

### FILE COPY

Date Out EFB:

1

DEC 3 1 1981

To: Jay Ellenberger Product Manager 12 Registration Div sion (TS-767)

From: Dr. Willa Garner, Chief Review Section No. 1 Environmental Fate Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Attached please find the environmental fate review of:

Reg./File No.: 3125-GNA & 3125-146

Chemical: Baygon (O-Isopropoxyphenyl)-N-(methylcarbamate)

Type Product: Insecticide

Product Name: Baygon

Company Name: Mobay Chemical Corporation, Agrichemicals Division

Submission Purpose: Adding Alfalfa and Pasture Grass

ZBB Code: 3(c)(7)

Date In: 10/28/81

Date Completed: 12/31/81

ACTION	CODE:	181,336	······
EFB #	28	,29	
TAIS (]	level	<u>(I)</u>	Days
e	50		4

Deferrals To:

X Ecological Effects Branch

X Residue Chemistry Branch

X Toxicology Branch

#### Date Out EFB: DEC 3 1 1981

To: Jay Ellenberger Product Manager 12 Registration Div sion (TS-767)

From: Dr. Willa Garner, Chief Review Section No. 1 Environmental Fate Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Attached please find the environmental fate review of:

Reg./File No.: 3125-GNA

Chemical: Baygon (O-Isopropoxyphenyl)-N-(methylcarbamate)

Type Product: Insecticide

Product Name: Baygon MOS

Company Name: Mobay Chemical Corporation, Agrichemicals Division

Submission Purpose: Use on Mosquitoes

ZBB Code: 3(c)(7)	ACTION CODE: 181	
Date In: 10/29/81	EFB #38	~ - <del></del>
Date Completed: <u>12/31/81</u>	TAIS (level II)	Days
	60	4

#### Deferrals To:

X Ecological Effects Branch

Residue Chemistry Branch

X Toxicology Branch

#### Date Out EFB:

DECISIA

To: Jay Ellenberger Product Manager 12 Registration Div sion (TS-767)

From: Dr. Willa Garner, Chief Review Section No. 1 Environmental Fate Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769)

Attached please find the environmental fate review of:

Reg./File No.: 3125-121, -122 & -214

Chemical:\_\_\_\_\_ Baygon (O-Isopropoxyphenyl)-N-(methylcarbamate)

Type Product: Insecticide

Product Name: Baygon

Company Name: Mobay Chemical Corporation, Agrichemicals Division

Submission Purpose: Fly Control in Livestock and Animal Barns

ZBB Code: 3(c)(7)	ACTION CODE: 336	
Date In: 10/28/81	EFB #30,31,32	
Date Completed: <u>12/31/81</u>	TAIS (level II)	Days
	60	4

#### Deferrals To:

X Ecological Effects Branch

Residue Chemistry Branch

X Toxicology Branch

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to letters from RD on September 3, 1975 and again on November 7, 1977, the registrant submitted supportive EC data on October 6, 1981 for EFB review. Three separate RD actions were grouped by this review, since they all depend upon this one data package (Accession number 246088, 10/21/81, for 3125-306)

1

#### 2.0 STRUCTURE

Baygon: (O-Isopropoxyphenyl-N-methylcarbamate)



#### 3.0 DIRECTIONS FOR USE

Labels for each product are appended to this review.

#### 4.0 REVIEW of Accession Number 246088

4.1 Lounsbery, J.L. ®Baygon Water Solubility and Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient. Notebook Reference 80-R-65, pp 42-49, 55-66. Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corp. 9/3/80.[Report #69017]

#### Experimental

Suitable quantities of 99.8% Baygon were added to screwtop silanized culture tubes, shaken under standard conditions and analyzed for a.i. by HPLC. In a second experiment, Baygon was sonicated with  $H_2O/octanol$  in triplicate. Baygon in octanol was quantified by GLC, and in water by HPLC.

#### Conclusions

The aqueous solubility of Baygon at 20°C and pH 5.6 was found to be approximately 1750 ppm. The solubility of Baygon in octanol was observed to be greater than 9700 ppm. The average Octanol/Water partition coefficient ( $K_{OW}$ ) was found to be about 36.

These studies are satisfactory, and further enhance our understanding of the physical properties of the active ingredient.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.2 Aly, Osman M. and M.A. El-Dib. Studies on the Persistence of Some Carbamate Insecticides in the Aquatic Environment-I...Hydrolysis of Sevin, Baygon, Pyrolan and Dimetilan in Waters. (publication unknown). April 11, 1971. pp 1191-1205. [Report # 34648]

This study was supe icially reviewed on 9/21/77. The only conclusion at that time was that the study in buffered NaOH was ancillary.

#### Experimental

The rate of hydrolysis of several carbamate insecticides was evaluated. For the purposes of this review, only the portions of this study pertaining to Baygon will be evaluated.

The analytical method used was as follows: Baygon was hydrolyzed in alkaline medium to its corresponding o-isopropoxyphenol and 1-naphthol, which were then reacted with 4-aminoantipyrine in the presence of an alkaline oxidizing agent to form a characteristic red-colored complex, which could be quantified by UV spectrophotometry. The limit of detection of this method was 3 ug/ml (3ppm) and was found to follow Beer's law over the range of concentrations tested.

Initially, 5 to 200 ug quantities of Baygon were allowed to stand for 5 minutes at 25 +/- 2°C in 5 ml of 0.5N NaOH, buffered to pH 8.0 +/- 0.2 by the addition of 5 ml of a phosphate buffer, then analyzed as above.

In a second experiment, the kinetics of hydrolysis were studied as follows: Buffer solutions (0.1M phosphate) were prepared, and adjusted to pH 4.0-10.0, to obtain working buffers of 0.01M strength. Buffers were mixed with each insecticide directly in a UV cuvette to a final concentration of 0.12 mmoles/1. The a.i. was introduced in methanol (final concentration=2%). The release of 1-naphthol was followed at 320 nm, and that of isopropoxyphenol at 290 nm. Rate constants were computed from the UV data.

Molarity of NaOH	K <sub>1</sub> (/min)	K <sub>2</sub> (1/mole/min
0.0025	0.080	32.2
0.0050	0.172	34.4
0.0100	0.304	30.4

Spen .

Estimated Hydrolytic Rate Constants at 20°C

Effect of pH on hydrolysis was evaluated. Baygon was found to be stable to hydrolysis over the pH range 3.0-7.0. At pH 8, the rate of hydrolysis was found to increase with increasing pH. Therefore, halflife and first-order rate constants were determined at pH 8, 9 and 10 at 20°C.

рН	<sup>T</sup> 1/2 (days)	T <sub>0.99</sub> (days)	K <sub>1</sub>	
8.0 9.0	16. 1.6	106.6 11.4	3. E-5 2.8E-4	Ċ
10.0	0.17	1.18	2.7E-3	

Temperature dependence was evaluated, and the rate of hydrolysis was found to increase by a factor of 2.0 to 2.9 for each rise of 10°C.

Temp (°C)	Molarity of NaOH	K <sub>1</sub> (/min)	K <sub>2</sub> (1/min/mole)
5	0.01	0.073	7.37
10	0.01	0.112	11.2
20	0.01	0.304	30.4
30	0.01	0.759	75.9
40	0.01	1.16	116.

#### Conclusions

In water at/below pH 7.0 Baygon is stable to hydrolysis. At pH 8.0, hydrolysis is virtually complete (99%) in 107 days. In a statement, the authors speculate that Baygon should be more stable in high-salt waters than in low-salt waters, due to hydroxide common-ion effects.

The data on hydrolysis in acidic media are not convincing. This portion of the study should be redone to determine the hydrolytic rate constants under low pH conditions.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.3

Gronberg, R.R. and K.M. Pither. Photodecomposition of @BAYGON in Aqueous Medium. Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. August 11, 1977. Revised June 22, 1981. [Report # 53613]

#### Experimental

Neutral, aqueous (distilled water) 5 ppm Baygon solutions with and without photosensitizer were irradiated with intense artificial light, and the rate constants for the consequent degradation estimated. The Baygon used was uniformly ring- $^{14}$ C-labeled, and was found to be 97% radiochemically pure with a specific activity of 10.37 mCi/mmole.

(a

The light source simulated sunlight in Kansas City, Mo. during the months of June/July, and was considered by the researchers to be typical of field photo-conditions. Suitable dark controls were used throughout the study.

Analysis of photoprojects was done as follows: Solutions were chromatographed by TLC on silica gel  $F_{254}$  precoated plates, using one of three development systems, then assayed for radioactivity by radiochromatogram scanner. Quantitation was achieved by scraping the detected spots and subjecting them to LSC radiometric analysis. Aqueous samples were subjected to Gel Permeation Chromatography, and quantified by radioassay. Volatiles were suitably trapped and quantified.

#### Conclusions

Baygon (unsensitized) was relatively refractory to photolysis. After 21 days, 24% of the radio-activity was parent Baygon. Halflife without sensitizer was estimated to be 9.8 days, as compared with 41 days in darkness. (Half-life in another study referred to by the authors was 30 days in darkness at pH 7 and 30°C). With acetone sensitizer, half-life was 0.7 days. Adjusting the halflife figures to compensate for hydrolysis effects, the projected photolytic (unsensitized halflife) would be about 13 days.

