

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

SHRAGHANESSY #56001
NAPHTHALENE ACETAMIDE

INDEXED

DATE: JUN 07 1975 OFF 7/7/75 BY GIP
LUSH & WILSON FRANKLIN, CO. CHICAGO ILL 60604

FILE OR REG. NO. **264-EXP-47G**

LABORATORY OR IND. BUREAU NO.

DATE DEV. REPORTED **6/27/75**

DATE OF SUBMISSION **6/23/75**

DATE SUBMISSION ACCEPTED

TYPE PRODUCT(S): T, D, H, F, N, R, S

REPORTER NO.

REPORT NAME(S)

COMPANY NAME

SCIENTIFIC PERSON **Letter by Anchem 6/23/75**

CHEMICAL & ELEMENTS **Naphthaleneacetamide**

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1.0 Conclusions

1.1 In reply to Amchem's letter of 6/23/75, we can waive data requirements 4 and 5 of RD letter of 2/21/75 and those in our evaluation dated 12/5/74.

1.2 See 2.2 of introduction.

2.0 Introduction

2.1 See evaluation dated 12/5/74 for 264-EXP.

2.2 Amchem's letter of 6/23/75 states:

1. Area would ~~not~~ be used for agriculture, grazing and in most cases recreation. This use is reseed stripmine areas.
2. Currently only 1 treatment. Maybe 2 to insure complete vegetation establishment.
3. Rate would be 0.015oz/A of A naphthalenacetamide.

With these points in mind and data on hand, we can go along with the registration at a future date without additional data. The method of analyses would not detect 0.015oz/A in soil. Buildup in soil, if any, would not be expected since continued yearly application would not result.

This use would only represent minimal hazards to the environment when used as proposed.

RE: 8/11/75
Ronald E. Ney Jr. 7/7/75
Environmental Chemistry Section
EEEEB