

## THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION







**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency** 



# **ETV Joint Verification Statement**

TECHNOLOGY TYPE:	Ultraviolet (UV) Disinfection		
APPLICATION:	Secondary Effluent Treatment and Reuse		
TECHNOLOGY NAME:	Barrier Sunlight V-40R-A150 Open Channel UV System		
TEST LOCATION:	UV Validation and Research Center of New York		
COMPANY:	Siemens Water Technologies Corp.		
ADDRESS:	1901 West Garden Road Vineland, NJ 08360	PHONE: (856) 507-4149 FAX: (856) 507-4215	
WEB SITE:	http://www.siemens.com		
EMAIL:	alberto.garibi@siemens.com		

NSF International (NSF), in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), operates the Water Quality Protection Center (WQPC), one of six centers under the Environmental Technology Verification Program (ETV). The WQPC recently evaluated the performance of the Barrier Sunlight V-40R-A150 Open Channel UV Disinfection System (40R System), manufactured by Siemens Water Technologies Corp. The 40R System was tested at the UV Validation and Research Center of New York located in Johnstown, NY. HydroQual, Inc. was the Testing Organization for this verification.

EPA created ETV to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The Program's goal is to further environmental protection by accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and more cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high quality, peer-reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the design, distribution, permitting, purchase, and use of environmental technologies.

ETV works in partnership with recognized standards and testing organizations; stakeholder groups, which consist of buyers, vendor organizations, and permitters; and with the full participation of individual technology developers. The program evaluates the performance of innovative technologies by developing test plans that are responsive to the needs of stakeholders, conducting field or laboratory tests (as appropriate), collecting and analyzing data, and preparing peer-reviewed reports. All evaluations are conducted in accordance with rigorous quality assurance protocols to ensure that data of known and adequate quality are generated and that the results are defensible.

## **TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION**

The following description of the Barrier Sunlight V-40R-A150 Open Channel UV (40R) System was provided by the vendor and does not represent verified information.

The 40R System supplied by Siemens utilizes 40 high-output, low-pressure amalgam lamps, oriented vertically and perpendicular to the direction of flow. Each lamp has a total power draw rating of up to 177 Watts. The lamps are 36 inches long and each is housed in a clear fused quartz sleeve to isolate and protect the lamp from the wastewater. The sleeves have only one open end, which remains exposed only to the conditions in the sealed stainless-steel ballast housing. The quartz sleeves are 40 inches long, have an outer diameter of 28mm and a wall thickness of 1.5mm, resulting in a UV transmittance (UVT) of approximately 91% with the surface reflectance loss. The 40R System is equipped with automatic sleeve wiping systems, the performance of which was not verified during testing.

The lamps are powered from electronic ballasts mounted vertically in a remotely located enclosure. Each ballast powers two lamps in parallel so that one lamp failure does not cause the peer lamp to turn off. The 40R System used for this verification was equipped with two SLS SiC004 UV intensity sensors certified to DVGW (German Technical and Scientific Association for Gas and Water) Standards, which were installed and each sensor positioned in a quartz sleeve 2 cm from a neighboring single lamp. Each sensor includes a remote, dedicated amplifier that operates on a 4-20 mA signal. The sensors have a wavelength selectivity of 96% between 200 nm and 300 nm, a linear (1%) working range of 0.01 to 20 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, and a stability of 5% over 10 hours and a temperature range of 2 to 30°C. The commercial unit is typically designed to operate at 100% input power (no dimming)

Siemens set the total intensity attenuation factor at 80%, based on the combined effects of a sleeve-fouling factor of 90% and a lamp-aging factor (end-of-lamp-life factor) of 90%. This lamp-aging factor is set at a minimum of 12,000 operating hours. Quartz fouling of 90% and lamp age intensity reduction of 90% (at 12,000 hours) were simulated during this ETV. The V-40R system verified in this ETV is designed to operate at flow rates of up to 3,472 gallons per minute (gpm) (5 million gallons per day (mgd)).