Sizeable amounts (>50%) of the radiocarbon activity was found in the volatilized products, which consisted of either isopropoxy-phenol or  $^{14}{\rm CO}_2$ .

Material Balance data are summarized below.

		<pre>% Distribution</pre>			
		Non-Sen	sitized	Sensitiz	ed
		(After 2	21 days)	(after 7	days)
			Non-		Non-
Fraction	Identity/Character	Irrad.	Irrad.	Irrad.	<u>Irrad</u> .
Base Trapped	<sup>14</sup> co <sub>2</sub>	30.9	0.1	53.1	trace
Water Soluble	Polar (Polymeric?)	20.4	0.5	22.9	0.4
Organosoluble	BAYGON	24.3	67.7	0.1	87.5
	Isopropoxyphenol	2.0	12.0	0.0	7.6
	Other (origin-bound)	2.3	0.0	8.0	0.0
Losses	BAYGON or	20.1	19.7	15.9	4.5
	Isopropoxyphenol			<del></del>	-
	TOTALS	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Radioactivity Cha	racterized/Identified	97.7	100.0	92.0	100.0
This study is a	cceptable, and satisfi	es the	data reg	uirement	under

7

§ 163.161-2.

4.4 Wilmes, Rolf. Experiments on the Photolytic Degradation of Baygon. PF report #1294. Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. November 17, 1978. [Report # 67965]

#### Experimental

This photolysis experiment is very similar to § 4.3. Quantification of unknowns was by TLC and MS (details not provided). The report does include a summary of photolysis studies done by others up to the date of their review (Fall, 1978). Essentially, the paper deals with a number of theoretical considerations, such as free radical mechanisms of photolysis. Very little new information was provided.

#### Conclusions

Baygon was very photostable when irradiated on silica gel plates. In aqueous medium, some photoproducts identified included 4- and 6-N-methyl-benzamido-2-isopropoxyphenol, and 1-N-methylcarbamido-2,2'-diisopropoxybiphenol. As in § 4.3, some polymeric material was found at the TLC origin.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.5 McNamara, F.T. and K.D. Moore. Photodecomposition of ®BAYGON on Soil. Research and Development Department. Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. April 28, 1981. [Report # 69476]

#### Experimental

Fully <sup>14</sup>C-ring-labeled Baygon was prepared and found to be 97% radiochemically pure with a specific activity of 10.37 mCi/mmole. The soil used was a sandy loam with the following characteristics.

Sand...58%, Silt...32%, Clay...10%, O.M...2.8%\*, pH-5.1\*\* C.E.C...15.3 meq/100g @ pH 8.2, Particle Density- 2.4 gm/cc

\* Total O.C. x 1.9 \*\* pH in 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub>

Sunlamps were identical to those used in § 4.3. Four-gram aliquots of dry, sieved soil were treated with Baygon in methanol in a petri dish, so that final concentrations were 5 ppm with respect to Baygon. A total of 42 such dishes were prepared, with 6 taken initially as controls. Each remaining dish was attached to a gascollection apparatus to trap volatiles and was exposed to sunlight as in § 4.3. Samples were taken for analysis on days 2, 5, 7, 14, 21 and 28. Quantification of degradates was achieved by methanol extraction, TLC and LSC. Overall losses for irradiated samples were about 10% (3% for controls) after 28 days.

#### Conclusions

After 28 days, the major component (75%) was Baygon, 12% was unextractable and 10% was volatilized. The calculated half-life was 77 days.

This study is acceptable, and satisfies the data requirements under § 163.161-3

4.6

Church, D.D. and D.R. Flint. The Fate of Baygon (<u>o</u>-Isopropoxyphenyl-N-methylcarbamate) in Soil. Research and Development Department. Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. August 1, 1971. [Report # 30590]

This study has previously been reviewed on 8/13/75 and on 9/21/77 (supplement #1, dated 1/16/75).

#### Experimental

This study was designed to evaluate the potential for Baygon to degrade in several soil types, under conditions which favor microbial activity. Soil types used included a sandy loam, a silt loam and a high organic silt loam. The study proposed that the overall metabolic degradative pathway involves decarbamylation to isopropoxyl phenbol, which subsequently releases an acetone moiety leaving a catechol structure. This can be further degraded in the soil. Remaining unidentified fragments did not behave as carbamates.

#### Conclusions [from 8/13/75 review]

Soil microorganisms appear to participate in the breakdown of Baygon. However, the stability to metabolism shown in the first series of tests indicates that concentration or other factors may influence microbial breakdown.

#### Conclusions [from 9/21/77 review]

This is essentially a study on the effects of soil microorganisms on Baygon. It was accepted on 8/13/75. Even though previously accepted, the following deficiencies based on current operating procedures are noted:

(a) Formulated product and not active ingredient alone was used in most of the study. Active ingredient alone should be used since formulations may affect results.

Ç

(b) Soil suspensions in water rather that bulk soils were used in two of the three studies. Bulk soils should be used to compare metabolic processes under sterile and nonsterile conditions. The soil should not be previously fortified with nonradiolabeled Baygon. The amount added should correspond to that added under actual use conditons. Pref<sup>7</sup> red sampling intervals are 1, 3, 7, 14, 20 and 30 days.

(c) [comments not related to current guidelines]

(d) Cation exchange capacity of the soil should be reported. Metabolism may vary in different soils, so soil characteristics are needed to define results

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.7 Moore, Charles Albert. The Degradation of Two Insecticides by Soil Microorganisms. Masters Thesis. Mississippi State University, Department of Entomology, State College, Mississippi. August 1971. [Report # 33364]

This study was reviewed on 9/21/77 (supplement #1, dated 1/16/75).

#### Experimental

This study was designed to evaluate the residual toxicity of two insecticides (Bidrin and Baygon) after incubation with selected soil microorganisms. In addition, an evaluation of the <u>in vitro</u> cholinesterase inhibition by these two chemicals was tested as a potential assay method for organoposphate and carbamate insect-icides.

#### Conclusions [from 9/21/77 review]

This study was reviewed on 8/13/75, and found acceptable. It is essentially a study of the effects of microbes on Baygon, and complements material in Report #30590. Even though previously accepted, the following deficiencies based on current operating procedures are noted:

(a) [comments do not relate to current guidelines.]

(b) A radioisotope method shoud be used to detect Baygon and degradates rather than the indirect method of measuring acetyl-cholinesterase inhibition. Baygon should be labeled with  $^{14}$ C on the benzene ring.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.8 Wilson, C.M., F.T. McNamara and R.G. Minor. Microbial Degradation of ®Baygon. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. May 7, 1981. [Report # 69492]

#### Experimental

This study was initiated to determine whether common soil microorganisms (as pure cultures) could degrade Baygon. Fungi tested included Penicillium daleae, Aspergillis niger, Phycomyces nitens, trichoderma viride. Bacteria tested included Streptomyces scabies, Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas maltophilea and Cellulomonas flavigena.

#### Conclusions

When Baygon was incubated in buffered (pH 7) nutrient broth for 14 days, it dissipated with a half-life of 35 days, with the primary pathway being hydrolysis to isopropoxyphenol. None of the organisms tested displayed significant degradative activity when compared with sterile distilled water controls.

This study, while superficially interesting, does not relate to current registration guidelines, and does not appreciable increase our understanding of the environmental behavior of Baygon.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.9

Gronberg, R.R., G.R. Hanna and S.H. Atwell. The Metabolism of ®BAYGON in Sandy Loam and Silt Loam Soils. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. August 25, 1981. [Report # 69617]

#### Experimental

This study was initiated to provide supplemental data evaluating the metabolism of Baygon in soil under aerobic, anaerobic and sterile conditions. Baygon was used which had been radiolabeled at either the ring or carbonyl groups, and had specific activities of 10.37 and 17.98 mCi/mmole, respectively. Both standards were >98% radiopure by TLC. Soils used in this study are summarized below.

	Sand (१)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	0.М.* (%)	рH	C.E.C. (meg/100g)	Density (g/cc)
Silt Loam	3	75	22	2.3	6.4	32	2.6
Sandy Loam	56	28	16	2.2	5.7	9.7	2.6

٩ 🕴

\* Total 0.C. x 1.9

The silt loam soil was sifted to pass a 2 mm screen, fortified with either the carbonyl-labeled or ring-labeled Baygon standard, tumbled mechanically to assure uniformity and adjusted to 27% moisture content. Aliquots were weighed into test chambers designed to maintain darkness and aerobicity throughout the experiment. Vapors were suitably trapped for subsequent analysis. Additional aliquots were flooded with distilled water to maintain anaerobicity, then purged with nitrogen and tightly sealed.

The sandy loam soil was treated as above, but at a somewhat lower concentration of Baygon. The initial aerobic experiment was interrupted at 30 days, and anaerobic conditions created, then the experiment continued.

In a third series of experiments, sandy loam soil was sterilized and then treated as above, to evaluate degradation under sterile conditions.

Aliquots of the silt loam soil were taken for analysis on days 0, 14, 28, 56, 112 224 and 336 (ring UL-labeled standard) and on days 0, 14, 28 and 56 (carbonyl-labeled standard), in the aerobic experiment.

