

STATE OF MAINE Department of Environmental Protection



JOHN ELIAS BALDACCI GOVERNOR DAVID P. LITTELL COMMISSIONER

October 8, 2008

Josh Secunda U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 1, New England 1 Congress Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02114-2023

Subject: Quarterly Progress Report for the 2007 State Innovation Grant

Dear Mr. Secunda:

The Maine Department of Environmental Protection and the Massacusetts Department of Environmental Protection are pleased to submit our fourth quarterly progress report for the Stormwater Environmental Results Program. We believe that we have made significant progress this past quarter by completing the groundwork needed to move the project forward substantively.

If this report does not meet EPA's needs or you would like to suggest ways to improve our next quarterly report, please contact me at (207) 287-4432 or <u>sara.m.lippert@maine.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Sara Lippert, Environmental Specialist Office of Innovation & Assistance

cc: Jerry Filbin (EPA), Scott Bowles (EPA), Anne Leiby (EPA), Marge Miranda (EPA), Sherri Walker (EPA), Fred Civian (MA DEP), David Noonan (MA DEP), Beth Nagusky (ME DEP), Julie Churchill (ME DEP), Don Witherill (ME DEP), Jeff Dennis (ME DEP)

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017 (207) 624-6550FAX: (207) 624-6024 RAY BLDG., HOSPITAL ST.

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD BANGOR, MAINE 04401 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584 PORTLAND 312 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 PRESQUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769-2094 (207) 764-6477 FAX: (207) 764-1507

web site: www.maine.gov/dep

Quarterly Progress Report (7/1/2008 – 9/30/2008)

Award:	2007 State Innovation Grant
Recipient:	Maine Department of Environmental Protection (ME DEP) and Massachusetts
	Department of Environmental Protection (MA DEP)
Project:	Stormwater Environmental Results Program (ERP): Voluntary Certification Pilot
	Program to Reduce Stormwater Pollution from Existing Commercial Businesses'
	Impervious Surfaces

Overview

Maine and Massachusetts have a clear need to solve existing water quality problems affecting impaired or soon to be designated impaired water bodies. This need is demonstrated by the dramatic increase in development in both states; and the corresponding increase in the number of impaired or soon to be impaired water bodies. An innovative solution such as the Environmental Results Program (ERP) offers an alternative to the traditional enforcement/compliance model that allows us to proactively work with unregulated facilities and encourage upgrades in stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs). This is important because states are generally not sufficiently staffed to implement traditional permitting programs.

Accomplishments During Reporting Period

ME DEP:

ME DEP developed a list of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and Environmental Business Practice Indicators (EBPIs) for the Survey checklist that will be used for baseline and post-certification on-site visits. ME DEP has chosen to refer to the on-site visits as "surveys" rather than "inspections," which is the common terminology used with ERPs. Please refer to the attached draft checklist. MA DEP is currently reviewing the checklist and will provide ME DEP with comments. Both states want to have some overlapping BMPs and EBPIs so that comparisons can be made between the two projects.

ME DEP selected watersheds on which to focus the project. The following watersheds have been selected: Penjajawoc Stream (Bangor), Arctic Brook (Bangor), River Road Tributary (Brunswick), Capisic Brook (Portland), and Thatcher Brook (Biddeford). Maine selected multiple watersheds to focus the project on due to the low number of hotspots within each watershed. The hotspot business sector ME DEP is focusing on is: drive-thru restaurants, convenience store/gas stations, and high traffic restaurants. All of these hotspots are small impervious parking lots that contribute a disproportionate stormwater pollutant load compared to that of larger impervious parking lots; this is largely due to the high amount of mobile source traffic. ME DEP has done some preliminary fieldwork and has identified a list of possible businesses in the above listed watersheds to work with. These businesses are: McDonald's, Dunkin Donuts, Citgo, Mobil, Irving, Jiffy Lube, Exxon, Wendy's, Getty, Gulf, Dairy Queen, Denny's, Burger King, Olive Garden, Governor's, KFC and Tim Horton's.

Long Creek (South Portland) was originally listed in the grant proposal and Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) as a possible watershed. Since the grant proposal and QAPP were written, Long Creek has gained attention by EPA and the Conservation Law Foundation as a high priority watershed where Residual Designation Authority (RDA) could be used to restore water quality. Due to the possibility that Long Creek will be subject to RDA, ME DEP has decided not to pursue Long Creek for this project. If RDA is used in Long Creek, ME DEP may be able to compare a voluntary approach to that of an RDA approach.

ME DEP has determined that large workshops may not be the best solution to assist the hotspot businesses. Each site is unique and the BMP solutions will vary from site to site. One-on-one site visits with each hotspot are likely to be more effective. One-on-one site visits would involve meeting with the business owner and/or manager and discussing the problem, their contribution to it, how they can be an Environmental Leader, and possible solutions. ME DEP could also hold a workshop/educational meeting with the hotspot owners, environmental groups, municipal officials, and others where there would be a discussion of the problem of urban impaired streams, the causes, and the solutions.

