US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# **Environmental Technology Verification**

# Test Report of Control of Bioaerosols in HVAC Systems

Airflow Products AFP30

Prepared by

Research Triangle Institute



Under a Contract with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency





# THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION PROGRAM





# **ETV Joint Verification Statement**

TECHNOLOGY TYPE: VENTILATION MEDIA AIR FILTER

APPLICATION: FILTRATION EFFICIENCY OF BIOAEROSOLS IN

**HVAC SYSTEMS** 

TECHNOLOGY NAME: AFP30

**COMPANY:** Airflow Products

ADDRESS: 100 Oak Tree Drive PHONE: 815-774-1256

**Selma, NC 27576 FAX:** 

**WEB SITE:** 

E-MAIL: jeff@airflowproducts.net

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has created the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The goal of the ETV Program is to further environmental protection by accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high quality, peer-reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the design, distribution, financing, permitting, purchase, and use of environmental technologies.

ETV works with recognized standards and testing organizations; stakeholder groups which consist of buyers, vendor organizations, permitters, and other interested parties; and with the full participation of individual technology developers. The program evaluates the performance of innovative and improved technologies by developing test plans that are responsive to the needs of stakeholders, conducting field or laboratory tests (as appropriate), collecting and analyzing data, and preparing peer-reviewed reports. All evaluations are conducted in accordance with rigorous quality assurance protocols to ensure that data of known and adequate quality are generated and that the results are defensible.

EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory contracted with the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) to establish a homeland-security-related ETV Program for products that clean ventilation air. RTI evaluated the performance of ventilation air filters used in building heating, ventilation and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems. This verification statement provides a summary of the test results for the Airflow Products AFP30.

## VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

All tests were performed in accordance with RTI's "Test/Quality Assurance Project Plan: Biological Testing of General Ventilation Filters," which was approved by EPA. Tests were performed for the following:

- Bioaerosol filtration efficiency tests of the clean and dust-loaded filter. Three bioaerosols were used in the testing:
  - o The spore form of the bacteria *Bacillus atrophaeus* (BG), a gram-positive spore-forming bacteria elliptically shaped with dimensions of 0.7 to 0.8 by 1 to 1.5  $\mu$ m,
  - o Serratia marcescens, a rod-shaped gram-negative bacteria with a size of 0.5 to 0.8 by 0.9 to 2.0  $\mu$ m, and
  - o The bacterial virus (bacteriophage) MS2 dispersed as a micrometer-sized polydisperse aerosol.
- Inert aerosol filtration efficiency tests consisting of an American National Standards
  Institute (ANSI)/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning
  Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 52.2-1999 type test (0.3 to 10 μm) and extended
  fractional efficiency measurements down to 0.02 μm particle diameter on both clean and
  dust-loaded filter.
- ASHRAE 52.2 test. This test provides filtration efficiency results (average of the minimum composite efficiency) given for three size ranges of particles: E1, 0.3 to 1.0  $\mu$ m; E2, 1.0 to 3.0  $\mu$ m; and E3, 3.0  $\mu$ m to 10  $\mu$ m.

## VERIFIED TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

As shown in Figure 1, the Airflow Products AFP30 is a pleated panel filter with nominal dimensions of 0.61 by 0.61 by 0.06 m (24 by 24 by 2 in.). The media color is blue and frame is cardboard. The Airflow Products product number is AFP 3000-24242.

# **VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE**

Verification testing of the Airflow Products AFP30 began on October 31, 2003 at the test facilities of RTI and was completed on December 2, 2003. The results for the bioaerosol filtration efficiency tests are presented in Table 1 for the clean and dustloaded filter. Table 2 presents the results of the ASHRAE 52.2 test. All tests were conducted at an air flow of 0.93 m3/sec (1970 cfm).



Figure 1. Photograph of the Airflow Products AFP30 media filter.