Aliquots of the sandy loam soil were taken for analysis on days 0, 30, 65, 91, 120 and 181 (ring UL-labeled standard) and on days 0, 7, 14, 28 and 56 (carbonyl-labeled standard), in the aerobic experiment. Aliquots of the sandy loam soil were taken for analysis on days 0, 30, 65, 91 (ring UL-labeled standard) in the aerobic/ anaerobic experiment.

Identification and quantification was achieved by TLC (either autoradiograph or radioscanner), followed by scraping and LSC counting.

#### Results

#### Aerobic Experiments:

In the silt loam soil under aerobic conditions, total ring-labeled radiocarbon decreased from 7.9 ppm (Baygon equivalent) to 5.1 ppm after 336 days, while total carbonyl-labeled radiocarbon decreased from 9.3 ppm to 7.1 ppm after 56 days.

Aside from the residues of parent Baygon, the base traps accounted for the major portion of the degradate (volatilized, and assumed to be  $^{14}{\rm CO}_2)$ 

In the sandy loam soil under aerobic conditions, total ring-labeled radiocarbon decreased from 0.43 ppm (Baygon equivalent) to 0.35 ppm after 181 days. Although no trapping of vapors was performed with this soil, the 17% loss of radiocarbon was attributed to the same mechanism as with the silt loam (i.e.  $^{14}CO_2$ )

6

#### Anaerobic Experiments:

In the silt loam soil, both radiolabels were followed for 56 days during which time leaching into the flood water was found to have occurred, representing 24-37% (ring- $^{14}$ C) and 21-33%(carbonyl- $^{14}$ C) of the applied, ref actively. In all cases the primary residue found was unchanged Baygon.

In the sandy loam soil, under aerobic (30 days)/anaerobic (61 days) conditions, distribution of radiolabels was very close to that observed in the silt anaerobic silt loam experiment.

#### Sterile Experiment:

No significant differences were observed between the sterile vs. unsterile experiments.

#### Conclusions

In aerobic silt loam and sandy loam soils, the degradation of Baygon followed 1<sup>st</sup>-order kinetics, with apparent half-lives of 112 and 180 days, respectively. Between 112 days and 1 year, the rate of degradation deviated from 1<sup>st</sup> order kinetics. Half-life in anaerobic silt loam soil was approximately 80 days. When sandy loam soil was flooded with water, the half-life for the next 61 days decreased to 108 days. Half-life in sterile soil was about the same as in non-sterile soil.

Aside from the parent Baygon and radio- $^{14}CO_2$ , the only other major metabolite detected was isoproposyphenol, which was found to be practically impossible to extract from the soil matrix.

It was concluded that the primary mechanism of degradation in all of these experiments was hydrolysis of the carbamate linkage followed by incorporation/volatilization of the hydrolytic products.

This study is deficient in several ways. The study was not conducted for a sufficiently long period for the establishment of the pattern of formation and decline of soil residues. Also, the identification of radioproducts was equivocal, since all gaseous material was assumed to be <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>, but may well have included significant amounts of O-isopropoxyphenol. Finally, the studies should be conducted for a period of at least two half-lives, or 6 months, whichever comes first.

0

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.10 Flint, D.R. and H.R. Shaw II. The Mobility and Persistence of @BAYGON in Soil and Water. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. August 9, 1971. Revised to add data on 9/29/75. [Report # 30589]

This study was revie and on 8/13/75 and on 9/21/77.

#### Experimental

In all studies except the batch equilibrium where technical grade Baygon was used, Baygon Spray Concentrate containing 1.5 lb a.i./ gallon was used. Were labeled Baygon was used, the radiolabel was affixed as either <sup>14</sup>C-carbonyl or  $1,3-^{3}H$ -isopropyl. In these cases, the radio-Baygon was mixed with appropriate amounts of "Formulation Blank" to achieve the concentration of 1.5 lb a.i./ gallon.

Three "soil types" were used in this study, being "fabricated" by amending the local native soil with either peat or sand. Thus the native Silty Clay Loam was changed to either a Sandy Loam or a High-Organic Silty Clay Loam. The soil characteristics were as follows:

	Sand (१)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	0.M. (%)	рH	Density (g/cc)	Total Porosity (१)	
Sandy Loam	40	42	18	1.4	7.7	1.43	54	
Silty Clay Loam	8	54	38	2.0	6.3	1.28	49	
High Organic Silty Clay Loam	6	54	40	4.4	6.1	1.35	51	].

Soil plots were prepared in the spring of 1970 and the soil characteristics determined the following year (April, 1971). The plots were used during the summer of 1970 for apparently unrelated runoff studies, covered with black plastic over the winter, then rototilled preparatory to the current runoff experiments.

#### Adsorption Experiments

Aqueous solutions of  $^{14}$ C-Baygon (.625-10 ppm) were shaken with 5 grams of each soil type for 20 hours (exact volume of solution used was not specified). After centrifugation, 5 ml of the supernatant was subjected to LSC quantification. The K<sub>d</sub> was then interpolated from the data.

#### Runoff Experiments

Each plot consisted of three lanes which were adjacent to one another (separated by wooden dividers), 5' wide and either 15, 20 or 30' long. Three such plots were prepared (one for each soil type). Galvanized c' its at the foot of each plot were used to collect the runoff. Natural rainfall was excluded by covering the plots with black plastic during inclement weather.

The Baygon spray concentrate was applied by hand sprayer at a rate of 3.61 lb a.i./acre to the top 10 feet of each lane, the spray head being maintained about 12" above the ground surface.

Irrigation was by oscillating lawn sprayer, with the applied volume being estimated by "randomly" placed rain gauges. Runoff water was estimated for volume, subsampled, then kekpt frozen until analysis. The remainder was discarded.

#### Leaching Experiments

A 1.6 cm I.D. nylon tube was fitted with a stopcock to form a chromatographic column. Soil was added to the column, having first been amended (by 1/3) with Celite Filter-Aide to "permit a practical flow rate through the columns."

Baygon, radiolabeled at both  $^{14}$ C and  $^{3}$ H-positions was applied directly to the top of the column with a ul syringe, to give a final concentration of 10ppm relative to the soil(?). A single "void volume" of water was allowed to percolate through the column, and the eluate collected. Segments of column 1 cm long were subjected to LSC quantification.

A conversion of the data was made, to adjust the number of inches of rainfall which would be required to cause the Baygon to leach 12 inches through the column. The rationale for this conversion was not specified.

#### Water Stability Experiment

The three pseudo-hydrolysis experiments conducted in this portion of the overall study were conducted in a casual fashion, with technical details reported only superficially. This portion of the study bears no relationship to any applicable studies, and was not formally reviewed.

The GLC method utilized was not discussed in detail. The superficial review included in this study suggested that the procedure was outmoded and insensitive.

#### Results and Discussion

#### Adsorption Experiments

The estimated  $K_d$ 's were 0.62, 0.49 and 1.12 for the Sandy Loam, Silty Clay Loam and lgh Organic Clay Loam, respectively.

#### Runoff Experiment

This section states that the amount of irrigation applied was measured by the amount of runoff. This contradicts the earlier statement that rain gauges were used to estimate irrigation-fall. The results of this experiment were reported in a confused manner. For example, apparently all applied Baygon was recovered in the leachate, although residues were still measurable at significant levels in soil cores at the end of the experiment. No analyses were conducted to quantify metabolites.

#### Leaching Experiment

Baygon apparently moved swiftly through soil profiles, very close to the solvent (water) front.

#### Conclusion [this review]

Each part of this experiment suffers from numerous and serious deficiencies. The test procedures are questionable, including the soil types used, the amount of water applied, the amendment of soil with inert diluent in the leaching experiment, and the questionable and unsubstantiated analytical methodology. No material balance was provided for any portion of the study. Even the radiolabels may have been inappropriate, there being none on the phenol ring.

#### Conclusion [from review of 8/13/75]

More complete experimental data are needed, such as the amount of Baygon applied to the column, anount of water applied, activity found in effluent and column segments, etc. A leaching study on degradatin products will also be needed.

Statement on page 15 that 5 grams of soil were used per test does not appear to agree with statements on page 22, 23 and 24 that only one gram was used. This should be explained. (Note: This latter objection was based on apparent typographical errors, which were corrected in the copy currently reviewed.)

16

#### Conclusion [from review of 9/21/77]

- Baygon may be expected to move laterally in the environment through runoff.
- (2) The runoff angle (<5°) is relatively small. Runoff rates given by the lata should be condisidered as minimal indications of what might occur in terrain that is not flat. None of this study satisfies any of the EC data requirements.
- (3) There were no analyses for degradation products or metabolites. These might be quite significant in runoff water as indicated by the low total recoveries reported (19-45%).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.11 Atwell, S.H. Leaching Characteristics of @Baygon on Aged Soil. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. November 10, 1976. [Report # 50718]

#### Experimental

Two radiolabeled Baygon standards were used in this study. One was ring-UL- $^{14}$ C labeled, and the other Carbonyl- $^{14}$ C labeled, having a specific activity of 10.37 and 17.98 mCi/mmole, respectively. Radiochemical purity was not specified.

