

Impact of Nanoparticulates On Respiratory Health Effects: Toxicity Is Not Always Dependent Solely Upon Particle Size and Surface Area

> David B. Warheit, Ph.D. DuPont Haskell Laboratory Newark, DE

Nanotechnology and OSWER: New Opportunities and Challenges July 12, 2006



NANOTECHNOLOGY AND OSWER New opportunities and challenges

Dr. Dav d Warhe

Definitions- Particle Size

- Nano = Ultrafine = < 100 nm
- Fine = $100 \text{ nm} 3 \mu \text{m}$
- Respirable (rat) = $< 3 \mu m$ (max = 5 μm)
- Respirable (human) = $< 5 \mu m$ (max = 10 μm)
- Inhalable (human) = $\sim 10 100 \ \mu m$



Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC

Dr. Dav d Wa

















Clearance of Iron Particles on the Airway Mucociliary Escalator



Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Clearance of Iron Particles on the Airway Mucociliary Escalator



<section-header><image>

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Common Perceptions on Pulmonary Toxicity of Nanoparticles

- Nanoparticles are more toxic (inflammogenic, tumorigenic) than finesized particles of identical composition.
- Concept generally based on 3 particle-types:
 - Titanium Dioxide particles
 - Carbon Black particles
 - Diesel Particles

Complications related to the Dogma of Nanoparticulate Toxicology

- Not all Nanoparticles are more toxic
- Surface coatings of particles
 - Coatings passivated or dispersion
- Species Differences in Lung Responses
 Rat is the most sensitive species
- Particle aggregation/disaggregation potential
- Fumed vs. precipitated Nanoparticles
- Surface charge of particles

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND OSWER New opportunities and challenges

Dr. Dav d Wa

The Key Issue: Risk

Health Risk is a product of • Hazard and Exposure

Studies to Assess Pulmonary Hazards to Nanoparticulates

Dr. Dav d Warhe

Dr. Dav d Warhe 17 of 7

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Pulmonary Bioassay Studies

- Working hypothesis
- Four factors influence the development of pulmonary fibrosis
 - 1) inhaled materials which cause cell/lung injury
 - 2) inhaled materials which promote ongoing inflammation
 - 3) inhaled materials which reduce alveolar macrophage function
 - 4) inhaled materials which persist in the lung



Dr. David B. Warheit -- Presentation Slides

Dr. Dav d Warhe

Cytocentrifuge Preparation of BAL – Recovered Cells From a Sham – Exposed Rat





Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Cytocentrifuge Preparation of BAL – Recovered Cells From a Carbonyl Iron – Exposed Rat



Use of Bronchoalveolar Lavage, Cell Proliferation, and Histopathology to Assess the Lung Toxicity of **Particulate samples**

<u>Parameter</u>

Indicator

(BALF = Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid Analysis)

88

BALF Cells and Differentials BALF Lactate Dehydrogenase **BALF** Alkaline Phosphatase **BALF** Protein

Lung Weights Macrophage phagocytosis **Cell Proliferation**

Histopathology

Lung Inflammation Non-specific cytotoxicity Type 2 cell epithelial toxicity Permeability \uparrow of alveolar/ capillary barrier Pulmonary edema or fibrosis Lung clearance functions Inflammation/lung fibrosis and tumor potential Evaluation of lung tissue responses

Dr. David B. Warheit -- Presentation Slides



Collaborative Studies with Rice University – CBEN - Vicki Colvin and Christie Sayes on the Pulmonary Toxicity of Nanoscale TiO_2 and Quartz Particle-types

> Dr. Dav d Warhe 26 of 7

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC



Pulmonary Instillation Studies with Nanoscale TiO₂ Rods and Dots in Rats: Toxicity is not Dependent upon Particle Size and Surface Area

DB Warheit, TR Webb CM Sayes, VL Colvin and KL Reed

Toxicological Sciences 91:227-236, 2006

Dr. Dav d Warhe t

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC









Charao	cterizat and Qu	ion of Nanos uartz Particl	cale TiO ₂ es
	<u>XRD</u>	particle size S	Surface Area
• Fine TiO ₂	rutile	$d_{50} = 300 \text{ nm}$	6.0 m ² /g
• TiO ₂ Nanor	ods anata	se length= 90 - 23	33 nm
	width	n = 20 - 35 nm	26.5 m ² /g
• TiO ₂ Nanod	lots anatas	se $d_{50} = 6 \text{ nm}$	169.4 m ² /g
• Min-U-Sil	αQ	$d_{50} = 1.3 \ \mu m$	4.0 m ² /g
			Dr. Dav d Warhe

RESULTS

Biomarkers = Pulmonary Inflammation Pulmonary Cytotoxicity

Dr. Dav d Warhe

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Dr. David B. Warheit -- Presentation Slides

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND OSWER





Dr. David B. Warheit -- Presentation Slides













Dr. David B. Warheit -- Presentation Slides









Physicochemical Characterization of Quartz Particulates (cont.)						
Sample	Average Size (nm)	Size Range (nm)	Surface Area (m²/g)	Crystallinity	ICP-AES (% Fe content)	
nano quartz I	50	30-65	31.4	α-quartz	0.080%	
nano quartz II	12	10-20	90.5	α-quartz	0.034%	
fine quartz	300	100-500	4.2	α-quartz	0.011%	
Min-U Sil	534	300-700	5.1	α-quartz	0.042%	



