

Shaughnessy No.: 059101 Date Out of EAB:

To: Jay Ellenberger Product Manager 12 Registration Division (TS-767)

From: Emil Regelman, Supervisory Chemist Review Section #3 Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Attached, please find the EAB review of ...

Reg./File # : 464-404

Chemical Name: Chlorpyrifos

Type Product : Insecticide

Product Name : Dursban

Company Name : Dow Chemical U.S.A.

Purpose : Addendum to a Standard

<del></del>
<del></del>

Deferrals to:

Ecological Effects Branch Residue Chemistry Branch

Toxicology Branch

•		The second second	····.				6	2K3		
, in	Confidential	REGISTRAT Business Informa	TION	DIVISIO Does Not Co	N DATA R	EVIEW R	ECORD	. 12065)	12866	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1. CHE	MICAL NAME	133 × 1-	La	<					1-14	16
2.	IDENTIFYING NUMBER	* 3. ACTIC		DE	4. ACCI	ESSION NUM		and the second se	OMPLETED B	Y PM
	i / Munil	1 1	<u></u>	-	21	-		5. RECORD		
	Y64-404	616			-26	079	7	105	<u>5% /</u>	
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. •				1	6. REFEREN	CE NUMBER	
								7. DATE RECEIVED (EPA)		
					<u></u>				RY DUE DAT	
	······································	ayang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		in in the second se			المعاجد والم		MANAGER	
		· · · ·			n an				n beige	N
						•		10. PM TEAN		
44.00		e ja se trajenski se						and the second	OMPLETED B	Y PCB
	Public Health/Quarantine	[		or Use			AH		INT TO HED	
	Substitute Chemical	l	Part	of IPM				12, PRIORIT	Y NUMBER	
	Seasonal Concern		Revi	iew Requires	Less Than 4 H	ours		13. PROJEC	TED RETURN	DATE
15. IN	STRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER				F. INSTRUC	TIONS	<b>_</b>	69 -		<u></u>
	HED Total Assessment - 3(	c)(5)	c. 🗆 i	BFSD			м			
	Incremental Risk Ass 3(c)(7) and/or E.L. Jo	essment -	o. 🗆 ·	TSS/RD	<u></u>					•
	memo of May 12, 19	77.	E. 🗆	Other						
В.	SPRD (Send Copy of Form to S					فيعوده ومادر المراجع المراجع والمراجع	•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		i, ja ja gina anti-tatione	
	LI Chemical Undergoing RPAR Review	Active		• •						•
	Chemical Undergoing	Active					<u></u>			
•	Begistration Standard	12 Heview	•	1 A 1 1 1		1				-
16. RI	ELATED ACTIONS									
17.3	c)(1)(D)		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	18. REVIEW			<del>المغارة بالمعام المعالم المعالم.</del> ** المحمو	• • •	
	Use Any or All Available Inform	ation Use (	Only A	ttached Data	1		🗆 ЕЕВ			
C	Use Only the Attached Data for Available Information on the Tec	chnical or Manuf	acturin	g Chemical.			🗆 ЕГВ		H	
19. To	TYPE OF REVIEW						F ACTIONS		NUD VOT	Other
13. 10		Regist	tration	Petition	EUP	SLN	Sec. 18	Inert	MNR. USE	Other
	TOXICOLOGY			- (					<u></u>	
HED	ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS					• •				
Ī	RESIDUE CHEMISTRY				an a					·
$\mathbf{x}$	ENVIRONMENTAL DATE	-	1							
	CHEMISTRY									
RD/TSS	EFFICACY		•				~			
₩ <b></b>	PRECAUTIONARY LABELING	з.								
BFSD	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS				•					
	with Application 21. S	Confidential Statement of Formula	1	Labels	sentative Showing ted Uses		eturned to RD ompleted by	Cop	ude an Origina bies of This Co Each Branch O riew.	mpleted For

EPA Form 8570-13 (Rev. 11-81) PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE.

	Confiden	REGIST tial Business In	RATION	DIVISIO Does Not Co	DATA R	REVIEW R	ECORD	. 12065)	1309	57-510
. CH	EMICAL NAME CILL	ORP.	irit	-05						
2	. IDENTIFYING NUMBER	3.	ACTION CO	DE	4. ACC	ESSION NUM		TO BE C		BY PM
	464-404	E	516		2.6	,1117	2	166	809	· · · ·
•			• • •					6. REFEREN	ICE NUMBER	
								7. DATE RE	CEIVED (EPA	)
								B. STATUTO	RY DUE DAT	TE
								9. PRODUCT	MANAGER	(PM)
. •					<u></u>			10. PM TEAN	M NUMBER	1160
			-		(	<u>N</u>		12		NY BOB
			· · · ·				AA	TO BE C	OMPLETED	
L	Public Health/Quarantine			or Use				02-	<u>04-</u>	16
Ľ	] Substitute Chemical		Part	of IPM				12. PRIORIT	Y NUMBER	
C	3 Seasonal Concern			iew Requires	Less Than 4 H	lours		13. PROJEC	TED RETURN	NDATE
15. 1	NSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER	3	•		F. INSTRUC	TIONS				,
Å	A. HED Total Assessment	- 3(c)(5)	c. 🗆			21-1	<u>/×</u>	na	locyse	<u></u>
	Incremental Risk 3(c)(7) and/or E.I memo of May 12,	. Johnson	р. Ц Е. П	TSS/RD	l	ate	- 1	'ere	riste	.l=
F			· · · · · · ·					4		
E	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form	to SPRD PM)						L		
E	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review	to SPRD PM) ping Active						•		
E	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form	to SPRD PM) bing Active bing Active						4		
	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review	to SPRD PM) bing Active bing Active								
	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand	to SPRD PM) bing Active bing Active						4		
16. 1	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D)	to SPRD PM) bing Active bing Active dards Review				VS SENT TO				
16. 1	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Use Only the Attached Data	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review	Use Only A	ttached Data	🛛 тв		О ЕЕВ			
16. 1	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D)	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review	Use Only A	ttached Data		•	EEB EFB			
16. 1	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Use Only the Attached Data to Available Information on the	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatio Technical or I	Use Only A	ttached Data	🛛 тв	•	EFB			
16. 1	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Use Only the Attached Data to Available Information on the	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatio Technical or I	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
16. l	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Use Only the Attached Data of Available Information on the TYPE OF REVIEW	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatio Technical or I	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
16. 1	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Use Only the Attached Data of Available Information on the TYPE OF REVIEW TOXICOLOGY	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatio Technical or I	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
16. l	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Underge RPAR Review Chemical Underge Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Vise Only the Attached Data Available Information on the TYPE OF REVIEW TOXICOLOGY ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
16. l	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS 3(c)(1)(D) Use Any or All Available Info Use Only the Attached Data 1 Available Information on the TYPE OF REVIEW TOXICOLOGY ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS RESIDUE CHEMISTRY ENVIRONMENTAL DATE	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
	SPRD (Send Copy of Form     Chemical Undergo     RPAR Review     Chemical Undergo     Registration Stand     Chemical Undergo     TYPE OF REVIEW     TOXICOLOGY     ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS     RESIDUE CHEMISTRY     CHEMISTRY	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
16. l		to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatic Technical or I N 2 - 1 - 8 b	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.		NUMBER C		□ c	:H	C BFSC
16. 1 17. 19. 03H SSL/0H	3. SPRD (Send Copy of Form Chemical Undergo RPAR Review Chemical Undergo Registration Stand RELATED ACTIONS    3(c)(1)(D)   Use Any or All Available Info   Use Any or All Available Info   Use Only the Attached Data 1   Available Information on the   TYPE OF REVIEW   TOXICOLOGY   ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS   RESIDUE CHEMISTRY   ENVIRONMENTAL DATE_   CHEMISTRY   EFFICACY   PRECAUTIONARY LABEL	to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatic Technical or I N 2 - 1 - 8 b	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin	ttached Data r All g Chemical.	П тв П ясв	NUMBER C		Inert	:H	C BFSC
		to SPRD PM) bing Active dards Review for Formulatic Technical or I N 2 - 1 - 8 b	Use Only A on and Any o Manufacturin Registration	ttached Data r All g Chemical. Petition		NUMBER C			:H	Other

