US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

JUN 1 8 1991

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- CHEMICAL: Sodium Methyldithiocarbamate (METAM-sodium). 1. Shaughnessey Number: 039003.
- 2. TEST MATERIAL: METAM-sodium. Test Substance No. 85/232; Batch No. ZH 130 585 / April 1985; 42.2% METAM-sodium; an aqueous solution.
- STUDY TYPE: Avian Dietary LC50 Test. 3. Species Tested: Bobwhite quail (Colinus virginianus).
- CITATION: Munk, R., 1986. Avian Dietary LC50 Test of 4. METAM-SODIUM (Aqueous Solution) to the Bobwhite Quail. Project No. 31W0232/8580. Study performed by BASF Aktiengesellschaft, Agricultural Research and Development, Limburgerhof, West Germany. No. 86/0520. Submitted by BASF Corporation, Agricultural Chemicals, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. MRID No. 414764-01.

REVIEWED BY: 5.

Rosemary Graham Mora, M.S. Associate Scientist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

6. APPROVED BY:

> Michael L. Whitten, M.S. Wildlife Toxicologist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Henry T. Craven, M.S. Supervisor, EEB/HED USEPA

Date: 4-12-91

Signature: Jany Town 1/1/91

- The study appears to be scientifically sound 7. but does not meet the requirements for an avian dietary LC₅₀ study. The LC50 could not be determined, since there was no treatment related mortality in the highest concentration tested (2110 ppm a.i.). The NOEC was 2110 ppm a.i. The test material was not stable in the diet therefore the actual concentrations to which the birds were exposed could not be determined.
- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A

9. BACKGROUND:

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- A. <u>Test Animals</u>: The birds used in the study were 15-day old bobwhite quail (<u>Colinus virginianus</u>), hatched by BASF "from eggs of animals indistinguishable from wild birds."
- B. Test System: Each pen, located in building BASF Z (an air conditioned room), was constructed of stainless steel (520 X 350 X 490 mm) with wire mesh (5 X 5 mm) flooring. Birds were exposed to fluorescent lighting for 16 hours daily. The test room temperature was 22°C and relative humidity was about 50%.
- C. <u>Dosage</u>: Acute dietary LC₅₀ test. Nominal dietary concentrations selected for the study were 62, 185, 556, 1667, and 5000 mg/kg diet (ppm, formulated test substance). These concentrations were not adjusted to reflect the percentage of active ingredient of the test substance used.
- Design: A group of ten birds were randomly assigned to a negative control group and five treatment groups. All birds were fed "Ssniff" experimental diet. The composition of the diet was included in the report. Food and water were supplied ad libitum before the study and throughout the test.

The test diets were prepared separately by "preparing a premix in a mixing bowl. These premixes were then mixed with meal form basal diet in a suitable laboratory mixer to make sufficient quantities of final diet mixtures for each test group for the whole study." The birds were fed ad libitum the appropriate dietary concentrations for five days, and then given untreated food for three days. The negative control birds received the basal diet throughout the study.

"As it was assumed that the test substance could not be sufficiently stable in the diet at higher temperatures the feed was cooled down to about 4°C before the test substance was added and the final mixtures were stored at about 4°C. Each day the feed in the hoppers was completely replaced by the respective mixtures stored in the refrigerator. To verify the stability of the substance in the feed under these storage conditions samples of the concentrations 62 and 5000 mg/kg diet

were taken at the end of the substance feeding period from the samples stored at about +4°C and transferred into a deep freezer and stored at about -20°C until analysis." Samples were also taken for analysis of homogeneity and verification of treatment concentrations.

Observations for mortality, and signs of toxicity and other abnormal behavior were made daily for the duration of the study, except for Day 4 when (for technical reasons) no observations were made. Birds were weighed by group at test initiation and on Days 5 and 8. Daily mean food consumption per bird was determined based upon the group food consumption per day. Means were determined for Days 0-5 and Days 6-8.

Birds were weighed individually on Days 0, 5, and 8. The mean body weight was calculated for each cage.

- E. <u>Statistics</u>: The statistical evaluation of the body weight was performed followed by Dunnet's test.
- 12. <u>REPORTED RESULTS</u>: No mortality occurred in the negative control and no symptoms were detected throughout the test period.

