US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Environmental Technology Verification Report

Baghouse Filtration Products

W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. L4427 Filter Sample

Prepared by





Under a Cooperative Agreement with





Environmental Technology Verification Report

Baghouse Filtration Products

W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. L4427 Filter Sample

Prepared by

Air Pollution Control Technology Verification Center

ETS, Incorporated 1401 Municipal Road Roanoke, VA 24012

EPA Cooperative Agreement CR 826152-01-3

EPA Project Manager: Theodore G. Brna Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division National Risk Management Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

September 2001

Notice

This document was prepared by ETS, Inc. (ETS) under a contract with RTI with funding from Cooperative Agreement No. CR826152-01-3 with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The document has been subjected to RTI/EPA's peer and administrative reviews and has been approved for publication. Mention of corporation names, trade names, or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use of specific products.

Availability of Verification Statement and Report

Copies of the public Verification Statement and Verification Report are available from the following:

1. **RTI**

P.O. Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194

http://etv.rti.org/apct/documents.cfm http://www.epa.gov/etv (click on partners)

2. USEPA / APPCD

MD-4

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

http://www.epa.gov/etv/library.htm (electronic copy) http://www.epa.gov/ncepihom/

Abstract

Baghouse filtration products (BFPs) were evaluated by the Air Pollution Control Technology (APCT) Verification Center. The performance factor verified was the mean outlet particle concentration for the filter fabric as a function of the size for particles equal to and smaller than 2.5 µm in aerodynamic diameter (PM_{2.5}). The APCT Verification Center developed a generic verification protocol for testing baghouse filtration products that is based on a modified Verein Deutscher Ingenieure (VDI) Method 3926. The protocol was developed by RTI and ETS, Inc. (ETS), reviewed by a technical panel of experts, and approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The protocol addresses several issues that VDI Method 3926 does not cover, including periodic testing, acquisition of BFP samples for testing, and product definition. A test/quality assurance plan and a standard operating procedure were prepared to address the test procedure, quality assurance, quality control requirements for obtaining verification data of sufficient quantity and quality to satisfy the data quality objectives.

ETS performed tests on W.L. Gore & Associates' filter sample L4427 during March 8 - 13, 2001. Mean outlet particle concentrations for total mass and PM_{2.5} were determined. In addition, the following verification parameters were measured and reported: initial residual pressure drop, residual pressure drop increase, average residual pressure drop, average filtration cycle time, and mass gain of the filter sample.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
ETV Joint V	erification Statementi
Notice	vii
Availability of	of Verification Statement and Report viii
Abstract	ix
List of Figure	es
List of Table	s
List of Abbre	eviations and Acronyms xii
Acknowledgi	mentsxiv
Section 1.	Introduction
Section 2. 2.1.	Verification Test Description 1 Selection of Filtration Sample for Testing 2
Section 3.	Description of Filter Fabric
Section 4. 4.1. 4.2. 4.3.	Verification of Performance3Quality Assurance3Results3Limitations and Applications4
Section 5.	References
Appendix A.	Description of the Test Rig and the Methodology A-1
Appendix B.	Data on Certificates of Calibration
Appendix C.	Verification Testing Sheets
Appendix D	Fabric Manufacturer's Submittal Letter D-1

List of Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1.	Photograph of the W.L. Gore & Associates' L4427 filter fabric iii
Figure A-1.	Diagram of FEMA test apparatus
Figure C-1	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance period 2V04-R1
Figure C-2	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance period 2V04-R1 C-9
Figure C-3	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance period 2V04-R2
Figure C-4	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance period 2V04-R2 C-14
Figure C-5	Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance period 2V04-R3 C-18
Figure C-6	Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance period 2V04-R3 C-19
	List of Tables
Table 1.	Test Conditions for Baghouse Filtration Product Brand/Model: W.L. Gore & Associates' Fabric L4427 iii
Table 2.	Baghouse Filtration Product Three-Run Average Test Results for W.L. Gore & Associates' Fabric L4427 iv
Table 3.	Summary of Verification Results for W.L. Gore & Associates' Fabric L4427
Table A-1.	Summary of Control Test Results
Table B-1.	Status of Instrument Calibrations for Baghouse Filtration Products Verification Tests

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

APCT Air Pollution Control Technology

APPCD Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division

BFP baghouse filtration product

cfm cubic feet per minute

cm centimeters

cm w.g. centimeters of water gauge

dia. diameter

DP pressure drop

dscmh dry standard cubic meters per hour

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ETS ETS, Inc.

