

Environmental Technology Verification Report

Baghouse Filtration Products

Tetratec PTFE Technologies Tetratex_® 8005

Prepared by

ETS, Incorporated



Research Triangle Institute

Under a Cooperative Agreement with





Environmental Technology Verification Report

Baghouse Filtration Products

Tetratec PTFE Technologies Tetratex® 8005 Filter Sample

Prepared by

Pete Brown John Mycock Air Pollution Control Technology Program ETS, Incorporated 1401 Municipal Road Roanoke, VA 24012

EPA Cooperative Agreement CR 826152-01-2

EPA Project Manager: Theodore G. Brna Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division National Risk Management Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

September 2000

Notice

This document was prepared by ETS, Inc. (ETS) under a contract with Research Triangle Institute (RTI) with funding from Cooperative Agreement No. CR826152-01-2 with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The document has been subjected to RTI/EPA's peer and administrative reviews and has been approved for publication. Mention of corporation names, trade names, or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use of specific products.

Availability of Verification Statement and Report Copies of the public Verification Statement and Verification Report are available from the following: 1. Research Triangle Institute P.O. Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194 Web site: http://etv.rti.org/apct/index.html or http://etv.rti.org/apct/index.html OXEPA / APPCD MD-4 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Web site: <u>http://www.epa.gov/etv/library.htm</u> (electronic copy) <u>http://www.epa.gov/ncepihom/</u>

Abstract

Baghouse filtration products (BFPs) were evaluated by the Air Pollution Control Technology (APCT) pilot of the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program. The performance factor verified was the mean outlet particle concentration for the filter fabric as a function of the size for particles equal to and smaller than 2.5 μ m in aerodynamic diameter (PM 2.5). The ETV APCT Pilot Program developed a generic verification protocol for testing baghouse filtration products that is based on a modified VDI Method 3926. The protocol was developed by RTI and ETS, reviewed by a technical panel of experts, and approved by EPA. The protocol addresses several issues that VDI Method 3926 does not cover, including periodic testing, acquisition of BFP samples for testing, and product definition. A Test/Quality Assurance Plan and a Standard Operating Procedure were prepared to address the test procedure and quality assurance and quality control requirements for obtaining verification data of sufficient quantity and quality to satisfy the data quality objectives.

ETS performed tests on Tetratex® 8005 filter samples during the period of March 16-23, 2000. Mean outlet particle concentrations for total mass and PM 2.5 were determined. In addition, the following verification parameters were measured and reported: residual pressure drop increase, average residual pressure drop, average filtration cycle time, and mass gain of the filter sample.

Table of Contents

	Page
Verification S	Statement
Notice	vii
Availability of	of Verification Statement and Report viii
Abstract	ix
List of Figure	es xi
List of Tables	s xi
List of Abbre	viations and Acronyms xii
Acknowledge	ments xiv
Section 1.	Introduction
Section 2. 2.1.	Verification Test Description1Selection of Filtration Sample for Testing2
Section 3.	Description of Filter Fabric 2
Section 4. 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	Verification of Performance3Quality Assurance3Results3Limitations and Applications4
Section 5.	References
Appendix A.	Description of the Test Rig and the Methodology A-1
Appendix B.	Certificates of Calibration B-1
Appendix C.	Verification Testing Sheets

List of Figures

		Page
Figure 1.	Photograph of the Tetratex® 8005 filter fabric	iv
Figure A-1.	Diagram of FEMA Test Apparatus	A-5
Figure C-1	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-1	C-8
Figure C-2	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-1	C-9
Figure C-3	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-2 .	C-13
Figure C-4	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-2	C-14
Figure C-5	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-3 .	C-18
Figure C-6	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-3	C-19
Figure C-7	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-4.	C-23
Figure C-8	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-4	C-24

List of Tables

Table 1. Test Conditions	iii
Table 2. Baghouse Filtration Product Test Results	iv
Table 3. Summary of Verification Results	3
Table A-1. Summary of Control Test Results A	4-3

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

APCT	Air Pollution Control Technology
APPCD	Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division
BFP	baghouse filtration product
cfm	cubic feet per minute
cm	centimeters
cm w.g.	centimeters of water gauge
DH	orifice pressure drop
Dia.	diameter
DP	pressure drop
DQO	data quality objective
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ETV	Environmental Technology Verification
FEMA	Filtration Efficiency Media Analyzer
fpm	feet per minute
ft ³	cubic feet
g	grams
G/C	gas-to-cloth ratio (filtration velocity)
gr	grains
gr/dscf	grains per dry standard cubic foot
g/dscm	grams per dry standard cubic meter
g/h	grams per hour
g/m^2	grams per square meter
h	hours
in.	inches
in. w.g.	inches of water gauge
m	meters
mbar	millibars
m/h	meters per hour
m³/h	cubic meters per hour
mm	millimeters

MPa	megapascals
ms	milliseconds
NA	not applicable
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
oz/yd ²	ounces per square yard
Pa	pascals
PM	particulate matter
PM 2.5	particulate matter 2.5 micrometers or smaller in aerodynamic diameter
psi	pounds per square inch
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
RTI	Research Triangle Institute
S	seconds
scf	standard cubic feet
scfm	standard cubic feet per minute
VDI	Verein Deutscher Ingenieure
μg	micrograms
μm	micrometers
°C	degrees Celsius
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
°R	degrees Rankine

Acknowledgments

ETS acknowledges the support of all those who helped plan and conduct the verification activities. In particular, we would like to thank Ted Brna, EPA's Project Manager, and Paul Groff, EPA's Quality Assurance Manager, both of EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory in Research Triangle Park, NC. Finally, we would like to acknowledge the assistance and participation of Robert Pannepacker of Tetratec PTFE Technologies.

For more information on Baghouse Filtration Products Verification Testing, contact

John Mycock ETS, Inc. 1401 Municipal Road Roanoke, VA 24012 (540) 265-0004 jcm@etsi-inc.com

For more information on Tetratex® 8005 baghouse fabric, contact

Robert Pannepacker Tetratec PTFE Technologies 1741 Loretta Avenue Feasterville, PA 19053 (215) 355-7111 rpennepa@mail.donaldson.com

James Griffin Tetratec PTFE Technologies 1741 Loretta Avenue Feasterville, PA 19053 (215) 355-7111 jgriffin@mail.donaldson.com

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has created the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved technologies through performance verification and information dissemination. The ETV Program is intended to assist and inform those involved in the design, distribution, permitting, and purchase of environmental technologies.

The U.S. EPA's partner in the Air Pollution Control Technology (APCT) program is Research Triangle Institute (RTI). The APCT program, with the full participation of the technology developer, develops plans, conducts tests, collects and analyzes data, and reports findings. The evaluations are conducted according to a rigorous protocol and quality assurance and quality control oversight. The APCT Program verifies the performance of commercial-ready technologies used to control air pollutant emissions, with an emphasis on technologies for controlling particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and hazardous air pollutants. The program develops standardized verification protocols and test plans, conducts independent testing of technologies, and prepares verification test reports and statements for broad dissemination.

SECTION 2 VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

The baghouse filtration products were tested in accordance with the APCT "Generic Verification Protocol for Baghouse Filtration Products"¹ and the "Test/QA Plan for the Verification Testing of Baghouse Filtration Products."² This protocol incorporated all requirements for quality management, quality assurance, procedures for product selection, auditing of the test laboratories, and reporting format. The protocol is based on and describes modifications to the equipment and procedures described in Verein Deutscher Ingenieure (VDI 3926, Part 2), "Testing of Filter Media for Cleanable Filters Under Operational Conditions." The Generic Verification Protocol describes the overall procedures to be used for verification testing and defines the data quality objectives. The Test/QA Plan details how the test laboratory (ETS) will implement and meet the requirements of the Generic Verification Protocol.

Mean outlet particle concentration was determined from the Filtration Efficiency Media Analyzer (FEMA) test apparatus. The test apparatus consists of a brush-type dust feeder that disperses test dust into a vertical rectangular duct (raw-gas channel). A radioactive polonium-210 alpha source is used to neutralize the dust electrically before its entry into the raw-gas channel. A portion of the gas flow is extracted from the raw-gas channel through the test filter, which is mounted vertically at the entrance to a horizontal duct (clean-gas channel). The clean-gas flow is separated using an aerodynamic "Y" so that a representative sample of the clean gas flows through an Andersen impactor that determines the outlet particle concentration.