# CONFIDENTIAL DATA

SOME INFORMATION IN THE ATTACKED MATERIAL IS ENTITLED TO TREATMENT AS TRACE SECRET OR PROPRIETARY DATA UNDER SECTION 7(D) AND SECTION 10 OF FL 92-515 AS AMERICED BY PL 94-140.

Any person handling or using the attached data in any, way is responsible for preventing unautherized disclosure while in his possession. Section 14(b) (3) of FIFRA PROVIDES FOR A PENALTY OF UP TO \$10,000 FINE AND UP TO 3 YEARS IMPRISONMENT FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE INVOLVING INTENT TO DEFRALD.

THE ATTACHED INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE PUBLISHED, PUBLICLY DISCUSSED, INCLUDED IN RESPONSE TO AN FOI REALEST OR OTHERWISE RELEASED WITHOUT THE EXPLICIT, WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF THE APPROPRIATE DIVISION DIRECTOR (WITHIN THE OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS, OFFICE OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY).

CONFIDENTIAL DATA

#### 1.

CHEMICAL: Common name:

Chlorpyrifos

Chemical name:

0,0-Diethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl)phosphorothioate

Trade name(s):

CHLORPYRIFOS, BRODAN, DOWCO, DURSBAN, ERADEX, LORSBAN, PYRINEX

Structure:



### Formulations:

0.1-25% D, 0.075-15% G, 1% P/T, 2.32-50% WP, 10.6% Mcap, 0.5-10% Impr, 0.15625-4 lb/gal and 0.51-41.2% EC, 6 lb/gal SC/L, 0.073125-3.8 lb/gal ard 0.05-0.86% RTU, 0.09-0.5% PrL

Physical/Chemical properties:

Molecular formula: CgH11Cl3N03PS Molecular weight: 34250.57 Physical state: White granular crystal Melting Point: 41.5-43.5°C Vapor Pressure: 4.22 x 10<sup>-5</sup> Solubility: Water: 2 ppm at 25°C. Organic solvents (% of solution at 25°C): Isooctane 79% Methanol 43% Readily soluble in other organic solvents

## 2. TEST MATERIAL:

See individual studies.

3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE:

Addendum to the Chlorpyrifos Registration Standard.

### 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

The following studies have been reviewed:

McCall, P.J. 1985a. Chlorpyrifos aged column leaching study. Report GH-C 1778. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A, Agricultural Products Department, Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 3.

McCall, P.J. 1985b. Column leaching and sorption studies with chlorpyrifos. Report GH-C 1777. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A., Agricultural Products Department, Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 2.

McCall, P.J. 1986. Hydrolysis of chlorpyrifos in dilute aqueous solution. Report GH-C 1791. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A., Agricultural Products Department, Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 1.

McCall, P.J., R.L. Swann, and W.R. Bauriedel. 1985. Volatility characteristics of chlorpyrifos from soil and corn. Submitted by Dow Chemical Co., Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 4.

The following study was not reviewed because it contains exposure data only:

Bohl, R.W. and G. Huitink. 1985. Potential exposure monitoring for chlorpyrifos in a mature cornfield following aerial spraying of four different carrier formulations of Lorsban 4E, Saunders County, Nebraska, July 24-27, 1984. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A., Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. References 5 and 6.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Hudson Boyd Chemist EAB/HED/OPP

6. APPROVED BY:

Emil Regelman Supervisory Chemist Review Section #3, EAB/HED/OPP

Signature: 10-23-86 Date:

Signature: 1986 2'5 OCT Date:

7. CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Hydrolysis (161-1)

The study by McCall (1986) was valid and fulfilled EPA Guidelines requirements for hydrolysis testing.

Under environmental conditions (pH 5-7 and 25°C) chlorpyrifos hydrolyzes slowly and the hydrolytic half-life is approximately 72 days. In an alkaline environment (pH 9) hydrolysis proceeds more rapidly and the half-life is approximately 16 days. Two degrates, viz, 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol and 0-ethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate are the products of hydrolysis.

## 7.2 Mobility - Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption (163-1)

The studies by McCall (1985 a,b) were valid but provided only part of the data required by Sec 163-1 of Subdivision N (Pesticide Assessment Guidelines). A study is still needed on the desorption of chlorpyrifos from the four soils or on the leaching of unaged chlorpyrifos through one additional soil and one sediment.

Chlorpyrifos is slightly mobile to mobile in sandy loam and silt loam; the adsorption and leaching of the chemical is positively influenced by the organic carbon content of the soil - most of the applied chloropyrifos will remain in the upper two inches of soil having an organic carbon content above 1%. Consequently, chlorpyrifos would not appear to be a potential groundwater contaminant in sandy loam or loam soils.

## 7.3 Metabolism-aerobic soil (162-1)

The study by McCall (1985 b) is valid and completes EPA data requirements for aerobic soil metabolism, partially fulfilled by earlier studies.

The half-life of chlorpyrifos in aerobic soils under environmental conditions is expected to be about 7-10 days. A major degradate would be 3.5.6-trichloro-2-pyridinol.

## 7.4 Volatility (Laboratory study) (163-2)

Although the study of McCall, et al, (1985) investigating volatilization from plants was valid and provided useful information it failed to provided data on air concentrations in units per volume or units per time.

The work on volatility from soil could not be validated because of an inadequate testing/data gathering procedure. Consequently this study does not fulfill EPA Guideline requirements for volatility testing.

#### 8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 8.1 Accept the data developed by McCall (1986) on the hydrolysis of chlorpyrifos as fulfilling the EPA requirements of Subdivision N, Sec. 161-1.
- 8.2 Require the registrant to provide additional data on leaching/ adsorption/desorption (163-1) of chlorpyrifos as follows:
  - Either desorption from the four soils on which adsorption data were obtained, or
  - Leaching of unaged chlorpyrifos through one additional soil and one sediment.

- 8.3 Accept the data developed by McCall (1985b) on the aerobic soil metabolism of chlorpyrifos as fulfilling EPA requirements of Subdivision N, Sec. 162-1.
- 8.4 Require the registrant to state the volatilization of chloryprifos from plants in units per volume or in units per time.

Require repetition of the study on volatilization from soil to confirm the application rate and the efficiency of the trapping media.

Require the registrant to provide data on the vapor pressure and the water solubility of chlorpyrifos.

If possible, material balances at each sampling interval should be given.

#### 9. BACKGROUND:

#### A. Introduction

Chlorpyrifos has been previously reviewed by Dynamac for the Standard and one addendum.