## **VERIFICATION TESTING DESCRIPTION - METHODS AND PROCEDURES**

The objective of this verification was to verify the performance of the system within broad operational limits, taking into account flow rate, UV sensor reading, and UV sensitivity. Information found in several sections of the USEPA *Ultraviolet Disinfection Guidance Manual for the Final Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule* (2006) (UVDGM) support that operation within these limits should result in successful disinfection for the targeted organisms. The testing included measuring or calculating:

- 1. Performance difference of the system between power turndown and UVT turndown at the same operation conditions to mimic the total attenuation factor. The method that yielded the lower Reduction Equivalent Dose (RED) was selected to simulate the total attenuation factor in the verification.
- 2. Flow-dose relationship for the system at a nominal UVT of 50% to 80% for a dose range of 5 to 25 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> using a biological surrogate with relatively high sensitivity to UV (T1 coliphage).
- 3. Flow-dose relationship for the system at a nominal UVT of 50% to 80% for a dose range of 10 to 40 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> using a biological surrogate with medium sensitivity to UV (Q $\beta$  coliphage).
- 4. Flow-dose relationship for the system at a nominal UVT of 50% to 80% for a dose range of 20 to 80 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup> using a biological surrogate with relatively low sensitivity to UV (MS2 coliphage).
- 5. Adjusted observed RED performance results by a Validation Factor (VF) to account for uncertainties associated with the verification tests.
- 6. Power consumption and head loss.

The testing methods and procedures employed during the study were outlined in the *Verification Test Plan for the Siemens Water Technologies V-40R-A150 and HE-2E4-HO Open Channel UV Systems for Reuse and Secondary Effluent Applications* (August 2008). A full-scale 40R System was installed in a test channel at the UV Validation and Research Center of New York (UV Center), located in Johnstown, NY. Further details on the testing procedures, analytical methods, and QA/QC information are provided in the final report.

Biodosimetric tests were conducted at a simulated total attenuation factor of 80%, representing the combined effects of the end-of-lamp-life (EOLL) factor and the fouling factor. Siemens states that the PLC power setting of 120 is considered the full or nominal operating input power for the 40R System. The total attenuation factor for the Siemens V-40R-A150 system was simulated by lowering the water transmittance. For three nominal UVT values, 80%, 65%, and 50%, used for this validation, the actual UVT levels that were needed to simulate 80% sensor attenuation were 74.5%, 60.4% and 45.8%, respectively. The reported RED is based on the collimated-beam dose-response curve generated on seeded influent samples from the same day of testing. A total of 42 flow tests, using three different coliphage (MS2, Q $\beta$  and T1), were conducted for this ETV test. These tests were successfully completed during the verification, which resulted in development of an RED performance algorithm that described the performance of the UV system over a range of observed RED. A validation factor (VF) was determined to account for biases and experimental uncertainty that allows determination of the credited RED for various UV transmittances.

## PERFORMANCE VERIFICATION

The biodosimetric RED data are presented in Figure VS-1 for each challenge phage at their respective nominal UVT levels. The bounds described by these data represent the validated operating envelope for the UV system:

 Flow:
 169 to 3431 gpm;

 UVT:
 50 to 80%; and

 Power:
 120 at PLC, or 100% input (7.1 kW/40 Lamps, or 177W/Lamp).





09/33/WQPC-SWP

The accompanying notice is an integral part of this verification statement.

#### **RED Performance Algorithm**

A dose algorithm was developed to correlate the observed MS2, T1 and Q $\beta$  RED data with the reactor's primary operating variables. These are the flow rate, Q, and the average of the sensor readings, S<sub>avg</sub>. These variables are known on a real-time basis by the PLC and can be programmed into software to monitor and control the UV system. Because multiple surrogates were used to test the system, it is possible to combine the test results and incorporate the sensitivity of each to differentiate their individual reactions at the specified operating conditions. The commissioned system can then incorporate the sensitivity of the targeted pathogen (e.g., total or fecal coliforms, enterococcus, etc.) when calculating the RED delivered by the system. The dose algorithm to estimate the RED is expressed as:

$$RED = 10^{a} \cdot Q^{b} \cdot S_{avg}^{c} \cdot UVS^{d} \cdot 10^{\left(\frac{e}{S_{avg}}\right)}$$

Where:

Q = Flow rate, gpm;  $S_{avg} =$  Average Sensor Reading (%); UVS = UV Sensitivity (mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>/Log Inactivation (LI)); and a, b, c, d, e = Equation coefficients.

