US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

September 28, 1970

PP #1E1039. (HCN) Codex Alimentarius International Tolerances.

Hemo Mr. Frank McParland, OC, BF-320

Prom: Mr. J. G. Commings, Chief Petitions Evaluation Branch, DPCT

- (1) We had previously reviewed the proposed Codex toler-ness for HCN, inorganic bromile, one malathion in raw cereals (memo 7/9/70, Cummings, Cook) and pointed out that all were compatible with U.S. tolerances except HCN in grain and flou and inorganic bromide in popcorn.
- (2) Dr. Pitzbugh's memorandum of 7/23/70 states that (s) popcorn is not included in the Codex definition of raw cereals, and (b) that the discrepancy between the 125 ppm U. S. toler-nee and 6 ppm Codex toler-ence in flour is not applicable in that the U. S. tolerance is to cover direct funigation of flour whereas the Codex tolerance in flour is to cover treatment of grain. Presumably flour treated in the holds of ships would require serstion to reduce residues to 6 pm or less before entry into European ports.
- (3) The only remaining discrepancy therefore is the 100 ppm U. S. tolerance for HCN in grains vs the 75 ppm Codex tolerance. Dr. Fitshugh recommends that the 100 ppm U. S. tolerance be reduced to 75 ppm. We concur in this recommendation

Rationale

The 100 ppm tolerance derives from PP #195 filed in 1959. In this petition a 75 ppm tolerance was originally proposed to cover residues in grains funigated in warehouses with HCN liquid or discoids at the rate of 2-4 lbs act/1000 cu ft The conclusions derived from the FDA evaluation of the residue data led to a request that the tolerance be established at 100 ppm rather than 75 ppm. The actual tolerance level however, is not directly related to human safety in that it was recognized that the normal aeration, turning of the grain in transfer, miling, baking, shd finally cooking would assure essentially no residue at time of consumption of cereal products. The tolerance selected was primarily as a means of regulating the use at point of treatment. In the 10 years the tolerance has been in effect, I know of no instance of regulatory action on grains funigated with HCN. Therefore, I would concur in the reduction of the present 100 ppm tolerance (\$120.130) to 75 ppm.

J. G. Cummings
Petitions Evaluation Branch
Division of Pesticide Chemistry and Toxicology

cci

BY-148 BY-200

BP-216

37-310

BF-110

International File

JGCummings:mad 9/28/70