption and compound intake

Consumption was determined and mean daily diet consumption was calculated. Compound intake was calculated from food consumption and body weight gain data. The data on food consumption and compound intake are presented in Tables 3a and 3b.

Food consumption was calculated on the bases of both g/animal/day and g/kg/day. In 3000 mg/kg males, food consumption was significantly increased at several measuring periods in 3000 mg/kg group when reported as g/kg/day. No consistent changes in food intake were found in treated females relative to that of the controls.

- 4. Ophthalmological examination results are not reported.
- Results of clinical chemistry and hematology studies were not reported.

TABLE 3a

MALE ANIMALS: MEAN FOOD AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION

ANIM STIP KG/ KG/ ANIM STIP KG/ ANIM STIP KG/ ANIM ANIM STIP KG/ ANIM ANIM ANIM ANIM ANIM ANIM ANIM ANIM
9853 10077

4.50

TABLE 36

FEMALE ARIMALS: MEAN FORD AND COMPONED CONTRIBETION

555-002	2 -	OBSERV.	OBSERV. PERIODS 1 2 3 3 7 7 10 11 12
OBSERV. ANIM SID DEV CMPD	ພຸນ	G/ ANIM/ DAY	O MO O MILL/ O NY IN/ O N O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
ERV ANIM - CMPD -	0.85 1.29	6000 000 STD STD	STD DEV 1.19 2.044 1.13 2.25 1.154 4.1.13 0.97 1.34 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.11 2.1
Observation Animal Standard do Compound co	131.5	5000 MG/KG/DAY	MG/KG/DAY (CONTROL) FOOD FOOD FOOD G/ MG/ MG/ MG/ MG/ MG/ MG/ MG/ MG/ MG/
ERV Observation ANIM - Animal DEV - Standard deviation CMPD - Compound consumption CMPD - Animals received control dist DATA TAKEN Fredm Submission	3763 5424	CMPD	CMPD CMPD O.000
control Submi	5. S. G. +	G/ ANIM/ DAY	DAY V DAY DE COMPANION DE COM
1919	1.26 0.40	10000 F00D V STD DEV	FOOD MG FOOD MG FOOD 1. SID DEV 1. 0.79 1.09 1.09 1.01 1.23 1.47 1.23 1.47 1.23 1.48 0.83 0.83
*	203.6	10000 MG/KG/DAY	300 MG/KG/DAY OD STD KG/ DEV DAY 0.79 215.3 1.09 220.4 1.01 224.3 1.04 254.0 0.69 220.4 1.23 216.0 1.47 225.7 0.80 210.4 0.91 219.8 0.83 211.3 0.83 201.4 1.36 220.6
significant at	5296 0.000 a	CNPD MG/ XG/ DAY	CMPD KG/
کم کم			00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0.0.			1000 FOOD FOOD OEV 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.62 0.62 0.65 1.01
۲			11 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
+ signi			KG/DAY CMPD WG/ WG/ AY DAY D
miticand at 0.01			0.04-1-2-2-0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2			0.76 0.76 0.78 0.78 0.89 0.56 0.58 0.88
0.01			3000 MG/KG/DAY FOOD 0,76 196.9 2 0.76 206.0 3 1.25 232.1 3 1.25 232.1 3 1.26 201.6 3 1.01 210.3 3 1.0.56 197.7 2 0.56 197.7 2 0.56 197.7 2 0.56 197.7 2 0.56 197.7 2 0.57 195.0 3 1.01 210.3 3 0.58 196.6 3 0.58 196.6 3 0.58 196.6 3 0.58 199.6 3 0.58 199.6 3
			CMPD NG/ NG/ PAY 2817 21319 3159 3141 2633 2633 2035 3012 2018 2018 2018 3023 3023 3023 3023 3023 3023 3023 302

7. Sacrifice and Pathology All animals that died and that were sacrificed on schedule
were subject to gross pathological examination and the
CHECKED (X) tissues were collected for histological
examination. The (XX) organs in addition were weighed.