It is critical to note that *the same sensors and installed conditions, such as model type, position relative to the lamp, sleeve clarity, etc., <u>must be used to apply this algorithm</u>. This algorithm is valid if there is agreement within 5% of the two sensors (lead and lag), and the sensor readings are confirmed to meet the modeled results as a function of UVT and power setting. The nominal sensor reading, S\_0, must be equal to or greater than 16.5%, 36.5% and 73% at UVTs equal to or greater than 50%, 65% and 80% (all at a power setting of 120).* 

Based on a multiple linear regression analysis of this RED equation, the coefficients were determined and are summarized in Table VS-1. The algorithm-calculated REDs versus the observed MS2, T1 and Q $\beta$  REDs are plotted in Figure VS-2, which shows good agreement between the predicted and observed RED.

Table VS-1. V-40R-A150 Dose-Algorithm Regression Constants

Coefficient	a	В	с	d	e
Value	1.368173	-0.598506	0.903747	0.301085	5.092974

#### Validation Factor (VF)

The VF quantitatively accounts for certain biases and experimental uncertainties to assure that a minimum disinfection performance level can be confidently maintained. VF components RED bias ( $B_{RED}$ ), polychromatic bias ( $B_{POLY}$ ) and uncertainty of validation ( $U_{Val}$ ) were assessed.  $B_{RED}$  can be set at 1.0 as long as the sensitivity of the targeted pathogen or pathogen indicator is within the range of 5 and 20 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>/LI, and the sensitivity used in the RED algorithm is equal to or less than the sensitivity of the targeted microbe.  $B_{POLY}$  is set to 1.0 because the system uses low-pressure monochromatic lamps.

Within the  $U_{Val}$ , the uncertainties associated with the sensors ( $U_S$ ) and the collimated beam tests ( $U_{DR}$ ) can be ignored because QA criteria were met, leaving only the uncertainty of interpolation ( $U_{IN}$ ). The VF can be expressed as a function of the  $U_{IN}$ , which is related to a statistical evaluation of the verification data set, and the VF reduces to the following expression as a function of the calculated RED (RED<sub>Calc</sub>):

$$VF = 1 + (5.565/RED_{Calc})$$



Figure VS-2. Algorithm calculated RED versus observed RED.

Figure VS-3 presents a series of solutions for VF at a UVT of 65% and sensitivities ranging between 5 and 20 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>/LI. VF is shown as a function of flow under these specific and fixed operating conditions. Similar calculations can be made at alternate operating conditions. These calculations are appropriate only when the UVS of the targeted pathogen is equal to or greater than the sensitivity chosen for the calculations. If the sensitivity of the organism of concern is 10 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>/LI, then UVS must be 10 or less when conducting the calculations for the VF. However, if this is not the case, then a RED bias term, similar to that described by the UVDGM, would have to be incorporated into the validation factor.



Figure VS-3. Example solutions for Validation Factor at fixed operating conditions and a range of UV sensitivity.

09/33/WQPC-SWP

#### **Credited RED Calculation**

As outlined in the UVDGM, given the calculated RED results and the estimate of uncertainty associated with the experimental effort, one can determine the RED that can be applied, or credited, to the system at prescribed operating conditions. This credited RED, which is the same as RED<sub>Val</sub>, is calculated as:

$$RED_{Val} = \frac{RED_{Calc}}{VF}$$

Figure VS-4 presents solutions for the 40R System at a UVT of 65%, across the same range of UV sensitivities. It is important to note that this assumes the system sensors have been confirmed to have the same output as in the validation. The solutions for credited RED ( $RED_{Val}$ ), such as those shown on Figure VS-4, would be reported at the PLC of the 40R System, based on monitored real-time operating conditions. Calculations and results for alternative UVT levels are presented in the Final Report.