## B. Description of the Chemical and of its Use:

Chlorpyrifos is a broad spectrum insecticide which is active by contact, ingestion, and vapor action. It is registered for use on tree fruit and nut crops; field and vegetable crops; ornamentals (including greenhouses); lawns and ornamental turf; domestic outdoor and indoor sites; commercial establishments (edible and nonedible product areas); aquatic noncrop sites; terrestrial noncrop sites; poultry, pet, and animal housing; and on beef cattle and dogs. Chlorpyrifos is also used as a seed treatment.

An estimated 7.0-11.0 million pounds of active ingredient are produced each year in the United States for domestic use. Of the total domestic chlorpyrifos usage, 57% is applied to corn and 5-6% to cotton. Commercial pest control and lawn and garden services use 20-22% of annual chlorpyrifos consumption followed by domestic household and lawn and garden use (9-13%). Application rates range from 0.1 oz/A for some seed treatments to  $\simeq 50$  lb/A for certain tree fruit applications.

Chlorpyrifos may be formulated with allethrin, d-trans-allethrin, s-bioallethrin, fenfluralin, bis(tributyltin)oxide, chlorthal-methyl, copper 8-quindinolate, diazinon, dichlorvos, diplenamid, methyl parathion, monuron, N-octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide, phenothrin, piperonyl butoxide, pyrethrins, resmethrin, siduron, Sulfox-cide, tetramethrin, thiophanate-methyl, and toxaphene.

-4-

Single active ingredient formulations of chlorpyrifos consist of 0.1-25% D, 0.075-15% G, 1% P/T, 2.32-50% WP, 10.6% Mcap, 0.5-10% Impr, 0.15625-4 lb/gal and 0.51-41.2% EC, 6 lb/gal SC/L, 0.073125-3.8 lb/gal and 0.05-0.86% RTU, and 0.09-0.5% PrL. The D formulations are applied as seed treatments and as spot treatments in domestic dwellings. The G formulations are banded or broadcast with ground equipment or aerially and can be used as spot treatments in and around animal quarters and domestic dwellings. The WP is used as a seed treatment and is also applied as a spray to turkey pens. The Impr formulations are placed as baits or as impregnated strips. The EC formulations are applied as sprays with ground equipment or aerially; as dips or sprays for cattle and nursing stock; sprayed or painted on animal quarters or domestic dwellings; or by trenching, rodding, injection or low pressure sprays around terrestrial structures. RTU formulations are also painted or sprayed around animal quarters and domestic dwellings and as a spot treatment on cattle. The SC/L is applied exclusively as a fog for mosquito control. The P/T formulation may be applied by aircraft. PrL formulations are applied as sprays to dogs and in and around animal quarters and domestic dwellings. Indoor use of chlorpyrifos formulations above 0.5% is limited to professional pest control operators. Chlorpyrifos can be applied for mosquito control only by or under supervision of public health organizations, mosquito abatement districts, or other trained personnel responsible for insect control programs.

## 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR STUDIES:

See attached reviews of individual studies.

#### 11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:

One liner amended.

#### 12. CBI APPENDIX:

All data reviewed here are considered CBI by the registrant and must be treated as such.

-5-

## CHLORPYRIFOS ADDENDUM II

**Final Report** 

## Task 1: Review and Evaluation of Individual Studies

## Task 2: Environmental Fate and Exposure Assessment

Contract No. 68-02-4250

## **OCTOBER 8, 1986**

Submitted to: Environmental Protection Agency Arlington, VA 22202

Submitted by: Dynamac Corporation The Dynamac Building 11140 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

## CHLORPYRIFOS

## Table of Contents

					Page
Intro	duction				
Scien	tific Studies				
1.	Hydrolysis.				1
2.	Column leaching of unaged	chlorpyrif	os.		7
3.	Aerobic soil metabolism of column leaching of aged ch	chlorpyri lorpyrifos	fos and •		12
4.	Volatility of chlorpyrifos surfaces.	from soil	and pl	ant	19
Execu	itive Summary				29
Recon	nmendations				29
Refer	rences			•	31
Apper	ndix				33

#### INTRODUCTION

Chlorpyrifos is a broad spectrum insecticide which is active by contact, ingestion, and vapor action. It is registered for use on tree fruit and nut crops; field and vegetable crops; ornamentals (including greenhouses); lawns and ornamental turf; domestic outdoor and indoor sites; commercial establishments (edible and nonedible product areas); aquatic noncrop sites; terrestrial noncrop sites; poultry, pet, and animal housing; and on beef cattle and dogs. Chlorpyrifos is also used as a seed treatment.

An estimated 7.0-11.0 million pounds of active ingredient are produced each year in the United States for domestic use. Of the total domestic chlorpyrifos usage, 57% is applied to corn and 5-6% to cotton. Commercial pest control and lawn and garden services use 20-22% of annual chlorpyrifos consumption followed by domestic household and lawn and garden use (9-13%). Application rates range from 0.1 oz/A for some seed treatments to ~50 lb/A for certain tree fruit applications.

Chlorpyrifos may be formulated with allethrin, d-trans-allethrin, s-bioallethrin, fenfluralin, bis(tributyltin)oxide, chlorthal-methyl, copper 8-quindinolate, diazinon, dichlorvos, diplenamid, methyl parathion, monuron, N-octyl bicycloheptene dicarboximide, phenothrin, piperonyl butoxide, pyrethrins, resmethrin, siduron, Sulfox-cide, tetramethrin, thiophanate-methyl, and toxaphene.

Single active ingredient formulations of chlorpyrifos consist of 0.1-25% D, 0.075-15% G, 1% P/T, 2.32-50% WP, 10.6% Mcap, 0.5-10% Impr, 0.15625-4 lb/gal and 0.51-41.2% EC, 6 lb/gal SC/L, 0.073125-3.8 1b/gal and 0.05-0.86% RTU, and 0.09-0.5% PrL. The D formulations are applied as seed treatments and as spot treatments in domestic dwellings. The G formulations are banded or broadcast with ground equipment or aerially and can be used as spot treatments in and around animal quarters and domestic dwellings. The WP is used as a seed treatment and is also applied as a spray to turkey pens. The Impr formulations are placed as baits or as impregnated strips. The EC formulations are applied as sprays with ground equipment or aerially; as dips or sprays for cattle and nursing stock; sprayed or painted on animal quarters or domestic dwellings; or by trenching, rodding, injection or low pressure sprays around terrestrial structures. RTU formulations are also painted or sprayed around animal quarters and domestic dwellings and as a spot treatment on cattle. The SC/L is applied exclusively as a fog for mosquito control. The P/T formulation may be applied by aircraft. PrL formulations are applied as sprays to dogs and in and around animal quarters and domestic dwellings. Indoor use of chlorpyrifos formulations above 0.5% is limited to professional pest control operators. Chlorpyrifos can be applied for mosquito control only by or under supervision of public health organizations, mosquito abatement districts, or other trained personnel responsible for insect control programs.

