



US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



# METAL CASTING

AT A GLANCE 1996-2005<sup>1</sup>



2,822 facilities

2,266  
▼ 20%

222,513 employees

166,591  
▼ 25%

\$14 million  
tons ferrous  
and nonferrous  
shipments

\$14.2 million  
▲ 1%



## Latest Environmental Statistics<sup>2</sup>

**Energy Use:** 157 trillion Btu

**Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants:** 75,000 tons

**Releases of Chemicals Reported to TRI:** 49.6 million lbs.

Air Emissions: 3.8 million lbs.

Water Discharges: 68,500 lbs.

Waste Disposals: 45.7 million lbs.

*Recycling, Energy Recovery, or Treatment:* 127.5 million lbs.

**Hazardous Waste Generated:** 30,000 tons

**Hazardous Waste Managed:** 28,000 tons

*The data discussed in this report are drawn from multiple public and private sources. See the Data Guide and the Data Sources, Methodologies, and Considerations chapter for important information and qualifications about how data are generated, synthesized, and presented.*

## Profile

The Metal Casting sector includes establishments that pour molten ferrous metals (iron and steel) or nonferrous metals under high pressure into molds to manufacture castings.<sup>3</sup>

Ferrous metal casting includes those castings made with grey iron, ductile iron, malleable iron, and steel. Each

type of iron contains different elements that affect its characteristics. Nonferrous castings are predominantly aluminum but might also be brass, bronze, zinc, magnesium, and titanium.

More than 90% of all manufactured goods in the United States contain cast metal components.<sup>4</sup> These includes engine blocks, transmission housings, and suspension parts for cars and trucks; undercarriages of farm and construction equipment; and pipes and valves for plumbing fixtures and boilers.

U.S. casting operations are now mostly small businesses, with 80% of facilities employing 100 people or fewer.

## Energy Use


In 2002, the Metal Casting sector consumed 157 trillion Btu.<sup>5</sup> The major furnaces that casting operations use are cupola (used primarily for ferrous metal casting), electric, reverberatory, and crucible furnaces.

Heating and melting these various metals consumes large amounts of energy, accounting for 72% of the sector's total energy use, according to U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) estimates. Mold and core making account for 7% of the sector's energy use, and finishing accounts for 6%.<sup>6</sup> During molding, foundries use energy for transporting materials, mechanical mixing, and making molds and cores.

As shown in Figure 1, the sector is heavily dependent on natural gas and purchased electricity, making up 48% and 34%, respectively, of the sector's fuel inputs for energy in 2002. Coke, the primary fuel for cupola furnaces, was the third largest energy source, at 15%.<sup>7</sup>

A DOE report on the sector identified several energy-saving opportunities. Casting operations using iron induction can automate furnace temperature and power controls to prevent overshooting temperature settings, and can minimize the time that the lid is open while melting or holding iron. Operations

**FIGURE 1**  
Fuel Use for Energy 2002



**Note:** Net electricity is an estimation of purchased power and power generation onsite.  
Source: U.S. Department of Energy



using a cupola furnace can dehumidify blast air to reduce coke consumption and can cover coke storage areas to prevent water from being introduced into the charge.<sup>8</sup>

## Air Emissions

Air emissions are a primary environmental concern in the sector, and include criteria air pollutants (CAPs), greenhouse gases (GHGs), and a number of chemicals reported to EPA's Toxics Release Inventory (TRI). In general, the "toxic chemicals" tracked by TRI are found in raw materials and fuels used. CAPs and GHGs also are generated from onsite combustion of fuels. The TRI list of toxic chemicals includes all but six of the hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) regulated under the Clean Air Act (CAA). Air pollution is a major environmental impact particularly from ferrous metal casting. Because aluminum, used in nonferrous operations, melts at a lower temperature than ferrous metals, nonferrous casting usually results in lower air emissions.

The sector's air emissions result from the various operations in a facility, including metal melting, mold making, handling foundry sand, and die-casting. The majority of metal emissions come from the metal melting operations, while most organic emissions are from handling the binder that holds sand together to produce the cores and molds. Once the binder is combined with the sand, there may be additional organic, particulate, and carbon monoxide (CO) emissions from pouring the molten metal into the casting and from breaking apart the cast. Handling foundry sand results primarily in particulate emissions.