The particle size was measured while a fine dust was injected into the air stream upstream of the filter fabric sample.

The following series of tests was performed on three separate randomly selected filter fabric samples:

- Dust characterization (first sample fabric verification test only),
- Conditioning period,
- Recovery period, and
- Performance test period.

To simulate long-term operation, the test filter was first subjected to a conditioning period, which consists of 10,000 rapid pulse cleaning cycles under continuous dust loading. During this period, the time between cleaning pulses is maintained at 3 seconds. No filter performance parameters are measured in this period.

The conditioning period is immediately followed by a recovery period, which allows the test filter fabric to recover from rapid pulsing. The recovery period consists of 30 normal filtration cycles under continuous and constant dust loading. During a normal filtration cycle, the dust cake is allowed to form on the test filter until a differential pressure of 1,000 Pa (4.0 in. w.g.) is reached. At this point the test filter is cleaned by a pulse of compressed air from the clean-gas side of the fabric. The next filtration cycle begins immediately after the cleaning is complete.

Performance testing occurs for a 6-hour period immediately following the recovery period (a cumulative total of 10,030 filtration cycles after the test filter has been installed in the test apparatus). During the performance test period, normal filtration cycles are maintained and, as in the case of the conditioning and recovery periods, the test filter is subjected to continuous and constant dust loading.

The filtration velocity (G/C) and inlet dust concentrations are maintained at 180 \pm 9 m/h (9.8 \pm 0.5 fpm) and 18.4 \pm 3.6 g/dscm (8.0 \pm 1.6 gr/dscf), respectively, throughout all phases of the test.

Additional details on the test procedure are provided in Appendix A.

2.1 SELECTION OF FILTRATION SAMPLE FOR TESTING

The samples of Tetratex® 8005 filter fabric were supplied to ETS directly from the manufacturer (Tetratec PTFE Technologies) with a letter signed by James Griffin, Product Manager, Tetratec PTFE Technologies, attesting that the filter media were selected at random in an unbiased manner from commercial grade media and have not been treated in any manner different from the media provided to customers. The manufacturer supplied the test laboratory with nine 46 by 91 cm (18 by 36 in.) filter samples. The test laboratory randomly selected three samples and prepared them for testing by cutting one test specimen of 150 mm (5.9 in.) diameter from each selected sample for insertion in the test rig sample holder. The sample holder has an opening of 140 mm (5.5 in.) in diameter, which is the dimension that is used to calculate the face area of the tested specimen.

SECTION 3 DESCRIPTION OF FILTER FABRIC

The Tetratex 8005 filter fabric is a 16 oz/yd² polyester scrim-supported needlefelt with a Tetratex expanded PTFE membrane.

SECTION 4 VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

4.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE

The verification tests were conducted in accordance with an approved Test/Quality Assurance (QA) Plan.² The EPA Quality Manager conducted an independent assessment of the test laboratory in February 2000 and found that the test laboratory was equipped and being operated as specified in the Test/QA Plan. The ETS Quality Assurance Officer and APCT Quality Assurance staff have reviewed the results of this test and have found that the results meet data quality objectives in the Test/QA Plan. Certificates of Calibration for the flow meters, flow transducers, weights, high resolution balance, thermometer, and humidity logger are provided in Appendix B.

4.2 **RESULTS**

Table 3 summarizes the mean outlet particle concentration measurements for the verification test periods. Measurements were conducted during the 6-h performance test period. The performance test period followed a 10,000 cycle conditioning period and a 30 cycle recovery period. Upstream and downstream particle concentration information for each verification test period is provided in Appendix C.

The average residual pressure drop across each filter sample at the nominal 180 m/h (9.8 fpm) filtration velocity [for a flowrate of $5.8 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} (3.4 \text{ cfm})$] is also shown in Table 3. This pressure drop ranged from 7.77 to 9.08 cm w.g. (3.06 to 3.57 in. w.g.) for the four filter samples tested. The residual pressure drop increase ranged from 0.91 to 1.44 cm w.g. (0.36 to 0.57 in. w.g.) for the samples tested.

During the performance test period of the third verification test (V008-3) the FEMA test apparatus computer program malfunctioned after 249 filtration cycles. Because of this occurrence, a fourth verification test was performed. Since the data prior to the malfunction are credible, they were included with the verification results.

Test Run Number	V008-1	V008-2	V008-3	V008-4	Average
PM 2.5 (g/dscm)*	0.00002	0.00004	0.00004	0.00010	0.00005
Total PM (g/dscm)	0.00003	0.00008	0.00007	0.00031	0.00012
Average Residual Pressure Drop (cm w.g.)	9.08	8.85	8.13	7.77	8.46
Residual Pressure Drop Increase (cm w.g.)	1.14	1.44	0.91	1.15	1.16
Mass Gain of Sample Filter (g)	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.12	0.16
Average Filtration Cycle Time (s)	10	11	16	22	15

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF VERIFICATION RESULTS FORTETRATEX® 8005

*Standard conditions: 101.3 kPa (14.7 psia) and 20 °C (68 °F).

4.3 LIMITATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

This verification report addresses two aspects of baghouse filtration product performance: outlet particle concentration and pressure drop. Users may wish to consider other performance parameters such as service life and cost when selecting a baghouse filtration fabric for their application.

In accordance with the generic verification protocol, this Verification Statement is applicable to baghouse filtration products manufactured between [*Date will be added after verification statement is signed and it is placed on the web.*] of the Verification Statement and 3 years thereafter.

SECTION 5 REFERENCES

- 1. Generic Verification Protocol for Baghouse Filtration Products, Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC, February 2000. Available at the Website <u>http://etv.rti.org/apct/pdf/baghouseprotocol.pdf</u>.
- 2. Test/QA Plan for the Verification Testing of Baghouse Filtration Products, ETS, Incorporated, Roanoke, VA, February 1999. (Appendix C of this document is a standard operating procedure.)

Appendix A

DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST RIG AND THE METHODOLOGY

DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST RIG AND METHODOLOGY

TEST APPARATUS

The tests were conducted in ETS' FEMA test apparatus (Figure A-1). The test apparatus consists of a brush-type dust feeder that disperses test dust into a vertical rectangular duct (raw-gas channel). The dust feed rate is continuously measured and recorded via an electronic scale located beneath the dust feed mechanism. The scale has a continuous readout with a resolution of 10 g. A radioactive polonium-210 alpha source is used to neutralize the dust electrically before its entry into the raw-gas channel. An optical photo sensor monitors the concentration of dust and ensures that the flow is stable for the entire duration of the test. The optical photo sensor does not measure concentration. A portion of the gas flow is extracted from the raw-gas channel through the test filter, which is mounted vertically at the entrance to a horizontal duct (clean-gas channel). The clean-gas channel flow is separated in two gas streams, a sample stream and a bypass stream. An aerodynamic "Y" is used for this purpose. The aerodynamic "Y" is designed for isokinetic separation of the clean gas with 40 percent of the clean gas entering the sample-gas channel without change in gas velocity. The sample-gas channel contains an Andersen impactor for particle separation and measurement. The bypass channel contains an absolute filter. The flow within the two segments of the "Y" is continuously monitored and maintained at selected rates by adjustable valves. Two vacuum pumps maintain air flow through the raw-gas and clean-gas channels. The flow rates, and thus the G/C through the test filter, are kept constant and measured using mass flow controllers. A pressure transducer is used to measure the average residual pressure drop of the filter sample. The pressure transducer measures the differential pressure across the filter samples 3 seconds after the cleaning pulse. The pressure drop measurements are averaged as stated in Appendix C, SOP, section 4.4.1.¹ High-Efficiency filters are installed upstream of the flow controllers and pumps to prevent contamination or damage caused by the dust. The cleaning system consists of a compressed-air tank set at 0.52 MPa (75 psi), a quick-action diaphragm valve, and a blow tube (25.4 mm [1.0 in.] dia.) with a nozzle (3 mm [0.12 in.] dia.) facing the downstream side of the test filter.

CONTROL TESTS

Two types of control tests were performed during the verification test series. The first was a dust characterization, which was performed at the beginning of the first verification test. The reference dust that was used during the verification tests was Pural NF aluminum oxide dust. The Pural NF dust was oven dried for 2 h and sealed in an airtight container prior to its insertion into the FEMA apparatus. The dust characterization results had to meet the requirements of $1.0 \pm 0.5 \,\mu$ m mass mean diameter and $76 \pm 10 \,\%$ less than 2.5 μ m to continue the verification test series.