19-

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CASE GS0100	CHLORPYRIFOS	STUDY 1	PM
CHEM 059101	Chlopyrifos		
BRANCH EAB	DISC	4 81	
	O - ACTIVE INGREDIENT		ون ها
McCall, P.J. Report GH-C 1	ID No MRID 1986. Hydrolysis of cl 791. Submitted by Dow ( hidland, MI. Acc. No. 20	nlorpyrifos in dilu Chemical U.S.A., Ag	<ul> <li>ricultural Products</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
SUBST. CLASS	= S.		من مر من
DIRECT RVW TI	ME = 4 1/2 (MH) STAR		END DATE
TITLE: ORG: TEL:	P. Perreault Staff Scientist Dynamac Corp., Rockvil 468-2500		
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG:	H. Boyd		
			DATE

SIGNATURE:

DATE:

13

CONCLUSIONS:

#### Degradation - Hydrolysis

- 1. This study is scientifically valid.
- 2. 2,6-Pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (purity 97.9%), at ~0.6 ppm, degraded with calculated half-lives of 72.8 days at pH 5, 72.1 days at pH 7, and 15.8 days at pH 9 in sterile buffered solutions incubated in the dark at 25°C for 35 days. Two degradates were formed and were identified as 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol and 0-ethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate.
- 3. This study fulfills EPA Data Requirements for Registering Pesticides by providing information on the hydrolysis of chlorpyrifos at pH 5, 7, and 9.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

2,6-Pyridine ring-labeled [ $^{14}$ C]chlorpyrifos (radiochemical purity 97.9%, specific activity 14.2 mCi/mmol, Dow Chemical U.S.A) in acetone was added at ~0.6 ppm to capped centrifuge tubes containing samples (50 ml) of sterile city water adjusted to pH 5, 7, and 9 at 0.005 M with phosphate buffers. The solutions were incubated in the dark at 25°C and were sampled at various intervals up to 35 days after treatment.

Aliquots (1.1 ml) of the solutions were divided into two parts for analysis. One part (0.1 ml) was analyzed for total radioactivity using LSC. The LSC counting efficiencies ranged from 78.7 to 103.8%. The remaining aliquot (1.0 ml) was analyzed for chlorpyrifos and its degradates by HPLC. Degradates were characterized by injecting the remaining hydrolysis solutions on the HPLC column and then collecting and pooling the fractions containing the individual radioactive peaks for analysis. The peaks were coinjected with analytical standards using two different sets of gradient elution conditions, under which the columns were eluted with water and methanol buffered with either 0.01 M ammonium acetate (Condition A) or 1.0% acetic acid (Condition B). The pooled fractions were acidified with 0.1 N hydrochloric acid and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The ether extracts were pooled, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The concentrated fractions were then reacted with either N,O-bis-(trimethylsilyl) acetamide or diazomethane and analyzed by GC/MS.

#### **REPORTED RESULTS:**

[14C]Chlorpyrifos, at ~0.6 ppm in sterile buffered water incubated at 25°C, degraded with calculated half-lives of 72.8 days at pH 5, 72.1 days at pH 7, and 15.8 days at pH 9 (Figures 1-3). Two degradates were formed and were identified as 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol and 0-ethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate (Table 1).

#### **DISCUSSION:**

- 1. Method detection limits were not reported.
- 2. After one week, the data at pH 9 showed a decrease in the rate of hydrolysis resulting from a change in pH in these samples to a value of ~8.0. The change in pH was attributed to the production of 0,0-diethyl phosphorothioic acid. In order to obtain an accurate estimate of the hydrolysis rate at pH 9, the first order plot included only data from the first four time points (Figure 3).



Figure 1. Chlorpyrifos degradation in sterile solution buffered at pH 5 and incubated in the dark at 25°C.



Figure 2. Chlorpyrifos degradation in sterile solution buffered at pH 7 and incubated in the dark at 25°C.





<sup>a</sup> Includes data for the first seven days of the study only.

Sampling interval (days)	Chlor∽ pyrifos	3,5,6-Trichloro- 2-pyridnol	0-Ethyl 0-(3,5,6- trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioic acid		
	n na	<u>pH 5</u>			
0.0	95.4	4.6	NDa		
2.0	96.0	4.0	ND		
7.0	90.8	5.9	3.3		
14.0	84.8	7.5	7.7		
21.0	79.3	9.6	11.1		
35.0	69.2	13.2	17.7		
		<u>рН 7</u>			
0.0	95.4	4.6	ND		
2.0	96.0	4.0	ND		
4.0	93 .2	5.0	1.8		
7.0	90.9	5.8	3.3		
14.0	83 .9	8.5	7.6		
21.0	78.5	10.9	10.6		
35.0	69.3	14.3	16.4		
· .		<u>рН 9</u> b			
0.0	95.4	4.6	ND		
2.0	85.0	12.9	1.5		
4.0	77.8	19.0	1.8		
7.0	69.9	27.0	3.1		
14.0	57.5	36.8	5.7		
21.0	54.5	37.8	7.7		
35.0	39.6	47.9	12.5		

Table 1. [14C]Chlorpyrifos and its degradates (% of applied) in buffered solutions treated with [ $^{14}$ C]chlorpyrifos at ~0.6 ppm and incubated in the dark at 25°C.

a Not detected; detection limit not reported.

<sup>b</sup> After week 4, pH declined from 9.0 to ~8.0.

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CASE GS0100	CHLORPYRIFOS	STUDY 2	РМ
CHEM 059101	Chlopyrifos		
BRANCH EAB	DISC	• • •	
FORMULATION O	0 - ACTIVE INGREDIENT	الله من حد من	مع أحد الذي الحا الذي أحدًا الذي أحدًا عنه أحد أحد أحد أحد حد الذي حد حد الذي الذي الما حد الذي الم
McCall, P.J. Report GH-C 1	ID No MRID 1985b. Column leaching 777. Submitted by Dow ( idland, MI. Acc. No. 20	g and sorption stuc Chemical U.S.A., Ag	ricultural products
SUBST. CLASS	= S.		
		با هيا هيا هن هي هي هي هي هي هي جي جي بن جي	المواجب ميا مير ميا مليا عيرا مير ميا ميا من جو ميا مير ميا مي ميا مير مي مي مي
DIRECT RVW TI	ME = 5 (MH) STAR	r-DATE	END DATE
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG:	المراجع من أحد حد هذا هن هن هن هن هن عن عن عن عن من من جن جن عن هن عن	T-DATE	
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: TEL: APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG:	ME = 5 (MH) STAR P. Perreault Staff Scientist Dynamac Corp., Rockvil 468-2500	T-DATE	

#### CONCLUSIONS:

## Mobility - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption

- 1. This study is scientifically valid.
- 2. [14C]Chlorpyrifos (purity >98%) was slightly mobile to mobile in sandy loam, loam, and silt loam soils, based on batch equilibrium and column leaching studies. The adsorption and leaching of [14C]chlorpyrifos were positively affected by the organic carbon content of the soil. Adsorption coefficients (Kd) ranged from 49.9 in a loam soil (organic carbon content 0.68%) to 99.7 in a silt loam soil (organic carbon content 2.01%). In soil columns, ~5% of the applied chlorpyrifos leached below the upper 5 cm in the loam soil, while <1% of the applied chlorpyrifos leached below the upper 5 cm of the sandy loam and silt loam soils (the two soils highest in organic carbon).
- 3. This study partially fulfills EPA Data Requirements for Registering Pesticides by providing information on the mobility of unaged chlorpyrifos in columns of three soils and on the adsorption of chlorpyrifos in three soils.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

#### Experiment 1

2,6-Pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (radiochemical purity >98%, specific activity 1.99 mCi/mmol, Dow Chemical U.S.A.) in acetone was added at 1 ppm to a slurry consisting of 4 g of sieved (2 mm) soil and 15 ml of a 0.1 N calcium sulfate solution (Table 1). The mixture was shaken overnight, then centrifuged for 1 hour and the supernatant removed. Aliquots of the supernatant were analyzed for total radioactivity using LSC and for chlorpyrifos using HPLC.