The soil used was a silt loam having the following characteristics: 3% sand, 75% silt, 22% clay, 2.3% O.M. and pH 6.4. The chromatographic column used had an I.D. of 1.5cm and was 15" long.

Stock soil was prepared (1000 gms) to contain either ring-, or carbonyl-labeled Baygon, at a nominal concentration of 10 ppm. Aliquots were taken for analysis before the first run.

A 50 gram aliquot of treated soil was water saturated (method was not specified) and allowed to age "aerobically" for 28 days, after which time it was air dried. The chromatographic column was loaded with untreated soil (amount unspecified), then 5 grams of the dry, aged, radio-labeled soil added. Total soil column length was 12". Leaching was conducted over a period of 45 days, with no more than 1/2" of water added per day (total quantity added = 100 ml). [This figure is inconsistent with simple arithmetic, which would mandate 50 days (or more) to add a total of 100 ml at a rate of <=0.5 ml per day.]

Pretreatment control soil samples, core segments and eluate were analyzed for radio-residues by TLC and/or by LSC.

#### Results and Discussion

After aging, but before leaching, the distribution of compounds in the ring vs. carbonyl-labels was mostly parent Baygon (78 and

89%, respectively), with the remainder either Des-Methyl Baygon (2.9 and 4.0%, respectively) or "insolubles" (18.9 and 7.0%, respectively). After 45 days, 69.07 and 74.12%, respectively of the radiolabeled material was found in the leachate. Distribution of the radioactivity was as follows:

Soil	Dept	th	(inches)	% of Total	Activity Found
				Ring-14C	Carbonyl- <sup>14</sup> C
			<i>1</i> ,		
	0	-	2	15.33	8.00
	2		4	3.59	2.35
	4	-	6	3.31	3.20
	6		8	3.68	5.16
	8	-	10	1.87	4.31
	10	-	12	3.15	2.85
	LEAC	CHI	ATE	69.07	74.13
				100.00	100.00

Thus, about 70% of the applied Baygon was found in the leachate.

#### Conclusions

Raw data are not reported, experimental conditions are vague (e.g. it is unclear from the writeup whether the column was allowed to go dry between daily solution additions. None of the runs were duplicated. Degradates were neither analyzed, nor quantified. This study is severely deficient.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.12 Thornton, J.S., J.B. Hurley and J.J. Obrist. Soil Thin-Layer Mobility of Twenty Four Pesticides Chemicals. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. December 15, 1976. [Report # 51016]

#### Experimental

Pesticide mobility in soil was evaluated using the method of Helling in which radiolabeled chemical is spotted on soil-TLC plates, which are then developed with distilled water and visualized by radioautography.

Six soils were used in this study. Textural characteristics were as follows:

Soil Type	Origin	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	% O.M.	рH
Agricultural Sand	Vero Beach, FL	92		7	0.8	5.9
Sandy Loam	Merrill, OR	74	14	13	2.8	6.6
Sandy Clay Loam	Howe, IN	56	21	23	0.6	5.5
Silt Loam	Concord VB	18	57	25	5.1	7.9
Silty Clay	Hagerstown, MD	4	53	43	2.1	6.7
Silty Clay	Stanley, KS	0	41	59	0.5	6.0

The TLC plates were prepared by making a slurry with distilled water then applying the soil with a conventional spreader, to create a nominally 0.75 mm thick surface. In the case of the heavier soils, a slurry was made on the plate surface, then smoothed out with a calibrated glass rod, to create a more or less uniform thickness of 1.5 mm.

Pesticides tested in this study were as follows:

BAY MET 1486	CHE 8728	DYLOX	MORESTAN
BAY NTN 6867	CRONETON	GUTHION	NEMACUR
BAYGON	DASANIT	MATACIL	OFTANOL
BAYLETON	DDT	MESUROL	SENCOR
BAYTEX	DEXON	METASYSTOX-R	SRA 7660
BOLSTAR	DI-SYSTON	MONITOR	VOLATON

Sencor was used as an "internal" standard (due to its intermediate mobility characteristics) to adjust  $R_{\rm f}$  values over the length of the study.

#### Results and Discussion

Table III of this study is appended to this review, and summarizes the mobility of the six different soil types. From the results of this study, Baygon would be classified as Class 4 (mobile), in the same group as Metasystox-R, Sencor and Croneton, with  $R_f$  values between 0.65 and 0.89.

#### Conclusions

No detail of the radiolabeling procedures was included in this study, and should be provided.

This study satisfies part of the leaching data requirements of 163.163-1.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.13 Lenz, M.F. and R.R. Gronberg. Soil Adsorption and Desorption of ®Baygon. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. August 28, 1980 [Report # 69016]

#### Experimental

Soil adsorption/desorption was evaluated for three soil types previously studied (see § 4.12, Sandy Loam - Merrill, OR, Silt Loam -Concord, NB, and Silty Clay - Stanley, KS)

Baygon was ring-UL- $^{14}$ C labeled, and found to have a specific activity of 10.37 mCi/mmole, with >95% radiopurity. Solutions of Baygon at concentrations of 0.094, 1.74, 4.79 and 9.86 ppm were equilibrated with aliquots of each soil, and subjected to LCS quantification. Suitable controls were used throughout the study.

#### Results and Discussion

Freundlich adsorption constants were as follows: 0.05 for sandy loam; 0.30 for silt loam; and 0.27 for silt clay. This very low degree of adsorption is consistent with the soil TLC findings of § 4.12, confirming the high mobility of Baygon in virtually all soil types.

#### Conclusions

This study was very well done, and partially satisfies the leaching data requirements of  $\S163.163-1$ .

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.14 Stanley, C.W. A gas Chromatographic Method for the Determination of ®Baygon in Soils. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. July 8, 1971. [Report # 30447]

This method was reviewed on 8/13/75 and on 9/21/77.

Discussion [review of 9/21/77]

An analytical method for Baygon in clay or silt loam soil was developed. The method involves initial extraction with a chloroform-methanol-water mixture, a Florisil column chromatography cleanup step, derivitixation with trichloroacetyl chloride, and quantitation by gas chromatography using an electron capture gas detector. Confirmation was by injection onto a second column prepared with a different packing.

Typical recoveries are given as 104% for clay fortified at 0.05 ppm and 96% for silt loam fortified at 0.08 ppm.

#### Conclusion [this review]

The method is outmoded as a general analytical tool, and has been superceded by better techniques, such as HPLC.

)

Conclusion [review of 8/13/75] Method does not determine degradation products.

<u>Conclusion</u> [review of 9/21/77] Method does not determine degradation products.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.15 Morris, R.A. Determination of @BAYGON, @BAYTEX, BOLSTAR<sup>m</sup>, CRONETON<sup>m</sup>, @DASANIT, @DI-SYSTON, @DYLOX, @GUTHION, @HINOSAN, @MESUROL, @META-SYSTOX-R, MONITOR<sup>®</sup>, @MORESTAN, @NEMACUR and @SYSTOX Residues in Soil. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. September 15, 1976.

Revised on 7/28/77 to include instructions for DASANIT and SYSTOX and to correct GUTHION instructions. [Report # 49675]

#### Discussion

This report summarizes modifications to previously developed analytical methodology for the determination of residues of a variety of pesticide products in soil.

#### Conclusion

The method cited by this author (Report # 30447) has already been found deficient. This report is also deficicent in that no data are given in support of the proposed modifications.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.16 Schiller, L.K. Recovery of @BAYGON From Soil. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. May 13, 1981. [Report # 69228]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the recovery efficiency of the analytical method reviewed in Report # 30447, as modified by Report # 49675. There is no discussion. Soils evaluated include a loam, a silt loam and a sandy loam. Representative chromatograms were appended.

#### Conclusions

Since virtually all experimental detail is lacking, and since no degradates were quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

4.17 Schiller, L.K. Recovery of ®BAYGON From Soil. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. May 13, 1981. [Report # 69229]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the recovery efficiency of the analytical method reviewed in Report # 30447, as modified by Report # 49675. There is no discussion. Sand was the substrate used. Representative chromatograms were appended.

#### Conclusions

Since virtually all experimental detail is lacking, and since no degradates were quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.18 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. January 21, 1981. [Report # 69240]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>sandy soil</u> as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data, and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.19 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. January 21, 1981. [Report # 69241]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>sandy soil</u> as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data, and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is relevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.20 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. January 21, 1981. [Report # 69242]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>silty clay</u> <u>loam</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.21 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. January 21, 1981. [Report # 69243]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>loamy sand</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.22 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. February 10, 1981. [Report # 69494]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>loam</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.23 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. February 11, 1981. [Report # 69495]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>silt loam</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

24

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.24 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. February 11, 1981. [Report # 69496]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>sandy loam</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.25 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. May 15, 1981. [Report # 69497]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>loamy sand</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

23

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.26 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. May 15, 1981. [Report # 69499]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>sandy loam</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues.

#### Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.27 Schiller, L.K.. @BAYGON Soil Persistence Study. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. May 14, 1981. [Report # 69504]

#### Discussion

This report is a tabular summary of an experiment designed to evaluate the soil persistence of Baygon, applied to a <u>loam</u> soil as a 70 WP formulation. Details presented include application date, rate, sampling depth (12" max.), meteorological data and a summary of gross residues. Conclusion

It is apparent from the "gross residue" data that applied Baygon quickly moved through the soil profiles, below the depth sampled. Additionally, since degradates were neither identified nor quantified, the report is irrelevant and does not support any EC data requirements.

26

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.28 Lamb, D.W. and D.J. Roney. Accumulation and Persistence of Residues in Channel Catfish Exposed to @Baygon-14C. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. June 30, 1975 [Report # 44735]

This study was revie and on 1/15/76 and again on 9/21/77.

Discussion

See reviews of 1/15/76 and 9/21/77.

Conclusions [this review]

The catfish study is still unacceptable.

Conclusions [review of 1/15/76]

The data indicate that accumulation in catfish will not be significant.

Conclusions [review of 9/21/77]

Accumulation in whole fish was 1.2 times at 28 days. This study was reviewed on 1/15/76, and accepted. Even though previously accepted, the following deficiencies based on current operating procedures are noted:

(a) [comments not related to current guidelines]

- (b) [comments not related to current guidelines]
- (c) Study was not extended to 30 days or excposure and did not include a 14 day depuration period. Samples were not taken at all of the recommended intervals (specified in the review).
- (d) In the submitted investigation, only water and whole body fish were sampled. Amount and identity of residue in water, whole body fish, edible tissue and viscera or carcass at each sample interval must be determined. Water should be sampled prior to fish exposure. [Then follow comments unrelated to current guidelines.] The amount of edible tissue will help define intake by humans.
- (e) The combustion method should be used in preparing fish tissue samples for radioassay.
- (f) Representative raw data (i.e. photographs or diagrams of TLC plates) and sample calculations have not been submitted.

27

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

-24-

4.29 The Effect of Frozen Storage at 0 to -10°F on ®BAYGON Residues in Soil. Chemagro Chemical Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. 11/23/76. [Report # 50725]

#### Discussion

This one page chart summarizes analysis of two soil samples (clay and silt loam) for "gross residues" after 361 days storage. Apparent initial Baygon concentrations of 1 ppm degraded by 11 and 8%, respectively, over this time period.

#### Conclusion

The analytical methodology utilized has already been extensively criticized as inadequate for detection of metabolites. Additionally, considerably more detail must be provided.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.30 Houseworth, L.D. and B.G. Tweedy. Effect of @BAYGON on Microbial Populations. Department of Plant Pathology. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri. December 8, 1972. [Report # 35131]

This study was reviewed on 8/13/75 and again on 9/21/77.

Discussion

See reviews of 8/13/75 and 9/21/77.

Conclusion [review of 8/13/75]

Baygon has minimal effect on soil microorganisms under the conditions of the experiment.

Conclusion [review of 9/21/77]

Baygon has minimal effect on soil microorganisms under the conditions of the experiment. [additional comments unrelated to current guidelines.]

25

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.31 Huhtanen, K., and G. Hanna . The Effect of ®BAYGON on Activated Sludge. Cannon Laboratories, Inc, Reading, PA. and Mobay Chemical Corporation, Kansas City, MO. May 12, 1978. [Report # 66066]

This study was reviewed on 9/1/78.

Discussion

See review of 9/1/78.

Conclusions [review of 9/1/78]

Based on the reported results of this study, Baygon and its degradates appear to have minimal or no effect on the activated sludge treatment process at pH range 6.5 - 7.0 and sludge temperature between 10° and 21°C. If it is ever discharged into municipal systems, Baygon for the most part will end up un-degraded into the aquatic environment. No inhibition of the treatment system will occur under the test conditions and up to 240 ppm of the chemical.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.32 Strankowski, K.J. and R.F. Kottman. Effects of @BAYGON on Nitrification and Denitrification in Soil. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. February 26, 1979 [Report # 67450]

Discussion

Sandy loam soil was supplemented and incubated with 0.5 ppm or 5 ppm BAYGON 1.5 LC. No effects on nitrification or denitrification were seen.

#### Conclusion

This study is no longer required.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.33 Strankowski, K.J. Effects of @BAYGON on Isolated Soil Microorganisms. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. February 26, 1979. [Report # 67518]

#### Discussion

Selected bacteria (Bacillis subtilis, Cellulomonas flavigena, pseudomonas aeruginosa and Streptomyces scabies) and Fungi (Aspergillis niger, Pennicillium daleae, Trichoderma viride and Phycomyces nitens) were exposed to a range of concentrations of Baygon and the effects on growth evaluated.

#### Conclusions

No inhibition was detected in any of the bacteria or actinomycetes tested at Baygon concentrations from 2 to 1000 ppm. At 2 ppm no growth inhibition occurred in any fungal species except the Phyco-myces, where only a ' inhibition occurred.

This study is no longer required.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.34 Strankowski, K.J. and R.F. Kottman. Effects of ®BAYGON on Nitrogen Fixation. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. April 6, 1979. [Report # 67746]

#### Discussion

A sandy loam soil was amended with Baygon at 0.5 ppm. Soybeans grown in this soil, when compared to test controls, showed no significant in nodular nitrogen-fixing ability.

#### Conclusions

This study is no longer required.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

4.35 Minor, R.G. and G.D. Parker. Effect of @BAYGON on Asymbiotic Nitrogen Fixation in Soil. Research and Development Department, Agricultural Chemicals Division, Mobay Chemical Corporation. November 20, 1980 [Report # 69281]

#### Discussion

Baygon, at 0.5 ppm, had no significant effect on the asymbiotic nitrogen-fixing ability of sandy loam after 3 days of incubation at 30°C.

#### Conclusions

This study is no longer required.

#### 5.0 SUMMARY

- 5.1 The following studies were found acceptable: 4.1, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.12, 4.14, and 4.30 through 4.35.
- 5.2 The following studies were either incomplete or otherwise unacceptable: 4.2, 4.6 through 4.11, 4.14 through 4.16, 4.28 and 4.29. See specific review for objections and comments.

5.3 The following EC data requirements were satisfied by this submission:

> § 163.161-2 Photolysis in Water § 163.161-3 Photolysis in Soil § 163.163-1 Soil TLC Solubi ty of Baygon in Water Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 6.1.1 Reg.File No. 3125-GNA, 3125-146 Purpose of Submission: to add Alfalfa and Pasture Grass
- 6.1.2 Due to the numerous deficiencies cited in this review (resulting in extensive data gaps) we cannot concur with the proposed label addition at this time.

Specifically, we are most troubled by the lack of identification of degradates/metabolites in numerous studies.

Also, since the interval between sampling and analysis in some of the studies has been up to two years (see review), the study on stability of Baygon under storage conditions is essential.

Finally, the apparent high mobility of Baygon in soils of all kinds demands the successful resolution of the deficiencies of the field dissipation prior to our concurrence with additional use of this chemical.

- 6.2.1 Reg.File No. 3125-121, 3125-122 and 3125-214 Purpose of Submission: to add Fly Control in Livestock and Animal Barns
- 6.2.2 We cannot concur with this proposed label addition at this time, for the reasons cited in 6.1.2.
- 6.3.1 Reg.File No. 3125-GNA Purpose of Submission: Use on Mosquitoes
- 6.2.2 We cannot concur with this proposed label addition at this time, for the reasons cited in 6.1.2.

 $\gamma$ 

#### 7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 The registrant should be notified of the numerous testing deficiencies.

- 7.2 Due to the demonstrated high mobility of Baygon and possibly of its major metabolites/degradates (des-methyl Baygon and O-isopropoxy phenol), we defer to Ecological Effects Branch on the issue of possible impact on susceptible aquatic invertebrates which may result from the sudden occurrence of a severe rainfall event soon after application, from drift from aerial applications, or from movement of these compounds through soil profiles back into surface waters.
- 7.3 Due to the demonstrated high mobility of Baygon, we defer to Toxicology Branch on the issue of possible human exposure which may result from contamination of ground water or surface water drinking supplies by Baygon and its major metabolites (des-methyl Baygon and O-isopropoxy phenol) due to movement of these compounds through soil profiles.
- 7.4 Due to the apparent persistence of Baygon is soil, we defer to Residue Chemistry Branch on the issue of residues in alfalfa and

32

pasture grass.

Emil Regelman Chemist EFB/HED Propoxur environmental fate review

Page \_\_\_\_\_ is not included in this copy.