The second control test that was performed was the reference value test. The reference value test is performed quarterly using the reference fabric and the FEMA apparatus. The reference value test determines the weight gain of the reference fabric as well as the maximum pressure drop. The results of the test verify that the FEMA apparatus is operating within the required parameters. The reference value test measurements must meet the following requirements of weight gain of reference fabric equal to 0.93 ± 0.09 g and a reference fabric maximum pressure drop of 1.84 ± 0.18 cm w.g. to proceed with verification testing.

The results of the control tests are summarized in Table A-1.

TABLE A-1. SUMMARY OF CONTROL TEST RESULTS

	Requirement	Measured Value	Met Requirements?
Mass Mean Diameter, µm	1.0 ± 0.5	1.07	Yes
% Less than 2.5 µm	76 ± 10	74	Yes
Weight Gain, g	0.93 ± 0.09	0.84	Yes
Maximum Pressure Drop,	1.84 ± 0.18	1.71	Yes
cm w.g.			

Analysis

The equations that were used for verification analysis are described below.

Ac	=	Exposed area of sample filter, m ²
$egin{array}{c} A_{\mathrm{f}} \ C_{\mathrm{ds}} \end{array}$	=	Dry standard outlet particulate concentration of total mass, g/dscm
C _{2.5ds}	=	Dry standard outlet particulate concentration of PM 2.5, g/dscm
d	=	Diameter of exposed area of sample filter, m
F _a	=	Dust feed concentration corrected for actual conditions, g/m^3
F _s	=	Dust feed concentration corrected for standard conditions, g/dscm
G/C	=	Gas-to-cloth ratio, m/h
M _t	=	Total mass gain from Andersen Impactor, g
M _{2.5}	=	Total mass gain of particles equal to or less than 2.5 μ m diameter from Andersen Impactor, g. This value
11-2.5		may need to be linearly interpolated from test data.
Ν	=	Number of filtration cycles in a given performance test period
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{avg}}$	=	Average residual pressure drop, cm w.g.
Pi	=	Residual pressure drop for ith filtration cycle, cm w.g.
\mathbf{P}_{s}	=	Absolute gas pressure as measured in the raw gas channel, mbar
$\vec{Q_a}$	=	Actual gas flow rate, m ³ /h
Q_{ds}	=	Dry standard gas flow rate, dscmh
$Q_{2.5ds}$	=	Dry standard gas flow rate for 2.5 μ m particles, dscmh
Q _{st}	=	Standard gas flow rate for a specific averaging time, t, dscmh
t	=	Specified averaging time or sampling time, s
t _c	=	Average filtration cycle time, s
Ť,	=	Raw gas channel temperature, °F
W _f	=	Weight of dust in feed hopper following specified time, g. Because of vibrations causing short-term
		fluctuations to the feed hopper, it is recommended that this value be measured as a 1-min average.
Wi	=	Weight of dust in feed hopper at the beginning of the specified time, g. Because of vibrations causing short-
-		term fluctuations to the feed hopper, it is recommended that this value be measured as a 1-min average.
~		
Conve	rsio	n factors and standard values used in the equations are listed below.

460 = 0 °F, in °R 1013 = Standard atmospheric pressure , mbar 528 = Standard temperature, °R Area of Sample Fabric - A_f $A_f = (\pi * d^2)/4$

Actual Gas Flow Rate - Q_a $Q_a = Q_{ds} * \left[\frac{(T_s + 460) * 1013}{P_s * 528} \right]$

Gas-to-Cloth Ratio - G/C G/C = Q_a / A_f

Standard Dust Feed Concentration - $F_{s},$ for a specified time – t F_{s} = $(w_{i}-w_{f})$ / (Q_{st} * t)

Actual Raw Gas Dust Concentration - F_a $F_a = F_s * \left[\frac{(T_s + 460) * 1013}{P_s * 528} \right]$

Dry Standard Clean Gas Particulate Concentration, Total Mass – C_{ds} C_{ds} = $~M_t$ / [Q_{ds} * t * (1 - $\%H_2O\!/100)$]

Dry Standard Clean Gas Particulate Concentration, PM-2.5 - $C_{2.5ds}$ $C_{2.5ds}$ = $\,M_{2.5}$ / [$Q_{2.5ds}$ * t * (1 - $\%H_2O/100)$]

Filtration Cycle Time - $t_{\rm c}$ $t_{\rm c} = t/N$

Average Residual Pressure Drop - P_{avg} $P_{avg} = \Sigma P/N$

REFERENCES

1. Test/QA Plan for the Verification Testing of Baghouse Filtration Products, ETS, Incorporated, Roanoke, VA, February 1999.




Figure A-1. Diagram of FEMA Test Apparatus

Appendix B

CERTIFICATES OF CALIBRATION

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Measurement Controls, Inc.

107 Center Lane P.O. Box 997 Huntersville, NC 28070

> Telephone (704) 875-2034 Fax (704) 875-3480

June 8, 1999 ETS, INC. Attn: Bill Hayes RE-CERTIFICATION OF CALIBRATION

ROCKWELL S-275 # 009548

VOLUME	Y	AVE. Y
1.9980	1.00002	
1.9980	1.00002	
1.9970	1.00052	1.0002
1.9960	.99931	
1.9970	.99881	
1.9960	.99931	.9991
1.9920	1.0006	
1.9940	.99958	
1.9930	1.0001	1.0001
	1.9980 1.9980 1.9970 1.9970 1.9970 1.9960 1.9960 1.9920 1.9940	1.9980 1.00002 1.9980 1.00002 1.9970 1.00052 1.9960 .99931 1.9970 .99881 1.9960 .99931 1.9960 .99931 1.9960 .99933 1.9960 .99933 1.9960 .99933

OVERALL AVERAGE Y=

.9998

Calibration performed on American Bell Prover # 2989, certification dated 10-23-95, certified to 0.00% Error and traceable to the N.I.S.T.

By Measurement Controls, Inc.

Larry B. Lane

Data Sheet - 5 Point Positive Pressure Calibration Data Sheet Teledyne Electronic Technologies Hastings Instruments

11/1/99

Custom	ier:	к	LAUS S	CHAEF	ERGI	MBH		Flow Trai Modei: S/N:					
Range:		0 TO 100.0 SLPM OF AIR @ 0°C						Laminar Model: S/N:	Flow Ele	ment			
TET-HI	No.:	202085				сн		FS mv:	1.084				
Ref. Sta	ndard	F	Ref. Std I	Docume	ntation		Flow Unit	Correctio	n Factors		Std. Cor	ditions	
CDR# C0= C1= C2= C3= C4= C5=	648 -0.0146919 32.124769 -0.726126 0.04774077 -0.0048432 0.00021761	Thermon Manor Baror	meter: C meter: C	DR-	63 703 772 772 648	Cal Due 11/4/99 7/20/00 5/16/00 5/16/00	Type Gas Units Temp Other Ktot=	From Air SLPM 0°C	SLPM 0.0°C	K 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	T P V	0°C 760 181.2	mmHg µp
Refere	nce Indica	ation	Pres/	Temp∧	isc. Fa	ictor	Ref.	Flow		Indicate	d Flow	Devia	tion
Mano "H ₂ O	Temp ⁰ C	Pres mmHg	KI .	Кр	Kv	Ktpv	Flow ALPM	Flow SLPM Air	Flow SLPM AIR	Flow Volts	Fiow SLPM AIR	%FS	%PT
3.59 2.80	22.5 22.4	772 772	0.924 0.924	1.020 1.019	0,993 0,993	0.936 0.936	85.1	79.6	100.58 79.65	4.000	100.0 80.0	-0.6% 0.3%	-0.6% 0.4%
2.06 1.35 0.67	22.4 22.5 22.5	772 772 772	0.924 0.924 0.924	1.018 1.017 1.017	0.993 0.993 0.993	0.935 0.934 0.933	i 42.1 i 21.0	39.3 19.6	59.29 39.30 19.63	2,000 1,000	60.0 40.0 20.0	0.7% 0.7% 0.4%	1.2% 1.7% 1.9%
0.00	22.5	772	0.924	1.016	0.993	0.932	2 0.0) 0.0	-0.01	0.000	0.0	0.0%	