Twenty percent mortality was demonstrated in the 1667 ppm nominal concentration (Table 1, attached). This mortality was "caused by injuries induced by aggressive individuals" and "was not substance related." No signs of toxicity were demonstrated by any of the test birds. Some birds demonstrated injured beaks and apathy in three of the test concentrations. These clinical signs were "due to aggressive behavior of some individual chicks."

"No substance- or concentration- related reduction in feed consumption was observed" (Table 2, attached).

"No substance- or concentration- related reduction in body weight gain occurred" (Table 3, attached)

A post-mortem macroscopic examination of all birds was conducted and "no substance related effects could be observed."

Results of analyses of test substance stability, homogeneity and concentration were presented (Tables A, B, and C, attached). The stability of the test compound in the diet was limited as expected.

13. STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:
The LC₅₀ based on nominal concentrations of METAM-sodium in the test diets was greater than 5000 ppm formulated test substance. Based on the analysis of actual test concentrations, the LC₅₀ was 4700 ppm (beginning of test) to 3400 ppm (end of Day 5). The NOEC based on the nominal concentration was 5000 ppm, since no substance-related mortality and no toxic signs were observed in any concentration.

The report included the following Good Laboratory Practices statement: "This study was conducted prior to the effective date of 40 CFR part 160 for studies of this nature. Therefore there is no Sponsor or Study Director of record for signature of this compliance statement." The statement was signed by a BASF representative. A Quality Assurance Statement was included in the report. The report was also signed by the study Director and other representatives of the BASF toxicology department.

14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

A. <u>Test Procedure</u>: The test procedures were in accordance with Subdivision E, ASTM, and SEP guidelines except for the following deviations:

Food consumption for each group was not monitored for the period of pretreatment.

Birds were 15 days old. The recommended age is 10 to 14 days old.

- B. <u>Statistical Analysis</u>: The reviewer could not determine the LC₅₀ value since no substance related mortality was observed.
- C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: The LC₅₀ value (> 5000 ppm) presented by the author did not reflect the percentage of active ingredient of the test substance. The test concentrations based on percentage active ingredient were 26.2, 78.1, 234.6, 703.5, and 2110 ppm a.i. Guidelines require that the LC₅₀ must be established or else shown to be > 5000 ppm. This test does not fulfill that requirement, since the highest nominal concentration tested was 2110 ppm a.i., and since the test compound was not stable in the diet (Table A, attached).

Chemical analysis for stability of the test diets was performed on samples which had been stored at 4°C during the five-day substance administration period.

Since the author "assumed that the test substance could not be sufficiently stable in the diet at higher temperatures," the actual stability of the test substance was not reflected in the data presented, and the concentrations to which the birds were exposed cannot be determined.

Nominal test concentrations were presented as "mg/kg diet." The reviewer assumes this to be milligrams of test substance per kilogram of feed (or parts per million).

Although the percentage body weight gain from Day 0 to 5 was considerably lower in the two highest concentrations (703.5 and 2110 ppm a.i.) than in the control, the treatment values fall within the normal range of body weight gain for 15 day-old bobwhite quail.

The age of test birds (15 days versus required 10 to 14 days) probably had no bearing on the outcome of the test.

The study appears to be scientifically sound but does not meet the requirements for an avian dietary LC_{50} study.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

- (1) Classification: Supplemental.
- (2) Rationale: An LC₅₀ was not established or shown to be greater than 5000ppm, and the actual concentrations to which the birds were exposed cannot be determined.
- (3) Repairability: No.
- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER: Yes; April 12, 1991.

TABLE 1.

| Group No. | Concentration (mg/kg diet) | Time of death (cumulative mortality) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------|----------------|-------|------|----------------------|----------|------|
| | (mg/xg diet/ | | Substa | nce f | eedin | g per | iod | Post-exposure period | | |
| · | | 0 | -1 | | ay 3 | . 4 | .5 | 6 | Day 7 | 8 |
| 0 | O (untreated control) | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 |
| 1 | 62 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 |
| 2 | 185 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 |
| 3 | | | 0/10 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 1667 | | 0/10 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 5000 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 |

4.2. LC..

31W0232/8580

Feed consumption 4.4.

No substance - or concentration - related reduction in feed consumption was observed.