ME DEP is developing a strategy for approaching the hotspot businesses. ME DEP is considering contacting chain restaurants and convenience stores (i.e. McDonald's, Irving) at the corporate level to try and gain their support of the project. ME DEP will also contact the local franchise owners and try and set up one-on-one site visits to discuss the problem and possible solutions. ME DEP anticipates baseline surveys will begin in late October and will be completed in November of this year.

ME DEP is developing the workbook and self-certification checklist for the project. Time has been spent researching BMPs and reviewing stormwater workbooks/manuals to find the best layout and information for the workbook.

MA DEP:

Massachusetts staff is writing draft regulations for a new statewide Stormwater General Permit (SWGP). The regulations are based upon input from a wide-ranging Stakeholders Group that met March through July of this year. Stakeholders included the Conservation Law Foundation, the Charles River Watershed Association, the Organization for the Assobet River, the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties, Associated Industries of Massachusetts, three different Towns in the Upper Charles River watershed, three colleges/universities, a number of private consultants, the Cities of Boston and Cambridge, the Mass Waterworks Association, Lowe's, and representatives of New England Office of the EPA..

While these draft regulations are being readied for review by DEP Commissioner Laurie Burt, MassDEP is also making appointments with additional organizations to explain the general features of the SWGP. These organizations include the Arc Of Innovation (a public/private partnership for the I495/Metrowest Corridor), Town Administrators of Norfolk County (which encompasses most of the Upper Charles River Basin), various regional Bar Associations, the Massachusetts Homebuilders Association, the Environmental Business Council; and the Greater Boston Realty Board.

The draft regulations have these elements:

• expands responsibility for stormwater management from the municipalities (through the MS4 permit) and a limited number of industries (through the MSGP) to include private owners of larger impervious surfaces (the acreage that would trigger these requirements is still under discussion)

- statewide, private owners of larger impervious surfaces would be required to implement good housekeeping and pollution prevention measures, like sweeping of parking lots, Spill Prevention plans and securing lids of dumpsters
- in the Charles River watershed, where an adopted TMDL requires 2/3 reduction of phosphorus, properties will be required to meet the required TMDL reduction by installing infiltrating BMPs within a certain timeframe
- new developments and redevelopments would also have to meet TMDL reduction requirements

The draft regulations are expected to be presented to the public for review in Fall 2008, with promulgation in early 2009, and initial compliance for the statewide requirements to follow later that year.

ME DEP and MA DEP

Both states met with EPA at ME DEP's Portland office on July 1st to discuss the project. Both states have continued to hold monthly conference calls to discuss the progress being made on the project. In addition, the two states routinely communicate informally by e-mail and phone.

Financial Report

Please refer to attached Excel spreadsheet for the expenditures for this quarter.

Annotated Workplan for Quarterly Progress Report (7/1/2008 – 9/30/2008)

Task Name	Task Description	Start Date	End Date	Status
Receive funding	Receive EPA grant funding for	October	October	Completed
	project.	2007	2007	_
Train staff	Train project staff in stormwater	October	April 2008	Ongoing
	BMPs and hotspot analysis.	2007	_	
Outreach	Staff assigned to project begins	October	April 2008	Ongoing
	coordinating with stormwater staff.	2007		
Outreach	Develop a list of external	November	April 2008	Behind schedule
	stakeholders within each state and	2007		
	begin involvement with them.			
Monthly	Coordinate and implement monthly	October	September	Ongoing
meetings	meetings/conference calls for Maine	2007	2010	
	and Massachusetts.			
Develop Gantt	Detail workflow and timelines based	October	January	Completed
Chart	on logic model.	2007	2008	
Goals	Finalize the goals of this project,	October	January	Completed
identification	upon which metrics will be based	2007	2008	_
Measures	Finalize metrics to be tracked by this	November	March	Completed
identification	project.	2007	2008	_

Task Name	Task Description	Start Date	End Date	Status
Watershed	Select/confirm target watersheds	November	January	Completed
identification	based on states' draft and final	2007	2008	
	TMDLs			
Statistical	Develop a statistical methodology to	October	March	Completed
methodology	drive performance measurement and	2007	2008	
	analytical tasks.			
QAPP	Finalize QAPP based upon results of	October	February	Completed
finalization &	the measures identification,	2007	2008	
approval	statistical methodology, and data			
	management tasks. Primary data			
	collection will not occur before			
	relevant parts of the QAPP are			
	finalized and approved by EPA.			
Data input &	Develop and implement an approach	December	March	Completed
management	to cost-effectively input and manage	2007	2008	
	ERP data, including primary and			
	secondary data. Primary data			
	consists of data from inspection			
	reports and facility forms (including			
	self-certification forms). Secondary			
	data sources include lists of facilities			
	from regulatory and private-sector			
	databases.			
Facility	Determine the exact characteristics	February	March	Completed
identification	of facilities to be included in this	2008	2008	
	project, and compile a list of			
	facilities from reliable sources.			
Develop	Develop/implement incentives to	December	March	Completed
incentives	attract volunteers into the ERP	2007	2008	
	considering each state's approaches.			
Develop EBPIs	Develop Environmental Business	December	February	ME DEP
	Practice Indicators (EBPIs),	2007	2008	finalizing
	including regulatory, beyond			
	compliance, and social marketing			MA DEP
	indicators.			developing
Develop list of	Develop and analyze a list of retrofit	December	September	ME DEP
BMPs	"off the shelf" stormwater BMPs	2007	2008	finalizing
	and technologies (filtration,			
	bioretention and "biological"			MA DEP
	technologies); include range of			developing
	effectiveness for different pollutants			
	and cost and maintenance data.			