Calibration Performed By: CH

Calibration Date: 10/30/99

Recommended recalibration due date by: 10/31/00

All Calibrations are in compliance with MIL-Std-45662A

All instruments are calibrated with standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Data Sheet - 5 Point **Positive Pressure Calibration Data Sheet** Teledyne Electronic Technologies Hastings Instruments

4/7/99

Custon	ner:	ĸ	LAUS	SCHAEF	ER GM	IBH		Model:	ansducer HFC-203 119148				
Range:		0	0 TO 200 SLPM OF AIR					Laminar Flow Element Model: S/N:					
TET-HI	No.:	20	01 547					FS mv:	0.861				
Ref. Sta	ndard	R	ef. Std	Docume	ntation	Flow	Unit Corre	ection Fac	tors		Std. Cond	itions	
						Туре	From	То	K				
CDR#	650;	0	DMM:	CDR-	407	Gas	Air	AIR	1.0000	т	0°C		
		Thermon	neter:	CDR-	509	Units	SLPM	SLPM	1.0000	P	760	mmHg	
C0=	-0.0035382	Manon	neter:	CDR-	714	Temp	၀ိင	0.0°C	1.0000	v	181.2	uo	
C1=	62.277749	Baron			714	Other			1.0000	-		FF	
C2=	-1.7904816					Ktot=			1.0000				
C3=	0.12004571											•	
C4=	-0.0055349												
C5=	5.2275E-05												
Refere	nce Indica	tion	Pres	/Temp/	visc. Fa	ictor	Ref.	Flow		Indicate	d Flow	Dev	viation
Mano	Temp	Pres					Flow	Flow	Flow	Flow	Flow		
"H ₂ O	°c	mmHg	ĸŧ	Кр	Kv	Ktov	ALPM	SLPM	SLPM	Volts	SLPM	%FS	%PT
								Air	AIR		AIR		<i></i>
3.82	23.1	765	0.922	1.011	0.991	0.924	217.3	200.9	200.91	5.00	200	-0.5%	-0.5%
3.00	23.1	765	0.922		0.991	0.924				4.00	160	-0.1%	-0.2%
2.22	23.1	765	0.922		0.991	0.923				3.00	120	-0.3%	-0.4%
1.46	23.4	764	0.921		0.991	0.919				2.00	80	-0.2%	-0.5%
0.71	23.6	764	0.920		0.990	0.917					40	0.1%	0.6%
0.71													

Calibration Performed By:

Calibration Date: 4/07/19

Recommended recalibration due date by: 4/8/00

All Calibrations are in compliance with MIL-Std-45662A

All instruments are calibrated with standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Applied Weight Technology, Inc.-1216 Willie Spoon Lane-Burlington, NC 27217

TEL 336-570-2511 / FAX 336-226-4832

		122 000-070-201				
ETS, Inc.				TODAY'S DA		
1401 Municipa	al Road			9/20/99		
				and the second	RATION DUE	
Roanoke,	VA	24012		August	31, 2000	
CONTACT	•••	2.00.2		MODEL	SERIAL	NUMBER
Terry Williams	son			262SMA-F	R 16157	
DEPARTMENT				CAPACITY	READAE	ILITY
Field Prep.				62g/205g		01/.0001
ROOM #	BUILDING				SPECIFICATION	R
Lab	Main			N/A	OFCOIFICATION	9
i.				DUC1		
	ERTIFICATION INF					
NIST CERTIFICATIO				August 2000		
822 / 253521-9		0		August 2000		
822 / 253521-9	4 NC0898C	041 August '	1998	August 2000		•
CLASS OF TEST WT.	VALUE OF TEST WT.	READINGS PROIR TO AL	<u>)]. % ERROR</u>	AFTER ADJ. READING	% ERROR	ZERO TEST
1	0.100001g		-100.0000	0.09998g	-0.0210%	0.00000g
1	1.000015g		-100.0000	1.00000g	-0.0015%	0.00000g
•			- 100.0000		-0.0010 %	•••••••
1	10.00028g		-100.0000	9.99998g	-0.0005%	0.00000g
1	100.00001g		-100.0000	99.9998g	-0.0002%	0.0000g
1	*200.00015g		-100.0000	200.0002g	0.0000%	0.0000g
	-			Ū		_
COMMENTS	_	CORNE	R LOAD TEST			
Ne	w Unit Set Up -	CORNER	LOAD TEST WT.			
			.000028g			
CUSTOMER REQUIR	REMENTS:			A 10.0003g		
				_		
			, Y	B 9.99998g		
		D	В	c 9.99998g		
			c /			
			<u> </u>	ם 10.0000g		
			FRONT			
	-	Hot Applicable	to Mechanical Bala	nces		
TECHNICIAN	David 1.	Hun				
·····	David G. Stever	14				
		•				

Traceable Certificate

TROEMNER + 201 WOLF DRIVE + P.O. BOX 87 + THOROFARE, NJ 08086-0087 USA + PHONE (868) 686-1600 + FAX (856) 686-1601

Ets Inc 1401 Municipal Road Roanoke, VA 24012 Test Completed: 09/15/1999 Order Number : 01-1217 Certificate # : 152227A

Description of Weights: Troemner 500 g Elec Cal Cyl Weight

<u>Material</u>	Assumed Density at 20°C	Range
Stainlees Steel	7.85g/cm3	500 g

Tested with Reference Standards Traceable to the National Institute of Standards & Technology through NIST Test Number 822/254480.

We certify that the weights listed are calibrated to ASTM E617-91 Class 1 tolerances.

The calibration of these weights is based on apparent mass vs. material of density 8.0g/cm3.

Nominal	Serial	Correction *	Tolerance
Mass Value	Number		(+ or -)
500 g	37671	+0.5218 mg	1.200 mg

* Correction is defined as the difference between the mass value of a weight and its nominal value. A positive correction indicates that the mass value is greater than the nominal value by the amount of the correction.

un Robert Thompson, Approved Signatory

Traceable Certificate

TROEMNER + 201 WOLF DRIVE + P.O. BOX 87 + THOROFARE, NJ 08066-0087 USA + PHONE (856) 686-1600 + FAX (856) 686-1601

Ets Inc 1401 Municipal Road Roanoke, VA 24012 Test Completed: 09/15/1999 Order Number : 01-1217 Certificate # : 152227B

Description of Weights: Troemner 2 kg Elec Cal Cyl Weight

<u>Material</u>	Assumed Density at 20°C	Range
Stainless Steel	7.85g/cm3	2 kg

Tested with Reference Standards Traceable to the National Institute of Standards & Technology through NIST Test Number 822/254480.

We certify that the weights listed are calibrated to ASTM E617-91 Class 1 tolerances.

The calibration of these weights is based on apparent mass vs. material of density 8.0g/cm3.

Nominal Mass Value	Serial Number	Correction *	Tolerance $(+ \text{ or } -)$
2 kg	37672	+1.0431 mg	5.000 mg

* Correction is defined as the difference between the mass value of a weight and its nominal value. A positive correction indicates that the mass value is greater than the nominal value by the amount of the correction.

over Robert Thompson, Approved Signatory

Traceable Certificate

TROEMNER • 201 WOLF DRIVE • P.O. BOX 87 • THOROFARE, NJ 06086-0087 USA • PHONE (856) 686-1600 • FAX (856) 686-1601

Ets IncTest Completed: 02/07/20001401 Municipal RoadOrder Number : 01-1227Roanoke, VA 24012Certificate # : 161484

Description of Weights: Troemner 1g S/S S/K weight

<u>Material</u>	<u>Assumed Density at 20°C</u>	Range
Stainless Steel	7.85g/cm3	19

Tested with Reference Standards Traceable to the National Institute of Standards & Technology through NIST Test Number 822/254480.

We certify that the weights listed are calibrated to ASTM E617-91 Class 1 tolerances.

The calibration of these weights is based on apparent mass vs. material of density 8.0g/cm3.

Nominal	Serial	Correction *	Tolerance
Mass Value	Number		(+ or -)
1 g	45300	+0.0178 mg	0.034 mg

* Correction is defined as the difference between the mass value of a weight and its nominal value. A positive correction indicates that the mass value is greater than the nominal value by the amount of the correction.