### Air Emissions Reported to TRI

In 2005, 662 facilities reported to TRI air emissions of 3.8 million absolute lbs. Between 1996 and 2005, TRI-reported air emissions, in absolute pounds, declined 63%, as shown in Figure 2a. Because production levels for the sector remained relatively steady over the 10 years, the emissions trend, when normalized by ferrous and nonferrous shipments, was very similar to the trend for absolute emissions, as shown in Figure 2b. Some 75% of the sector's air emissions in 2005 were reported by ferrous metal casting facilities, while nonferrous facilities reported the remaining 25%. In the same year, ferrous metal casting facilities contributed to 62% of the sector's total shipments, while nonferrous contributed to 38%.

To consider toxicity of air emissions, EPA's Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI) model assigns every TRI chemical a relative toxicity weight, then multiplies the pounds of media-specific releases (e.g., pounds of mercury released to air) by a chemical-specific toxicity weight to calculate a relative Toxicity Score. RSEI methodological considerations are discussed in greater detail in the Data Guide, which explains the underlying assumptions and important limitations of RSEI.



Data are not reported to TRI in sufficient detail to distinguish which forms of certain chemicals within a chemical category are being emitted. For chemical categories such as chromium, the toxicity model conservatively assumes that chemicals are emitted in the form with the highest toxicity weight (e.g., hexavalent chromium); thus, Toxicity Scores are overestimated for some chemical categories.

Summing the Toxicity Scores for all of the air emissions reported to TRI by the sector produces the trend illustrated in Figure 2c. The sector's Toxicity Score declined 82% from 1996 to 2005.<sup>9</sup> Three chemicals—manganese, chromium, and diisocyanates—accounted for 81% of the sector's total Toxicity Score. Manganese and chromium emissions result from melting; furnaces melting metal emit dust, metallic particles, and metal oxide fumes, along with the products of combusted fuel. Diisocyanates, associated with binding materials, are emitted as a result of exposure to air. The apparent spike in 1996 was exacerbated by diisocyanates emissions reported by one facility, which, in subsequent years, reported no diisocyanates emissions. The sector's reported emissions of all three chemicals have declined since 1996.


During this same period, regulations led to increased use of pollution control equipment, and to equipment upgrades. Technology related to the binding process has also improved; changes in binder ingredients and processing, for example, have promoted reductions in volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions.

In 2005, 514 facilities reported 2.5 million lbs. of HAP emissions. These HAPs accounted for 66% of the sector's air emissions in 2005 and 83% of the sector's overall Toxicity Score. Over the 10-year period presented, absolute and normalized pounds of HAPs emitted declined by 65%.<sup>10</sup>


**FIGURE 2**  
Air Emissions Reported to TRI 1996–2005

■ All TRI Chemicals, including HAPs  
■ All TRI HAPs


**a. Absolute lbs**



**b. Normalized lbs**



**c. Normalized Toxicity Score Trend**



Note:  
Normalized by ferrous and nonferrous shipments.  
Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, American Foundry Society

Table 1 presents the top TRI-reported chemicals emitted to air by the sector based on three indicators. Each indicator provides data that environmental managers, trade associations, or government agencies might use in considering sector-based environmental management strategies.

- 1) Absolute Pounds Reported. Xylene and aluminum were the highest-ranking chemicals based on the pounds of each chemical emitted to air in 2005.
- 2) Percentage of Toxicity Score. The top chemical based on Toxicity Scores was manganese, which has a high toxicity weight and was emitted in large quantities. Chromium and diisocyanates were emitted in smaller quantities but are among the chemicals with the highest toxicity weights.
- 3) Number of Facilities Reporting. Lead was the most frequently reported chemical, with more than half the facilities in the sector filing TRI reports for air emissions of lead.

**TABLE 1**  
Top TRI Air Emissions 2005

Chemical	Absolute Pounds Reported <sup>1</sup>	Percentage of Toxicity Score	Number of Facilities Reporting <sup>2</sup>
Aluminum	356,000 <sup>3</sup>	1%	49
<i>Benzene<sup>4</sup></i>	243,000	<1%	9
<i>Chromium</i>	53,000	26% <sup>5</sup>	168
Copper	153,000	1%	322
Diisocyanates	16,000	16% <sup>6</sup>	41
<i>Lead</i>	96,000	5%	372
<i>Manganese</i>	193,000	39%	206
<i>Nickel</i>	47,000	9%	211
<i>Phenol</i>	328,000	<1%	60
<i>Xylene</i>	438,000	<1%	10
Zinc	268,000	<1%	91
Percentage of Sector Total	58% <sup>7</sup>	96% <sup>8</sup>	86% <sup>9</sup>