Pages 33 through 37 are not included in this copy.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- \_\_\_\_ Identity of product inert ingredients
- \_\_\_\_ Identity of product impurities
- \_\_\_\_ Description of the product manufacturing process

\_\_\_\_ Description of product quality control procedures

- \_\_\_\_ Identity of the source of product ingredients
- \_\_\_\_\_ Sales or other commercial/financial information
- X A draft product label
- \_\_\_\_ The product confidential statement of formula
- \_\_\_\_ Information about a pending registration action
- \_\_\_\_ FIFRA registration data
- \_\_\_\_ The document is a duplicate of page(s)
- \_\_\_\_ The document is not responsive to the request

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

united and the second s	an a	idante en la constante de la co Internet de la constante de la c
	·r-529 Ref. 3 [3125-122]	Base Reg. (975) U.S. LABEL
0	Penson to Issue: To revise directions in ment and food processing plants i ance with PR Notice 68-14 and USDA 1 11/27/68.	for use Date of Draft: 2/18/69 n accord- Supersedes Draft Dated: 9/27/68
	Reg. U.S. 6/5/67	
	ACCESSIBLE APR 25 1969 WILLET THE CONSTRUCTIONS FUNCTION AND ADJUSTICED ACT FOR DESCRIPTION ADJUST ADJUSTICE ADJUSTICES	R BAYGON PRAY CONCENTRATE INSECTICIDE
ŧ	FOR REFECTIVE CONTROL OF CRAMLING	ROL OPERATORS AND CONMERCIAL APPLICATORS
	CUARANTEE: Contains 1.5 lbs. o-Isopropoxyphenyl methylcarbamate per gallon.	ACTIVE INGREDIENT: <u>o-Isopropoxyphenyl methyl-</u> carbamate 4 17% INERT INGREDIENTS:
$\bigcirc$	MET CONTENTS GALLON(S)	4U.S. Patent No. 3,111,539 Canadian Patent No. 725,204
	WARNING	USDA Reg. No. 3125-122
	KEEP OUT OF REACH OF CHILDREN (See rear panel for warning statements)	CAUTION: Do not store below 32° F. Keep away from direct sunlight, radiators, stoves, and other heat.
	<u>STOP</u> - R	EAD THE LADEL BEFORE USE
		MARTACTUROD IY
	CH	MAGRO COLPDUATION

Report Older, 15.

Caler class lotter in the doubles of billion and deliver in their d when original.

÷. Ì

:

.

#### DIRECTIONS FOR USE

IMPORTANT: Read these entire Directions and Conditions of Sale, including the Warranty and Limitation of Damages provision, before using BAYGON Spray Concentrate Insecticide.

CONDITIONS OF SALE: These Directions for Use reflect the opinion of experts based on tests of effectiveness, of toxicity to laboratory animals under normal conditions of use. However, because of the wide range of conditions under which this product may be used, even though label directions are followed, it is impossible to eliminate all risks associated with its use because of abnormal conditions beyond the control of the seller. Chemagro Corporation and the Seller offer, and the Buyer uses, this product subject to the understanding that the Buyer assumes all such risks.

BAYGON Spray Concentrate gives excellent control of such insects as ants, cockroaches, clover mites, crickets, earwigs, fleas (outdoors), mosquitoes (outdoors), flies, hornets, millipedes, saw-toothed grain beetles (exposed stage), scorpions, silverfish, sowbugs, spiders, brown dog ticks, wasps, waterbugs, lawn chinch bugs, sod webworms (lawn moths), billbugs, punkies and sand flies. It provides good flushing action of cockroaches, rapid knockdown and long residual control of these and the other insects. BAYGON will also c rol strains of cockroaches and mosquitoes resistant to certain chlorinated hydrocanoon and organophesphate insecticides. BAYGON Spray Concentrate mixes readily with water and may be applied with most types of hand or power-operated sprayers. Agitate spray mixture frequently.

<u>Caution</u>: Do not use in fiberglass tanks. The spray mixture made from this formulation may loosen paint coatings in metal tanks if allowed to stand for more than a few hours. Clean tanks thoroughly before and after use.

Pest Control In and Around Homes, Apartment Buildines, Restaurants, Stores and Warehouses

Indoors: Mix 8 fluid ounces (1/2 pint) BAYGON Spray Concentrate in 1 gallon of water\* (1.1% concentration) and apply as a residual spray to baseboards, window frames, the undersides of shelves and drawers, under sinks and stoves and to other places where insects may hide. Also spray cracks, crevices and surfaces where insects may crawl when they come out of hiding. For ants, spray trails and places where ants enter premises. Repeat as necessary. Do not spray foodstuffs or house plants. DO NOT USE 3 A SPACE SPRAY. PROVIDE ADEQUATE VENTILATION OF AREA BEING TREATED. Do not allow children to contact treated surfaces until surfaces are completely dry.

#### Outdoors:

<u>Northeral</u> Sorry for Ants, Cockroaches, Earvigs, Flies, Hornets, Millipedes, Mosquitoes, Splaars, Crickets, Clover Mites, Fleas, Scorpions, Soubuas, Brown Dog Ticks, Wasps, and Waterburgs. Mix 8 fluid ownees (1/2 pint) of BAYCON Spray Concentrate in 1 gallon of wrter\* (1.1% concentration) and apply as a residual spray or with a paint brush to surfaces of buildings, porches, screens, window frames, patios, and garages where these insects congregate. For control of wasps and hornets, apply after dark when all of the insects have returned to the nest. Mepeat as necessary. Do not treat plants (lawns, flowering plants, shrubs, trees, etc.) with this concentration.

To control sand flies or punkies (delicoides spo.), mix 8 fluid ounces (1/2 pint) BASCON Sprey Concentrate in 1 quart of waters (4.50 concentration) and apply with a paint brack to outside surf.ces of door and window screens and other screened areas where insects may enter beildings. Thorough coverage is necessary for maximum control.

#### BAYGON SC

#### DIRECTIONS FOR USE (Continued)

#### Mist Spray For Mosquitoes:

Apply 4 1/4 to 6 fluid ounces (0.05 to 0.07 lb. active) per acre. For mist-blower machines calibrated to deliver 100 gallons per hour traveling at a speed of 4 m.p.h. and covering a swath width of up to 350 feet, use 5 1/2 to 8 gallons of spray concentrate per 100 gallons of water\* to treat 170 acres.

#### PRECAUTIONARY NOTE: Shrimp may be killed at application rates recommended by this label.

#### Pest Control in Meat Packing and Food Processing Plants:

Mix 8 fluid ounces (1/2 pint) of BAYGON Spray Concentrate in 1 gallon of water\* (1.1% concentration) and apply as a residual spray to walls, ceilings, and other infested areas. Use only in the <u>inedible</u> products areas of <u>meat packing</u> and <u>food processing</u> plants, including plants that are federally inspected. When using the spray in the inedible products areas where no exposed food or processing equipment is present, take any needed precautions to avoid contamination of packaged or stored food commodities.

Spraying unloading docks and outside areas where insects congregate will aid in preventing re-infestations. Good sanitation and proper disposal of wastes is essential in any effective insect control program.

#### Pest Control in Animal Research Facilities:

The use of BAYGON in and around research animal quarters for pest control will not induce changes in liver microsomal enzyme activity in these animals.

#### Past Control on Larms and Turf:

<u>Sed Webworms (lawn moths)</u> - To control sod webworms on lawns and turf mix 11 fluid ounces (approximately 1 1/2 cups) of BAYGON Spray Concentrate in 10 to 15 gallons of water\* and apply to 1000 square feet of area. For best results mow the lawn and rake dead gross from damaged spots. Water the lawn thoroughly and allow grass to dry before spraying with BAYGON. If possible do not water again for several days. Three applications at monthly intervals may be required for maximum control. In most areas the first application should be made in May or June. Consult your local State Agricultural Experiment Station or Extension Service for more specific information regarding timing of applications.

Chinch Bugs and Hunting Billbugs (Florida Only) - Apply 16 fluid ounces (1 pint) of BAYGON Spray Concentrate per 1,000 sq. ft. of lawn or turf area. Do not use less than 15 gallons of water\* per 1,000 sq. ft. For best results, use 30 to 40 gallons of water per 1,000 sq. ft. Repeat as necessary. For effective control of chinch bugs, BAYGON Spray Concentrate should be applied during the spring and summer months or as recommended by your State Agricultural Experiment Station or Extension Service. For best results, Lawns should be vatered before applying BAYGON.

PRECAUTIONARY NOTE: Birds feeding on treated crees may be killed.

\*The use of this material in water at 40° F. or below will repult in crystallization in the spray tank. Do not use in oil. Do not allow spray mixture to stand overnight in the spray task.

BAYGON SC

#### DIRECTIONS FOR USE (Continued)

MIXING CHART:

Concentration of	Fluid Ounces of BAYGON Spray Concentrate to Add To:		
BAYCON Required	1 Gallon	3 Gallons	10 Gallons
	U.S.	U.S.	U.S.
1.1%	8 (1/2 pint)	24 (1 1/2 pints)	80 (5 pints)
-4.5%	32 (2 pints)	96 (6 pints)	320 (20 pints)

#### RESTRICTIONS

Since this is a water suspension material, do not apply to rugs, carpets, draperies, wallpaper, or similar materials that may be stained or watermarked. The solvents of this formulation may stain certain plastic, rubber, and asphalt materials, such as tiles and floor coverings. Do not treat such materials without first testing a small inconspicuous area.

Do not use as a space spray. Provide adequate ventilation of area being treated.

Do not apply to animals or humans.

Do not use on plants other than those recommended.

Do not use in animal barns or poultry houses for fly control.

#### WARNING

May be fatal if swallowed, inhaled, or absorbed through the skin. Do not get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. In case of prolonged exposure, wear natural rubber gloves, protective clothing, and goggles. In case of contact, wash immediately with soap and warm water. Do not store near feed or food products. Do not contaminate food. Wash hands, arms, and face thoroughly with soap and water before eating or smoking. Wash all contaminated clothing with soap and hot water before reuse.