#### Experiment 2

Columns (30-cm depth, 17-mm width) of the same soils were saturated with the calcium sulfate solution.  $[^{14}C]$ Chlorpyrifos was applied to the surface of the columns at 0.5 kg ai/ha. The columns were eluted with 20 inches of the calcium sulfate solution at a flow rate of ~1 ml/hour. Following leaching, the columns were frozen and cut into 1-cm segments. Each segment was extracted with acetone, and the extracts were analyzed for total radioactivity using LSC.

#### **REPORTED RESULTS:**

#### Experiment 1

Sorption coefficients  $(K_d)$  ranged from 49.9 for the loam soil to 99.7 for the silt loam soil, indicating a correlation between organic matter content and chlorpyrifos adsorption (Table 2).

#### Experiment 2

In the soil columns, >95% of the chlorpyrifos residues remained in the top 2 cm of soil for all three soil types (Table 3). In the sandy loam and silt loam soils (the two soils highest in organic carbon), <1% of the applied radioactivity was found below the upper 5 cm of the soil col-umns, while the loam soil had  $\sim5\%$  of the applied below the upper 5 cm.

#### DISCUSSION (both experiments):

- 1. The CEC of the soils was not reported.
- 2. In the batch equilibrium portion of the study, desorption of chlorpyrifos was not studied. Experimental procedures and protocols were adequate to assess chlorpyrifos adsorption on soil.
- 3. Based on the results of Experiment 1 (batch equilibrium portion of the study) chlorpyrifos would be classified as moderately mobile; however, the results from Experiment 2 (column leaching portion of the study) would classify chlorpyrifos as a low mobility pesticide in all three soils.

62-0

Soil type	Source	Sand	Silt%	Clay	Organic carbon	рН
Commerce loam	Mississippi	38	48	14	0.68	6.7
Tracy sandy loam	Indiana	56	30	14	1.12	6.2
Catlin silt loam	Illinois	12	56	32	2.01	6.2

Table 1. Soil characteristics.

	Sorption o	oefficients <sup>b</sup>	i
Soil type <sup>a</sup>	κ <sub>d</sub>	К <sub>ос</sub>	Leaching distance <sup>C</sup> (cm)
Commerce loam	49.9	7300	1
Tracy sandy loam	65.6	5860	1
Catlin silt loam	99.7	4960	1

Table 2. Adsorption coefficients for batch equilibrium studies and leaching distances in soil columns eluted with 20 inches of 0.1 N calcium ion solution for  $[^{14}C]$ chlorpyrifos residues.

a Soil characteristics presented in Table 1.

- <sup>b</sup> K<sub>d</sub> = chlorpyrifos bound to soil/chlorpyrifos in supernatant. K<sub>oc</sub> = chlorpyrifos per gram of organic carbon/chlorpyrifos per gram of supernatant.
- <sup>C</sup> Point of maximum concentration of chlorpyrifos in the soil column.

99-

Sampling depth (cm)	Commerce loam	Tracy sandy loam	Catlin silt loam
0-1	89.7	79.1	95.8
1-2	5.2	18.4	2.8
2-3	0.3	0.9	0,6
3-4	0.2	0.3	0.2
4-5	0.2	0.4	0.1
5-6	0.2	0.3	0.1
6-7	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.1	<0.1
7-8	0.2	0.2	<0.1
9-10	0.2	0.1	<0.1
10-11	0.2	0.1	<0.1
11-12	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
12-13	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
13-14	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
14-15	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
15-16	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
16-17	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
17-18	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
18-19	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
19-20	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
20-21	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
21-22	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
22-23	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
23-24	0.2	. <0.1	<0.1
24-25	0.2	<0.1	<0.1
Radioactivity in soil colums	99.2	>99.9	≥99.6
Radioactivity in leachate	1.3	0.3	0.3
Total	100.5	>100.2	≥ 99.9

Table 3. Distribution of radioactivity (% of applied) in three soil columns treated with unaged  $[^{14}C]$ chlorpyrifos and leached with 20 inches of water.

-11-

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

PAGE 1 OF 8

CASE GS0100	CHLORPYRIFOS	STUDY 3	PM
CHEM 059101	Chlopyrifos		
BRANCH EAB	DISC		
FORMULATION 0	0 - ACTIVE INGREDIENT		الله عن الما علم عن
McCall, P.J. 1778. Submit	ID No MRID 1985a. Chlorpyrifos ag ted by Dow Chemical U.S. Acc. No. 260794. Refer	A, Agricultural Pr	g study. Report GH-C roducts Department,
SUBST. CLASS	= S.		
DIRECT RVW TI	$ME = 6 \qquad (MH) START$	r-DATE	END DATE
TITLE:	P. Perreault Staff Scientist Dynamac Corp., Rockvill 468-2500	le, MD	
	H. Boyd Chemist EAB/HED/OPP 557-7463		
SIGNATURE:			DATE:
	•		

CONCLUSIONS:

Metabolism - Aerobic Soil

- 1. This study is scientifically valid.
- 2. 2,6-Pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (purity 97.9%), at 1.2 ppm, in a sandy loam soil maintained under aerobic conditions at 25°C in the dark, degraded with a half-life of 7-10 days. One major degradate, identified as 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol, was formed (maximum 28.1% at 10 days posttreatment).
- 3. This study partially fulfills EPA Data Requirements for Registering Pesticides by providing information on the degradation of chlorpyrifos in an aerobic soil.

### Mobility - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption

- 1. This study is scientifically valid.
- 2. Aged (10 days) 2,6-pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (purity 97.9%) residues were moderately mobile in a sandy loam soil after leaching with

20 inches of water; 73% of the applied remained in the upper 5 cm of the column. The remaining  $[^{14}C]$  residues were distributed fairly evenly throughout the column and in the column leachate. Chlorpyrifos and 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol were the major components of the residues. 3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridinol (purity 99%) had adsorption coefficients (Kd) of 0.37 and 0.33 in two different samples of the sandy loam soil.

3. This study partially fulfills EPA Data Requirements for Registering Pesticides by providing information on the mobility of aged chlorpyrifos residues in soil.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

#### Metabolism - Aerobic Soil

Londo sandy loam soil (68% sand, 20% silt, 12% clay, 1.9% organic carbon, pH 7.5) was sieved (2 mm), placed in one compartment of a two-compartment incubation flask, and treated with 2,6-pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (radiochemical purity 97.9%, 14.2 mCi/mmol, Dow Chemical U.S.A) in acetone at 1.2 ppm. The second compartment of the flask contained 0.2 N NaOH as a CO<sub>2</sub> trap. The flasks were aged under aerobic conditions at 25°C in the dark for 0, 7, 10, or 35 days. Following the aging period, total radioactivity in each sample flask was determined by analysis of the NaOH for volatilized  $^{14}$ CO<sub>2</sub> using LSC and by analysis of the soil for nonvolatilized radioactivity using combustion and LSC. Soil samples were then extracted once with phosphoric acid and diethyl ether and three additional times with ether. The extracts were partitioned and aliquots of the ether and acid fractions were analyzed for total radioactivity in samples of extracted soil was determined by LSC following combustion. The ether extracts were then analyzed for chlorpyrifos and its degradates using HPLC.