Figure VS-4. Credited RED at 50% UVT across a range of UV sensitivities.

#### **Power Consumption**

The power consumption of the Siemens V-40R-A150 system was continuously logged when operating. Siemens states that a power level of 120 is considered the nominal input power rating for this system; at this level, the mean total power input was 7.1 kW, or 177.5 W/Lamp. Power consumption can be determined using the expression:

Actual Power (kW) = 
$$-0.0000493(PLC Setting)^{2} + 0.03575(PLC Setting) + 3.548$$

#### Headloss

Headloss estimates were derived from the hydraulic profile data. Two pressure monitoring locations (immediately before and after the unit) were used at eight different flow rates. The headloss for the unit can be estimated (but should not be extrapolated outside tested range of flow rates) from the expression:

*Headloss* (inches of water) =  $0.152 \times (flowrate, mgd)^2 + 0.0288 \times (flowrate, mgd) + 0.141$ 

09/33/WQPC-SWP

The accompanying notice is an integral part of this verification statement.

## Velocity Profiles

Cross-sectional velocity measurements were taken at 0.25 and 5.0 mgd. The hydraulic conditions represent a 'worst' case when compared to minimum full-scale commissioning requirements in the *NWRI/AWWARF Ultraviolet Disinfection Guidelines for Drinking Water and Water Reuse* (2003). This guidance states that the mean velocity at any measured cross-sectional point of a commissioned system should not vary by more than 20% from the theoretical average velocity (i.e., flow divided by the cross-sectional area). Further, the commissioned system should exhibit velocity profiles that are equivalent or better than those exhibited by the validated test unit. Overall, a general observation is that the velocity profiles were relatively stable at 5.0 mgd, with the majority of the measurement points within 20%. At 0.25 mgd, velocity profiles were more variable. Non-ideal behavior at the low flow rate at the influent to the reactor was evident. It was also evident that the profile becomes less variable through the reactor, and is observed to be relatively stable at the discharge side of the reactor.

#### Use of Data

The data collected and verified from this testing is multi-variant and provides a flexible and detailed set of information to allow a knowledgeable engineer to design a UV disinfection system for secondary wastewater and water reuse applications. The detailed data presented in the report is a critical component to understanding the following summary.

## **Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

NSF completed a data quality audit of at least 10% of the test data to ensure that the reported data represented the data generated during testing. In addition to QA/QC audits performed by NSF, EPA personnel conducted an audit of NSF's QA Management Program.

	Original signed by			
October 2, 2009	Robert Ferguson	October 23, 2009		
Date	Robert Ferguson	Date		
	Vice President			
National Risk Management Research Laboratory		Water Systems		
Office of Research and Development				
ital Protection Agency				
	Date nt Research Laboratory	October 2, 2009Robert FergusonDateRobert FergusonVice PresidentVice PresidentNSF InternationalNSF International		

NOTICE: Verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and NSF make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of corporate names, trade names, or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use of specific products. This report is not an NSF Certification of the specific product mentioned herein.

REFERENCED DOCUMENTS: The following documents were referenced in this statement:

USEPA: Ultraviolet Disinfection Guidance Manual for the Final Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule, EPA-815-R-06-007, 2006. Office of Water, Washington, DC.

National Water Research Institute (NWRI)/AWWA Research Foundation (AwwaRF): *Ultraviolet Disinfection Guidelines for Drinking Water and Water Reuse*, Second Edition, 2003. Fountain Valley, CA.

#### Availability of Supporting Documents

Copies of the Verification Test Plan for the Siemens Water Technologies V-40R-A150 and HE-2E4-HO Open Channel UV Systems for Reuse and Secondary Effluent Applications (August 2008), the verification statement, and the verification report (NSF Report Number 09/33/WQPC-SWP) are available from:

ETV Water Quality Protection Center Program Manager (hard copy) NSF International P.O. Box 130140 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48113-0140 NSF website: http://www.nsf.org/etv (electronic copy)

EPA website: http://www.epa.gov/etv (electronic copy)

Appendices are not included in the verification report, but are available from NSF upon request.