**Notes:**

1. Total sector air releases: 3.8 million lbs.
2. 662 total TRI reporters in the sector.
3. Red indicates that the chemical is one of the top five chemicals reported in the given category.
4. *Italics* indicate a hazardous air pollutant under section 112 of Clean Air Act.
5. Calculation of Toxicity Score for chromium conservatively assumed that all chromium emissions were hexavalent chromium, the most toxic form, with significantly higher toxicity weights than trivalent chromium. However, hexavalent chromium may not constitute a majority of the sector's chromium releases. Thus, RSEI analyses may overestimate the relative harmfulness of chromium emissions.
6. Calculation of Toxicity Score for diisocyanates conservatively assumed that all diisocyanates emissions were hexamethylene diisocyanates. Other diisocyanates chemicals with lower toxicity scores may constitute the majority of reported diisocyanates emissions from the sector. Thus, RSEI analyses may overestimate the relative harmfulness of diisocyanates emissions.
7. Chemicals in this list represent 58% of the sector's air emissions.
8. Chemicals in this list represent 96% of the sector's Toxicity Score.
9. 86% of facilities reported emitting one or more chemicals in this list.

Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

## Criteria Air Pollutants

Table 2 shows CAP and VOC emissions from the Metal Casting sector for 2002.

**TABLE 2**  
Criteria Air Pollutant and VOC Emissions 2002

	Tons
SO <sub>2</sub>	3,000
NO <sub>x</sub>	5,000
PM <sub>10</sub>	18,000
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	13,000
CO	32,000
VOCs	17,000

**Note:**

PM<sub>10</sub> includes PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions.

Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

### Conversion to Low-Emission Technology Binders and Process

Gregg Industries, in El Monte, CA, received neighborhood odor complaints when using a no-bake casting line using phenolic urethane resin for prototype castings and customer casting qualification. The company replaced the phenolic resin with an inorganic, highly modified sodium silicate resin. The resin dramatically reduced smoke and odor from the no-bake operation. The foundry also replaced an odor-causing organic core resin with a similar modified silicate core resin. After the change to the low-emission technology resins, the foundry saw lower binder costs, fewer labor hours to produce the cores, and lower cleaning room costs. Also, the new low-emission core technology contributes to the continuing decline in casting scrap.<sup>11</sup>

## Water Use and Discharges

Metal Casting facilities use water in their production processes and discharge wastewater to either Publicly Owned Treatment Works or directly into waterways. Wastewater from the sector mainly consists of noncontact cooling water and wet scrubber wastewater. Foundries using cupola furnaces also may generate wastewater containing metals from cooling slag with water. Certain finishing operations, such as quenching and deburring, may generate wastewater containing oil and suspended solids.





Every facility discharging process wastewater directly to waterways must apply for a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit. The permits typically set numeric limits on specific pollutants and include monitoring and reporting requirements. For metal casters, regulated pollutants and the associated limits vary depending on the type of casting operation (aluminum, copper, zinc, or ferrous casting), but most facilities are regulated in their discharges of copper, lead, zinc, and total suspended solids (TSS).<sup>12</sup>

In 2005, 236 facilities reported water discharges of TRI chemicals totaling 68,500 lbs.<sup>13</sup> This represented a decline of 52% since 1996.<sup>14</sup>

Facilities with materials exposed to precipitation also are regulated for stormwater runoff, usually under a general permit providing sector-specific limits. Depending on the type of foundry, stormwater requirements for metal casting facilities may include effluent limits on copper, zinc, iron, aluminum, and TSS.

## Waste Generation and Management

Waste management is another key environmental issue for Metal Casting facilities. Metal casting wastes fall into four main categories: sand, slag, dust, and other. The sand used to create molds and cores accounts for a large portion of the waste generated at foundries.<sup>15</sup> The high-quality sand required for casting is expensive, so foundries reuse sand to the extent possible. Sand that no longer can be used by iron or steel foundries is often landfilled or beneficially reused.

Slag, which can make up about 25% of a foundry's solid waste stream, is a glassy mass with a complex chemical structure. Slag is composed of metal oxides from the melting process, melted refractories, sand, coke ash (if coke is used), and other materials. Large quantities of slag are generated from iron foundries using cupola furnaces.

During casting, some metal is converted to dust or fumes and collected by pollution control equipment such as baghouses, electrostatic precipitators, or wet scrubbers.

Some processes for making cores require strongly acidic or basic substances for scrubbing the off gases and can generate sludges or liquors. These sludges or liquors are typically pH-controlled prior to discharge to the sewer system.