Digestive system Cardiovasc./Hemat. Neurologic | | Tonque .Aorta* |xx.Brain*t | | .Salivary glands* |x|.Heart* | Periph. nerve*# .Esophagus* |x| .Bone marrow* |x| Spinal cord (3 levels)*# .Stomach* |x|.Lymph nodes* |x|.Pituitary* .Duodenum* |s|.Spleen* | Eyes (optic n.)*# .Jejunum* |x|.Thymus* Glandular ·Ileum* Urogenital |x|.Adrenals* .Cecum* xx.Kidneys*t | | Lacrimal gland# .Colon* | |.Urinary bladder* | | Mammary gland*# | | Rectum* xx.Testes*t x Parathyroids*++ |xx.Liver*t |x| Epididymides |x|.Thyroids*tt | | Gall bladder*# Prostate Other x Pancreas* | | Seminal vesicle |x| Bone*# Respiratory |x| Ovaries*t | | Skeletal muscle*# | .Trachea* | |.Uterus* | | Skin*# |x| .Lung* |x| All gross lesions Nose* and masses* Pharynxº Larynxo

- * Required for subchronic and chronic studies
- · Required for chronic inhalation
- # In subchronic studies, examined only if indicated
 by signs of toxicity or target organ involvement
- t Organ weights required in subchronic and chronic studies
- tt Organ weight required for non-rodent studies

a. Organ Weight

Absolute and relative organ weights (organ/body & organ/brain) are presented in Table 4.

In treated males, a slight decrease in absolute kidney weight was observed in 3000 mg/kg mice. Increases in absolute and relative liver weights were found in all treated males, and those of 1000 and 3000 mg/kg groups were significantly different from that of the controls.

In treated females, significant decreases in absolute brain and kidney weights were observed in 3000 mg/kg mice. The absolute and relative liver weights of all treated females were significantly increased relative to the controls.

TABLE 4

	DAY OF	0 HG/KG	/DAY (CONTI	ROLI		of Organ He			10. 110.110.15				
Parameters Heasured	STUDY	HEAN	\$.0.	H	HEAN	S.D.	H	HEAN	0 HG/KG/DA1 5.D.	. н	HEAN	O HG/KG/DA	
					Hales:						HEAN	S.D.	<u> </u>
Body Weight g	90	35	3.3	15	35	2.5	15	35	2.2	15	33	2.3	15
Brain Y	90	0.49	0.031	15	0,.51	0.034	15	0, 49	0.043	15	0.49	0.037	15
Brain/Body Weight ≴x10	90	14.4	1.48	15	14.6	1.59	15	14.1	1.56	15	15.0	1.18	15
Kidney g	90	0.72	0.084	15	0.72	0.086	,15	0.68	0.072	15	0.641	0.068	15
Kidney/Body Welght K	90	2.09	0.304	15	2.06	0.209	15	1,98	0.179	15	1.95	0.200	15
Kidney/Brain Height Ex10 ⁻²	90	1.5	0.17	15	1.4	0.17	15	1.4	0.26	15	1.3	0.14	15
Liver J	90	1.93	0.218	15	2.10	0.166	15	2.442	0.298	15	2.792	0.237	15
lver/Body Weight G	90	5.59	0.601	15	5.98	0.340	15	7.052	0.647	15	8.53 ²	0.641	15
.iver/Brain Weight (x10 ⁻²	90	3.91	0.456	15	4.14	0.501	15	5.07 ²	0.783	15	5.69 ²	0.385	15
estis	90	0.27	0.039	15	0.27	0.050	15	0.26	0.036	15	0.26	0.048	15
estis/Body Weight ×10	90	7.96	0.994	.15	7.70	1.486	15	7.48	1.088	15	8.07	1.616	15
estis/Brain Weight x10 ⁻¹	90	5.55	0.649	15	5.30	1.037	15	5.33	0.677	15	5.37	0.936	15
					females:							•	
ody Weight	90	30	2.7	15	29	1.8	14	29	1.7	15	272	1.8	14
rain	90	0.51	0.043	15	0.50	0.02/	15	0.49	0.032	15	0.471	0.038	14
rain/Body Weight ×10	90	17.4	1.84	.15	17.2	1.52	34	17.0	1.57	15	17.8	1.76	14
idney	90	0.49	0.044	15	0.48	0.030	15	0.45	p.051	15	0.422	0.044	14
idney/Body Weight	90	1.65	0.162	15	1.68	0.096	14	1.56	0.165	15	1.56	0.201	14
idney/Brain Weight ×10 ⁻²	90	1.0	0.12	15	1.0	0.08	15	0.9	i).09	15	U.9	0.13	14
iver	90	1.66	0.256	15	1.79	0.145	15	1.952	0.161	15	2,342	0.254	14
iver/Body Weight	90	5.59	0.613	15	6.19 ²	0.444	14	6.772	0.525	15	8.742	1.000	14
iver/Brain Weight ×10 ⁻²	90	3.25	0.569	15	3.611	0.304	15	4.002	u. 368	15	4.95 ²	0.613	14