Mobility - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption

#### Experiment 1

A layer (2 cm) of the aged (10 days) Londo sandy loam soil was added to the top of soil columns (40-cm depth, 22-mm width) filled with air-dried untreated Londo sandy loam soil (30-cm depth). The columns were saturated from the bottom and then eluted with 20 inches of water at a flow rate of )0.5 ml/minute. Following leaching, the columns were frozen and cut into 5-cm segments. Each segment was thoroughly mixed and analyzed for total radioactivity using combustion and LSC. Samples of each segment of soil were extracted with phosphoric acid and diethyl ether. The extracts were analyzed for chlorpyrifos and its degradates using HPLC. Total radioactivity in the leachate was determined by using LSC. Aliquots of the leachate were then acidified with 1.0 N HCl, extracted three times with ether, and total radioactivity in the ether extracts was determined by LSC. The ether extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, concentrated, and degradates were characterized using HPLC.

#### Experiment 2

To determine the sorption properties of the major degradate, 2,6-ringlabeled [14C]3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol (radiochemical purity 99%, specific activity 1.98 mCi/mmol, source unspecified) in an aqueous solution was added at ~1.2 ppm to Londo sandy loam soil in centrifuge tubes. The tubes were shaken for 4 hours and centrifuged for 30 minutes to separate the soil from the water. The aqueous fraction was then analyzed for total radioactivity using LSC.

#### **REPORTED RESULTS:**

#### Metabolism - Aerobic Soil

[14C]Chlorpyrifos, at 1.2 ppm in Londo sandy loam soil, degraded with a half-life of 7-10 days under the conditions of this study (Figure 1). One major degradate, identified as 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol, was formed and reached a peak concentration at 10 days (Table 1).

### Mobility - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption

#### Experiment 1

In soil columns, the majority (73.1%) of aged  $[^{14}C]$ chlorpyrifos residues remained in the top 5 cm of soil. The remaining  $[^{14}C]$ residues were distributed fairly uniformly throughout the column and in the column leachate. The major degradate, 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol, was found distributed throughout the column and accounted for all of the radioactivity recovered from the leachate (Table 2).

#### Experiment 2

Sorption coefficients  $(K_d)$  were 0.37 and 0.33 for two different samples of the treated sandy loam soil, indicating that 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol was moderately mobile under the conditions of the experiment.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

#### General (both studies)

- 1. The test soil was not completely characterized; the CEC of the soil was not reported.
- 2. Method detection limits were not reported.

#### Metabolism - Aerobic Soil

Recovery values, based on combustion data and analysis of the NaOH, were low (80-90%). However, overall recovery, based on the sum of  $[^{14}C]$ residues in the NaOH, the diethyl ether extract, the acid extract, and the combustion of extracted soil, was adequate (92-97%).

## Mobility - Leaching and Adsorption/Desorption

#### Experiment 1

K<sub>d</sub> values were not reported.

#### Experiment 2

- It was not specified whether or not the experiment was conducted in a 0.01 N calcium ion solution.
- 2. The temperature at which the study was conducted was not specified.
- 3. Desorption of the test substance was not studied.
- 4. Adsorption was studied on only one type of soil; however, the one soil type used (sandy loam soil) was adequate for the purposes of this experment.



Figure 1. Degradation of [14C]chlorpyrifos in Londo sandy loam soil treated with [14C]chlorpyrifos at 1.2 ppm and maintained under aerobic conditions at 25°C in the dark.

Sampling interval (days)	Chlorpyrifos <sup>a</sup>	3,5,6- Trichloro- 2-pyridinol <sup>a</sup>	Other <sup>a</sup>	<pre>[14C]residues in phosphoric acid extracts</pre>	Non∽ extractable	<sup>14</sup> c0 <sub>2</sub>	Total [ <sup>14</sup> C]
0	80.2	0.8	1.0	0.15	11.0	0.0	93.15
7	56.5	22.8	0.4	0.22	10.2	2.8	92.92
10	43.5	28.1	2.0	0.40	15.2	4.5	93.70
35	14.7	26.6	2.7	5.40	14.8	31.6	95.80

Table 1. [14C]Chlorpyrifos and its degradates (% of applied) in Londo sandy loam soil treated with [14C]chlorpyrifos at 1.2 ppm and maintained under aerobic conditions at 25°C in the dark.

a Percent of applied [14C] residues found in diethyl ether soil extract.

Sampling depth (cm)	Chlorpyrifos	Pyridinol	Non~ extractable	Total [14c]	
0~5	48.7	11.1	11.6	73.1	
5-10	0.1	2.1	0.1	3.0	
10-15	0.0	33	0.1	3.7	
15-20	0.0	4.1	0.1	4.9	
20-25	0.0	5.4	0.1	5.4	
25-30	0.0	5.4	0.1	3.6	
Radioactivity in soil columns	48.8	31.4	12.1	93.7	
Radioactivity in leachate <sup>a</sup>	0.0	4.7	1.5	6.2	
Total	48.8	36.1	13.6	99.9	

Table 2. Distribution of total [<sup>14</sup>C]residues (% of applied) in soil columns filled with sandy loam soil treated with aged (10 days) [<sup>14</sup>C]chlorpyrifos, at 1.2 ppm, and leached with 20 inches of water.

a Total volume was 192 ml.

### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

PAGE 1 OF 10

CASE GS0100	CHLORPYRIFOS	STUDY 4	РМ
CHEM 059101	Chlopyrifos		
BRANCH EAB	DISC		
FORMULATION O	O - ACTIVE INGREDIENT AM	ND 12 - EMULSIFIA	BLE CONCENTRATE (EC)
McCall, P.J., tics of chlor	pyrifos from soil and co Acc. No. 260794. Refe	uuriedel. 1985. orn. Submitted b rence 4.	Volatility characteris- y Dow Chemical Co.,
SUBST. CLASS	= S.		
	ME = 5 (MH) STAR	Г∽DATE	END DATE
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG:	L. Binari Staff Scientist Dynamac Corp., Rockvil 468-2500		
	H. Boyd Chemist EAB/HED/OPP 557-7463		
SIGNATURE:			DATE:

CONCLUSIONS:

#### Mobility - Laboratory Volatility

- 1. The portion of this study investigating the volatilization of chlorpyrifos from corn is scientifically valid; however, the portion of this study investigating the volatilization of chlorpyrifos from soil cannot be validated because the soil was not sampled immediately after treatment to confirm the application rate and no data on the trapping efficiency of the polyurethane foam plugs were provided, thus, the concentration of residues volatilized could not be determined.
- 2. [14C]Chlorpyrifos residues volatilized with a half-life of <12 hours from the surface of corn leaves treated with formulated (4 lb/gal, EC) [14C]chlorpryifos at 1.12 kg/ha. At 96 hours posttreatment, >80% of the applied radioactivity had been volatilized, and ~1 and 11% of the applied was detected on the leaf surfaces and in the leaf tissues, respectively.
- 3. This study does not fulfill EPA Data Requirements for Registering Pesticides because: Experiment 1 - volatility data were not expressed as  $\mu g/cm^2/hour$ , air concentrations were not expressed as  $\mu g/m^3$  or  $mg/m^3$ ,

the test soils were not completely characterized, and the relative humidity was not reported; Experiment 2 - volatility data were not expressed as  $\mu g/cm^2/hour$ , air concentrations were not expressed as  $\mu g/m^3$  or  $mg/m^3$ , and material balances were incomplete.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

#### Experiment 1

Samples of moistened (100% of 1/3 bar) loam, sandy loam, and silty clay loam soils (Table 1) were placed in a volatility apparatus (Figures 1 and 2) and surface-treated with acetone solutions of 2,6-pyridine ringlabeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (radiochemical purity 98.8%, specific activity 1.99 mCi/mmol, Dow Chemical Co.) at 6 ppm (1.12 kg/ha). The soil samples were maintained at 25°C, and water-saturated air was passed over the soil at an airflow rate of 1.0 L/minute (1.0 km/hour wind speed). Volatilized compounds were trapped with polyurethane foam plugs (~0.032 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Polyurethane foam plugs were periodically sampled and extracted with acetone. Radioactivity in the extract was quantified using LSC.