ETV Environmental Technology Verification

FEMA Filtration Efficiency Media Analyzer

fpm feet per minute

ft³ cubic feet

g grams

G/C gas-to-cloth ratio (filtration velocity)

gr grains

gr/dscf grains per dry standard cubic foot g/dscm grams per dry standard cubic meter

g/h grams per hour

g/m² grams per square meter

h hours inches

in. w.g. inches of water gauge

kPa kilopascals

m meters
mbar millibars
min minutes

m/h meters per hour

m³/h cubic meters per hour

mm millimeters
MPa megapascals
ms milliseconds
NA not applicable

Pa pascals

PM particulate matter

PM_{2.5} particulate matter 2.5 micrometers in aerodynamic diameter or smaller

psi pounds per square inch

psia pounds per square inch absolute

PTFE polytetrafluoroethylene

QA quality assurance QC quality control

RTI Research Triangle Institute

s seconds

scf standard cubic feet

scfm standard cubic feet per minute
VDI Verein Deutscher Ingenieure

μg micrograms
 μm micrometers
 °C degrees Celsius
 °F degrees Fahrenheit
 °R degrees Rankine

Acknowledgments

ETS acknowledges the support of all those who helped plan and conduct the verification activities. In particular, we would like to thank Ted Brna, EPA's Project Manager, and Paul Groff, EPA's Quality Assurance Manager, both of EPA's National Risk Management Research Laboratory in Research Triangle Park, NC. Finally, we would like to acknowledge the assistance and participation of Wilson Poon of W.L Gore & Associates.

For more information on Baghouse Filtration Products Verification Testing, contact:

John Mycock ETS, Inc. 1401 Municipal Road NW Roanoke, VA 24012 (540) 265-0004 jcm@etsi-inc.com

For more information on the W.L. Gore & Associates's L4427 baghouse fabric, contact:

Chris Polizzi
W.L. Gore & Associates
101 Lewisville Road
P.O. Box 1100
Elkton, MD 21922-1100
(410) 392-3300
cpolizzi@wlgore.com

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has created the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved technologies through performance verification and information dissemination. The ETV Program is intended to assist and inform those involved in the design, distribution, permitting, and purchase of environmental technologies.

EPA's partner in the Air Pollution Control Technology (APCT) Verification Center is RTI. With the full participation of the technology developer, the APCT Verification Center develops plans, conducts tests, collects and analyzes data, and reports findings. The evaluations are conducted according to a rigorous protocol and under quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) oversight. The APCT Verification Center verifies the performance of commercial-ready technologies used to control air pollutant emissions, with an emphasis on technologies for controlling particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and hazardous air pollutants. The program develops standardized verification protocols and test plans, conducts independent testing of technologies, and prepares verification test reports and statements for broad dissemination.

SECTION 2

VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

The baghouse filtration products were tested in accordance with the APCT "Generic Verification Protocol for Baghouse Filtration Products" and the "Test/QA Plan for the Verification Testing of Baghouse Filtration Products." This protocol incorporated all requirements for quality management, quality assurance, procedures for product selection, auditing of the test laboratories, and reporting format. The Generic Verification Protocol describes the overall procedures to be used for verification testing and defines the data quality objectives. The values for inlet dust concentration, raw gas flow rate, and filtration velocity used for current verification testing have been revised since posting of the Generic Verification Protocol. The protocol is being revised to include these and other changes under recommendation or concurrence of the Baghouse Filtration Products Technical Panel. The Test/QA Plan details how the test laboratory at ETS, Inc. (ETS) will implement and meet the requirements of the Generic Verification Protocol.