S.D. - Standard Deviation N - Number of Animals

¹Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.05

²Significantly different from the Control group; p<0.01

The increases of absolute liver weight in 1000 and 3000 mg/kg males and females and of relative weights in all treated mice were statistically significantly different from those of the controls.

b. Gross Pathology

Gross pathology findings are presented in Table 5a & 5b. Increased incidence of mottled and accentuated lobulations of the liver was observed in males and females of 6000~mg/kg group.

c. Microscopic Pathology

Relevant histopathology findings are abstracted and presented in Table 6. There was an increased incidence of liver hypertrophy in males of 1000 and 3000 mg/kg groups and in 3000 mg/kg females relative to that of the controls.

DISCUSSION

When groups of CR CD-1 mice (15/sex/dose) were fed DEET at dietary concentrations of 300, 1000, 3000, 6000, and 10000 mg/kg, the animals in 6000 and 10000 mg/kg groups showed marked decreases in food intake and body weight during the first week of the study. Also some mice died during that time. The animals clearly could not tolerate 6000 and 10000 mg/kg dose levels, and these animals were sacrificed and removed from the study at week 3.

Early in the study, animals in 3000 mg/kg group showed signs of decreased defecation. No treatment-related clinical signs were observed in 300 and 1000 mg/kg groups.

Mean body weights of 3000 mg/kg males and females were significantly decreased, and at week 13 the body weights of these animals were 10 to 11% lower than that of the controls. However, the food consumption data showed no consistent difference between treated and control animals.

At terminal sacrifice, gross pathology data showed increased incidence of mottled liver and accentuated lobulations of liver in both males and females of 6000 and 10000 mg/kg groups. These findings were observed in animals which died in early part of the study. It should be noted that the surviving animals of these two dose groups were sacrificed at week 3 and discarded.

Absolute and relative liver weights (liver/body & liver/brain)

TABLE SA

SUMMARY OF MACROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS
Deaths and Unscheduled Sacrifices, 0 to Termination, Terminal Sacrifice of Males

ABLE 56

SUMMARY OF MACROSCOPIC OBSERVATIONS

Deaths and Unscheduled Sacrifices, 0 to Termination, Terminal Sa

Deaths and Unscheduled Sacrifices, 0 to Termination, Terminal	fices, 0 to	Terminat	ion, Ter	minal S	Sacrifice of Females	e of Fe	males					
SITE - Observation	0 mg/kg/day (Control) DOS IS	rol)	300 mg/kg/day DOS IS	day TS	1000 mg/kg/day DOS TS	day	3000 mg/kg/day DOS TS	day	6000 mg/kg/day	day	10000 mg/kg/day DOS 15	OO IS
NUMBER OF ANIMALS EXAMINED	0	ū	0	<u>ت</u>	0	٦ ا	-	=	=	٥	7	0
NUMBER WITHIN NORMAL LIMITS	ာ	G	0	= :	0 (12		12	o :	0 (·	0 (
ALL TISSUES	÷											We will be the section of the sectio
- Autolysis, mild		4	7						_			
EYE												
									•			
fuse,	rate		•						N - 1			
- Pale, moderate	rate								-		-	
LUNG - Congestion, muitifocal, mild - Nodule				•		<u>-</u>			2			
- Cyst, unliateral, mild		•.				2						
SKIN Scab, mild												
STOMACH, GLANDULAR - Mucosa, erosions, foci, black/dark red, - modorate	rote								u		N UI	
TAIL - Nacrotic, png								2				
- Homorrhage, ecchymotic, local, moderate									هند			
Dos S1	Deaths and Unscheduled Sacrifices Terminal Sacrifice Present, no grade Surviving animals sacrificed and	nschedul rifice grade imals sa	ed Sacri	fices	discarded							