#### Experiment 2

Jacques JX-21 field corn plants (24 plants, ~35 cm tall) were contained in an enclosed glass environmental chamber (Figure 3), and an aqueous solution of 2,6-pyridine ring-labeled [ $^{14}C$ ]chlorpyrifos (radiochemical purity >99%, specific activity 14.2 mCi/mmol, Dow Chemical Co.) formulated as Lorsban (4 lb/gal EC) was applied at 1.12 kg/ha to one leaf on each plant. The chamber was maintained at 30°C, with a relative humidity of 45-65%, and a photoperiod of 15 hours on:9 hours off (1000 W G.E. Duroglow lamp above the chamber). Air was passed through the chamber at a rate of 0.8 km/hour. Volatile compounds were trapped with polyurethane foam plugs. Foam plugs and treated leaves were sampled at 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 48, and 96 hours posttreatment.

Polyurethane foam plugs were extracted with acetone, and radioactivity in the extract was quantified using LSC. Leaf samples were washed with methanol, and  $[^{14}C]$ residues in the washes were quantified using LSC.  $[^{14}C]$ Residues remaining in the leaf tissue were quantified by LSC following combustion.

#### **REPORTED RESULTS:**

#### Experiment 1

Physical properties of chlorpyrifos are presented in Table 2.  $[^{14}C]$ -Chlorpyrifos residues volatilized with half-lives of >36, 29, and 32 hours from loam, sandy loam, and silty clay loam soils, respectively, treated with  $[^{14}C]$ chlorpyrifos at 6 ppm. At 36, 29, and 32 hours posttreatment, ~62, 89, and 62% of the applied radioactivity remained on the loam, sandy loam, and silty clay loam soils, respectively (Table 3).

#### Experiment 2

[14C]Chlorpyrifos residues volatilized with a half-life of <12 hours from corn plants treated with formulated [ $^{14}$ C]chlorpyrifos at 1.12 kg/ha. At 96 hours posttreatment, >80% of the applied radioactivity had been volatilized and ~1 and 11% of the applied was detected on the leaf surfaces and in the leaf tissues, respectively (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION:

#### General

- 1. Volatility data were not expressed as  $\mu g/cm^2/hour$ .
- 2. Air concentrations were not expressed as  $\mu g/m^3$  or  $mg/m^3$ .

#### Experiment 1

- 1. The soil was not sampled immediately after treatment to confirm the stated chlorpyrifos application rate.
- 2. No data on the trapping efficiency of the polyurethane foam plugs were provided. The study authors did state that a preliminary experiment was conducted in which chlorpyrifos was applied directly to a foam plug and air was passed through the plug for 2 days, and the test substance was retained on the foam plug.
- 3. The CEC of the soils was not reported.
- 4. According to the USDA Soil Textural Classification System, the soil identified as a sandy clay loam is a silty clay loam. The correct classification is used in describing the soil throughout the study.
- 5. The relative humidity was not reported.

#### Experiment 2

Material balances were incomplete at the 3-, 6-, and 9-hour sampling intervals.





Figure 1. Diagram of soil volatility apparatus.



Figure 2. Diagram of soil volatility system.





Table 1.	Soil	characteristics.
----------	------	------------------

Soil type	Location	Sand	Silt%	Clay	Organic matter	рН
Commerce loam	Mississippi	38	48	14	0.68	6.7
2:2 <sup>a</sup> sandy loam	Germany	78	12	10	2.63	6.2
Kawkawlin silty clay loam <sup>b</sup>	Michigan	12	60	28	1.46	6.4

a German standard soil.

<sup>b</sup> Reported to be a sandy clay loam; however, according to the USDA Soil Textural Classification System, the soil was determined to be a silty clay loam. Table 2. Physical properties of chlorpyrifos.

Molecular weight	350.6 g/mol
Water solubility	1.07 ppm <sup>a</sup>
Vapor pressure	1.9 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> torr at 25°C <sup>b</sup>
Soil sorption constant, K <sub>oc</sub>	6000 - 10000 <sup>c</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Swann, R.L., D.A. Laskowski, P.J. McCall, K. Vander Kay, and H.J. Dishburger. 1983. A rapid method for the estimation of the environmental parameters octanol/water partition coefficient, soil sorption constant, water to air ratio, and water solubility. Residue Rev. 85, 17-26.
- b Burst, H.F. 1966. A summary of chemical and physical properties of Dursban. Down to Earth, Winter, 21.
- C McCall, P.J., G.R. Oliver, and R.L. McKeller. 1984. Modeling the runoff potential of chlorpyrifos in a terrestrial - aquatic watershed. GH-C 1694. Unpublished report of the Dow Chemical Company.

Sampling interval (hours)	Loam soil	Sampling interval (hours)	Sandy loam soil	Sampling interval (hours)	Silty clay loam soil <sup>D</sup>
0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
2.5	98.2	3.0	99.0	2.0	98.2
7.0	93.5	6.0	97.5	4.0	95.2
10.5	88.6	8.0	96.6	8.5	88.8
24.0	71.4	23.0	90.5	24.0	70.1
36.0	62.2	29.0	88.7	32.0	62.0

Table 3. [14C]Chlorpyrifos residues (% remaining)<sup>a</sup> on loam, sandy loam, and silty clay loam soils treated with ring-labeled [<sup>14</sup>C]chlorpyrifos at 6 ppm.

a Calculated from the difference between the amount of radioactivity volatilized and that theoretically applied to the soil. The amount of radioactivity volatilized was determined by dividing the total radioactivity extracted from the polyurethane foam plugs by the amount of radioactivity theoretically applied to the soil.

b Classified by the study authors as a sandy clay loam.

	Leaf t	issue		Total [14C]
Sampling interval (hours)	Surface extractable <sup>a</sup>	Unextractable	Volatiles	
0	100	an a		100.0
3	44.7	15.3	22.5	82.5
6	20.9	21.1	36.7	78.7
9	16.0	24.1	48.7	88.8
12	6.6	28.7	56.8	92.1
24	5.8	21.5	72.0	99.3
48	1.8	17.0	79.3	98.1
96	1.1	10.6	84.2	95.9

Table 4. [14C]Chlorpyrifos residues (% of applied) in and on leaf tissue and in air after corn plants were treated with [<sup>14</sup>C]chlorpyrifos formulated as Lorsban (4 lb/gal, EC) at 1.12 kg/ha.

a Methanol wash.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The data summarized here are scientifically valid data that have been reviewed in this report but do not fulfill data requirements unless noted in the Recommendations section of this report.

2,6-Pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (purity 97.9%), at ~0.6 ppm, degraded with calculated half-lives of 72.8 days at pH 5, 72.1 days at pH 7, and 15.8 days at pH 9 in sterile buffered solutions incubated in the dark at 25°C for 35 days (McCall, 1986; No MRID). Two degradates were formed and were identified as 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol and 0-ethyl 0-(3,5,6trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothioate.