Table 1. Bioaerosol Filtration Results

		Filtration	Filtration	Filtration
E114 - 0 C - 0 1141 - 0	Pressure Drop	Efficiency for	Efficiency for	Efficiency for
Filter Condition	Pa (in. H <sub>2</sub> O)	Removal of	Removal of	Removal of
		B. atrophaeus, %	S. marcescens, %	MS2 phage, %
Clean	62 (0.25)	25	45	40
Dust loaded	247 (1.00)	83	88	91

Table 2. Summary of ASHRAE 52.2 Test

Filter	E1 0.3 to 1.0 μm,	E2 1.0 to 3.0 μm,	E3 3.0 to 10 μm, %	Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV)
Airflow Products, AFP30	7	40	58	7 at 0.93m <sup>3</sup> /sec (1970 cfm)

The quality assurance officer reviewed the test results and the quality control data and concluded that the data quality objectives given in the approved test/QA plan were attained.

This verification statement addresses two performance measures of media air filters: filtration efficiency and pressure drop. Users of this technology may wish to consider other performance parameters such as service life and cost when selecting a media air filter for bioaerosol control. In accordance with the test/QA plan<sup>1</sup>, this verification statement is valid for 3 years following the last signature added on the verification statement.

Original Signed by E. Timothy Oppelt 3/9/2004
E. Timothy Oppelt Date
Director
National Homeland Security Research Center
Office of Research and Development
United States Environmental Protection Agency

Original Signed by David S. Ensor 2/10/2004
David S. Ensor Date
Director
ETV-HS
Research Triangle Institute

**NOTICE**: ETV verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and RTI make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.

# **Environmental Technology Verification**

# Test Report of Filtration Efficiency of Bioaerosols in HVAC Systems

Airflow Products
AFP30

Prepared by:

Research Triangle Institute Engineering and Technology Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

GS10F0283K-BPA-1, EPA Task Order 1101 RTI Project No. 08787.001

EPA Project Manager:
Theodore G. Brna
Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

February 2004

# **Notice**

This document was prepared by the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) with funding from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through the General Service Administration Contract No. GS10F0283K per EPA's BPA-1, Task Order 1101. The document has undergone RTI's and EPA's peer and administrative reviews and has been approved for publication. Mention of corporation names, trade names, or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use of specific products.

## Foreword

The Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program, established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), is designed to accelerate the development and commercialization of new or improved environmental technologies through third-party verification and reporting of performance. The goal of the ETV Program is to verify the performance of commercially ready environmental technologies through the evaluation of objective and quality-assured data so that potential purchasers and permitters are provided with an independent and credible assessment of the technology that they are buying or permitting.

EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory contracted with the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) to establish a homeland-security related ETV Program for products that clean ventilation air. RTI developed (and EPA approved) the "Test/Quality Assurance Plan for Biological Testing of General Ventilation Filters<sup>1</sup>." The test described in this report was conducted following this plan.

# **Availability of Report**

Copies of this verification report are available from

- Research Triangle Institute
   Engineering and Technology Unit
   PO Box 12194
   Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
   Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division, E305-01
   109 T.W. Alexander Drive
   Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Web site: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/etv/verifications">http://www.epa.gov/etv/verifications</a>

# **Table of Contents**

ETV Joint Verification Statement	S-1
Notice	ii
Foreword	ii
Availability of Report	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Acronyms/Abbreviations	iv
Acknowledgments	v
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Product Description	1
3.0 Test Procedure	1
4.0 Test Results	4
5.0 Limitations and Applications	
6.0 References	
Appendix: ASHRAE 52.2 Test Report	7
Figures	
Figure 1. Photograph of the Airflow Products AFP30	1
Figure 2. Schematic of Test Duct	
Figure 3. Summary of the Inert Aerosol Filtration Efficiency Data for the Clean and	
Dust-Loaded Filter, # 2	5
Figure A-1. Filtration Efficiency and Flow Resistance for Airflow Products AFP30	
Media Filter	10
Tables	
Table 1. Numbers of Filters and Expected Utilization	
Table 2. Bioaerosol Filtration Results for Filter # 2	
Table 3. Summary of Removal Efficiency Using ASHRAE 52.2 Test for Filter # 1	
Table 4. DQOs for Precision of Filtration Efficiency Measurements for Culturable Bioa	aerosol6

# **Acronymns/Abbreviations**

ANSI American National Standards Institute

ASHRAE American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc.