**

555-002

CODE: () = NUMBER OF ARTMALS EXAMINED

1

Ä

	1011011	
i omate	0 mg/kg/day 100 1006 1000 3,000 6,000 mg/kg/day mg/kg/da	(DATA TAKEN From Sub mission
	1000 mg/kg/day	Sub mis
	mu'ky/day	Sland)
	6000	
	mg/kg/nay	

UHSERVATION 1153UE		(Control)	Aepyteay(m	mg/kg/day	mu/kg/day	mg/kg/day	mg/kg/itay
			l omale				
		(10)	(0)	(10)	(10)	(0)	9
Cryst, trace				5	0	0	ε
Indianiation,		2 =	- c	: c		: :	= =
	- Linge	- :	3 ∙)	-0-	> C (: :
Wilhin manual limits	;	<u>.</u>	œ:	Ξ,	- ت	= :	= :
		-	حند	Ξ'	0	=	Ξ:
		(; ()	(10)	(01)	(10)	(n)	(0)
The state of the s		c	s	. C:	ōē	ó C	- -
	- 1790	0	c s	N -	ധം	0 (0
	/ inclerate	0 1	0	: c	J	. .	: C
Inflammation, trace		i e	.	~ c	= •	0 (· 5°
Vacuotas Giangas milia		5	S ' '	0		o	Ξ
			Male				
X ÷Q⊃eY		(10)	(10)	<u>(6</u>	(10)	60	o ()
Cyst, trace Cast, hyaline, trace			, o c	သငင	-00	00	00
		: : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::) CO +	o ~ 0	- છ -	: 0	c o
Regeneration, trace		•	ı	÷			
Liver		(0)	(i o	(6)		- 6	(o)
Hypertrophy.	-trace	00	00	00	· N (0	0
	DI i e	,0	00))	0 7	0 0	0 0
inflammation,	- trace	- 1	0 (0	0	0	0
	-m11d	-	0	. 0	• •	0	0
Necrosis, mild		5 -	0	õc	- 0	00	00

were increased in animals of 1000 and 3000 mg/kg groups, and similar increases were also found in 300 mg/kg females. The increased liver weight was considered to be biologically significant.

Increased incidences of liver hypertrophy were observed in 3000 mg/kg males and in 1000 mg/kg and 3000 mg/kg females.

Based on increased liver weight in all treated females, a NOEL can not be established. In addition, this study was a dose range-finding study. According to the Subdivision F Guidelines, this study is classified as <u>Supplementary</u>.

Appendix /

Pages 66 through 68 are not included in this copy. The material not included contains the following type of information: Identity of product inert ingredients Identity of product impurities Description of the product manufacturing process Description of product quality control procedures Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s) The document is not responsive to the request		is not included in this copy.
Identity of product inert ingredients Identity of product impurities Description of the product manufacturing process Description of product quality control procedures Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	Pages	s <u>66</u> through <u>68</u> are not included in this copy.
Identity of product inert ingredients Identity of product impurities Description of the product manufacturing process Description of product quality control procedures Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	 	•
Identity of product impurities Description of the product manufacturing process Description of product quality control procedures Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)		
Description of the product manufacturing process Description of product quality control procedures Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	I	dentity of product inert ingredients
Description of product quality control procedures Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	I	dentity of product impurities
Identity of the source of product ingredients Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	D	escription of the product manufacturing process
Sales or other commercial/financial information A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	D	escription of product quality control procedures
A draft product label The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	I	dentity of the source of product ingredients
The product confidential statement of formula Information about a pending registration action K FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	S	ales or other commercial/financial information
Information about a pending registration action X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	A	draft product label
X FIFRA registration data The document is a duplicate of page(s)	T	he product confidential statement of formula
The document is a duplicate of page(s)	I	nformation about a pending registration action
	<u>X</u> F	IFRA registration data
The document is not responsive to the request	T	he document is a duplicate of page(s)
	Ti	he document is not responsive to the request