March 31, 1980

Impurities in Pentachlorophenol

Chemist, Environmental Fate Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Project Manager
Special Pesticide Review Division (TS-791)

Chief, Environmental Fate Branch
Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

We have incorporated the latest submissions from Dow, Monsanto, and Reichhold on the impurities in pentachlorophenol formulations, which you handed to us on March 26, 1980, into the attached table. We did not review the analytical methods because they were not submitted with the data. Please replace the earlier table in the Environmental Fate Profile of February 15, 1980 and the Exposure Analysis of March 19, 1980 with the revised table.

You will note that the exposure estimates for hexachlorodioxin will be modified to reflect the latest data submissions. EFB has selected 15 ppm as representative of hexachlorodioxin content in currently marketed technical penta. A revised table to be inserted in the PD 2/3 exposure analysis (replacing the current exposure summary Table 7) will be submitted shortly.

It should be pointed out that the Reichhold letter promises further submissions on the HCB content of their formulations. We will review this data when we receive it.

R. Rakshpal
Pentachlorophenol environmental fate review

Page 2 is not included in this copy.
Pages _____ through _____ are not included in this copy.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

____ Identity of product inert ingredients
____ Identity of product impurities
X Description of the product manufacturing process
____ Description of product quality control procedures
____ Identity of the source of product ingredients
____ Sales or other commercial/financial information
____ A draft product label
____ The product confidential statement of formula
____ Information about a pending registration action
____ FIFRA registration data
____ The document is a duplicate of page(s) _________
____ The document is not responsive to the request

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.