U Thompson, Approved Signatory Robert

Traceable Certificate

TROEMNER + 201 WOLF DRIVE + P.O. BOX 87 + THOROFARE, NJ 08086-0087 USA + PHONE (856) 686-1600 + FAX (856) 686-1601

Ets Inc	Test Completed:	09/15/1999
1401 Municipal Road	Order Number :	01-1217
Roanoke, VA 24012	Certificate # :	152227

Description of Weights: Troemner 100 g S/S S/K Weight

<u>Material</u>	Assumed Density at 20°C	Range
Stainless Steel	7.85g/cm3	100 g

Tested with Reference Standards Traceable to the National Institute of Standards & Technology through NIST Test Number 822/254480.

We certify that the weights listed are calibrated to ASTM E617-91 Class 1 tolerances.

The calibration of these weights is based on apparent mass vs. material of density 8.0g/cm3.

Nominal	Serial	Correction *	Tolerance
Mass Value	Number		(+ or -)
100 g	37670	+0.0238 mg	0.250 mg

* Correction is defined as the difference between the mass value of a weight and its nominal value. A positive correction indicates that the mass value is greater than the nominal value by the amount of the correction.

irter Robert Thompson, Approved Signatory

Traceable Certificate

201 Wolf Drive + P.O. Box 87 + Thorotare. NJ 08086-0087 + Phone: 858-586-1600 + Fax: 856-686+1601 + www.troemner.com + e-mail: troemner@troemner.com

Ets Inc Test Completed: 08/30/1999 1401 Municipal Road Order Number : 01-1211 Roanoke, VA 24012 Certificate # : 151748 Description of Weights: Troemner 1 mg Weight Material Assumed Density at 20°C Range Aluminum 2.7 g/cm1 mg Tested with Reference Standards Traceable to the National Institute of Standards & Technology through NIST Test Number 822/254480. We certify that the weights listed are calibrated to ASTM E617-91 Class 1 tolerances. The calibration of these weights is based on apparent mass vs. material of density 8.0g/cm3. Nominal Serial Tolerance Mass Value Number Correction * (+ or -)1 mg 37080 +0.0042 mg 0.010 mg Correction is defined as the difference between the mass value of a weight and its nominal value. A positive correction indicates that the mass value is greater than the nominal value by the amount of the correction. Ob.

Robert Thompson, Approved Signatory



Traceable Certificate

201 Wolf Drive + P.O. Box 87 + Thorofare, NJ 08086-0087 + Phone, 856-686-1600 + Fax; 856-886-1601 + www.troemner.com + e-mail. troemner@troemnel.com

Test Completed: 08/20/1999 Ets Inc Order Number : 01-1211 1401 Municipal Road Certificate # : 150843 Roanoke, VA 24012 Weight Set S/N: 36528 Description of Weights: Troemner 50 g - 300 mg Weight Set Assumed Density at 20°C Range Material 7.85g/cm3 50 g Stainless Steel 300 mg Stainless Steel (mg) 7.95 g/cm3 Tested with Reference Standards Traceable to the National Institute of Standards & Technology through NIST Test Number 822/254480. We certify that the weights listed are calibrated to ASTM E617-91 Class 1 tolerances. The calibration of these weights is based on apparent mass vs. material of density 8.0g/cm3. Tolerance Serial Nominal (+ or -)Correction * Mass Value Number +0.0580 mg 0.120 mg 50 g -0.0037 mg 0.010 mg 300 mg Correction is defined as the difference between the mass value of a weight and its nominal value. A positive correction indicates that the mass value is greater than the nominal value by the amount of the correction

ر ب ~ Robert Thompson, Approved Signatory

Thermometer Calibration Report Traceable to NIST



29-Dec-99

VWR Scier	ntific Prod	ucts		Re	ference No	1544201		JB	JB
1050 Satell	ite Bl∨d			Dis	tributor	VWR Scie	entific Co.		
Suwanee G	GA 30024	,		Cu	stomer Rep				
				Tel	ephone		Fax		
Report No.	992117			Manufacturer	H-B Instru	ument Com	ipany/MW		
Serial No	3C2082			Item	Thermom	eter, Partia	I Immersion		
Part No	61099-0	47		Range	1 8/89°F , (0. 2°Di v., 10	8mm Immersion	ı	
N.I.S.T. Standard		Instrument Tested	Correction (ITS-90)*	N.I.S.T. Serial No.	•	.I.S.T. ht Ne.	Test Liquid	Emer. S Tempe	
20.000*	F	19.920 ° F	0.080	471047	18	321	Alcohol		•т
32.000*	F	32.000 ° F	0.000	471047	18	321	ice		٩Ť
50.000*	F	50.020 * F	-0.020	471047	18	321	Water	72.0	0*F
70.000*	F	70.020 * F	-0.020	471047	18	321	Water	72.0	0" F
88.000°	F	87.980 ° F	0.020	471047	18	321	Water	72.0	0*F

T - Total Immersion

The Platinum Resistance Thermometer (PRT) serial numbers 419453 and 440026, used to calibrate this thermometer were calibrated with an AC Bridge at a frequency of 90Hz and a constant current of 1.0 mA. This procedure is based on the technical information contained in NIST Technical Note 1265. Comparison points used to calibrate the ther-mometer range from a temperature of -196.000°C to 420.000°C. PRT calibration uncertainty is estimated not to exceed 0.006°C. The calibration uncertainty of the AC Bridge and PRT is estimated not to exceed 0.026°C. This calibration is traceable to NIST and is in compliance with ML-STD 45662A and ANSI/ASQC Q9002-1994.

Observed instrument readings should be increased by positive numbers or reduced by negative numbers indicated by a minus (-) sign Emergent Stem Temperature relates to PARTIAL IMMERSION thermometers ONLY (see reverse).

We report that the thermometer bearing identification marks described above was tested in accordance with NBS Monograph 174, ASTM Method E77 and NIST Special Publication 819. Each instrument was tested at H-8 instrument Company or at manufacturers' laboratory and compared with standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology formerly National Bureau of Standards in accordance with the International Temperature Scale (TS-90 (Adopted September 1989). For a discussion of accuracy obtain-able with such thermometers see NIST SP 250-23. As a general guideline, re-certification/re-calibration of thermometers once a year is considered acceptuable in most manufaclaboratory practices, but each organization must set its own policies.

<u>n</u> Richard D. Livergood Calibration Specia

James R. Robinson Vice President, Calibration Services

Form 0-592 Rev.3

H-B Instrument Company

P.O. Box 26770, Collegeville, PA 19426-0770 USA Telephone 1-800-4-TEST-LAB Fax (610) 489-9100 e-mail Address: cal@hbinstrument.com Website Address: www.hbinstrument.com

Serving the World Since 1903

Design Copyright CHBI 1996

ACR Systems Inc. Unit 210-12960 84th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 1K7

Telephone: (604) 591-1128 Fax: (604) 591-2252

Tol-free: 1-800-663-7845

Relative Humidity Calibration

Model: SR2

Serial #: 66884

Date: 08-04-99

Calibration Data

Channel	Description	Eqn	Low	Mid	High
CH 0	Int Temp.	45	0.000	0.000	0.000
CH 1	Int RH	71	-0.391	0.000	0.352
CH 2	Ext Temp	45	0.000	0.000	0.000
CH 3	Ext RH	71	0.000	0.000	0.000
CH 4	NA	-	-	-	-
CH 5	NA	-	-	-	-
CH 6	NA	-	-	-	-
CH 7	NA	-	-	-	-

Calibration Reference Instrument

Vaisala 1% RH & Temperature Probe, Model HMP 133Y, Serial Number: 671381

Sensor or Input Type: Internal Relative Humidity

Ambient Temperature at time of test: 25 C

Reference Instrument Reading	Logger Reading
15 %RH	15.08 %RH
50 %RH	49.43 %RH
80 RH%	80.17 RH%

Test Part Number: 19655

Test Technician: tc

The calibration of this data logger is traceable to the National Institue of Standards and Technology (NIST) using the reference instrument above. The reference reading is verified by a daily salt test and calibrated by the manufacturer at monthly intervals. Details are available on request.

