

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

Data Requirement:	PMRA Data Code	{.....}
	EPA DP Barcode	D328639
	OECD Data Point	{.....}
	EPA MRID	468017-42
	EPA Guideline	OPPTS 850.6200 (OECD 207)

Test material:	Isoxaflutole	Purity: 989 g/kg
Common name:	RPA 203328	
Chemical name:	IUPAC: Not reported	
	CAS name: Not reported	
	CAS No.: Not reported	
	Synonyms: Not reported	

Primary Reviewer: Rebecca Bryan
Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation

Signature: *Rebecca L. Bryan*
Date: 5/16/06

Secondary Reviewer: Teri S. Myers
Senior Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Inc.

Signature: *Teri S. Myers*
Date: 5/23/06

Primary Reviewer: Melissa Panger
EPA

Date: 9-29-06 *[Signature]*

Secondary Reviewer(s): {.....}
{EPA/OECD/PMRA}

Date: {.....}

Reference/Submission No. {.....}

Company Code	{.....}	[For PMRA]
Active Code	{.....}	[For PMRA]
EPA PC Code	000692	

Date Evaluation Completed: 8-31-2006

CITATION: Odin-Feurtet, M. 1997. RPA 203328, Acute Toxicity (14-Day) to Earthworms (*Eisenia foetida*). Unpublished study performed by Rhone-Poulenc Agro, Centere de Recherche, Sophia Antipolis Cedex. Study No. SA 97408. Study sponsored by Rhone-Poulenc Agro, Lyon Cedex. The final report issued October 28, 1997.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the acute toxicity of a pesticide to earthworms. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In an acute toxicity study, earthworms (*Eisenia foetida*) were exposed to Pyrasulfotole at 95, 171, 309, 556, and 1000 mg a.i./kg dry weight of artificial substrate. The LC_{50} and EC_{50} were >1000 mg a.i./kg. The NOAEC, based on sublethal effects was 556 mg a.i./kg. The LOAEC, based on sublethal effects was 1000 mg a.i./kg. Pyrasulfotole is considered to be non-toxic to earthworms up to a concentration of 1000 mg a.i./kg.

By 14 days, there were no mortalities in the control or treatment groups. The percent weight change was -6.17, -4.14, -2.76, -2.65, and -2.55% in the 95, 171, 309, 556, and 1000 mg a.i./kg treatment groups, respectively, compared to -7.62% for the control. The mean weight changes were significantly different in the ≥ 171 mg a.i./kg treatment groups, due to greater weight loss in the control than the treatment groups. The sublethal effect of reduced mobility was observed in the 1000 mg a.i./kg treatment group. No other sublethal effects were observed during testing.

This study is classified as **SUPPLEMENTAL**, is scientifically sound and does satisfy guideline requirements for an acute toxicity study with earthworms.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism Size/Age (Mean Wt or Length): At least 2 months old, 440-559 mg

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Not applicable; Artificial soil substrate

LC_{50}/EC_{50} : >1000 mg a.i./kg 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 556 mg a.i./kg

Probit Slope: Not calculable 95% C.I.: N/A

Endpoint(s) Affected: Sublethal effects (reduced mobility)

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: This study was based on procedures of the OECD Guideline No. 207, *Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, Earthworm, Acute Toxicity Tests* (1984). The following deviations from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (*draft*), OPPTS Number 850.6200, *Earthworm subchronic toxicity test* were noted:

1. The study duration was 14 days instead of the recommended 28 days.
2. The temperature range of 20.0°C was slightly lower than recommended ($22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$).
3. The light intensity of 586-640 lux was greater than recommended (400 lux).
4. The relative humidity was not reported.
5. The acclimation period of 24 hours was less than recommended (7 days).

COMPLIANCE: Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and No Data Confidentiality statements were provided. The test was conducted according to the US EPA-FIFRA Good Laboratory Practice (40 CFR Part 160).

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material Pyrasulfotole (RPA 203328)

Description: White powder

Lot No./Batch No. : GH705

Purity: 989 g/kg

Stability of compound under test conditions: Not determined.
(*OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, vapor pressure of test compound*)

Storage conditions of test chemicals: Stored in the dark at room temperature.

Physicochemical properties of Pyrasulfotole.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
pKa	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

2. Test organism:

Species: *Eisenia fetida*

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

(EPA and OECD recommend *Eisenia fetida andrei* (Bouche). The earthworms should weigh 300-600 mg at the beginning of the test.)

Age at test initiation: At least 2 months old with a clitellum.

Weight at study initiation: 440-559 mg

Source: SARL Moulin, Litz, France

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding Study: The definitive test concentrations were based on previous toxicity information. No results were reported.

b. Definitive Study

1. Artificial soil was used; see properties below.

Table 1: Physicochemical Properties of Artificial Soil

Property	Value	Remarks
		Criteria
For natural soil: Texture: % sand % silt % clay Textural classification	N/A (artificial soil used)	
For artificial substrate (provide composition):	69.4% Industrial quartz sand 20.1% kaolinite clay 10.1% sphagnum moss 0.4% calcium carbonate	<i>Recommended testing medium is artificial soil consisting of a mixture of 68% of No. 70 mesh silica sand, 20% kaolin clay, 10 sphagnum peat moss, and 2% calcium carbonate, mixed and moistened to 35% by weight with deionized/distilled water.</i>
pH (___ soil:water)	6.0 ± 0.5	
Organic carbon (%)	18% organic material	
Moisture (%)	36.80-38.89% at test initiation 35.64-37.26 at test termination	

