US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

		Date Out of EFGWB: 1109
10:	J. Edwards Product Manager # 74 Registration Division (H7505C)	17 10
FROM:	Michael R. Barrett, Acting Head Ground-Water Technology Section Environmental Fate & Ground-Water Br	• /
THRU:	Henry Jacoby, Chief Environmental Fate & Ground-Water Br	anch/EFED (H7507C)
Attach	med, please find the EFGWB review of:	•
Reg./F	File #:ID = 464-404	
Chemic	val Name: Chlorpyrifos	
Type P	Product: insecticide	
Produc	et Name: Dursban®, Lorsban®	
Compan	ny Name: DOW Chemical Corporation	
Purpos	se: Comment on the detection lim	nit and analytical method used
	for chlorpyrifos and 3,5,6-t	richloro-2-pyridinol degradate
Date F	Received : 10/31/89	Action Code: 660
Date C	Completed: <u>5/20/91</u>	EFGWB # (s):90-0048
Monito	oring study requested:	Total Review Time: 2-days
Monito	oring study voluntarily:	
Deferr	rals To: X Ecological Effects Bran	nch
	Science Integration and	l Policy Staff, EFED
	Non-Dietary Exposure Br	ranch , HED
	Dietary Exposure Branch	n, HED
	Toxicology Branch I, H	EID .
	Toxicology Branch II, H	HED
		ė.

Shaughnessy No.: 059101

1. CHEMICAL: Common name: chlorpyrifos

Chemical name: 0,0-Diethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl)

phosphorothioate

Trade name:

Dursbane, Lorsbane

Structure:

major degradate

chlorpyrifos

3,5,6,-trichloro-2-pyridinol

2. TEST MATERIAL: N/A

Comment on the registrant's detection limit and analytical 3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE: method used to detect chloropyrifos and the major degradate

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION: The attached October 9, 1989 memorandum, item V., outlines the registrant's objections to comments by Agency reviewers concerning their high detection limits.

5. REVIEWED BY:

John H. Jordan, Ph.D.

Microbiologist

OPP/EFED/EFGWB/Ground-Water Section

Signature:

Date: 5/21/91

6. APPROVED BY:

Elizabeth Behl, Acting Head

OPP/EFED/EFGWB/Ground-Water Section

Signature:

Date: 7/10/9/

# 7. CONCLUSIONS:

Monitoring data indicate that chlorpyrifos residues exist in ground water below 50 ppb. Although the registrant, and others, have been using a classical analytical method with a minimum detection limit (MDL) of 50 ppb, there are now EPA approved analytical methods with MDLs at the 1 ppb or sub-ppb level for chlorpyrifos parent and the major degradate TCP in water and soil. The methods are referenced in the Recommendations Section.

Although deficiencies exist in the data base, there is sufficient information to indicate that chlorpyrifos is not likely to leach to ground water. The parent material is moderately persistent but relatively immobile. Chlorpyrifos has been monitored in several states, e.g., California and Florida but no validated detecttions have been reported. The major degradate, TCP, however, is mobile and moderately persistent in soil; consequently, TCP has a potential to reach ground water. TCP (3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol) has not been monitored and consequently there is no data base.

The Ecological Effects Branch (EEB) indicated that chlorpyrifos is extremely toxic to aquatic organisms, e.g., the LC<sub>50</sub> for the marine invertebrate mysid shrimp is 0.035 ppb. Therefore, it will be necessary to lower the minimum detection limit (MDL) in order to monitor for the low residue levels that affect some aquatic organisms. We defer to EEB to specify limits of detection required to monitor for residues in specific aquatic organisms.

On March 18, 1991 we were informed by Elizabeth Doyle, Section Chief, Toxicology Branch II, that the TCP degradate is of no toxicological concern (to humans) and will not be included in the food tolerance.

### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

There are three EPA approved methods of analysis:

- (a) for Chlorpyrifos Method No. 507 or 508. (NPS methods # 1 and 2)
- (b) for TCP (the major degradate) method No. 515.1 (NPS method # 3) (exclude the hydrolysis step)

The above methods were used by EPA in the National Pesticide Survey (NPS) and are available for use by the registrant. For water analysis, using the EPA validated methods, MDLs and limits of quantitation should be much less than 20 ppb for chlorpyrifos and 50 ppb for TCP reported by the registrant. For ground-water pesticide residues the Ground-Water Section prefers that MDLs for soil be down to at least 5 ppb, or lower if possible; for residues in water 0.1 - 0.2 ppb, or lower is preferred. Monitoring aquatic organisms will require lowering the MDLs from 50 ppb to below one ppb; EEB will give the registrant appropriate guidelines for monitoring aquatic sites. The EPA Bay ST. Louis (Mississippi) laboratory can verify (validate) the registrant some methods for chlorpyrifos and TCA.

# Analytical Methods References

### NPS # 1 (Method No. 507)

Determination of N and P Containing Pesticides in Water by GC with N/P detection. Revision 2.0, EPA, EMSL R&D, Cincinnati, Ohio.

#### NPS # 2 (Method 508)

Deterination of Clorinated Paesticides in Water by GC with Electron Capture detection. Revision 3.0, EPA, EMSL R&D, Cincinnati, Ohio.

# NPS #3 (Method No. 515.1)

Determination of Chlorinated Acids in Water by GC with Electron Capture Detection. EPA, EMSL R&D, Cincinnati,Ohio.

#### 9. BACKGROUND:

#### A. Introduction

The Kds in three soils ranged from 50 to 100 for chlorpyrifos and Kocs averaged 6000. Only 0.3 to 1.3 % of the radioactivity appeared in the eluate from three soils. The half-life of the parent ranged from 33 to 56 days for 3 soils. In contrast, a Kd for the TCP degradate was 0.35; the half-life was similar to the parent. The anaerobic half-life was approximately one year.

The registrant contends that since the parent does not have a potential to leach into ground water and the TCP degradate is of no toxicological concern, there is no need to lower the detection and quantitation limits to monitor residues in soil and water. However, EFGW Branch is charged with monitoring for residues even though there is little or no toxicological concern, at present. Interactions of ground-water residues could change some innocuous chemical residue moities into hazardous compounds even though some interactants (residues) may have been of little or no toxicological concern. Also, present biological methods of testing for toxicological effects are not always effective indicators for all chemicals. It is much safer and more cost effective to keep residues out of ground water than to remove them.

### B. Directions For Use

Chlorpyrifos is a broad spectrum insecticide which is active by contact, ingestion, and vapor action. It is registered for use on tree fruit and nut crops, field and vegetable crops, ornamentals (including greenhouse), lawns and ornamental turf, domestic outdoor and indoor sites, commercial establishments, (edible and nonedible product areas), aquatic non-crop sites, terrestrial non-crop sites, and poultry, pet, and animal housing, and on beef cattle and dogs. Chlorpyrifos is also used as a seed treatment.

Of the total domestic chlorpyrifos usage, 57% is applied to corn and 5-6% to cotton. Commercial pest control and lawn and garden services comprise 20-22% of annual chlorpyrifos consumption followed by domestic household and lawn and garden use (9-13%). Application rates range from 0.1 oz ai/A for some seed treatments to 50 lbs ai/A for certain tree fruit applications.

#### 10. DISCUSSION:

Regardless of the toxicology profile, the Environmental Fate and Ground-Water Branch is charged with determining the potential of registered pesticides and their degradate residues to reach ground water.

Current methods of analysis for numerous pesticide residues in water can accurately quantitate residues below O.1 ppb. A similar detection/quantitation limit for TCP and parent in the ground-water matrix can also be developed by modifying the EPA methods referenced in the Recommendations Section. Mr. Bob Maxey, The EPA Bay St. Louis Laboratory, indicated they are confident that a method for detecting sub-ppm levels can be developed. The methods used or developed by the registrant to detect and quantify parent and TCP, at or below the claimed levels, must be validated by an Agency lab.

In the attached letter the registrant stated that 50 ppb is the accepted MDL for determining TCP in water. However, analytical methods for TCP and chlorpyrifos referenced in the Recommendations Section detect and quantitate parent and TCP at the ppb or sub-ppb level.