ASME American Society of Mechanical Engineers

B Bacillus

BG Bacillus atrophaeus (formerly B. subtilis var niger and Bacillus globigii)

cfm cubic feet per minute CFU colony forming unit(s)

cm centimeter

 $d_{50}$  cutoff diameter, the aerodynamic diameter above which the collection efficiency

of the sampler approaches 100%

DQO data quality objective

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ETL SEMKO Electrical Testing Laboratories, Svenska Elektriska Materielkontrollanstalten AB

ETV Environmental Technology Verification

F Fahrenheit fpm feet per minute HS homeland security

in. inch(es)

KCl potassium chloride

kPa kilopascal(s) L liter(s)

MERV minimum efficiency reporting value

 $\begin{array}{lll} m & meter(s) \\ mm & millimeter(s) \\ mL & milliliter(s) \\ min & minute(s) \\ \mu m & micrometer(s) \end{array}$ 

NAFA National Air Filtration Association

nm nanometer(s)

OPC optical particle counter
QA quality assurance
QC quality control
Pa pascal(s)

PFU plaque forming unit(s)

psig pounds per square inch gauge RTI Research Triangle Institute

SAE Society of Automotive Engineers SMPS scanning mobility particle sizer

# Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of all of those who helped plan and conduct the verification activities. In particular, we would like to thank Ted Brna, EPA's Project Manager, and Paul Groff, EPA's Quality Assurance Manager, both of EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory in Research Triangle Park, NC. We would also like to acknowledge the assistance and participation of

- our stakeholder group for their input,
- Al Veeck and the National Air Filtration Association (NAFA), and Intertek ETL SEMKO (Electrical Testing Laboratories, Svenska Elektriska Materielkontrollanstalten AB), especially Theresa Peck, for their help in acquiring the filters, and
- Airflow Products for donating the filters to be tested.

For more information on the Airflow Products AFP30 media filter, contact

Jeff Holt Airflow Products 100 Oak Tree Drive Selma, NC 27576

Phone 919-975-0240

Email: jeff@airflowproducts.net

For more information on RTI's ETV program, contact

Debbie Franke Research Triangle Institute PO Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194

Telephone: (919) 541-6826

Email: dlf@rti.org

#### 1.0 Introduction

EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory contracted with the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) to establish a homeland-security related ETV Program for products that clean ventilation air. RTI convened a group of stakeholders representing government and industry with knowledge and interest in the areas of homeland security and building ventilation. The group met in December 2002 and recommended technologies to be tested. RTI then developed (and EPA approved) the "Test/Quality Assurance Plan for Biological Testing of General Ventilation Filters<sup>1</sup>." The first round of tests included ten types of filters. The tests described in

this report were conducted following this plan.

#### 2.0 **Product Description**

As shown in Figure 1, the Airflow Products AFP30 is a pleated panel filter with nominal dimensions of 0.61 by 0.61 by 0.06 m (24 by 24 by 2 in.). The media color is blue and frame is cardboard. The Airflow Products product number is AFP 3000-24242.

#### 3.0 **Test Procedure**

The test program measured the culturable bioaerosol removal efficiency of general ventilation filters. Three tests were required to accomplish this goal. First, the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. (ASHRAE) Standard 52.2<sup>2</sup> test was performed on one filter of the test filter type to determine the minimum efficiency reporting value (MERV) of the filter. ASHRAE designed the



Figure 1. Photograph of the Airflow Products AFP30 Media Filter.

MERV to represent a filter's minimum performance over multiple particle sizes. In general, a higher MERV indicates higher filter efficiency. Most commercial filters and high end home filters are now marketed using the MERV. After determining the MERV, the biological test using three different bioaerosols and an inert aerosol test on both clean and fully dust-loaded filters were performed on a second filter. All tests were at an air flow rate of 0.93 m<sup>3</sup>/sec (1970) cfm) to conform to the conditions described in ASHRAE Standard 52.2.

