

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

EFFICACY REVIEW
by Mark Suarez, Entomologist - IB

Mark S.
4 JANUARY 2005

DATE: 4 January 2005

EPA REG. NUMBER: 64405-1

PRODUCT NAME: Bora-Care

REGISTRANT: Nisus Corp.

PM: Richard Gebken, Acting PM10

REVIEWER: Patricia Quarles

DECISION #.: 345499

DP BARCODE: 305459

ACTION: R34; Non-Fast Track

ACTIVE INGREDIENT(S): 011103, Boron Sodium Oxide, tetrahydrate
(B₃Na₂O₁₃).....40%

TYPE: Injected Foam Termiticide

OPPTS GUIDELINE(S): 810.1000
810.3000
810.3600 to the extent that it applies.

MRID: 46306701

GLP ?: No.

SITES: Wood.

PESTS: Drywood Termites

STUDY APPLICATION RATE: 1:1 Bora Care:water; 20:1 Foam Expansion
Rate
1:5 Bora Care:water; 20:1 Foam Expansion
Rate

LABEL APPLICATION RATE: 1:1 or 1:2 Bora Care:water, applied either
through foam or misting.

STUDY SUMMARIES:

The submitted study examined the efficacy of the product when applied as a remedial treatment for the control of drywood termites. The product was applied to infestations in five structures. Each structure was initially inspected for drywood termites. Inspections were conducted using Term-A-Trac (a microwave based detection system), an AED (Acoustic Emissions Device), or through visual verification. One to three infestations were noted within each structure.

The structures were spot treated at, and around, the site of infestation, if possible. Infestations were treated with either a 1:1 or 1:5 dilution of Bora Care to water foam with a 20x expansion rate. Foam was applied directly into any galleries and all wall voids and/or surrounding wood area (up to five feet).

Follow-up inspections were conducted at 1, 2, and 3 months. In no case was evidence of termite activity detected during the three month re-inspection interval.

ENTOMOLOGIST'S COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The submitted study is deficient for several reasons, these deficiencies are presented below:

- 1.) The species of termite to which the product was applied was not disclosed.
- 2.) Infestations were presumed by the applicator to be active drywood termites. However, the pest species was not identified. Identification of the infestation requires visual confirmation of activity at the infestation by living individuals of the target species. The presence of pellets and damaged wood consistent with drywood termites is not necessarily indicative of an active infestation.
- 3.) The use of alternative detection methods is acceptable only to verify the presence or absence of insects following an initial confirmation of pest presence and activity. (See #1 above.)
- 4.) The size of the colonies was not specified. The colonies controlled should represent average, or larger than average, drywood termite colonies. (i.e., at least several hundred individuals.)
- 5.) The directions for use against drywood termites on the label are inadequate:
 - a. The application of the product as a mist was not tested. Submit data demonstrating the efficacy of this application method, cite data demonstrating the efficacy of the product applied as a mist, or remove directions for this method from the label.
 - b. Conversely, the foaming application method is not mentioned on the label. Include directions for application of the product as a foam, if remedial control of drywood termites is to be included on the label.

Recommendations:

- Drywood termites may remain on the label, under two conditions:
 - Efficacy data supporting the application rates and methods described on the label against drywood termites are to be provided within six months, or relevant data are to be cited.
 - Directions for foam application must be included on the label under drywood termite control.
- A protocol may be submitted to the Agency, for guidance purposes, prior to initiation of studies.

Enclosure
06-4405-00001 763146-ER