Do not reuse empty container. Perforate container and bury or discard in a safe place.

If illness occurs, get prompt medical aid.

P Physician - Atropine sulfate is antidotal.

#### WARRANTY AND LIMITATION OF DAMAGES

Changere Corporation warrants that this material conforms to the chemical description on the lobel and is reasonably fit for the purposes referred to in the Directions for Use and Conditions of Sale; subject to the risks referred to therein. Changero Corporation makes no other express or implied warranty, including any other express or implied warranty of FITMESS or of MERCHAVTABILITY, and no agent of Chemagro Corporation is outhorized to do so except in writing, with a specific reference to this warranty. Any decages arising from a breach of these varianty shall be inside to direct damages, and shall not include consequential contercial damaged such as loss of profits or values, etc.

BAYGOV is Reg. TH in U.S. Fat. Off. by Farbenfabriken Bayer A.G., Chessigro Corporation licensee.

Propoxur environmental fate review

Page \_\_\_\_\_ is not included in this copy.

Pages  $\underline{42}$  through  $\underline{48}$  are not included in this copy.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- \_\_\_\_ Identity of product inert ingredients
- \_\_\_\_ Identity of product impurities
- \_\_\_\_ Description of the product manufacturing process

\_\_\_\_ Description of product quality control procedures

- \_\_\_\_ Identity of the source of product ingredients
- \_\_\_\_ Sales or other commercial/financial information
- X A draft product label
- \_\_\_\_ The product confidential statement of formula
- \_\_\_\_ Information about a pending registration action
- \_\_\_\_ FIFRA registration data
- The document is a duplicate of page(s)
- \_\_\_\_ The document is not responsive to the request

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.



. .

#### DIRECTIONS FOR USE (Continued)

Volume Spray for Central of Adult Mosquitees: Apply 1 to 4 ounces BAYGON 70%. Wettable Pawder (0.5% to 0.175 lb. active) per acre in ½ to 1 gallon total volume of water suspension by air.

Velume Oil Spray for Control of Adult Mosquitees: Apply 1 to 4 ounces & AVGON 70°s. Wertable Powder (0.05 to 0.175 lb. ective) per acre in 12 to 1 gallon total valume by air. BAYGON 70°s Wettable Powder and all must be premixed before adding to the aircraft tank. The powder should be added slowly to the quantity of all and mixed well during the addition. When the uniform mixture is obtained and the material is transferred, recirculation in the aircraft should be sufficient to maintain a homogeneous suspension. Precasten should be taken not to inhale dust particles during mixing.

Pest Control in Research Animal Facilities: The use of BAYGON in and around research animal quarters for pest control will not induce changes in liver microsomal enzyme ectivity in these enimals.

Pest Control on Lawns and Turf: Sed Webwerms (lawn moths) — To control sod webwerms en lawns and turf mix 22 ounces (approximately 12/3 cups) of BAYGON 70%. Wet-table Powder in 10 to 15 gallons of water and apply to 1,000 square feet of area. For best results mow the lawn and rake dead grass from damaged spots. Water the lawn thoroughly and allow grass to dry before spraying with BAYGON. If possible do not water again for several days. Three applications at manihy intervals may be required for maximum control. In most areas the first application should be made in May or June. Consult your local State Agriculture Experiment Station or Extension Service for more specific information regarding timing ef applications. applications.

Bluegross Billbug; Hunting Billbug (Southeastern U.S. Only) and Chinch Bugs (Florida Only) – Apply 4 ounces of BAYGON 70°, Wettable Powder per 1,000 sq. ft. of lawn or turf area. Do not use lets than 15 gallons of water per 1,000 sq. ft. For best results, use 30 to 40 gallons of water per 1,000 sq. ft. Repeat as necessary. For mast effective control of chinch bugs, BAYGON 70% Wettable Powder should be applied during the spring and summer months or as recommended by your State Agricul-tural Experiment or Extension Service. For best results, lawns should be watered before applying BAYGON.

#### RESTRICTIONS

Since this is a water suspension material, do not apply to rugs, carpets, draperies, wallpaper, or similar materials that may be stained er watermarked.

Keep children and pets off treated areas until surfaces are dry.

Do not apply to animals or humans.

Do not use on plants other than those recommended.

Do not use in animal barns or poultry houses for fly control.

This product is taxic to wildlife. Birds feeding on treated areas may be killed.

Keep out of lakes, streams, or ponds. Do not apply where runoff is likely to eccur. Do not apply when weather conditions favor drift from areas treated. Do not contaminate water by cleaning of equipment, or disposal of wastes. Apply this product only as specified on this label.

#### CONTAINER AND WASTE DISPOSAL

Rinse equipment and containers and dispose of waste by burying in non-crop land away from water supplies. Dispose of empty container with weste or by burning (Keep out of smoke).

#### WARNING

WARIVING May be fatel if swallowed, inheled, or absorbed through the skin. De net get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. In case of prolonged exposure, waar natural rubber gloves, protective clothing, and goggles. In case of contect, work immediately with soap and warm water. Do not store near feed or food products. Do not contaminate food. Wash hands, arms, and fore thoroughly with soap and water before eating or smaking. Wash ell con-taminated clothing with soap and hot water before reuse.

BAYGON is a Reg. TM of the Parent Company of Farbenfabriken Briver Gmbit, leverkusen. EPA Est, 3125-MO-1 909130 6/5230

Should poisoning accur, obtain prompt medical aid.

To Physician - Atropine sulfate is antidatal.

rkusen.

909150 6/5230 Printed in U.S.A

BATCON 70°, Wettable Powder insecticide gives excellent control of such interts es ants, corkraches, clover mites, crickets, earwigs, flees, flies (aut-doors), millipedes, maquitoes (autdoors), scorpions, silverfish, sowbugs, spiders, brown dog ticks, waterbugs, lawn chinch bugs, billbugs, punkies, and and flies. It provides good flushing action of corkroaches, rapid knockdown, end iong residual control of these insects. BAYGON will also control strains of corkroaches and morquitoes resistant ho certain chlarinated hydrocarban cad erganophosphate insecticides. BAYGON 70% Wettable powder mixes readily with water to form a suspension which may be applied with most types of hand or power-operated sprayers. Agitale spray mixture frequently.

#### DIRECTIONS FOR USE

IMPORTANT: Read these entire Directions and Conditions of Sale before using BAYGON 70% Wettable Powder.

USING BAYGON 70% WENDEL Powder. CONDITIONS OF SALE: THE DIRECTIONS ON THIS LABEL WERE DETERMINED THROUGH RESEARCH TO BE THE DIRECTIONS FOR CORRECT USE OF THIS PRODUCT. THIS PRODUCT HAS BEEN TESTED FOR A RANGE OF WEATHER PRODUCT. THIS PRODUCT HAS BEEN TESTED FOR A RANGE OF WEATHER CONDITIONS SIMILAR TO THOSE WEATHER CONDITIONS THAT ARE ORDINARY AND CUSTOMARY IN THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA WHERE THE PRODUCT IS USED. AND CUSTOMARY IN THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA WHERE THE PRODUCT IS USED. CONDITIONS THAT ARE EXTRAORDINARY OR UNUSAL FOR THE USE AREA CONDITIONS THAT ARE EXTRAORDINARY OR UNUSAL FOR THE USE AREA CONDITIONS THAT ARE EXTRAORDINARY OR UNUSAL FOR THE USE AREA CONDITIONS THAT ARE EXTRAORDINARY OR UNUSAL FOR THE USE AREA THIS PRODUCT SUBJECT TO THE AFOREMENTIONED CONDITIONS WHICH ARE BEYOND THE CONTROL OF CHEMAGRO AND THEREFORE THE RESPONSI-BUILTY OF THE BUYER.

5

50

BILIT OF THE BUYER. Pest Control In and Around Homes, Apartment Buildings, Restaurants, Stores ind Warehouses Inderess: For control of ants, cockroaches, millipedes, saw-toothed grain bestle (exposed stage), silverfish, spiders, and waterbugs, mix 2 bestle (exposed stage), silverfish, spiders, and waterbugs, mix 2 eunces BAYGON 70% Wettable Powder in 1 gallon of water (1.1% concentration) and apply as a residual spray to basebaards, window frames, the undersides of shelves and drawers, under sinks and stores and to other places where insects may fraw when they come out of hiding. Repeat as necessary. Do not spray foodstuffs or house plants. DO NOT USE AS A SPACE SPRAY.

1

•:

Outdoors: Residual Spray for ants, cockroaches, clover mites, crickets, earwigs, Residual Spray for ants, cockroaches, corpions, sawbugs, spiders, ticks, Welerbugs.

weierbugs. Mix 2 ounces of BAYGON 70% Wettoble Powder to 1 gallon of water Mix 2 ounces of BAYGON 70% Wettoble Powder to 1 gallon of water [1.1% concentration] and apply as a residual spray or with a paint brush to surfaces of buildings, porches, streens, window frames, patias, and garages where these insects congregate. Repeat as necessary. Da not treat plants (lawns, flowering plants, strubs, trees, etc.) with this concentration.

concentration. To control sand flies or punkies (*Culicoides spp.*), mix 2 ounces BAYGON 70% Wetable Powder in 1 quort of water (4.5% concentration) and epply with a point brush to outside surfaces of door and window screens and other screened areas where insects may enter buildings. Thorough coverage is necessary for maximum control.