All testing was performed in a test duct as specified in ASHRAE Standard 52.2. A schematic of the test duct is shown in Figure 2. The test section of the duct is 0.61 m (24 in.) by 0.61 m (24 in.) square. The locations of the major components, including the sampling probes, device section (filter holder), and the aerosol generator (site of aerosol injection) are shown.

The inert testing and the ASHRAE Standard 52.2 test were performed using a solid-phase (i.e., dry) potassium chloride (KCl) aerosol. The filters were loaded using ASHRAE dust, composed of 72% Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) fine, 23% powdered carbon, and 5% cotton linters. The final pressure drop was determined by the Standard's requirements.




Figure 2. Schematic of Test Duct. Filter is placed in device section.

The bioaerosol tests were conducted using three microorganisms, two bacteria and one bacterial virus. The spore form of the bacteria *Bacillus atrophaeus* (formerly *B. subtilis var niger* and *Bacillus globigii* or BG) was used as the simulant for gram-positive spore-forming bacteria. The BG spore is elliptically shaped with dimensions of 0.7 to 0.8 by 1 to 1.5  $\mu$ m. *Serratia marcescens* was used as the surrogate for rod-shaped gram-negative bacteria. *S. marcescens* is 0.5 to 0.8 by 0.9 to 2.0  $\mu$ m.

The bacterial virus (bacteriophage) MS2 (0.02 to 0.03  $\mu$ m), having approximately the same aerosol characteristics as a human virus, was used as a surrogate for the viruses of similar and larger size and shape. Although the individual virus particles are in the submicrometer size range, the test particle size planned for the virus tests will span a range of sizes (polydispersed bioaerosol). This test was not designed to study the removal efficiencies for single individual virus particles; rather, it was designed to determine the removal efficiencies for virus particles as they are commonly found indoors. A representative challenge would be a micrometer-sized, polydispersed aerosol containing the phage because:

- The aerosols created from sneezing and coughing vary in size from < 1 to > 20  $\mu$ m, but the largest particles settle out and only the smaller sizes remain in the air for extended periods for potential removal by an air cleaner;
- Few viruses have been found associated with particles less than 1  $\mu$ m; and
- Nearly all 1 to 2  $\mu$ m particles are deposited in the respiratory tract, while larger particles may not be respired.

Bacteria suspension preparation for the aerosolization process required that the specific test organism be grown in the laboratory and the suspension prepared for aerosol generation in the test rig. The microbial challenge suspensions were prepared by inoculating the test organism on solid or liquid media, incubating the culture until mature, wiping organisms from the surface of the pure culture (if solid media), and eluting them into sterile diluent to a known concentration.

The bacterial virus challenge was prepared by inoculating a logarithmic phase broth culture of the host bacteria with phage and allowing it to multiply until the majority of the host bacteria were lysed. The mixture was centrifuged to remove the majority of the cell fragments. The resultant supernatant was the phage stock and was used as the challenge aerosol. The concentration of the phage stock was approximately  $1 \times 10^9$  or higher plaque forming units per milliliter, (PFU) /mL.

The challenge organism suspensions were aerosolized using a Collison nebulizer (BGI, Waltham, MA) at 103.4 kPa (15 psig) air pressure. The nebulizer generates droplets with an approximate volume mean diameter of 2  $\mu$ m. The nebulizer output stream was mixed with clean, dry air to create the dry aerosolized microbial challenge. The particle diameter after the water evaporates depends on the solids content of the suspension. Particle size was determined by the size of the suspended organism (if singlets).

Upstream and downstream sampling of the bacteria was accomplished using a one-stage Andersen viable bioaerosol sampler. The one-stage Andersen sampler is a 400-hole multiple-jet impactor operating at 28 L/min. The cutoff diameter ( $d_{50}$ ) is 0.65  $\mu$ m.— the aerodynamic diameter above which the collection efficiency of the sampler approaches 100%. After sampling, the petri dishes were removed from the sampler and incubated at appropriate times and temperatures for the test organism being used. Colony forming units (CFUs) were then enumerated and their identity visually confirmed.