Group mean feed consumption (g/bird/day)*

| Group mean feed con- sumption (g/bird/day)* | Group No. (concentration mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Day | O (untreated control | (62) | 2 (185) | 3 (556) | 4 (1667) | 5 (5000) | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | 4.9 5.6 6.1 7.2 6.1 | 4.9 5.8 6.3 7.2 5.8 | 4.7 5.5 5.8 6.7 5.3 | 4.0 5.3 5.5 6.7 5.4 | 4.1 4.4 5.0 6.4 5.1 | 4.0 5.0 5.6 6.4 5.4 | | |
| mean (days 1 - 5; exposure period) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | | |
| 6 7 8 | 7.7 6.1 5.6 | 7.6 5.9 5.5 | 7.4 6.1 5.4 | 7.6 6.2 5.3 | 7.5 6.0 6.3 | 6.6 6.2 | | |
| mean (days 6 -8; post-exposura period) | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 7.0 | | |

^{*} The birds that were found dead in their cages on the respective day of the determination of the feed consumption are not included in the calculations and thus in the feed consumption figures.

| CTABLE - 2 LUM | |
|----------------|--|
| | |
| ÷ | |
| ٤ | |
| TABLE 3 | |

PRINT DATE 15-APR-86

| GROUP MEANS | | BODYWEIGHT | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| ALES | o yeb | dey 5 | 8 Asp | ToTAL | | |
| GROUP O | BODYWT | BODYWT D. | BODYWT | (8-0 Sad) | | |
| O MG/KG GROUP 1 | 20 ZE Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z | 30.4 | 4.0 | ₹ 2° | | |
| 82 MG/KG (26.2) GROUP 2 | 26.7 26.7 26.7 | 4 00 b | 49.6 7.6 10 2 7 4 7. | | | |
| 185 MG/KG | 20 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z | 30.00 30.00 100 700 700 700 700 | 84. 80.4 0 w v | 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | i de la seconda | |
| 556 MG/KG (| SD 26.9 N 2.7 | 88.8 20.8 30.0 37.37 | 2 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × | 1.8 65.% 100 7.% 23.7.7. | | |
| 1667 MG/KG (40.3 S) 00 GROUP 5 | SD 27.7 | 2.5 2.0 7.6.7.7 | 41.7 8.9 9.05 | % % % % | en e | |
| 5000 MG/KG | SD 22.6 | 36.4 4.8 10 22 | 47.2 5.4 5.4 10 | 10 79 'E', | | |

B/0520 003

4.8.1. Stability

TABLEA

| Nominal concentration (mg/kg diet) | Analytically detected concentration (mg/kg diet) | % of nominal concentration |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| 62 | 69.2 mean 34.6 | 56 |
| 5000 | 3452 mean 3407 | 68 |

4.8.2. Homogeneity

TABLE B

| Nominal concentration (mg/kg diet) | Analytically detected concentration (mg/kg diet) | % of nominal concentration |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 62 | 28.3 49.8 35.4 37.0 25.3 50.4 | 46 80 57 60 41 81 |
| mean | 37.7 | 61 |
| 5000 | 4846 4929 4578 4443 5215 4259 | 97 99 92 89 104 85 |
| mean | 4712 | 94 |

0448

TABLE C

4.8.3. Concentration control

| Nominal concentration (mg/kg diet) | Analytically detected concentration (mg/kg diet) | % of nominal concentration |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| 62 | mean 37.7* | 61 |
| 185 | 177, 172 mean 175 | 95 |
| 556 | 509, 512 mean 511 | 92 |
| 1667 | 1868, 1735, mean 1802 | 108 |
| 5000 | mean 4712* | 94 |

| Page / of / | Reviewer/ Validation Date Status | | | | | 0% | ley Colomint | HISH DAMPORT | (9%) | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------|---|---|---|--------------|---|-----------|
| Chemical Name METAM - Sodium Chemical Glass | Results | LD ₅₀ = mg/kg () Control Mortality (%) | Slope - # Animals/Level - Age (Days) - | Sex I | (), (), (), (), (), (), (), (), | 42.2% LC ₅₀ = ND pp () Control Mortality (x) = 0/. | Slope = /# Animals/Level = /0 Age (Days) = /5 | | 26.2 (0/1), 78.4 (0/1), 254.6(0/1), 703.5(20/1), 2/10 (0/1) | Comments: |
| Shaughnessey # 071007 | Study/Species/Lab/ Chemical MRID # | 14-Day Single Oral LD ₅₀ | Species | Lab | MRID # | 8-Day Dietary LC ₅₀ 42.2% | Species Colinus virginianus | BASE | MRID # | |

10-h9+h1H e