CUSTOMER: .	ETS INC		787/
	1401 MUNICIPAL		P.O. #
	ROANOKE VA 240		
Analysis perform		wless gas flow prop ation Counter <u>TEST RESULT</u> :	
	CE MODEL #	SERIAL #	MICROCURIES/SAM
NUCLECEL	P-2031-1000	115608	Less than .000luCi

Appendix C

VERIFICATION TESTING SHEETS

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

VERIFICATION TESTING OF BAGHOUSE FILTRATION PRODUCTS SUMMARY OF RESULTS

RUN ID. FABRIC DESIGNATION MANUFACTURER DUST FEED	V008-1 8005-1 Tetratec Pural NF	V008-2 8005-3 Tetratec Pural NF	V008-3 8005-6 Tetratec Pural NF	V008-4 8005-9 Tetratec Pural NF	Average
DUST DATA					
Mass Mean Diameter (µm) % Less than PM 2.5	1.07 74.41				1.07 74.41
CONDITIONING PERIOD					
Date Started	3/16/00	3/20/00	3/21/00	3/22/00	
Time Started	13:16	13:05	13:55	12:57	
Time Ended	21:36	21:25	21:15	21:17	
Test Duration (min.)	500	500	500	500	500
RECOVERY PERIOD					
Date Started	3/17/00	3/21/00	3/22/00	3/23/00	
Time Started	7:11	7:00	6:57	6:55	
Time Ended	7:18	7:09	7:09	7:10	
Test Duration (min.)	7	9	12	15	11
PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD					
Date Started	3/17/00	3/21/00	3/22/00	3/23/00	
Time Started	7:35	7:32	7:22	7:29	
Time Ended	13:35	13:32	11:31	13:29	
Test Duration (min.)	360	360	249	360	332
VERIFICATION TEST RESULTS					
Mean Outlet Particle Conc. PM 2.5 (g/dscm)	0.00002	0.00004	0.00004	0.00010	0.00005
Mean Outlet Particle Conc. Total mass (g/dscm)	0.00003	0.00008	0.00007	0.00031	0.00012
Increase in Residual Pressure	1.14	1.44	0.91	1.15	1.16
Drop (cm w.g.) Average Residual Pressure	9.08	8.85	8.13	7.77	8.46
Drop (cm w.g.) Mass Gain of Filter Sample (g)	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.12	0.16
Average Filtration Cycle Time (s)	10	11	16	22	15

RTI/ETV PRELIMINARY TESTING DUST CHARACTERIZATION - PURAL NF ANDERSEN IMPACTOR PARTICLE SIZING GRAVIMETRIC ANALYTICAL DATA AND RESULTS

RUN NUMBE	R:	V008-1 03/16/00						
		03/10/00	Tare	Tare	Total	Total	Mass	Negative
Sample I.D.			Filter Mass	Beaker Mass	Tare Mass	Final Mass	Difference	Difference?
Filter I.D.	Wash Vol.(ml)	Stage	(q)	(q)	(q)	(q)	(q)	(q)
VDI-99-14	50	Acetone Wash	NA	0	0	0	0.00000	NA
99-14-1		1	0.93719	0	0.93719	0.93854	0.00135	NA
99-14-2		2	0.85021	0	0.85021	0.85078	0.00057	NA
99-14-3		3	0.92495	0	0.92495	0.92683	0.00188	NA
99-14-4		4	0.86225	0	0.86225	0.86503	0.00278	NA
99-14-5		5	1.01371	0	1.01371	1.01939	0.00568	NA
99-14-6		6	0.91323	0	0.91323	0.92372	0.01049	NA
99-14-7		7	0.92345	0	0.92345	0.93370	0.01025	NA
99-14-8		8	0.88045	0	0.88045	0.88668	0.00623	NA
99-14-9		9	0.98456	0	0.98456	0.99213	0.00757	NA


Total 0.04680

IMPACTOR PARTICLE SIZING RESULTS

Impactor Flow Rate:	0.186	cfm
Isokinetics:	107.85	%
Viscosity of Gas:	0.000182	poise

STAGE	Particulate Mass (q)	Cummulative % Less Than Diameter	D50 Cut Point (micrometers)*
1	0.00135	97.12	10.85
2	0.00057	95.90	10.23
3	0.00188	91.88	6.39
4	0.00278	85.94	4.27
5	0.00568	73.80	2.41
6	0.01049	51.39	1.10
7	0.01025	29.49	0.68
8	0.00623	16.18	0.37
9	0.00757		

* Calculated as an aerodynamic diameter using a particle density of 2.65 g/ml.



Mass Mean Diameter, micrometers	1.07
% Less Than PM 2.5	74.41

DUST CHAR FOR TEST S	ACTERIZATION ERIES:	V008						
DATE START TIME END TIME STACK LENGTH STACK WIDTH STACK AREA		03/16/00 11:27 11:32 111 291 0.0323 1.797	mm mm m ² in.	DATA (FOR RAW GAS CH Actual Flow Std. Flow Raw Gas Pressure		ANNEL) 5.77 3.40 5.49 3.23 980.15 25.2	m ³ /hr. cfm sm ³ /hr. scfm mbar ° C	
NOZZLE I.D. METER BOX GAMMA BAROMETRIC PRESSURE TEST DURATION METER VACUUM		0.046 0.9927 28.93 5 2.0	in. Hg min. in. Hg	Sample Gas Temperature		77.4	° F	
Metered Volu Volume @ St		0.920 0.869 0.914 1.06 106.2	ft ³ scf scf %	METHOD 3 %O2 %CO2 %CO %N2 O2+CO2	DATA 20.9 0.0 0.0 79.1 20.9	Md Ms Ps	28.84 28.72 28.94	in. Hg
POINT 1	STACK TEMP (° F) 77.4	DP (in.w.g.) 1E-05	DH (in.w.g.) 6.125 /olume Change	METER VOLUME (liters) 5226.81 5252.85 26.04	METER TEMP INLET (° F) 76 80 (Avg. of 4 tem	OUTLET (° F) 74 75		
Md - Dry Mole	ecular Weight							

Ms - Molecular Weight in Stack

Ps - Static Pressure (Atmospheric)

DP - Pressure Drop

DH - Orifice Pressure Drop

* All measurements are primary measurements and might be converted in subsequent calculations.

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-1	NUMBER OF PULSES	10000
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-1	PULSE INTERVAL	3 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
DUST FEED	Pural NF		
DATE(S)	3/16/00	% MOISTURE	1.29 %WV
TIME STARTED	13:16		
TIME ENDED	21:36		
TEST DURATION	500 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			D	ust Feed ((g)	Average C	Gas Flow (s	sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)		Time	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(°C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	13:16	14:16	1561.5	1459.6	101.9	2.82	2.67	5.49	24.90	976.08	18.8	182.9
61-120	14:17	15:16	1459.6	1346.8	112.8	2.83	2.66	5.49	24.48	975.40	20.8	182.4
121-180	15:17	16:16	1346.8	1243.0	103.8	2.83	2.68	5.51	24.47	974.10	19.1	183.7
181-240	16:17	17:16	1243.0	1128.7	114.3	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.49	973.12	21.0	183.7
241-300	17:17	18:16	1128.7	1048.0	80.7	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.46	972.43	14.8	183.7
301-360	18:17	19:16	1048.0	943.2	104.8	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.44	971.83	19.3	183.8
361-420	19:17	20:16	943.2	870.2	73.0	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.36	971.32	13.4	183.8
421-480	20:17	21:16	870.2	798.6	71.6	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.24	970.82	13.2	183.7
441-500 *	20:37	21:36	851.3	774.2	77.1	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.22	970.86	14.2	183.7
AVERAGE (pe	er hour)				94.5	2.83	2.67	5.50	24.47	973.05	17.4	183.5
ACCEPTANCE	E				100				25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

* Test duration is a rolling 60 minute average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-1	NUMBER OF PULSES	30
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-1	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	14 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	AVG . RESIDUAL ΔP	792.90 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
DATE(S)	3/17/00	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME STARTED	7:11 *		
TIME ENDED	7:18	% MOISTURE	1.24 %WV
TEST DURATION	7 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Du	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	′ (sm³/hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Tir	ne	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
1-7	7:12 *	7:18	1110.1	1094.1	16.0	2.82	2.71	5.53	23.3	973.06	2.9	185.4
AVERAGE (pe	er hour)				165.5	2.82	2.71	5.53	23.3	973.06	30.3	185.4
ACCEPTANCE	E				100 +/- 20				25.5 +/- 2.2		18.4 +/- 3.6	180 +/- 9.0

* First minute is not considered in calcualtions due to equipment stabilization.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-1	NUMBER OF PULSES	2119
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-1	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	10 s
MANUFACTURER	NA	AVG. RESIDUAL ∆P	889.39 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	CHANGE IN ΔP	111.3 Pa
DATE(S)	3/17/00	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
TIME STARTED	7:35	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME ENDED	13:35		
TEST DURATION	360 min.	% MOISTURE	1.24 %WV