Pest Control in Meat Packing and Food Processing Plants, Food Storage Areas and Restaurants and other Food Handling Establishments (places other than private residences in which exposed food is held, processed, prepared or served.)

Server.) For control of ants, cockroaches, millipedes, silverfish, spiders, waterbugs, and elding in the control of saw-toothed grain bestle (exposed stege), mix 2 eunces of BAYGON 70% Wettoble Powder in 1 gallon of water (1.1%

- sentration). Non-Food Areas: Apply to floors, walls, ceilings, or other infested areas. Food Areas: LIMITED TO CRACK AND CREVICE TREATMENT ONLY-Apply a small smount of material directly into cracks and crevices such as ex-gension joints between different elements of construction or between equipment bases, floor end wall wolds, or hollow equipment legs where the insect noted above hide. Do not use this product in conduits, mater bousings and electrical switch baxes.

- nousings and electrical switch baxes. Core should be taken to avoid depositing the product onto exposed surfaces or introducing the material into the air. Avoid contamination of food or food processing surfaces. APPLICATIONS OF THIS PRODUCT IN THE FOOD AREAS OF FOOD HAN-DLING ESTABLISHMENTS, OTHER THAN AS A CRACK AND CREVICE TREAT-MENT, ARE NOT PERMITTED.

proying unloading docks and outside areas where insects congregate will de in preventing re-infestations. Good sanitation and proper disposal of nastes is essential in any effective insect control program.

Mist Spray for Mosquitoss: Apply 1 to 11: ounces (0.05 to 0.07 lb. active) per acre. For mist-blow-mochines calibrated to deliver 100 gallons per hour traveling at a mochines calibrated to deliver 100 gallons of up to 350 feet, use speed of 4 m.p.h. and covering a swath width of up to 350 feet, use 13% to 17% pounds wettable powder per 100 gallons of water to treat 170 acres.

in large therapeutic doses. Repeat as BAYLICH: 4 & Ring: THE LEtie Parient Company of Faitured/ann Bayer Gridet, Levenhusen frecessary to the point of tolerance. flowing water for at least 15 minutes. should be secured immediately. If on skin, occur within 30 minutes, administer vomiting by giving one dose (1/2 oz. or 15 ml.) of syrup of ipecac. If vomiting does not waste disposal. To physician. skin immediately with soap and warm remove contaminated clothing and wash second dose. If syrup of ipecac is not physician immediately. Have patient lie absorbed through the skin. Do not contaminate feed or food. Avoid prolonged Stay away from smoke or fumes. Do not reuse empty container. Destroy It by burying with waste or burning. Container and administer milk or water freely and induce down and knep quiet. If swallowed, Vomiting and diarrhea. skin contact. Wash thoroughly after using. Caution. valer. If eyes are contaminated, wash with inger down throat. Repeat until vomit fluid traitable, induce vomiting by sticking Contracted pupils. Stomach pains. lightness" in the chest. Sweating. clear. Protessional medical assistance Symptoms of polsoning: A sense of Treatment: In case of poisoning call a May be harmful if swallowed or Antidote—Administer atropine sulfate 777270 E C U **NET WEIGHT 5 POUNDS** (See rear panel for caution statements.) Keep out of reach of children. CAUTION. STOP-Read the label before use EPA Rug. No. 3125-121-ZA and crickets. of cockroaches EPA Est. 3125-MO-1 for effective control IU.S. Patent No. 3,111,539 ACTIVE INGREDIENT: Canadian Patent No. 725,204 1 e N 100% Box 4913. Kansas City, Mo. 64120 Chemagro Agricultural Division

Aviso.

ACCEPTED/

. .

5

Pr. . the Pr.

ENA \* 8 1070 .

-

Ş

1-5CR

explicada ampliamente. entender inglés, no use este produ hosta que la etiqueta le haya sido AL USUARIO: SI usled no puede

L'nov until the label has been fully explaine understand English, do not use this p (TO THE USER: If you cannot read

## important. Directions for use.

Conditions of Sale before using BA **Read these enlire Directions and** 

2% B.H. Conditions of sale.

result from the occurrence of used. Insufficient control of pests geographic area where the produ that are ordinary and customary for a range of weather conditions product. This product has been to the directions for correct use of t determined through research to I similar to those weather condition The directions on this label we

to which the product is applied, o crops, animals, man, or the envir ment. Chemagro and the seller of buyer. are, therefore we responsibility c or failure to follow label direction: that extraordinary or unusual wea this product subject to the condit and the buyer accepts and uses, directions may cause injury to the In addition, failure to follow label from failure to follow label directi extraordinary or unusual weather

. 4

. **`**e

### Aviso.

entender inglés, no use este producto hasta que la etiqueta le haya sido explicada ampliamente. (TO THE USER: If you cannot read or AL USUARIO: SI usted no puedo leor o

You. understand English, do not use this product until the lubel has been fully explained to

# Directions for use

## mportant.

Conditions of Sale before using BAYCON 2°6 Buit. **Read these entire Directions and** 

crops, animals, man, or the environor failure to follow label directions are and the buyer accepts and uses, ment. Chemagro and the sellor offer, to which the product is applied, other In addition, failure to follow label extractionary or unusual weather, or result from the occurrence of used. Insufficient control of pests may geographic area where the product is that are ordinary and customary in the product. This product has been tested the directions for correct use of this Conditions of sale. are, therefore a responsibility of the that extraordinary or unusual weather, this product subject to the conditions directions may cause injury to the crop from failure to follow label directions. similar to those weather conditions for a range of weather conditions determined through research to be The directions on this label we:e

> Dail of these insects including those resistant to the control of crickets and the following cockreaches listed below. It gives rapid kill ready-to-use product, is very effective for roaches die quickly after feeding on the phosphate insecticides. Crickets and certain chlorinaled hydrocarbon and **BAYGON 2% Bait insecticide, a**

banded, German, and oriental roaches-Crickels... Cockroaches: American, Brown, Brown

bait is barely visible. Repeat as necessary sq. it. of space and scatter lightly so that approximately 4 ounces of bait per 1,000 animals can feed on bait. Use and crevices. Do not apply to animals. Do not treat portions of buildings where inaccessible to children such as cracks insects are found but which are garbage cans, and in other areas where and refrigerators, around and inside warchouses. Apply bait lightly to floors apartment buildings, stores, near baseboards, in closets, under sinks restaurants (non-food areas) and Keep out of reach of childron. For use in and around homes,

walls, in storage areas and other areas where insects "be been observed. Maintain strict "Journt of location and in restaurants (food areas), poultry-packing, ted meat packing and food stations on window sills, on floor near will permit removal. Locate these bait are federally inspacted. Apply buil on precessing plants, including plants that number of bait stations. Do not apply bait paper, pasteboard, or other material that For use by Pest Control Operators

> In edible product areas until operations before resuming operations. stations from the edible products areas have ceased for the day. Remove all balt

> > 5\$

of BAYGON in and around research anima or applied where animals can feed on the should not be applied directly on animals changes in liver microsomal enzyme quarters for pest control will not induce activity in these animals, BAYGON 2% Bai For use in research facilities. The use

gardens, patios, driveways, sidewalks and foundations, Apply bail evenly in a 2 to 3 feet wide band at the rate of around the garden as a 1 foot wide band. as a broadcast or apply a protective barrier scatter bait at the rate of 4-oz./1,000 sq. it tions in or around flower gardens concrete drain splashpans. For applicamaximum effectiveness treat beneath to treat any cracks or crovices. For 4-oz./1,000 sq. ft. around foundations, batios, driveways or sidewalks, taking care For putdoor use around flower

## Fish and wildlife cautions.

lakes, streams or punds. Do not apply where runoff is likely to occur. Do not other wildlife. Birds and other wildlife in contaminate water by cleaning of treated areas may be killed. Keep out of This product is loxic to fish, birds and

this product only as specified on this labe equipment or disposal of wastes. Apply

## **Restrictions.**

Do not apply to floors or other surfaces itsely to be contacted by children or pets.

Duyer.

Propoxur environmental fate review

Page <u>53</u> is not included in this copy.

Pages \_\_\_\_\_ through \_\_\_\_\_ are not included in this copy.

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- \_\_\_\_ Identity of product inert ingredients
- \_\_\_\_ Identity of product impurities
- \_\_\_\_ Description of the product manufacturing process

\_\_\_\_ Description of product quality control procedures

- \_\_\_\_ Identity of the source of product ingredients
- \_\_\_\_ Sales or other commercial/financial information
- \_\_\_\_ A draft product label
- \_\_\_\_ The product confidential statement of formula
- \_\_\_\_ Information about a pending registration action
- X FIFRA registration data
- \_\_\_\_ The document is a duplicate of page(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_ The document is not responsive to the request

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.