The microbial viruses were collected in AGI-30s. The AGI-30 is a high velocity liquid impinger operating at a flow rate of 12.3 to 12.6 L/min. The  $d_{50}$  is approximately 0.3  $\mu$ m. The AGI-30 is the sampler against which the other commonly used bioaerosol samplers are often compared.

For the inert aerosol filtration efficiency measurements, the particle sizing measurements were made with two particle counting instruments: a Climet model 500 spectrometer/optical particle counter (OPC) covering the particle diameter size range from 0.3 to 10  $\mu$ m in 12 particle sizing channels and a TSI scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) to cover the range from 0.03 to 0.5  $\mu$ m. Depending upon the quality of the data from any individual test, the SMPS can sometimes reliably quantify particles even small than 0.03  $\mu$ m, and when this is the case, those smaller sizes are reported here. The ability to quantify sizes smaller than 0.03  $\mu$ m is determined as defined in Table A2 of test/QA plan. According to the test/QA plan, a data control parameter for the SMPS requires that the standard deviation on upstream counts be computed for each efficiency test based on the upstream particle counts and that the standard deviation be less than 0.30 before the data is used. The lower size ranges for the SMPS are included in the verification report only if they meet the data control parameter.

Quality Control (QC) procedures for running the test duct and the measuring equipment are defined in the test/QA plan.

Replicates of the filters to be tested were obtained directly from the vendor's warehouse by Intertek ETL SEMKO – an independent organization recommended by the industry – on October 10, 2003 following the NAFA *Product Certification Program Procedural Guide*<sup>3</sup>. A minimum

of four replicates of the filter device were procured, and were provided to RTI. The four replicates were used as shown in Table 1.

Full details of the test method can be found in RTI's test/QA plan<sup>1</sup>.

Table 1. Numbers of Filters and Expected Utilization

Tests		Filter #					
	1	2	3	4			
ASHRAE Standard 52.2 test	X						
Initial efficiency for an inert aerosol		X					
Initial efficiency for three bioaerosols		X					
Dust load to final pressure drop with ASHRAE dust		X					
Efficiency for inert aerosol after dust-loading		X					
Efficiency for three bioaerosols after dust-loading		X					
Reserve filter <sup>a</sup>			X	X			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Filters # 3 and # 4 have been kept in reserve to be used if needed.

# 4.0 Test Results

The bioaerosol filtration efficiency results are found in Table 2.

Table 2. Bioaerosol Filtration Results for Filter # 2

Filter Condition	Pressure Drop Pa (in. H <sub>2</sub> O)	Filtration Efficiency for Removal of B. atrophaeus, %	Filtration Efficiency for Removal of S. marcescens, %	Filtration Efficiency for Removal of MS2 phage, %
Clean	62 (0.25)	25	45	40
Dust-loaded	247 (1.00)	83	88	91

The ASHRAE filtration efficiencies and the MERV are shown in Table 3. The filtration efficiencies (average of the minimum composite efficiency) are presented by particle size groupings: E1, 0.3 to 1.0  $\mu$ m; E2, 1.0 to 3.0  $\mu$ m; and E3, 3.0  $\mu$ m to 10  $\mu$ m. The full ASHRAE 52.2 test results are provided in the Appendix.

The filtration efficiency for inert particles is plotted so that the efficiencies for particles from about 0.03 to 10  $\mu$ m can be observed (Figure 3). Note that this is a logarithmic (base 10) scale on the X axis. Two instruments were used to obtain the measurements. The SMPS was used to measure particles up to 0.5  $\mu$ m and the OPC was used for particles from 0.3 to 10  $\mu$ m. There is good agreement in the size range covered by both instruments. These measurements were made on a filter when clean and then when dust-loaded.

Table 3. Summary of Removal Efficiency Using ASHRAE 52.2 Test for Filter # 1

Filter	E1 0.3 to 1.0 μm,	E2 1.0 to 3.0 μm,	E3 3.0 to 10 μm,	MERV
Airflow Products, AFP30	7	40	58	7 at 0.93m <sup>3</sup> /sec (1970 cfm)




Figure 3. Summary of the Inert Aerosol Filtration Efficiency Data for the Clean and Dust-Loaded Filter, # 2.