Mean outlet particle concentration was determined from the Filtration Efficiency Media Analyzer (FEMA) test apparatus. The test apparatus consists of a brush-type dust feeder that disperses test dust into a vertical rectangular duct (raw-gas channel). A radioactive polonium-210 alpha source is used to neutralize the dust electrically before its entry into the raw-gas channel. A portion of the gas flow is extracted from the raw-gas channel through the test filter, which is mounted vertically at the entrance to a horizontal duct (clean-gas channel). The clean-gas flow is separated using an aerodynamic "Y" so that a representative sample of the clean gas flows through an Andersen impactor that determines the outlet particle concentration.

The particle size was measured while a fine dust was injected into the air stream upstream of the filter fabric sample.

The following series of tests was performed on three separate, randomly selected filter fabric samples:

- Dust characterization (first sample fabric verification test only),
- Conditioning period,
- · Recovery period, and
- Performance test period.

To simulate long-term operation, the test filter was first subjected to a conditioning period, which consists of 10,000 rapid pulse cleaning cycles under continuous dust loading. During this period, the time between cleaning pulses is maintained at 3 seconds. No filter performance parameters are measured in this period.

The conditioning period is immediately followed by a recovery period, which allows the test filter fabric to recover from rapid pulsing. The recovery period consists of 30 normal filtration cycles under continuous and constant dust loading. During a normal filtration cycle, the dust cake is allowed to form on the test filter until a differential pressure of 1,000 Pa (4.0 in. w.g.) is reached. At this point the test filter is cleaned by a pulse of compressed air from the clean-gas side of the fabric. The next filtration cycle begins immediately after the cleaning is complete.

Performance testing occurs for a 6-hour period immediately following the recovery period (a cumulative total of 10,030 filtration cycles after the test filter has been installed in the test apparatus). During the performance test period, normal filtration cycles are maintained and, as in the case of the conditioning and recovery periods, the test filter is subjected to continuous and constant dust loading.

The filtration velocity (gas-to-cloth ratio [(G/C]) and inlet dust concentrations are maintained at 180 ± 9 m/h (9.8 ± 0.5 fpm) and 18.4 ± 3.6 g/dscm (8.0 ± 1.6 gr/dscf), respectively, throughout all phases of the test.

Additional details on the test procedure are provided in Appendix A.

2.1 SELECTION OF FILTRATION SAMPLE FOR TESTING

Filter fabric samples of L4427 were supplied to ETS directly from the manufacturer (W.L. Gore & Associates) with a letter signed by Richard Winkelmayer, Business Leader, Industrial Filtration Division, W.L. Gore & Associates, attesting that the filter media were selected at random in an unbiased manner from commercial-grade media and were not treated in any manner different from the media provided to customers. The manufacturer supplied the test laboratory with nine 46 x 91 cm (18 x 36 in.) filter samples. The test laboratory randomly selected three samples and prepared them for testing by cutting one test specimen of 150 mm (5.9 in.) diameter from each selected sample for insertion in the test rig sample holder. The sample holder has an opening of 140 mm (5.5 in.) in diameter, which is the dimension used to calculate the face area of the tested specimen.

SECTION 3 DESCRIPTION OF FILTER FABRIC

The W.L. Gore & Associates L4427 filter fabric is a GORE-TEX® membrane/polyester felt laminate.

SECTION 4 VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

4.1 QUALITY ASSURANCE

The verification tests were conducted in accordance with an approved test/QA plan.² The EPA Quality Assurance Manager conducted an independent assessment of the test laboratory in February 2000 and found that the test laboratory was equipped and operated as specified in the test/QA plan.

The ETS QA Officer and APCT QA staff have reviewed the results of this test and have found, with the exception of the results from the dust characterization control test, that the results meet data quality objectives as stated in the test/QA plan. It was determined that the dust characteristics continued to achieve the intent of the program and were found not to change the results of the tests significantly. Therefore, the verification test runs proceeded and the results were retained. In addition, it should be noted that, because of the highly efficient nature of the filter medium being tested, one or more of the impactor substrate weighings for these results were near the reproducibility of the balance. As a result of this occurrence, the tests do not meet the data quality objectives stated in the test/QA plan for mass gain associated with outlet concentrations. The true values of the outlet concentrations may be more than plus or minus 15 percent of the reported values. Data on calibration certificates for the flow meters, flow transducers, weights, low- and high-resolution balances, thermometer, and humidity logger are provided in Appendix B.

