



NITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON D.C., 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

PC Code: 000692 DP Barcode: 328656, 328663 Date: July 10, 2006

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Bayer 309 (Pyrasulfotole) Environmental Fate and Effects New Chemical Screen

- TO: Tracy White, Chemical Review Manager Joanne Miller, Risk Manager Registration Division (7505P)
- FROM: Melissa A. Panger, Ph.D., Biologist *Classical Contractors* Marietta Echeverria, Environmental Scientist *Berle Tor M. Coloridation Tribula* Environmental Risk Branch IV

Megan Thynge, Environmental Protection Specialist Environmental Information and Support Branch

Reginte

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507P)

APPROVED

- Rell 7-10-06

BY: Elizabeth Behl, Branch Chief Environmental Risk Branch IV Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507P)

The Environmental Fate and Effects Division (EFED) has reviewed environmental fate and effects studies submitted by Bayer CropScience in support of a new registration for the herbicide active ingredient Bayer 309 (pyrasulfoltole). Several of the studies have issues associated with the design or conduct that may result in deficiencies, but do not prevent EFED from proceeding with an ecological risk assessment. The identified issues are tabulated below [**Table 1** (fate studies) and **Table 2** (effects studies)].

The Registration Division requested a new chemical screen for the herbicide Bayer 309 (pyrasulfotole). EFED screens submitted fate, transport, and toxicity studies listed under CFR 40.158 for critical flaws in the experimental design and conduct of the study. Additional studies provided by the registrant for our review and use in risk assessment



were screened for scientific soundness based on guidelines provided under OPPTS and the OECD recommendations.

The conclusions of this review are tabulated below. Final determination of the relevance of the issues on the overall acceptability of the study will not be definitively known until completion of the primary and secondary review. However, none of the studies were identified as having critical flaws that would prevent a risk assessment from being conducted.

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
LINE				
161-1	46801705	[Pyrazole-3-14C]	None	Yes
[AE0317309: hydrolytic		
L	<u></u>	degradation		
161-2	46801706	[[¹⁴ C-UL-Phenyl] and	The study was terminated after	Yes
1		[¹ C-3-pyrazole]	only 212 hours (ca. 9 days) of	
		AE0317309:	continuous irradiation, at	
}	1	phototransformation in	which time >99% of the	
		water	remained undegraded	
•			Subdivision N guidelines	
ļ			specify that a	
			photodegradation study be	
]	ł		conducted for the equivalent	
			of 30 days or until the half-life	
	1		of the parent and the formation	
			and decline of the	
			transformation products is	
L			clearly established.	
161-3	46801707	[Pyrazole-3- ¹⁴ C]	The study was terminated after	Yes
		AE0317309:	only 9 days of continuous	
		phototransformation on	irradiation, at which time ca.	
l		soil	8/% of the applied	
			undegraded in the irredicted	
			soil Subdivision N guidelines	
			specify that a	
			photodegradation study be	
			conducted for the equivalent	
4	}		of 30 days or until the half-life	
	1		of the parent and the formation	
	[and decline of the	
			transformation products is	
			clearly established.	
162-1	46801709	[Phenyl-U-''C]- and	None	Yes
		[pyrazole-3-''C]-AE		
		US1/309: aerobic soll		
		sand soil of US origin		
		under laboratory	[
		conditions at 25°C		
162-1	46801710	[Phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C]- and	None	Yes

TABLE 1. New Chemical Environmental Fate Screening Summary.