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

Table 2: Experimental Design

Parameter	Detail	Remarks
		<i>Criteria</i>
Acclimation: duration: conditions (state if same as the test conditions): health:	24 hours same as test Not reported	The acclimation period of 24 hours was less than recommended (7 days). <hr/> <i>Earthworms should be acclimated at test temperature for 7 days.</i>
Soil [fresh or stored]	Stored	
Test Container material size amount of soil/substrate	Disposable plastic containers 1.5 L 500 g soil dry weight with 180 mL of deionized water.	
No. of replicates: per treatment group: per control:	4 4	<hr/> <i>Recommended number of replicates include at least 3 and a control.</i>
No. of earthworms per treatment	40 earthworms per treatment (10 per replicate container).	<hr/> <i>Recommended number of earthworms per treatment include a minimum of 30 plus a control; 10 per each of three replicates and a control.</i>
Solvents used or not (if yes report the name and concentration)	N/A	
Rates of application: nominal: measured:	95, 171, 309, 556, and 1000 mg/kg Not determined.	<hr/> <i>Earthworms should be exposed to at least five test concentrations, in geometric series, in which the ratio is between 1.5 and 2.0 mg of test chemical per kg (air-dry weight) of artificial soil.</i>
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentration:	N/A	

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

Parameter	Detail	Remarks
		Criteria
Test conditions: temperature Lighting conditions Moisture	20.0°C Continuous, 586-640 lux Not reported (relative humidity)	The temperature range of 20.0°C was slightly lower than recommended (22 ± 2°C). The light intensity of 586-640 lux was greater than recommended (400 lux). The relative humidity was not reported. <i>Recommended temperature: 22 + 2°C Recommended lighting: Continuous illumination, with a light intensity of 400 lux Recommended relative humidity: above 85%</i>
Duration of the study	14 days	<i>Recommended duration of study is 28 days.</i>

2. Observations:

Table 3: Observations

Parameters	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Observation intervals	7 and 14 days	<i>Recommended observation intervals are days 7, 14, 21, and 28.</i>
Parameters measured including the sublethal effects/toxicity symptoms	Mortality, bodyweights, and sublethal effects.	<i>The test is usually not acceptable if more than 20% of control earthworms die or the total mean weight of control earthworms lose 20% or more of body weight.</i>
Were raw data included?	Yes	
Other observations, if any	None	

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. MORTALITY:

By 14 days, there were no mortalities in the control or treatment groups. The NOAEC based on mortality was ≥ 1000 mg a.i./kg.

Table 4: Effect of Pyrasulfotole on Mortality of *Eisenia fetida*

Treatment (mg ai/kg soil) [nominal conc.]	Observation period			
	Day 7		Day 14	
	No Dead	% mortality	No Dead	% mortality
Control	0	0	0	0
95	0	0	0	0
171	0	0	0	0
309	0	0	0	0
556	0	0	0	0
1000	0	0	0	0
NOAEC	≥ 1000		≥ 1000	
LOAEC	> 1000		> 1000	
LC ₅₀	> 1000		> 1000	
Reference chemical % mortality: LC ₅₀	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

B. SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY ENDPOINTS:

The percent weight change was -6.17, -4.14, -2.76, -2.65, and -2.55% in the 95, 171, 309, 556, and 1000 mg a.i./kg treatment groups, respectively, compared to -7.62% for the control. The mean weight changes were significantly different in the ≥ 171 mg a.i./kg treatment groups, due to greater weight loss in the control than the treatment groups. The NOAEC based on weight change was ≥ 1000 mg a.i./kg. The sublethal effect of reduced mobility was observed in the 1000 mg a.i./kg treatment group. No other sublethal effects were observed during testing. The NOAEC based on sublethal effects was 556 mg a.i./kg.

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity Effects of Pyrasulfotole on Earthworms

PMRA Submission #: {.....}

EPA MRID #: 468017-42

instead of the recommended 28 days, and the acclimation period of 24 hours was less than recommended (7 days).

F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions agreed with those of the study author.

The earthworms were not fed during acclimation or testing.

The experimental start date was October 2, 1997 and the experimental termination date was October 17, 1997.

G. CONCLUSIONS:

This study is scientifically sound and is classified as **SUPPLEMENTAL**. The NOAEC was 556 mg a.i./kg based on sublethal effects (i.e., reduced mobility). The LC₅₀ and EC₅₀ were >1000 mg a.i./kg, the highest treatment group.

LC₅₀/EC₅₀: >1000 mg a.i./kg 95% C.I.: N/A
NOAEC: 556 mg a.i./kg
Probit Slope: Not calculable 95% C.I.: N/A
Endpoint(s) Affected: Sublethal effects (reduced mobility)

III. REFERENCES:

Bartlett's test, in Sokal R.R. and Rohlf F.J. (1981): Biometry, W.H. Freeman, New York, pp. 403-407.

Dunnnett C.W. (1955): A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control, *J. Amer. Statist. Ass.*, 5, pp 1096-1121.

Kruskal W.H. and Wallis W.A. (1952): Use of ranks in one criterion variance analysis, *J. Amer. Statist. Ass.*, 47, pp. 583-621.

Mann H.B. and Whitney D.R. (1947): On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 18, pp. 50-60.

O.E.C.D. Guidelines for testing chemicals 207. Earthworms Acute Toxicity Tests. Adopted 04 April 1984.

Official Journal of the European communities L 133/95 (30 May 1988) Commission Directive 87/302-Part C-E.E.C (1987). "Toxicity of Earthworms, Artificial Soil test".

Stephan, C.E. (1977) Methods for calculating an LC₅₀. In: Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Evaluation, ASTM STP 634, Mayer, F.L. and Hamelink, J.L. (eds) pp 65-84.