

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Quarterly Report Of LUST Recovery Act Measures And Jobs Reported July 1 – September 30, 2010

Where does EPA get the LUST Recovery Act performance measures data?

Four times each year, EPA collects data from states and territories regarding Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Recovery Act money performance measures and jobs. Indian country data are readily available because the Agency directly implements the UST program there. Performance data include: site assessments initiated, site assessments completed, cleanups initiated, cleanups completed, and other assessment and cleanup activities.

What are the LUST Recovery Act measures?

EPA defined the LUST Recovery Act measures in its June 2009 *Guidance To Regions For Implementing The LUST Provision Of The American Recovery And Reinvestment Act Of 2009* (see page 7), which is available at www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/lustproguide.pdf#page=9. EPA issued additional guidance and lessons learned, also available on EPA's LUST Recovery Act Program Guidance web site: www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/lustproguide.htm (see Recipient Reporting Guidance).

How many LUST Recovery Act jobs were reported in this quarter?

Between July 1 and September 30, 2010, LUST Recovery Act money created or retained 340.1 jobs. Recipients of LUST Recovery Act money calculate and report the number of jobs each quarter by summing the total number of hours worked and paid with Recovery Act money and dividing that number by 520, which converts total hours into full time jobs. For more information about calculating jobs, refer to Office of Management and Budget's guidance (Part 2) at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/memoranda_2010/m10-08.pdf.

What accomplishments have been achieved?

LUST Recovery Act Performance Measures	July 1 – September 30, 2010 Reporting Period	Cumulative February 17, 2009 – September 30, 2010
Site assessments initiated	131	780
Site assessments completed	302	642
Cleanups initiated	309	709
Cleanups completed	266	592
Cumulative number of additional sites where LUST Recovery Act money has been spent – 2,222		

Where has LUST Recovery Act money been invested?

States and territories have discretion to spend LUST Recovery Act money on LUST eligible projects in various ways. For example, some states invest the money for assessments to get an indication of the degree and extent of contamination at many small sites. Other states focus efforts on a few difficult sites. Therefore, a small number associated with any particular performance measure can represent a significant amount of work and benefit just as a large number can. In addition, states and territories choose to use LUST Recovery Act money either directly at the site or indirectly by funding state personnel to oversee activities.

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Quarterly Report Of LUST Recovery Act Measures And Jobs Reported July 1 – September 30, 2010

As of September 30, 2010, LUST Recovery Act money had been spent at 1,452 sites where one or more of the measures have been achieved. In addition to the highlights in the table above, LUST Recovery Act money contributed to other assessments and cleanups at an additional 2,222 sites, which did not begin as Recovery Act projects and have not reached completion.

Where will EPA post this and future reports?

EPA's web site www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/perfmeas.htm provides the current report and will also be the repository for future reports.

For more information, contact Matthew Young, EPA's Office of Underground Storage Tanks, at young.matthew@epa.gov or 703-603-7143.

LUST Recovery Act Performance Measures
Cumulative State And Tribal By Region Through 09/30/2010