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
LINE				
		[pyrazole-3- ¹⁴ C]-AE 0317309: aerobic soil metabolism in a silt loam soil of US origin under laboratory conditions at 25°C		
162-1	46801711	[Phenyl-UL- ¹⁴ C] and [pyrazole-3- ¹⁴ C]AE 0317309: aerobic soil metabolism in a European soil	It was not established that the German soil used in this study is comparable to soils that would be found in typical use areas for pyrasulfotole in the United States.	Yes
162-2	46801712	[Phenyl-UL- ¹⁴ C] and [pyrazole-3- ¹⁴ C]AE 0317309: anaerobic soil metabolism	None	Yes
162-3	46801714	[Phenyl-UL- ¹⁴ C]AE 0317309: anaerobic aquatic metabolism	None	Yes
162-3	46801715	[Pyrazole-3- ¹⁴ C]AE 0317309: anaerobic aquatic metabolism	None	Yes
162-4	46801713	[Pyrazol-3- ¹⁴ C]AE 0317309 and [phenyl-UL- ¹⁴ C]AE 0317309: aerobic aquatic metabolism	None	Yes
163-1	46801703	Adsorption/desorption of AE 0317309 on five soils and one sediment	It could not be determined if the foreign soils used in the study were typical of the pesticide use area in the U.S. Material balances were determined for high-dose soils only.	Yes
163-1	46801704	[14C]-RPA 203328: Adsorption/desorption in five soils	It could not be determined if the foreign soils used in the study were typical of the pesticide use area in the U.S.	Yes
164-1	46801716	Terrestrial field dissipation of AE 0317309 in Kansas soil, 2004	None	Yes
164-1	46801717	Terrestrial field dissipation of AE 0317309 in North Dakota soil, 2004	None	Yes
164-1	46801718	Terrestrial field dissipation of AE 0317309 in Washington soil, 2004	None	Yes

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
LINE			IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PR	
71-1	468017-29	Technical AE0317309:	None	Yes
		An Acute Oral LD _{so} with		-
	1	Northern Bobwhite.		
71-2	468017-30	Technical AE0317309: A	None	Yes
		Subacute Dietary LC ₅₀		
		with Northern Bobwhite		
71-2	468017-31	Technical AE0317309: A	None	Yes
		Subacute Dietary LC ₅₀		
		with Mallards		
71-4	468017-32	Effect of Technical AE	Cage size was significantly	Yes
		0317309 on Northern	smaller than recommended.	
		Bobwhite Reproduction	OPPTS recommends at least	
			5000 cm ² per bird. In this	
	1		study, the floor space was only	
			784 cm ⁻ per bird. The duration]
			of both the pre-egg-laying and	
			egg-laying phases were not	
	460017.00		clearly reported.	Var
71-4	468017-33	Effect of Technical AE	Cage size was significantly	res
	1	031/309 on Mallard	Smaller than recommended.	
		Reproduction.	$10,000 \text{ cm}^2$ per hird. In this	
		1	study the floor space was only	
		}	2410 cm^2 per bird. The	
	}		duration of both the pre-egg-	
		4	laving and egg-laving phases	
	(were not clearly reported.	
72-1	468017-24	The 96 Hour Acute	This study was conducted as a	Yes
72 1	10001/21	Toxicity to the Rainbow	limit test with a single nominal	
		Trout. Oncorhynchus	concentration of 100 mg/L;	
		mykiss, in a Static	however, the mean-measured	1
		System; AE 0317309	concentration corrected for the	l l
		Technical 97.4% w/w	purity of the active ingredient	
		(Amended Report).	(97.4%) was 93.5 mg a.i./L.	
			The reported hardness of the	
			dilution water (176 mg/L as	
			$CaCO_3$) was higher than	
	{	{	recommended (40-48 mg/L as	
			$CaCO_3$). The pH of the	
			dilution water (8.0-8.4) ranged	
	Į		higher than the recommended	
70.1	460017.05	TI O(II A /	values (7.2-7.6).	XZ
72-1	468017-25	The 96 Hour Acute	I his study was conducted as a	res
	}	Sunfish Lanomia	concentration of 100 mg/L ·	
	1	macrochimis in a Static	however the mean-measured	ļ
		System $\Delta F 0.317300$	concentration corrected for the	
	1	Technical 98.7% w/w	purity of the active ingredient	
	ł	(Amended Report)	(97.4%) was 93.5 mg a.i./L	
	4		The reported hardness of the	
	ł	1	dilution water (160 mg/L as	
	1		CaCO ₃) was higher than	
	1	ł	recommended (40-48 mg/L as	
			CaCO ₃). The reported pH	•

TABLE 2. New Chemical Ecotox Screening Summary.