[14C]Chlorpyrifos (purity >98%) was slightly mobile to mobile in sandy loam, loam, and silt loam soils, based on batch equilibrium and column leaching studies (McCall, 1985b; No MRID). The adsorption and leaching of  $[1^4C]$ chlorpyrifos were positively affected by the organic carbon content of the soil. Adsorption coefficients (K<sub>d</sub>) ranged from 49.9 in a loam soil (organic carbon content 0.68%) to 99.7 in a silt loam soil (organic carbon content 2.01%). In soil columns, ~5% of the applied chlorpyrifos leached below the upper 5 cm in the loam soil, while <1% of the applied chlorpyrifos leached below the upper 5 cm of the sandy loam and silt loam soils (the two soils highest in organic carbon).

2,6-Pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (purity 97.9%), at 1.2 ppm, in a sandy loam soil maintained under aerobic conditions at 25°C in the dark, degraded with a half-life of 7-10 days (McCall, 1985a; No MRID). One major degradate, identified as 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol, was formed (maximum 28.1% of the applied at 10 days posttreatment).

Aged (10 days) 2,6-pyridine ring-labeled [14C]chlorpyrifos (purity 97.9%) residues were moderately mobile in a sandy loam soil after leaching with 20 inches of water; 73% of the applied remained in the upper 5 cm of the column (McCall, 1985a). The remaining [14C]residues were distributed evenly throughout the column and in the column leachate. Chlorpyrifos and 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol were the major components of the residues. 3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridinol (purity 99%) had adsorption coefficients (K<sub>d</sub>) of 0.37 and 0.33 in two different samples of the sandy loam soil.

[14C]Chlorpyrifos residues volatilized with a half-life of <12 hours from the surface of corn leaves treated with formulated (4 lb/gal EC) [ $^{14}$ C]chlorpryifos at 1.12 kg/ha (McCall et al., 1985). At 96 hours posttreatment, >80% of the applied radioactivity had been volatilized, and ~1 and 11% of the applied was detected on the leaf surfaces and in the leaf tissues, respectively.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Available data are insufficient to fully assess the environmental fate and transport of, and the potential exposure of humans and nontarget organisms to chlorpyrifos. The submission of data relevant to registration requirements (Subdivision N) for terrestrial food crop, terrestrial nonfood, aquatic nonfood crop, domestic outdoor, and indoor use sites is summarized below:

Hydrolysis studies: One study (McCall, 1986; No MRID) was reviewed and is scientifically valid. This study fulfills data requirements by providing information on the hydrolysis of chlorpyrifos at pH 5, 7, and 9.

Photodegradation studies in water: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Photodegradation studies on soil: Based on data submitted for the Chlorpyrifos Addendum dated April 24, 1985, all data requirements have been met.

Photodegradation studies in air: No data were submitted for this addendum, but all data are required. Reference is made to conclusions reached following earlier reviews of similar studies.

<u>Aerobic soil metabolism studies</u>: Based on data submitted for the Chlorpyrifos Registration Standard dated September 28, 1984, all data requirements have been met.

Anaerobic soil metabolism studies: Based on data submitted for the Chlorpyrifos Registration Standard dated September 28, 1984, all data requirements have been met.

Anaerobic aquatic metabolism studies: Based on data submitted for the Chlorpyrifos Addendum dated April 24, 1985, all data requirements have been met.

<u>Aerobic aquatic metabolism studies</u>: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Leaching and adsorption/desorption studies: Two studies were reviewed; both were considered scientifically valid. One study (McCall, 1985b; No MRID) partially fulfills data requirements by providing information on the leaching of unaged chlorpyrifos through columns of three soils and on the adsorption of chlorpyrifos to three soils. The second study (McCall, 1985a; No MRID) partially fulfills data requirements by providing information on the leaching of aged chlorpyrifos residues through one soil. Based on the Chlorpyrifos Registration Standard and Addenda, data have been reviewed which provide information on the adsorption of chlorpyrifos (unaged) to a variety of soils and an aquatic sediment, and on the leaching of unaged chlorpyrifos through three soils and aged chlorpyrifos through one soil. A study is needed providing either information on the desorption of chlorpyrifos through one additional soil and one sediment. Reference is made to conclusions/recommendations reached following earlier reviews of similar studies.

Laboratory volatility studies: One study (Swann et al., 1985; No MRID) was reviewed and is scientifically valid. This study does not fulfill data requirements because: Experiment 1 - volatility data were not expressed as  $\mu$  g/cm<sup>2</sup>/hour, air concentrations were not expressed as  $\mu$  g/m<sup>3</sup> or mg/m<sup>3</sup>, the test soils were not completely characterized, and the relative humidity was not reported; Experiment 2 - volatility data were not expressed as  $\mu$  g/cm<sup>2</sup>/hour, air concentrations were not expressed as  $\mu$  g/m<sup>3</sup> or mg/m<sup>3</sup>, the balances were not expressed as  $\mu$  g/m<sup>3</sup> or mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and material balances were incomplete. All data are required.

-30-

Field volatility studies: No data were reviewed for this addendum; however, all data may be required depending upon the results of the laboratory volatility studies.

Terrestrial field dissipation studies: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Aquatic field dissipation studies: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Forestry dissipation studies: No data were reviewed for this addendum; however. no data are required because chlorpyrifos has no forestry uses.

Dissipation studies for combination products and tank mix uses: No data were reviewed for this addendum; however, no data are required because data requirements for combination products and tank mix uses are currently not being imposed.

Long-term field dissipation studies: No data were reviewed for this addendum; however, based on data submitted for the Chlorpyrifos Registration Standard dated September 28, 1984, the data which were submitted for aerobic soil metabolism meet this requirement.

<u>Confined accumulation studies on rotational crops</u>: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Field accumulation studies on rotational crops: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Accumulation studies on irrigated crops: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Laboratory studies on pesticide accumulation in fish: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data are required.

Field accumulation studies of aquatic nontarget organisms: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data may be required depending upon results of fish accumulation studies

<u>Reentry</u>: No data were reviewed for this addendum, but all data may be required. California has imposed a reentry interval of 2 days for crops; a Federal reentry interval of 1 day for crops has been established. For each representative crop/ site the registrant is required to propose an acceptable interval based on either: a) data on dissipation of foliar and/or soil residues of chlorpyriphos (decline curve), on human exposure to those residues, and on toxicity of chlorpyrifos; or b) determination of that time beyond which there are no detectable, dislodgeable residues remaining in the worker environment.

#### REFERENCES

McCall, P.J. 1985a. Chlorpyrifos aged column leaching study. Report GH-C 1778. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A, Agricultural Products Department, Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 3.



McCall, P.J. 1985b. Column leaching and sorption studies with chlorpyrifos. Report GH-C 1777. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A., Agricultural Products Department, Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 2.

McCall, P.J. 1986. Hydrolysis of chlorpyrifos in dilute aqueous solution. Report GH-C 1791. Submitted by Dow Chemical U.S.A., Agricultural Products Department, Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 1.

McCall, P.J., R.L. Swann, and W.R. Bauriedel. 1985. Volatility characteristics of chlorpyrifos from soil and corn. Submitted by Dow Chemical Co., Midland, MI. Acc. No. 260794. Reference 4. APPENDIX

-33-