Region	State	Site Assessments Initiated			Site Assessments Completed			Cleanups Initiated			Cleanups Completed			Sites With Activities Included In Measures*	Additional Sites With Other Activities Not Included In Eight Measures**
		Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total		
1	CT	6	2	8	8	0	8	3	0	3	0	0	0	11	0
	MA	8	0	8	3	0	3	5	0	5	3	0	3	12	0
	ME	8	0	8	8	0	8	7	0	7	5	0	5	12	0
	NH	7	0	7	6	0	6	4	0	4	4	0	4	9	16
	RI	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	3
	VT	22	3	25	3	1	4	1	1	2	0	0	0	25	0
Region 1 Total		51	5	56	28	1	29	21	1	22	13	0	13	71	19
2	NJ	13	0	13	0	0	0	5	0	5	2	0	2	16	0
	NY	5	0	5	5	0	5	34	0	34	16	0	16	34	0
	PR	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	VI	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Region 2 Total		29	0	29	5	0	5	39	0	39	18	0	18	61	1
3	DC	2	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	DE	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
	MD	36	0	36	8	0	8	4	0	4	3	0	3	38	37
	PA	20	0	20	2	0	2	18	0	18	2	0	2	21	58
	VA	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	6
	WV	30	0	30	36	0	36	29	0	29	23	0	23	42	1
Region 3 Total		89	0	89	47	1	48	56	0	56	28	0	28	109	116
4	AL	11	0	11	13	0	13	7	0	7	3	0	3	22	6
	FL	17	0	17	16	0	16	14	0	14	1	0	1	17	0
	GA	16	0	16	0	0	0	7	0	7	6	0	6	24	6
	KY	0	174	174	0	211	211	0	213	213	0	185	185	281	430
	MS	22	0	22	22	0	22	21	1	22	14	1	15	33	13
	NC	52	0	52	39	0	39	28	7	35	11	7	18	98	4
	SC	25	0	25	42	0	42	25	0	25	10	0	10	57	10
	TN	20	0	20	7	0	7	19	0	19	6	0	6	34	11
Region 4 Total		163	174	337	139	211	350	121	221	342	51	193	244	566	480
5	IL	22	0	22	14	0	14	18	0	18	10	0	10	27	0
	IN	12	0	12	10	0	10	10	1	11	3	1	4	14	1
	MI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	MN	13	0	13	16	0	16	7	5	12	4	5	9	37	27
	OH	33	0	33	8	0	8	7	0	7	0	0	0	33	0
	WI	1	0	1	0	0	0	7	0	7	2	0	2	8	8
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Region 5 Total		81	0	81	48	0	48	49	6	55	19	6	25	119	55
6	AR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	NM	2	0	2	17	0	17	2	0	2	6	0	6	27	1
	OK	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
	TX	1	0	1	41	0	41	41	0	41	38	0	38	57	163
	Tribal	1	0	1	3	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	3	1
Region 6 Total		4	0	4	61	0	61	47	0	47	44	0	44	89	176
7	IA	4	0	4	5	0	5	1	4	5	2	4	6	9	18
	KS	26	0	26	20	0	20	11	1	12	1	1	2	26	0
	MO	32	0	32	4	0	4	14	0	14	2	0	2	33	27
	NE	12	0	12	20	0	20	24	0	24	17	0	17	31	41
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
Region 7 Total		74	0	74	49	0	49	50	5	55	23	5	28	100	86

*This is the number of sites where one or more activities of the eight measures – direct and indirect site assessments initiated, site assessments completed, cleanups initiated, and cleanups completed – have been reported and are cumulative through the end of the reporting period noted above.

**LUST Recovery Act money contributed to these activities, but projects did not begin as Recovery Act projects or projects have not reached completion. Activities identified here are not represented in the other four performance measures.

Region	State	Site Assessments Initiated			Site Assessments Completed			Cleanups Initiated			Cleanups Completed			Sites With Activities Included In Measures*	Additional Sites With Other Activities Not Included In Eight Measures**
		Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total		
8	CO	18	0	18	12	0	12	13	0	13	4	0	4	22	10
	MT	2	0	2	2	0	2	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0
	SD	21	0	21	8	0	8	16	0	16	5	0	5	29	1
	UT	2	0	2	2	0	2	9	0	9	0	0	0	9	0
	WY	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	27
	Tribal	10	0	10	9	0	9	8	0	8	7	0	7	12	6
Region 8 Total		55	0	55	35	0	35	52	0	52	16	0	16	78	44
9	AZ	6	0	6	7	0	7	20	0	20	4	0	4	24	3
	CA	5	0	5	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	164	164	173	1,221
	CNMI	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0
	GU	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
	HI	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	NV	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Tribal	6	0	6	6	0	6	11	0	11	2	0	2	15	10
Region 9 Total		32	1	33	13	0	13	35	1	36	6	165	171	229	1,234
10	AK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2
	ID	5	9	14	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	16	0
	OR	4	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	6	6
	WA	4	0	4	1	0	1	3	0	3	1	0	1	7	0
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Region 10 Total		13	9	22	2	2	4	5	0	5	5	0	5	30	11
National Total		591	189	780	427	215	642	475	234	709	223	369	592	1,452	2,222

*This is the number of sites where one or more activities of the eight measures – direct and indirect site assessments initiated, site assessments completed, cleanups initiated, and cleanups completed – have been reported and are cumulative through the end of the reporting period noted above.

**LUST Recovery Act money contributed to these activities, but projects did not begin as Recovery Act projects or projects have not reached completion. Activities identified here are not represented in the other four performance measures.