## Hazardous Waste Management

Both ferrous and nonferrous facilities generate hazardous waste, including hazardous waste from finishing operations. Ferrous facilities generate hazardous wastes mostly from pollution control equipment, especially from melting furnaces. Nonferrous facilities tend to produce hazardous wastes as foundry sand contaminated with heavy metals. About 2% of all spent foundry sand is hazardous. Casting sands used in the production of brass or bronze castings may also exhibit toxicity characteristics for lead or cadmium, making them a hazardous waste.

In 2005, 170 facilities reported to EPA's *National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report* (BR) generating 30,000 tons of hazardous waste. Wastes captured by air pollution control equipment were the largest source of hazardous waste. Facilities reported managing 28,000 tons of hazardous waste in 2005, most of which was managed through destruction or treatment.<sup>16</sup>





## Waste Management Reported to TRI


In 2005, the sector reported managing 177 million absolute lbs. of TRI chemicals as waste. Of the TRI waste managed (which included disposal and recycling), 56% was reported by nonferrous facilities; ferrous metal casting accounted for 44%. Both nonferrous and ferrous facilities recycle extensively, though nonferrous facilities recycle a higher percentage. About two-thirds of the materials the sector reported to TRI as waste in 2005 were recycled.<sup>17</sup> The

high recycling rate derives partly from the nature of the industry; if a problem occurs in the casting, the defective product can be melted down and cast again, on or offsite.

The quantity of waste managed in 2005 was 34% less than in 1996, with little change in the sector's quantity of product shipped. In 2005, 28% of TRI-reported waste was disposed or released, while 8% was treated and 64% was recycled. Foundry sand was recycled onsite and offsite.<sup>18</sup>

In 2005, 45.7 million lbs. of TRI chemicals were disposed to land or transferred to offsite locations for disposal.

**FIGURE 3**  
TRI Waste Management 1996–2005



Notes:  
 1. Normalized by ferrous and nonferrous shipments.  
 2. Disposal or other releases include air releases, water discharges, and land disposals.  
 Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, American Foundry Society



Manganese accounted for about one-third of the total pounds disposed. As shown in Table 3, lead and copper were the chemicals most frequently reported as disposed. The sector's disposals and other releases were driven by ferrous metal casting facilities, which accounted for 75% of disposals and releases.

**TABLE 3**  
Top TRI Disposals 2005

Chemical	Absolute Pounds Reported <sup>1</sup>	Number of Facilities Reporting <sup>2</sup>
Aluminum	7,709,000 <sup>3</sup>	31
Chromium	7,112,000	149
Copper	1,775,000	210
Lead	1,983,000	270
Manganese	14,938,000	187
Nickel	556,000	162
Zinc	9,636,000	63
Percentage of Sector Total	96% <sup>4</sup>	60% <sup>5</sup>

**Notes:**

1. Total sector disposals: 45.7 million lbs.
2. 662 total TRI reporters in the sector.
3. Red indicates that the chemical is one of the top five chemicals reported in the given category.
4. Chemicals in this list represent 96% of the sector's disposals.
5. 60% of facilities reported disposals of one or more chemicals in this list.

Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Promoting the beneficial reuse of foundry sand is a priority for EPA and for the American Foundry Society (AFS). Recent efforts to increase beneficial reuse rates appear to be paying off, as more sand is reused now than ever before. With input from the National Center for Manufacturing Sciences and EPA, AFS developed a survey to help quantify the amount of sand available for reuse, characterize current reuse practices, and identify barriers to reuse. Based on the 244 responses and a broader telephone survey, AFS

**Spent Foundry Sand Used in Rain Gardens**

In June 2007, the city of Seven Hills, OH, partnered with a commercial landscaping supply company, Kurtz Bros., Inc., to install a rain garden on community property near City Hall. A rain garden is a landscape that filters stormwater to remove impurities before the water enters storm drains or surface water. Spent foundry sand was key to the rain garden soil mix. By purchasing bioretention soil made with spent foundry sand, the city paid about half as much as it would to purchase soil made with unused sand. Foundries paid less for Kurtz to remove the spent sand than they would to landfill the sand.<sup>19</sup>

determined that the industry beneficially reuses 2.6 million tons of sand per year, representing 28% of the total tons of sand available for reuse. The most common barrier to reuse that respondents noted was lack of a local market for used foundry sand.<sup>20</sup>

**Other Environmental Management Activity**

The North American Die Casting Association (NADCA) promotes environmental management systems for die casting operations, and recently published a book titled Environmental Management for Die Casting. The book has been given away to NADCA corporate members and has been sold to more than 75 other die casting operations around the United States.

NADCA has further developed a series of questions for owners so they will understand where their operations stand in terms of environmental compliance for air, water, and solid waste. More than 30 companies have used this system to evaluate themselves.<sup>21</sup>