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration	n		[Dust Feed (g))	Av	erage Gas	Flow (s	m ³ /hr)	Avg. Tem	p Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Т	ïme	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	Sampling	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	7:35	8:35	1554.8	1468.5	86.3	2.83	2.71	5.53	1.04	23.75	981.50	15.8	183.8
61-120	8:36	9:35	1468.5	1364.5	104.0	2.84	2.71	5.54	1.05	24.04	983.30	19.0	183.7
121-180	9:36	10:35	1364.5	1254.9	109.6	2.84	2.70	5.54	1.04	24.42	984.58	20.0	183.6
181-240	10:36	11:35	1254.9	1150.6	104.3	2.84	2.70	5.54	1.04	24.78	985.63	19.1	183.5
241-300	11:36	12:35	1150.6	1049.1	101.5	2.84	2.70	5.54	1.05	25.13	986.33	18.6	183.6
301-360	12:36	13:35	1049.1	945.0	104.1	2.84	2.70	5.54	1.05	25.49	987.74	19.0	183.6
AVERAGE (per hour)				101.6	2.83	2.70	5.54	1.05	24.60	984.84	18.6	183.6
ACCEPTANCE					100					25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20					+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0
GRAVIMET	RIC DATA												
IMPACTOR	SUBSTRATES	8				SAMPL	SAMPLE FILTER						
Backup Filte	er (PM 2.5)		0.00012	g	Tare Mass 12					2.06 g			
Total Mass 0	Gain		0.00017	g		Final Ma	ass		12.21	g			
						Mass G	ain		0.15	g			
OUTLET CO	NCENTRATIO	N								_			
Total Volume Sampled			6.64	⊦m³				DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:					
Mean Outlet	Particle Conc	entration - PI	M 2.5		(0.0000181	g/m ³						
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - Total Mass				(0.0000256	βg/m ³							
										-	~ .		

DOCUMENT **US EPA ARCHIVE**




Figure C-1. Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-1.

DOCUMENT EPA ARCHIVE SN






Figure C-2. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-1.

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-2	NUMBER OF PULSES	10000
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-3	PULSE INTERVAL	3 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
DUST FEED	Pural NF		
DATE(S)	3/20/00	% MOISTURE	1.07 %WV
TIME STARTED	13:05		
TIME ENDED	21:25		
TEST DURATION	500 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Du	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	13:05	14:05	1338.1	1212.1	125.9	2.84	2.72	5.56	23.44	986.41	22.9	183.4
61-120	14:06	15:05	1212.1	1130.7	81.4	2.86	2.71	5.57	23.75	986.30	14.8	183.2
121-180	15:06	16:05	1130.7	1017.7	113.0	2.86	2.72	5.58	23.98	986.34	20.5	183.9
181-240	16:06	17:05	1017.7	914.9	102.9	2.86	2.72	5.58	24.09	986.21	18.6	184.1
241-300	17:06	18:05	914.9	928.7	-13.9	2.86	2.72	5.58	24.02	986.53	-2.5	183.8
301-360	18:06	19:05	928.7	834.6	94.1	2.86	2.72	5.58	23.83	987.35	17.1	183.5
361-420	19:06	20:05	834.6	760.9	73.7	2.86	2.72	5.58	23.56	988.52	13.4	183.1
421-480	20:06	21:05	760.9	710.4	50.5	2.86	2.72	5.58	23.43	989.21	9.1	182.8
441-500 *	20:26	21:25	756.7	676.8	79.8	2.86	2.71	5.58	23.41	989.23	14.5	182.8
AVERAGE (pe	er hour)				79.4	2.86	2.72	5.58	23.75	987.19	14.4	183.5
ACCEPTANCE	E				100				25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

* Test duration is a rolling average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-2	NUMBER OF PULSES	30
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-3	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	18 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	AVG . RESIDUAL $_{\Delta}$ P	773.10 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
DATE(S)	3/21/00	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME STARTED	7:00 *		
TIME ENDED	7:09	% MOISTURE	1.04 %WV
TEST DURATION	9 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duratio	n		Du	ust Feed ((g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm³/hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
1-9	7:01 *	7:09	958.7	950.2	8.5	2.85	2.73	5.58	22.4	990.18	1.5	183.3
AVERAGE	(per hour)				57.3	2.85	2.73	5.58	22.4	990.18	10.4	183.3
ACCEPTAN	ICE				100 +/- 20				25.5 +/- 2.2		18.4 +/- 3.6	180 +/- 9.0

* First minute is not considered in calculations due to equipment stabilization.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-2	NUMBER OF PULSES	1968
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-3	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	11 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	AVG. RESIDUAL ∆P	866.85 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	CHANGE IN ΔP	141.00 Pa
DATE(S)	3/21/00	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
TIME STARTED	7:32	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME ENDED	13:32		
TEST DURATION	360 min.	% MOISTURE	1.04 %WV

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration	Test Duration		Dust Feed (g)			Av	erage Gas	Flow (s	m ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	Sampling	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	7:32	8:32	1488.6	1409.7	78.9	2.88	2.74	5.61	1.05	22.67	990.43	14.2	183.6
61-120	8:33	9:32	1409.7	1317.3	92.4	2.89	2.74	5.63	1.04	23.12	990.72	16.6	184.0
121-180	9:33	10:32	1317.3	1220.0	97.3	2.89	2.74	5.63	1.04	23.63	990.81	17.5	184.3
181-240	10:33	11:32	1220.0	1120.9	99.1	2.89	2.74	5.62	1.06	24.20	990.53	17.8	184.5
241-300	11:33	12:32	1120.9	1019.8	101.1	2.89	2.74	5.62	1.06	24.63	990.22	18.2	184.8
301-360	12:33	13:32	1019.8	918.0	101.8	2.89	2.74	5.62	1.06	25.07	989.66	18.3	185.1
AVERAGE (p	per hour)				95.1	2.88	2.74	5.62	1.05	23.89	990.40	17.1	184.4
ACCEPTANC	E				100					25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20					+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0
GRAVIMETR	IC DATA										_		
IMPACTOR S	SUBSTRATE	S				SAMPLI	E FILTER						
Backup Filter	⁻ (PM 2.5)		0.00024	g		Tare Ma	SS		11.77	g			
Total Mass G	Bain		0.00050	g		Final Ma	ISS		11.92	g			
				-		Mass G	ain		0.15	g			
OUTLET COM	NCENTRATI	ON									_		
Total Volume	Sampled					6.59	m ³				DATA PRO		OPERATOR:
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - PM 2.5				0	.0000364	g/m ³							
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - Total Mass				ass		.0000758	-						
										-		\ A /in a na ill a n	

US EPA ARCHIVE

DOCUMENT




Figure C-3. Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-2.

DOCUMENT **US EPA ARCHIVE**




Figure C-4. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-2.

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID. FABRIC DESIGNATION	V008-3 8005-6	NUMBER OF PULSES PULSE INTERVAL	10000 3 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
DUST FEED	Pural NF		
DATE(S)	3/21/00	% MOISTURE	1.25 %WV
TIME STARTED	13:55		
TIME ENDED	21:15		
TEST DURATION	500 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Du	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	-	Time	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	13:55	14:55	1578.5	1466.1	112.3	2.83	2.71	5.54	25.40	989.22	20.5	183.5
61-120	14:56	15:55	1466.1	1370.3	95.9	2.84	2.70	5.55	25.72	989.23	17.5	183.5
121-180	15:56	16:55	1370.3	1267.7	102.6	2.84	2.70	5.54	25.79	989.26	18.7	183.3
181-240	16:56	17:55	1267.7	1153.3	114.4	2.84	2.70	5.54	25.54	989.41	20.9	183.1
241-300	17:56	18:55	1153.3	1019.7	133.6	2.85	2.70	5.55	25.08	989.80	24.4	182.7
301-360	18:56	19:55	1019.7	903.9	115.8	2.85	2.70	5.55	24.65	990.33	21.1	182.3
361-420	19:56	20:55	903.9	806.0	97.9	2.85	2.70	5.55	24.33	990.92	17.9	182.0
421-480	20:56	21:55	806.0	725.2	80.8	2.85	2.70	5.55	24.08	990.93	14.8	181.9
441-500 *	21:16	22:15	770.6	694.4	76.3	2.85	2.70	5.55	24.02	990.95	13.9	181.8
AVERAGE (pe	r hour)				106.1	2.84	2.70	5.55	25.03	989.93	19.4	182.7
ACCEPTANCE					100				25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