Task Name	Task Description	Start Date	End Date	Status
Review stormwater BMP technologies	Conduct an independent review of data describing the effectiveness of stormwater technologies utilizing an outside 3 rd party to ensure these technologies can, by themselves or as part of a treatment train, at a minimum meet ME's (50-70% phosphorus and 80% TSS volume control) and MA's (80% TSS removal) pollutant removal standards. Both states will be evaluating the emerging EPA/Tetra Tech BMP pollution reduction model. To the extent facilities install BMPs listed in the model, the states will use that model to help estimate pollution reductions.	January 2008	September 2008	Ongoing
Review compliance records	Review existing compliance records within both state departments to avoid inspecting facilities with recently identified or ongoing compliance issues.	December 2007	March 2008	Behind schedule
Develop outreach materials	Develop workbook and self- certification checklist.	January 2008	September 2008	ME DEP developing MA DEP developing
Baseline inspections (establishing a performance measures baseline)	Conduct inspections at facilities to establish a baseline for performance measures. Facilities selected at random from the entire targeted population, based upon sample design from statistical methodology.	May 2008	September 2008	Behind schedule
Baseline analysis	Analyze inspection data to establish a baseline for the project's performance measures.	September 2008	December 2008	Future task

Task Name	Task Description	Start Date	End Date	Status
Evaluate BMP installation	Evaluate number and type of stormwater BMP engineered solutions that should be installed at each target area and their relative cost. Coordinate evaluation with stakeholder/partners and in-house staff.	September 2008	February 2009	Future task
Facility assistance	Deliver compliance/technical assistance to facilities on a site-to- site basis and via workshops.	February 2009	June 2009	Future task
Self- certification	Implement a voluntary facility self- certification approach. Self- certification refers to the submission of a legally binding record of a facility's compliance and beyond- compliance practices.	July 2009	September 2009	Future task
Analysis of self- certification results	Analyze self-certification data, with primary purpose of identifying opportunities for selective follow-up (next step).	October 2009	November 2009	Future task
Selective follow-up	Selective follow-up with self- certifying facilities, based upon analysis of self-certification data. Selective follow-up may include phone calls, inspections and enforcement. Selective follow-up is not typically based upon a random sample.	December 2009	March 2010	Future task
Post- certification inspections	Inspect facilities to establish whether sector performance measures (and other measures) have changed since the baseline. Inspection data also used to cross- check self-certification data at inspected facilities. Facilities selected at random from the entire universe of facilities, based upon sample design from statistical methodology.	March 2010	June 2010	Future task

Task Name	Task Description	Start Date	End Date	Status
Facility	Recognize facilities that were in	July 2010	August	Future task
recognition	compliance and implemented		2010	
	beyond compliance and pollution			
	prevention practices. Recognition			
	would be through Environmental			
	Leader branding (ME).			
Self-	Tabulate accuracy scores for self-	July 2010	September	Future task
certification vs.	certification vs. inspections.		2010	
Inspections				
Data analysis	Analyze baseline, self-certification,	July 2010	September	Future task
	and post-certification data to		2010	
	understand change in facility			
	performance and overall outcomes			
	of interest. Assessment of project			
T	efficiency.			
Information	ME DEP, assisted by Mass DEP,	September	September	Future task
exchange	will host at least 2 information	2010	2010	
	exchange meetings for other states,			
	tribes and/or interested stakeholders			
	to facilitate the transfer of			
	information and innovation. These			
	activities will include site visits to			
	Environmental Leader facilities and			
	demonstrations of pollution			
Evaluation for dia a	prevention and BMP solutions.	June 2010	Cantanahan	Eutone tools
Explore funding	Explore other grants available through EPA, association resources	June 2010	September 2010	Future task
opportunities	and potential corporate sponsorships		2010	
	from larger companies to small			
	companies that could be used to			
	assist project partners in the			
	installation of BMPs.			
Self-	Conduct 2 nd round of self-	July 2010	September	Future task
certification	certification.		2010	
Ongoing	Set up ongoing support and	August	September	Future task
monitoring	monitoring of installed BMPs.	2010	2010	
Reporting to	Reporting shall include quarterly	October	September	Ongoing
EPA	and final reports.	2007	2010	