According to a facsimile (FAX) received from Ms. Pat Nugent of Dow Elanco on 1/24/91, Dow uses a 1984 method for TCP titled: McKellar, R.L. and W.C. Brown. Determination of Triclopyr and 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol in Water by Gas Chromatography. A gas chromatographic method must be confirmed by mass spectrometry but the method we received from Dow did not indicate that a mass spectrometer was used. As the registrant indicated, background must be subtracted when using prepared standards and GC.

4

If the registrant will use the methods specified in this action, the Agency believes that Dow Elanco will be able to detect and quantify parent chloropyrifos and the major degradate TCP at the ppb or sub-ppb level.

# chlorpyrifos

(0,0-Diethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl)phosphorothicate)

3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridinol

3,5,6-Trichloro-2-methyoxypyridine

Code	Structure	Chemical Name
I .	CC2H5	0.0-dietnyl 0-(3.5.5-trichloro-2- pyridyl)phosphorothicate (chlor- pyrifos)
II	CC 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	0.9-diethyl 0-(3.5-dichloro-Z- syridyl)phosphorothicate
3	(a) CC542	0.0-distayl G-(dichloro, metaylthio, 2-pyricyl)phosphorothicate
Y:	CI N C-P CCZHS	C-ethyl O-(3,5.5-trichlors-2-pyridyl)phosphorothicate
Y	CH CH CH CC2H5	O-ethyl O-(3,5,5-trichloro-2-pyridyl)- phosphate
VI	CH SC2HS	S-ethyl O-(3,5,5-trichloro-2-pyridyl)- pnospnorothicate
ип	CI N O-P CH	3.5.6-Trichloro-2-pyridyl phosphate
AIII	CI CI CI	3,5,5-Trichloro-2-pyridinol
ΪX	HO-P OC2HS	Diethyl thiconosphate
X	HO-P CC2H5	Diethyl phosphate

The exact position of the thiomethyl group was not determined; however, it was determined that the group is located at either position 2 or 5 on the ring.