The quality assurance officer has reviewed the test results and the quality control data and has concluded that the data quality objectives (DQOs) (Table 4) given in the approved test/QA plan have been attained.

Table 4. DQOs for Precision of Filtration Efficiency Measurements for Culturable Bioaerosol

		Test organism	
Data quality objective	Spore-forming bacteria	Vegetative bacteria	Bacterial virus
	(B. atrophaeus)	(S. marcescens)	(MS2 phage)
Precision of filtration efficiency, %	± 8 <sup>a</sup>	± 11 <sup>a</sup>	± 13 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Based on  $\pm$  one standard deviation of penetration computed from the coefficient of variance upstream and downstream culturable counts.

# **5.0** Limitations and Applications

This verification report addresses two performance measures of media air filters: filtration efficiency and pressure drop. Users may wish to consider other performance parameters such as service life and cost when selecting a general ventilation air filter for their application.

In accordance with the test/QA plan<sup>1</sup>, this verification statement is valid for 3 years following the last signature added on the verification statement.

# 6.0 References

- 1. RTI. 2003. *Test/QA Plan for Biological Testing of General Ventilation Filters*. Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC.
- 2. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 52.2-1999, *Method of Testing General Ventilation Air-Cleaning Devices*, American National Standards Institute/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Atlanta, GA.
- 3. NAFA (National Air Filtration Association). 2001. *Product Certification Program Procedural Guide* Approved Version 1, Second Revision, February 2001. Virginia Beach, VA.

Appendix: ASHRAE 52.2 Test Report

For Airflow Products AFP30

# **ASHRAE 52.2 TEST REPORT**

**Manufacturer:** Airflow Products

Product Name: AFP30 ETV ID Number: AIR1-A

RTI Report No. AY11050301


Test Laboratory: RTI 919-541-6941

# **US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT**

# ASHRAE Std. 52.2 Air Cleaner Performance Report Summary

	This report ap	plies to th	e tested dev	rice only.		
Laboratory Data						
RTI Report No.	AY11050301			Date	05-Nov-03	
Test Laboratory	Research Tria	ıngle Insti	tute	<del>_</del>		•
Operator	Link			- Supervisor	Owen/Hanley	
Particle Counter(s):		Climet	_	Model	500	•
Device Manufacturer's Data						
Manufacturer	Airflow Produc	cts		<u>_</u>		
Product Name	AFP30			<u>_</u>		
Product Model	AFP 30			<u>_</u>		
Test requested by	EPA / ETV			<u>_</u>		
Sample obtained from	NAFA			<u>_</u>		
Catalog rating:	Airflow rate		NA	Initia	al dP (in. wg)	NA
Specified test conditions:	Airflow (cfm)		1970	_ Fina	I dP (in. wg)	1.00
	Face Velocity	(fpm)	493	_		
Device Description						
Nominal Dimensions (in.):	24 x 24 x 2		(height x v	vidth x depth)	1	
Generic name	pleated panel			Media colo	or blue	
Amount and type of adhesive	NA					
Other attributes	28 pleats					
Test Conditions						
Airflow (cfm)	1970	Tempe	erature (F)	74	_ RH (%)	50
Face Velocity (fpm)	493	Final F	ressure Dro	p (in. wg)	1.00	
Test aerosol type:	KCI					
Remarks						
Resistance Test Results						
Initial resistance (in. wg)	0.24		Final resis	tance (in. wg	) 1.00	
Minimum Efficiency Reporting D	ata					
Composite average efficiencies	E1_	7	E2	2 40	_ E3	58
Air cleaner average Arrestance per	Std 52.1:		NA	<u> </u>		
Minimum efficiency reporting value	(MERV) for the o	device:		7	@ 1970	cfm

# Report No. AY11050301 Research Triangle Institute






Figure A-1. Filtration Efficiency and Flow Resistance Curves for Airflow Products AFP30 Filter.

