US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

FEB 1 7 1981

Mr. Bub Rischeff The Day Chemical Company T. D. Sax 1786 Midland, MI 48640

Deer Mr. Biocheff:

Subject: Posticide Potition 992270 EPA Registration Nos. 464-448 and 464-523 Chlorpyrifos for use in/on Soybeans Your Submission of October 14, 1980

We have completed our Residue Chemistry review of the subject potition and find that we cannot act favorably for the following reasons:

1. A minimum time period between applications of Lorsben 48 should be indicated on the label.

The submitted residue data reflects 4 or 5 applications which are spread 17 to 39 days apart except for one two-day specing shortly after emergence. He data was submitted with short spaced applications at the PRI which would reflect the maximum possible residues under the proposed use.

- 2. The deletion of tolerances for forage and key will not eliminate the need for plant metabolism data and we cannot make an animal metabolism conclusion until the plant metabolism question is resolved.
- 3. Additional residue data on soybeans from the major soybean producing states covering the maximum proposed use, the granular formulation and all regulated residues including significant metabolites found in the plant metabolism studies are still needed. Of the seven residue studies submitted, only five had a PMI close to the 28 days specified on the label. Considering the problem with contaminated controls, this is too small a sampling to adequately judge whether residues would exceed the proposed telerance.
- 4. Considering the sensitivity of the methods, 8.81 ppm chlorpyrifos and 8.85 ppm TCP and that only one sample bearing a residue of 8.14 ppm was studied, we cannot adequately judge whether residues in meal will exceed those in soybeans. Therefore, additional data are needed preferably in the form of a processing study where the residues in soybeans are at the tolerance level. Sufficient residues would then be present in soybeans to observe whether residues in fact concentrate in meal.

Also, if additional notabulities are judged in most of regulation on a result of the resolution of the plant notabelism question, proceeding studies will be madded for those components.

5. We are withholding judgment on the proposed increases in tolerance for meet, fat and meet by products of goets and sharp well the share delicionales have been recolved.

We will give this petition further consideration upon receipt of your response to this letter. Because of the importance of this petition, we would like your reply within seventy-five (75) days of receipt of this letter. If you have any questions ocnowning this letter or if you need additional time in which to respond, please contact me at (783) 557-7824.

Sincerely,

Jay Ellenberger Product Manager (12) Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch Registration Division (78-767)

IRG: ELLENBERGER: 16566: 1903A-DPT-WANG: RAVEN: 479-2018: 1/28/81