

Current Thinking On Development of New Criteria

Elizabeth Doyle
OST, OW, USEPA
October 6, 2009
Chicago, IL



Approach To Criteria Development

- Data-centric process
- Active, ongoing research will shape the criteria
 - Application of new technologies
 - Scope and approach
 - Available tools
 - Limitations for 2012 criteria
 - Goals for next iteration

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Other Considerations

- Input from other EPA Offices and Regions
- Stakeholder input
- Studies conducted by researchers outside of EPA
- Clarity and transparency of decision-making

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Scoping the Criteria

- Break out issues by topic area
- Frame key questions
- Evaluate available data to answer questions
- Identify reasonable approach for criteria
 - Technical concerns
 - Implementation concerns
- Develop final draft for public comment

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Topic Areas for Discussion

- Water Body Type
- Fecal Indicators
- Analytical Methods for Fecal Indicators
- Target Population
- Human Health Endpoint
- Tolerable Illness Level
- Statistical Correlation/Data Analysis
- Expression of Criteria Value
- Sources of Fecal Contamination

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Water Body Type

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria ▪ A numeric AWQC value for all marine waters and a different numeric value for all fresh waters (e.g., marine, estuary, Great Lakes, other lakes, rivers, streams) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Develop a limited number of AWQC values for all water body types covered under the CWA (e.g., marine and fresh; coastal, lakes, reservoirs, and flowing fresh waters) at the same risk level. |
|--|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Water Body Type - Considerations

- Similar approach to 1986
- Criteria are for use in multiple Clean Water Act programs
- Will require evaluation of EPA and non-EPA epidemiology data
- Additional considerations of fate and transport
- May include a policy component

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Fecal Indicators

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ Marine: Enterococcus indicator (measured by culture method) ▪ Fresh water: Enterococcus or <i>E. coli</i> indicator (measured by culture method) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Indicator(s) measured by qPCR and/or culture methods available for criteria |
|--|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Fecal Indicators - Considerations

- New criteria will be based upon fecal indicators, not direct measurement of pathogens
- Consistent with 1986/2004
- Based on assumption that occurrence of fecal material in water is primary source of pathogens
- Correlation between indicators and illness seen in EPA fresh water data
- May add/change indicators depending upon developing data

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Analytical Methods for Fecal Indicators

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ EPA Method 1600 for enterococci ▪ EPA Method 1603 for <i>E. coli</i> ▪ Other approved vendor methods for enterococci and <i>E. coli</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Analytical methods may be developed for different CWA programs ▪ Rapid (qPCR) for beach notification and monitoring ▪ Rapid and/or culture methods for compliance with other CWA programs |
|--|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Analytical Methods for Fecal Indicators - Considerations

- BEACH Act requires EPA to include a “revised list of testing methods as appropriate” in criteria publication
- “Rapid” methods are important for beach notification and advisories
 - Currently pending BEACH Act legislation defines rapid as 6 hours after commencement of the test method in the laboratory (House bill) or 4 hours after receipt of the sample at the facility (Senate bill)
 - qPCR is the “rapid” method under consideration at this time
- Major task is to link results of culture and qPCR so different methods can be use for different CWA programs while linked to the same risk level
 - Requires synthesis of multiple data sets
 - Very important effort for providing flexibility to use a range of analytical methods to measure water quality

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Target Population

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ General population | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Derive a criteria value protective of children, provided data allow |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Target Population - Considerations

- Epidemiology data from EPA studies has a full range of age groups
- Children are less numerous than adults
- Other epidemiology data sets focus primarily on adults
- Comparison across data from multiple data sources may warrant developing an approach to protect children while using adult data

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Human Health Endpoint

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ HCGI-1 includes any one of the following or combinations of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – (1) vomiting – (2) diarrhea with fever or a disabling condition – (3) stomach ache or nausea <u>accompanied by a fever</u> – 8 to 10 day follow up | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ HCGI-3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – a) Diarrhea (3 or more loose stools in a 24 hour period) or, – b) vomiting, nausea and stomach ache, or – c) nausea or stomach ache and impact on activity – 10 to 12 day follow up ▪ Include other illnesses if significant and have indicators that reflect risk |
|---|---|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Human Health Endpoint - Considerations

- HCGI-3 is a less severe definition of illness than HCGI-1
 - HCGI-3 definition requires only a single effect
 - HCGI-1 definition requires multiple effects including fever
- Implication: *apparent* increase in illness using HCGI-3 because easier to qualify as ill
- Use of HCGI-3 rather than HCG-1 will likely impact the criteria value and its interpretation

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Tolerable Illness Level

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ 0.8% to 1.0% HCGI-1 in freshwater ▪ 1.9% HCGI-1 in marine | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Goal is to be as health protective as 1986 criteria (to be determined how this will be defined) |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Tolerable Illness Level - Considerations

- EPA has developed an approach for converting between illness definitions
- EPA will be considering this approach to develop a comparable risk level from new epidemiology studies compared to the 1986 data
- Committed to common level of public health protection in all waters of the US

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Statistical Correlation/Data Analysis

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ Correlation between HCGI-1 and indicator density ▪ Steady state geometric mean ▪ Single Sample Maximum (SSM) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Correlation between HCGI-3 and indicator density ▪ Beach notification and NPDES – a value that allows some reflection of variability for a sample (short-term measure) ▪ Assessment– geometric mean (longer term measure) |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Statistical Correlation/Data Analysis - Considerations

- Criteria value will be health-based
- Criteria value will draw upon the illness/indicator relationship from EPA & to the extent practicable non-EPA epidemiology studies
- Short term measure is important for "rapid" determinations in beach monitoring and advisories
- Longer term measure may make more sense for other CWA purposes such as assessment and listing

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Expression of Criteria Value

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ Bacteriological density expressed in cfu/100ml (cultural method) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ A numeric value that is protective of public health ▪ Cultural methods expressed in bacteriological density (cfu/100ml) ▪ qPCR results (possibly expressed in CEU/100ml) |
|---|---|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Expression of Criteria Value -Considerations

- Currently considering single indicator density, not a range
 - Ranges have implications for implementation
 - WHO binning approach is not consistent with concept of common risk levels
- Focus will be on level of indicators associated with tolerable illness level

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Sources of Fecal Contamination

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 1986 Criteria and/or 2004 Rule ▪ Criteria are for all sources of fecal contamination and have same numeric AWQC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ OST's Current Thinking ▪ Develop numeric AWQC applicable to all sources of fecal contamination—that is, will not likely have different indicator levels based on source of fecal contamination |
|---|--|

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Sources of Fecal Contamination - Considerations

- Most EPA epidemiology data have evaluated illness due to POTW impacts
- 2009 study added urban runoff source
- Other non-EPA data will be considered
- To date, no data have been identified to support criteria that quantitatively takes into account whether the source of fecal contamination is animal or human

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*



Moving Forward

- Begin to evaluate data and available information
 - EPA data
 - Other developing data
- Integrate developing data into criteria

*Stakeholder Meeting on the Development of
New or Revised Water Quality Criteria*