* Test duration is a rolling average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-3	NUMBER OF PULSES	30
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-6	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	24 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	AVG . RESIDUAL ΔP	736.50 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	MAX PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
DATE(S)	3/22/00	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME STARTED	6:57 *		
TIME ENDED	7:09	% MOISTURE	1.00 %WV
TEST DURATION	12 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration	1		Du	ust Feed ((g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
1-12	6:58 *	7:09	1035.5	1022.1	13.5	2.91	2.74	5.65	22.5	992.30	2.4	183.4
AVERAGE (p	per hour)				73.4	2.91	2.74	5.65	22.5	992.30	13.1	183.4
ACCEPTANC	Æ				100 +/- 20				25.5 +/- 2.2		18.4 +/- 3.6	180 +/- 9.0

* First minute is not considered in calculations due to equipment stabilization.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID. FABRIC DES MANUFACTU DUST FEED DATE(S) TIME STARTE TIME ENDED TEST DURAT	IRER ED ION		min. * *Program N	<i>N</i> alfunction		AVG. PU AVG. RE CHANGE MAX. PF	RESSURE PRESSUF	ERVAL AP			s Pa Pa		
QA/QC DATA Test Duration				int Food (a)		۸.,			~ ³ /hr)	Aur Tomo		Dust Conc.	C/C Datia
(min.)		me	Initial	ust Feed (g) Final	Total	Raw	erage Gas Clean	Total	Sampling	Avg. Temp (°C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	G/C Ratio (m/h)
0-60	7:22	8:22	1524.8	1414.7	110.2	2.87	2.74	5.61	1.05	22.74	993.08	(g/usciii) 19.8	183.6
				1312.2	102.5	2.90	2.74	5.64	1.05	23.31	993.42	18.3	183.9
				1208.9	103.3	2.90	2.74	5.64	1.04	23.87	993.64	18.5	184.1
				1125.2	83.6	2.90	2.74	5.64	1.05	24.48	993.65	15.0	184.5
				1130.5	-5.2	2.90	2.74	5.64	1.06	24.93	994.16	-0.9	184.8
190-249	10:32	11:31	1130.5	1130.5	0.0	2.90	2.74	5.64	1.05	24.60	993.75	0.0	184.5
AVERAGE (p	er hour)				95.1	2.89	2.74	5.63	1.05	23.65	993.47	17.0	184.0
ACCEPTANC	E				100 +/- 20					25.5 +/- 2.2		18.4 +/- 3.6	180 +/- 9.0
GRAVIMETRI	IC DATA										_		
Backup Filter	(PM 2.5)	S		•		SAMPLE Tare Mas Final Ma Mass Ga	SS		11.89 12.10 0.21	g			
OUTLET CON	ICENTRATIC	DN									_		
61-1208:239:221414.713121-1809:2310:221312.2124181-24010:2311:221208.911:2241-24911:2311:311125.211:31					4.54 m ³ 0.0000418 g/m ³ 0.0000660 g/m ³						DATA PRO	DCESSING	OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

C-17

EPA ARCHIVE

S

DOCUMENT




Figure C-5. Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance test run V008-3.






Figure C-6. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-3.

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-4	NUMBER OF PULSES	10000
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-9	PULSE INTERVAL	3 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
DUST FEED	Pural NF		
DATE(S)	3/22/00	% MOISTURE	1.14 %WV
TIME STARTED	12:57		
TIME ENDED	21:17		
TEST DURATION	500 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			D	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	′ (sm³/hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Т	ime	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	12:57	13:57	1497.1	1393.1	103.9	2.87	2.72	5.59	25.34	992.92	18.8	183.4
61-120	13:58	14:57	1393.1	1286.4	106.7	2.88	2.72	5.60	25.75	992.60	19.3	183.9
121-180	14:58	15:57	1286.4	1163.5	122.9	2.88	2.72	5.60	25.91	992.30	22.2	184.0
181-240	15:58	16:57	1163.5	1044.0	119.6	2.88	2.72	5.60	25.93	992.24	21.6	183.8
241-300	16:58	17:57	1044.0	1051.8	-7.8	2.88	2.71	5.59	25.86	992.41	-1.4	183.6
301-360	17:58	18:57	1051.8	872.3	179.4	2.88	2.71	5.59	25.59	992.87	32.5	183.3
361-420	18:58	19:57	872.3	832.5	39.8	2.88	2.71	5.59	25.13	993.39	7.2	182.9
421-480	19:58	20:57	832.5	721.6	111.0	2.88	2.71	5.59	24.76	994.22	20.1	182.4
441-500 *	20:18	21:17	775.4	685.9	89.5	2.88	2.71	5.59	24.66	994.49	16.2	182.3
AVERAGE (pe	r hour)				97.3	2.88	2.71	5.59	25.50	992.94	17.6	183.4
ACCEPTANCE	E				100				25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

* Test duration is a rolling average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-4	NUMBER OF PULSES	30
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-9	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	30 s
MANUFACTURER	Testratec	AVG . RESIDUAL ΔP	692.70 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
DATE(S)	3/23/00	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME STARTED	6:55 *		
TIME ENDED	7:10	% MOISTURE	1.17 %WV
TEST DURATION	15 min.		

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Di	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
1-15	6:56 *	7:10	1407.2	1388.3	18.9	2.87	2.75	5.62	23.0	995.30	3.4	183.9
AVERAGE (pe	er hour)				79.9	2.87	2.75	5.62	23.0	995.30	14.4	183.9
ACCEPTANCE	1				100 +/- 20				25.5 +/- 2.2		18.4 +/- 3.6	180 +/- 9.0

* First minute is not considered in calculations due to equipment stabilization.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	V008-4	NUMBER OF PULSES	967
FABRIC DESIGNATION	8005-9	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	22 s
MANUFACTURER	Tetratec	AVG. RESIDUAL ∆P	761.16 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	CHANGE IN ΔP	113.1 Pa
DATE(S)	3/23/00	MAX PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
TIME STARTED	7:29	PULSE PRESSURE	0.52 MPa
TIME ENDED	13:29		
TEST DURATION	360 min.	% MOISTURE	1.17 %WV


QA/QC DATA

Test Duration	Dust Fee			ust Feed (g)) Average Gas Flow (sm ³ /hr) Avg. Temp Avg Press Dust Conc.						G/C Ratio		
(min.)	Tir	ne	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	Sampling	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	7:29	8:29	1360.4	1304.2	56.2	2.87	2.75	5.62	1.04	23.25	995.35	10.1	183.6
61-120	8:30	9:29	1304.2	1212.9	91.3	2.88	2.75	5.63	1.05	23.76	995.46	16.4	184.0
121-180	9:30	10:29	1212.9	1115.2	97.6	2.88	2.75	5.62	1.04	24.20	995.57	17.6	184.2
181-240	10:30	11:29	1115.2	1017.8	97.4	2.88	2.75	5.62	1.04	24.63	995.24	17.5	184.5
241-300	11:30	12:29	1017.8	925.2	92.6	2.88	2.74	5.62	1.04	25.19	994.65	16.7	184.9
301-360	12:30	13:29	925.2	830.1	95.1	2.88	2.74	5.62	1.04	25.98	992.89	17.1	185.6
AVERAGE (p	er hour)		1360.4	830.1	88.4	2.88	2.75	5.62	1.04	24.50	994.86	15.9	184.5
ACCEPTANC	E				100					25.5		18.4	180
					+/- 20					+/- 2.2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0
GRAVIMETR	IC DATA										_		
IMPACTOR S	UBSTRATES					SAMPL	E FILTER						
Backup Filter	(PM 2.5)		0.00063	g		Tare Ma	SS		11.78	g			
Total Mass Gain		0.00193	g		Final Ma	ass		11.90	g				
						Mass G	ain		0.12	g	_		
OUTLET CON	CENTRATION	J								_			
Total Volume Sampled					6.51	m ³				DATA PRO	DCESSING	OPERATOR	
Mean Outlet I	Particle Conce	ntration - PN	/ 2.5		0	.0000968	3 g/m ³						
Mean Outlet I	Particle Conce	ntration - To	tal Mass			.0002964	•						
										-	~		

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

SN

Feed Weight (g) Slope of curve = dust feed rate Time (s)






Figure C-8. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance test run V008-4.