Dr. David B. Warheit -- Presentation Slides

Preliminary Collaborative Studies with Rice University: SiO₂





Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials





Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials







BAL Fluid LDH Values (cytotoxicity) BAL Fluid LDH Values in Rats exposed to Fine and Nano-sized Quartz Particles 800 700 BAL fluid LDH values 600 500 (n/r 400 300 200 100 THE THE ╹┹┨┹ 0 0.5 mls 5 mg/Kg 1 mg/Kg 5 mg/Kg 1 mg/Kg 5 mg/Kg 1 mg/Kg 5 mg/Kg PBS Carbonyl Iron Min-U-Sil quartz particles Fine quartz particles Nano quartz particles particles Exposure Groups 24 Hour 1 Week 1 Month 3 Month Dr. Dav d Wa

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC

Characterization of Quartz Particles

XRD particle size Surface Area

- Fine Quartz $\alpha Q \, d_{50} = 300 \, \text{nm} \, \frac{4.2 \, \text{m}^2/\text{g}}{\text{g}}$
- Nanoscale-Q II $\alpha Q \, d_{50} = 12 \, \text{nm} \, 90.5 \, \text{m}^2/\text{g}$
- **Min-U-Sil** $\alpha Q \, d_{50} = 534 \, \text{nm} \, 5.1 \, \text{m}^2/\text{g}$



Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND OSWER New opportunities and challenges

Dr. Dav d Wa









Lung Section of Rat exposed to Nanoquartz Particles (3M pe)





Endpoint	Nano quartz I	Nano quartz II	Fine quartz	Min-U-Sil
Particle size	++	+	+++	++++
Surface area	+++	++++	++	+
Fe content	+++	++	+	++
Crystallinity	++++	++++	++++	++++
Radical content	+	++	+	+++
Hemolytic				
potential	÷	+++	**	+++
Lung				
inflammation	++	+++	++	+++
Cytotoxicity	++	+++	+	+++
Airway BrdU	NA	++	+	++
Lung paren. BrdU	NA	++	+	++
Histopathology	NA	++++	++	+++



July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC



Mean Particle Size Determinations in the ZnO and MgO Inhalation Studies

StudyMMAD (cascade impactor) 2 InO 25 mg/m^3 $3.3 \ \mu\text{m}$ 2 InO 35 mg/m^3 $2.7 - 3.2 \ \mu\text{m}$ 2 InO 50 mg/m^3 $3.2 \ \mu\text{m}$ 4 MgO 50 mg/m^3 $3.0 \ \mu\text{m}$ $3 \text{ Nano ZnO 25 mg/m}^3$ $2.8 \ \mu\text{m}$

Preliminary Studies with Fine and Nano Zinc Oxide particles



Preliminary Studies with Fine and Nano Zinc Oxide particles Mean LDH Values in BAL Fluids of Rats Inhaling Fine ZnO or Nano ZnO Particles (25 mg/m³) 200.00 180.00 160.00 Fine ZnO & Nano ZnO are not different 140.00 120.00 24 Hr PE ¦ 100.0 T2 Hr PE 🗖 168 Hr PE 80.00 60.00 40.00 20.00 0.00 ZnO N-ZnO ZnO N-ZnO ZnO N-ZnO Shan 1 Hr Exposure 3 Hr Exposure Exposure Groups

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

Impact of Surface Treatments/Coatings on TiO₂ Particles

- Inhalation Studies
- Pulmonary Bioassay Intratracheal Instillation Studies

Comparative Pulmonary Toxicity Inhalation and Instillation Studies with Different TiO₂ Particle Formulations: Impact of Surface Treatments on Particle Toxicity

DB Warheit, WJ Brock, KP Lee, TR Webb, and KL Reed

Toxicological Sciences 88:514-524, 2005

Dr. Dav d Warhe t

Dr. Dav d Warhe

Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC

TiO₂ Coatings Formulations

TiO2 base - 99% TiO2 - 1% alumina TiO2 I - 99% TiO2 - 1% alumina + organic grinding aid TiO2 II - 96% TiO2 - 4% alumina TiO2 III - 83% TiO2 - 6% alumina 11% amorphous silica TiO2 IV - 91% TiO2 - 3% alumina - 6% amorphous silica TiO2 V - 94% TiO2 - 3% alumina - 3% amorphous silica



Session 2: Potential Exposure Scenarios and Potential Toxicity of Nanomaterials

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND OSWER New opportunities and challenges

Dr. Dav d Warhe 73 of 7





Important Particle Characteristics

- Primary particle size
- Particle shape (SEM)
- Surface area
- Surface charge
- Composition- e.g crystalline vs.amorphous
- Surface Coatings
- Aggregation status
- Particle number
- Method of synthesis (gas vs. liquid phase)

Summary
Risk is a product of Hazard and Exposure
Cannot assume that nanomaterials are the same as their bulk counterpart
Each particle-type should be tested on a case-by-case basis
A variety of factors (in addition to particle size/surface area) influence

 A variety of factors (in addition to particle size/surface area) influence toxicity of nanoparticulates

> Dr. Dav d Warhe t <u>78 of 79</u>

Dr. Dav d Warhe 77 of 7

July 12-13, 2006 Washington DC

Acknowledgments

 Tom Webb and Ken Reed provided the pulmonary toxicology technical expertise for the study. Denise Hoban, Elizabeth Wilkinson and Rachel Cushwa conducted the BAL fluid biomarker assessments. Carolyn Lloyd, Lisa Lewis, John Barr prepared lung tissue sections and conducted the BrdU cell proliferation staining methods. Don Hildabrandt provided animal resource care. Dr. Christie Sayes and Dr. Vicki Colvin – collaborators.

Dr. Dav d War