Use this form for		United States Offi	Environnice of Pest	nental Protection Age icide Programs n, DC 20460	ency	Pack Nur	nber		Date Rece	ived
<b>⇔</b> E	PA	Confidential But	siness Info	ew Record ormation - Does not communion (E.O. 12065	ontain i)			5 ED	10-	3/-89
1. Product Name	•	chlinger,	Cic		_	Chemical	Name	411	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	59/11-6
2.	operacji i ostania i i in	3.	4. Action	5. MRID/				6.		
Identifying		Record Number	Code	Accession Number	<del>. 1011-7-10-1-1</del>	·	Study Gu	ideline or	Narrative	
417-7-	701	237308	O U !				And the distribute of the the			
	<del>jada saja la jagan jamba jagi jaba</del>		1			<del>-                                    </del>		•	<del> </del>	
			1							
									·	<del></del>
7. Reference No	. 8. Date R	ec'd (EPA) 9. Prod/F	Review Mg	r/DCI 10. PM/RM	Team N	lo. 11. Date 1	o HED/		turn Date	13. Date Returned
· \	10.1	7-84 JE	DILAR	PT DY		EFED/	RD/BEAD	3%	30-19	to RD/SRRD
nstructions	1				1	1		30	114.20	
ii isti ucuons			1 -3 /-	1 ( /-	1	1400	<u></u>	225	100	3 -14
	w **					,		7	1	<b>\</b>
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	***		1.1-	ارچه <del>نمینی</del>	<u>v</u> .			
•		<b>A</b>	Her	•	A ·	7-1-5	17	 	. #	<u>.</u>
•					. 1			Γ	I = II	
		7 71 1	ln :	- Hitting	1 mino 1	~ 1	* 1	4-2 6	, , ,	
		7 1/4	.	h H	1 <del></del>	~ · / · · · ·	*	And in the		
•		1/1	h .							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14. Check Appli	icable Box	· 1/1	This Se	ection Applies to Revi				15. No. o	Individua	
	icable Box 6(a)(2) Data	(405)	This So	ection Applies to Revi	ew of St	udies Only			Individua	
Adverse Special	6(a)(2) Data Review Data	(870)		Generic Data (Product Specific	ew of Street	udies Only ation) (660) Peregistratio		15. No. of Subm	Individua itted	l Studies
Adverse Special 16. Have any of	6(a)(2) Data Review Data the above st	(870) tudies (in whole or in		Generic Data (Product Specific	ew of Street	udies Only ation) (660) Peregistratio		15. No. of Subm	Individua	l Studies
Adverse Special 16. Have any of	6(a)(2) Data Review Data the above st	(870)		Generic Data (Product Specific	ew of Street	udies Only ation) (660) Peregistratio	ń) (655)	15. No. of Subm	Individua	I Studies
Adverse Special 16. Have any of	6(a)(2) Data Review Data the above si ase identity t	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les))		Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (F ed for re	udies Only ation) (660) Peregistratio	ń) (655)	15. No. or Subm	Individua itted ed Actions	I Studies
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple	6(a)(2) Data Review Data the above si ease identity t	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les)) Type o	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (F ed for re	udies Only ation) (660) Reregistration view? Reviews Also	ń) (655)	15. No. or Subm	Individua itted ed Actions	I Studies
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple	6(a) (2) Data Review Data the above si ase identity to Science Toxicolo	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinatogy/HFA	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistro c Data (F ed for re	ation) (660) Peregistration view? Reviews Also	n)(655)  No Sent to	15. No. or Subm 17. Relate	Individua itted ed Actions 0. Data Re	I Studies view Criteria
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To	6(a) (2) Data Review Data the above si ase identity to Science Toxicole Toxicole	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinatogy/HFA ogy/IR	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistro c Data (for re	ation) (660) Reregistration view? Reviews Also AC DX/HFA DX/IR	No Sent to PC PL	15. No. or Subm 17. Relate	Individua itted  ed Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data which	I Studies
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple	6(a) (2) Data Review Data I the above si ease iclemity I  Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les))  Type of Analysis & Coordinately (1874)	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistro c Data (F ed for re	ation) (660) Peregistration view? Reviews Also CC DX/HFA DX/IR	n) (655)  No Sent to PC	15. No. or Subm 17. Relate	Individua itted ed Actions 0. Data Re Note No.	I Studies view Criteria 31
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple	Science Toxicole Dietary Nondier	(870) Itudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinately (IR) Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (F ed for re	ation) (660) Reregistration view? Reviews Also NC DX/HFA DX/IR	No Sent to PC PL	15. No. of Subm 17. Relate 2 A. Policy	Individua itted  ad Actions  Data Re  Note No.  data whice meet 3(c criteria	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or (2)(B) flagging
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To	Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondiet Ecologi Environ	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinately/HFA togy/HFA Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (F ed for re	ation) (660) Reregistration view? Reviews Also AC DX/HFA DX/IR EB	No Sent to PC PL AC	15. No. of Subm 17. Relate 2 A. Policy	Individua itted  ad Actions  Data Re  Note No.  data whice meet 3(c criteria	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or (2)(B) flagging
Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To	Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondie Ecologi Environ Special	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinatogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (F ed for re	ation) (660) Reregistration view? Reviews Also NC DX/HFA DX/IR	No Sent to PC PL AC	15. No. of Subm 17. Relate 2 A. Policy 1 =	Individualitied  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data white meet 3(c criteria data of p from regions)	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or 1)(2)(B) flagging articular concernistration standard