# TABULATED DATA SUMMARY Report No. AY11050301 Research Triangle Institute

**Summary of Test Conditions:** 

Product Manufacturer Airflow Products

Product Name AFP30
Nominal Dimensions (in.) 24 x 24 x 2
Airflow (cfm) 1970
Final Resistance (in. H2O) 1.00

# Efficiency (%) per Indicated Size Range

		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0.3	0.4	0.55	0.7	1	1.3	1.6	2.2	3	4	5.5	7
0.4	0.55	0.7	1	1.3	1.6	2.2	3	4	5.5	7	10
0.35	0.47	0.62	0.84	1.14	1.44	1.88	2.57	3.46	4.69	6.20	8.37
0302 3	4	7	15	27	36	46	51	55	59	59	61
0301 10	8	17	31	51	62	76	82	86	91	96	97
0302 21	22	34	49	70	82	89	93	96	97	99	99
0303 25	29	46	65	81	88	94	96	97	98	100	100
0304 31	39	55	73	87	92	97	97	98	98	99	99
0301 32	48	65	81	91	95	97	98	98	100	100	100
(%) 3	4	7	15	27	36	46	51	55	59	59	61
5 6 6 7	0.4 0.35 0. 50302 3 60301 10 60302 21 60303 25 60304 31	0.4 0.55 0.35 0.47 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5	0.4 0.55 0.7 0.35 0.47 0.62 0. 50302 3 4 7 60301 10 8 17 60302 21 22 34 60303 25 29 46 60304 31 39 55 70301 32 48 65	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 0.5 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 0.5 0.5 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 1.6 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.44 1.44 1.45 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.6	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 1.6 2.2 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 1.80 1.14 1.44 1.88 1.14 1.44 1.88 1.80 1.14 1.44 1.88 1.14 1.14	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 1.6 2.2 3 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 1.6 2.2 3 4 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 0.302 3 4 7 15 27 36 46 51 55 60301 10 8 17 31 51 62 76 82 86 60302 21 22 34 49 70 82 89 93 96 60303 25 29 46 65 81 88 94 96 97 60304 31 39 55 73 87 92 97 97 98 98 70301 32 48 65 81 91 95 97 98 98	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 1.6 2.2 3 4 5.5 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69  0.  50302 3 4 7 15 27 36 46 51 55 59 60301 10 8 17 31 51 62 76 82 86 91 60302 21 22 34 49 70 82 89 93 96 97 60303 25 29 46 65 81 88 94 96 97 98 60304 31 39 55 73 87 92 97 97 98 98 70301 32 48 65 81 91 95 97 98 98 100	0.4 0.55 0.7 1 1.3 1.6 2.2 3 4 5.5 7 0.35 0.47 0.62 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.44 1.88 2.57 3.46 4.69 6.20 0.84 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.14 1.18 1.14 1.14

E1 = 7 (E1 is the average of the minimum composite efficiency values for particle diameters from 0.3 to 1  $\mu$ m.) E2 = 40 (E2 is the average of the minimum composite efficiency values for particle diameters from 1 to 3  $\mu$ m.)

E3 =  $\frac{58}{100}$  (E3 is the average of the minimum composite efficiency values for particle diameters from 3 to 10  $\mu$ m.)

MERV: 7

# Resistance to Airflow for Clean Filter:

Airflow (%)	Airflow (m3/s)	Airflow (cfm)	Air Velocity (fpm)	Air Velocity (m/s)	Resistance (in. H2O)	Resistance (Pa)
50	0.465	985	246	1.251	0.08	20
75	0.697	1478	369	1.876	0.15	37
100	0.930	1970	493	2.502	0.24	58
125	1.162	2463	616	3.127	0.34	85

# Resistance to Airflow with Loading at 0.93 m3/s (1970 cfm)

	Resistance (in. H2O)	Resistance (Pa)
Initial	0.24	58
After first dust load	0.28	68
After second dust load	0.43	106
After third dust load	0.62	154
After fourth dust load	0.81	201
After fifth dust load	1.00	249
After first dust load After second dust load After third dust load After fourth dust load	0.28 0.43 0.62 0.81	68 106 154 201

Weight Gain of filter after completion of dust loading steps

82.5 g