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
LINE				
			values in the dilution water (8.1-8.6) were higher than recommended (7.2-7.6).	
72-2	468017-21	The 48-Hour Acute Toxicity to the Water Flea, <i>Daphnia magna</i> , in a Static System AE 0317309 Technical 97.4% w/w	This study was conducted as a limit test with a single nominal concentration of 100 mg/L; however, the mean-measured concentration corrected for the purity of the active ingredient (97.4%) was 93.5 mg a.i./L. The reported hardness of the dilution water (164 mg/L as CaCO ₃) was higher than recommended (40-48 mg/L as CaCO ₃). The reported range of pH values of the dilution water (7.3-8.3) exceeded the recommended values (7.2-7.6).	Yes
72-3	468017-22	AE 0317309-Acute Toxicity to Eastern Oysters (<i>Crassostrea</i> <i>virginica</i>) Under Flow- Through Conditions	Filtered sea water was used instead of the recommended unfiltered sea water. The TOC of the dilution water was not reported.	Yes
72-3	468017-23	AE 0317309- Acute Toxicity to Mysids (<i>Americamysis bahia</i>) Under Static Conditions	The reported temperature during the definitive test (24- 26° C) exceeded the recommended values ($22\pm1^{\circ}$ C). The size and fill volume of the test vessels (1 L and 900 mL, respectively) were smaller than recommended (3.9 and 2-3 L, respectively).	Yes
72-3	468017-26	Acute Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the Sheepshead Minnow (<i>Cyprinodon variegates</i>) Under Static Conditions.	The reported hardness of the dilution water (40-60 mg/L as CaCO ₃) exceeded the recommended values (40-48 mg/L as CaCO ₃). The reported pH values of the dilution water (7.6-8.1) were exceeded the recommended ranges for estuarine fishes (7.7-8.0).	Yes
72-4	468017-27	Chronic Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the <i>Daphnia magna</i> Under Static Renewal Conditions.	None	Yes
72-4	468017-28	Early Life Stage Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the Fathead Minnow (<i>Primephales promelas</i>) Under Flow-Through	None	Yes

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
LINE				
		Conditions		
141-1	468017-35	Contact toxicity (LD50) to honey bees (<i>Apis</i> <i>mellifera</i> L.), Substance technical	A solvent (acetone) control was tested without a concurrent negative control. After 72 hours, mortality in the solvent control was 12%, which is greater than the recommended for control	Yes
			mortality during testing (10%).	
850.4400	468017-36	Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to Duckweed (<i>Lemna gibba</i> G3) Under Static Conditions.	The reported pH of the test solutions at Day 0 (7.8-7.9) and at Day 7 (8.6-8.7) exceeded the recommended values 7.5 ± 0.1 . Fewer plants per replicate (3) were used than are recommended (5), making the total number of fronds per treatment (13) less than recommended (15).	Yes
850.5400	468017-38	Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the Freshwater Diatom Navicula pelliculosa	The recommended pH for this species is 7.5 ± 0.1 . The reported pH of the test solutions was outside of the recommended range at 0-hours (5.1-7.9) and at 96-hours $(5.1-8.7)$. Only three replicates of <i>Navicula pelliculosa</i> were tested in each treatment level; given the inherently variable response of this species, four replicates are recommended in the study design.	Yes
850.5400	468017-37	Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the Green Alga <i>Pseudokirchneriella</i> <i>subcapitata</i> (a.k.a. <i>Selenastrum</i> <i>capricornutum</i>).	The recommended pH for this species is 8.1 ± 0.1 . The reported pH of the test solutions was below the recommended range at 0-hours (5.3-7.9) and exceeded the range at 96-hours (5.4-10.0).	Yes
850.5400	468017-39	Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the Blue- Green Algae Anabaena flos-aquaea	The recommended pH for this species is 7.5 ± 0.1 . The reported pH of the test solutions exceeded the recommended range at 0-hours (7.4-8.1) and at 96-hours (7.9- 8.8). The NOAEC, EC ₁₀ , and EC ₀₅ values for biomass could not be determined (<2.4 mg a.i./L) because of significant inhibition at all treatment levels, including 20% at the lowest level.	Yes