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To	Science Toxicole Dietary Nondiet Ecologi Environ Special Reregis	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinatogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (F ed for re	ation) (660) Peregistration view? Reviews Also COUNTRESES	No Sent to PC PL AC	15. No. of Subm 17. Relate 2 A. Policy 1 =	Individualitied  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data white meet 3(c criteria data of p from regions)	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or )(2)(B) flagging articular concern stration standard
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To	Science Toxicole Dietary Nondiet Ecologi Environ Special Reregis Generic Insectic	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les))  Type of Analysis & Coordinate ogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review tration chemical Support ide-Rodenticide	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (for re	ation) (660) Peregistration view? Reviews Also COX/HFA DX/HFA DE EB EGWB	No Sent to PC PL AC	15. No. or Subm  17. Relate  2 A. Policy  1 =	Individualited  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data white meet 3(coriterial data of photom regions of the coriterial data necessary data	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or )(2)(B) flagging articular concern stration standard
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To  HED  SRRD	Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondiet Ecologi Environ Special Reregis Generic Insectic Fungicie	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinate ogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review tration Chemical Support ide-Rodenticide de-Herbicide	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (Fred for re	ation) (660) Peregistration view? Reviews Also COX/HFA DX/HFA DE EB EGWB	No Sent to PC PL AC	15. No. of Subm  17. Relate  2 A. Policy  1 =  3 =	Individualited  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data which meet 3(coriteria)  data of p from region  data necetiered test	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or 1(2)(B) flagging articular concernistration standard
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To	Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondiet Ecologi Environ Special Reregis Generic Insectic Fungici Antimic	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(ies))  Type of Analysis & Coordinate ogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review tration Chemical Support ide-Rodenticide de-Herbicide	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra c Data (for re	ation) (660) Reregistration view? Reviews Also CONTRES SEB SGWB RERESCO	No Sent to PC PL AC	15. No. of Subm  17. Relate  2 A. Policy  1 =  3 =	Individualited  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data which meet 3(coriteria)  data of p from region  data necetiered test	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or 1(2)(B) flagging articular concernistration standard
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To  HED  SRRD	Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondie Ecologi Environ Special Reregis Generic Insectic Fungici Antimic Product Precaut	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les))  Type of Analysis & Coordinate ogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review tration chemical Support ide-Rodenticide de-Herbicide robial t Chemistry	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	ew of Street of	ation) (660) Peregistration view?  Reviews Also COUNTIES	No Sent to PC PL AC BA	15. No. of Subm  17. Relate  2 A. Policy  1 =  3 =  B. Section  1 =	Individualitied  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data white meet 3(coriteria  data of p from region regi	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or (2)(B) flagging articular concern stration standard essary to determine thing requirements upport of section 3 section 18
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To  HED  SRRD  RD	Science Toxicole Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondie Ecologi Environ Special Reregis Generic Insectic Fungicie Antimic Product Precaut Econon	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les))  Type of Analysis & Coordinate ogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Ground Review tration at Chemical Support ide-Rodenticide de-Herbicide robial at Chemistry tionary Labeling onic Analysis	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	leregistra (c Data (f ed for re	ation) (660) Peregistration view?  Reviews Also COUNTIES	No Sent to PC PL AC BA	15. No. of Subm  17. Relate  2 A. Policy  1 =  3 =  B. Sectio  1 =  C. Inert In	Individualited  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data white meet 3(c criteria data of p from region data nect tiered testing in lieu of mgredients	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or (2)(B) flagging articular concern stration standard essary to determinating requirements upport of section 3 section 18
Adverse Special 16. Have any of Yes (Ple  18. To  HED  SRRD	Science Toxicole Toxicole Dietary Nondiet Ecologi Environ Special Reregis Generic Insectic Fungici Antimic Product Precaut Econon Analytic	(870) tudies (in whole or in the study(les))  Type of Analysis & Coordinate ogy/HFA ogy/IR Exposure tary Exposure cal Effects mental Fate & Groun Review tration chemical Support ide-Rodenticide de-Herbicide robial t Chemistry	part) bee	Generic Data (Product Specific	ew of Street of	ation) (660) Peregistration view?  Reviews Also COUNTIES	No Sent to PC PL AC BA	15. No. of Subm  17. Relate  2 A. Policy  1 =  3 =  B. Sectio  1 =  C. Inert In	Individualited  and Actions  D. Data Re  Note No.  data white meet 3(c criteria data of p from region data nect tiered testing in lieu of mgredients	view Criteria 31 ch meet 6(a)(2) or 1(2)(B) flagging articular concern stration standard essary to determinating requirements apport of section 3 section 18

EPA Form 8570-17 (Rev. 11-88) Previous editions are obsolete. White - Data Coordinator Yellow - Data Review Section Pink - PM/RM/DCI Green - Return with completed review