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
LINE				
850.5400	468017-40	Toxicity of AE 0317309 Technical to the Saltwater Diatom Skeletonema costatum.	The recommended pH for this species is 8.1 ± 0.1 . The reported pH of the test solutions was lower than recommended at 0-hours (7.4- 8.1) and exceeded the recommended range at 96- hours (7.9- 8.8). The photoperiod during the definitive test (16L:8D) provided longer light exposure	Yes
123-1a	468019-26	Non-target terrestrial plants: Seedling emergence and growth test (Tier 2) Suspo- emulsion: 50+12.5 g/L (Code: AE 0317309 02 SE06 A102)	than recommended (14L:10D). The LOQ and LOD were not reported. The geographic location, depth of collection, CEC and moisture at 1/3 atm were not reported. All species were tested under the same environmental conditions instead of testing cold- preferring species separately from warm-preferring species. While test containers were bottom-watered for the duration of the study, pots were initially top watered to establish the water column in the soil and to facilitate germination. It is unclear if loss of test material occurred at this initial watering and what impact this may have had on seed exposure to the test material	Yes
123-1a	468019-36	Non-target terrestrial plants: Seedling emergence and seedling growth test (Tier 2); AE 0.317309+Mefenpyr di- ethyl+Bromoxynil (Code: AE 0317309 03 EC23 A8)	The LOQ and LOD were not reported. The geographic location, depth of collection, CEC and moisture at 1/3 atm were not reported. All species were tested under the same environmental conditions instead of testing cold- preferring species separately from warm-preferring species. While test containers were bottom-watered for the duration of the study, pots were initially top watered to establish the water column in the soil and to facilitate germination. It is unclear if loss of test material occurred at this initial watering and what impact this may have had on cond auroguest to the test.	Yes

GUIDE-	MRID	STUDY TITLE	REMARKS	REVIEWABLE?
		+	material	
123-1b	468019-27	Non-target terrestrial plants: Vegetative vigor test (Tier 2) AE 0317309 + Mefenpyr di-ethyl (AE F107892); Suspo- emulsion: 50+12.5 g/L (Code: AE 0317309 02 SE06 A102)	The LOQ and LOD were not reported. The geographic location, CEC and moisture content at 1/3 atmospheres were not reported. All species were tested under the same environmental conditions instead of testing cold- preferring species separately from warm-preferring species.	Yes
123-16	468019-37	Non-target terrestrial plants: Vegetative Vigor test (Tier 2), AE 0317309+Mefenpyr di- ethyl+Bromoxynil (Code: AE 0317309 03 EC23 A8)	The LOQ and LOD were not reported. The geographic location, CEC and moisture content at 1/3 atmospheres were not reported. All species were tested under the same environmental conditions instead of testing cold- preferring species separately from warm-preferring species. Neither a NOAEC nor an EC_{05} could be identified for cucumber dry weight, the most sensitive endpoint, due to significant inhibition (18%) at the lowest treatment level.	Yes
850.6200	468017-41	AE 0317309, substance, technical (Code: AE 0317309 00 1C96 0001): Acute Toxicity to Earthworms (<i>Eisenia</i> <i>fetida</i>) tested in Artificial Soil	The study duration was 14 days instead of the recommended 28 days. The light intensity range of 400-800 lux ranged higher than recommended (400 lux). The acclimation period of 1 day was less than recommended (7 days).	Yes
850.6200	468017-42	RPA 203328, Acute Toxicity (14-Day) to Earthworms (<i>Eisenia</i> foetida)	The study duration was 14 days instead of the recommended 28 days. The light intensity of 586-640 lux was greater than recommended (400 lux). The acclimation period of 24 hours was less than recommended (7 days).	Yes
Non- Guideline	468017-43	Isoxaflutole-RPA 203328 (AE B197555): Reproduction toxicity to the earthworm <i>Eisenia</i> <i>fetida</i> in artificial soil	Noné	Yes
Non- Guideline	468017-34	Oral toxicity (LD50) to honey bees (<i>Apis</i> <i>mellifera</i> L.), Substance technical	None	Yes