4.2 RESULTS

Table 3 summarizes the mean outlet particle concentration measurements for the verification test periods. Measurements were conducted during the 6-hour performance test period. The performance test period followed a 10,000-cycle conditioning period and a 30-cycle recovery period. Upstream and downstream particle concentration information for each verification test period is provided in Appendix C.

The top portion of Table 3 summarizes three verification tests that were performed under standard verification test conditions. The average residual pressure drop (DP) across each filter sample at the nominal 180 m/h (9.8 fpm) filtration velocity (for a flowrate of 5.8 m³/h [3.4 cfm]) is also shown in Table 3. This pressure drop ranged from 5.41 to 6.46 cm w.g. (2.13 to 2.54 in. w.g.) for the three filter samples tested. The residual pressure drop increase ranged from 0.36 to 0.48 cm w.g. (0.14 to 0.19 in. w.g.) for the samples tested. All three standard condition verification runs were used to compute the averages given in Table 3. The $PM_{2.5}$ concentration average for the three runs is 0.0000047 g/dscm. The total PM concentration average for the three runs is 0.0000115 g/dscm.

Table 3. Summary of Verification Results For W.L. Gore & Associates' Fabric L4427

Test Run Number	2V04-R1	2V04-R2	2V04-R3	Average*
PM _{2.5} (g/dscm)**	0.0000093	0.0000031	0.0000016	0.0000047
Total PM (g/dscm)	0.0000125	0.0000110	0.0000110	0.0000115
Average Residual DP (cm w.g.)	6.46	5.41	5.61	5.83
Initial Residual DP (cm w.g.)	6.18	5.18	5.42	5.59
Residual DP Increase (cm w.g.)	0.48	0.40	0.36	0.41
Mass Gain of Sample Filter (g)	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.13
Average Filtration Cycle Time (s)	65	86	86	79

^{*}All three verification runs were used to compute averages.

4.3 LIMITATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

This verification report addresses two aspects of baghouse filtration product performance: outlet particle concentration and pressure drop. Users may wish to consider other performance parameters such as service life and cost when selecting a baghouse filtration fabric for their application.

In accordance with the generic verification protocol, this verification statement is applicable to the baghouse filtration product manufactured between September 28, 2001 and 3 years thereafter.

SECTION 5 REFERENCES

- 1. Generic Verification Protocol for Baghouse Filtration Products, RTI, Research Triangle Park, NC, February 2000. Available at http://etv.rti.org/apct/pdf/baghouseprotocol.pdf.
- 2. Test/QA Plan for the Verification Testing of Baghouse Filtration Products, ETS, Inc., Roanoke, VA, February 1999.

^{**} Standard conditions: 101.3 kPa (14.7 psia) and 20°C (68°F). One or more of the impactor substrate weight changes for these results were near the reproducibility of the balance.

Appendix A

DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST RIG AND THE METHODOLOGY

DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST RIG AND METHODOLOGY

TEST APPARATUS

The tests were conducted in ETS' FEMA test apparatus (Figure A-1). The test apparatus consists of a brush-type dust feeder that disperses test dust into a vertical rectangular duct (raw-gas channel). The dust feed rate is continuously measured and recorded via an electronic scale located beneath the dust feed mechanism. The scale has a continuous read-out with a resolution of 10 g. A radioactive polonium-210 alpha source is used to neutralize the dust electrically before its entry into the raw-gas channel. An optical photo sensor monitors the concentration of dust and ensures that the flow is stable for the entire duration of the test. The optical photo sensor does not measure concentration. A portion of the gas flow is extracted from the raw-gas channel through the test filter, which is mounted vertically at the entrance to a horizontal duct (clean-gas channel). The clean-gas channel flow is separated in two gas streams, a sample stream and a bypass stream. An aerodynamic "Y" is used for this purpose. The aerodynamic "Y" is designed for isokinetic separation of the clean gas with 40 percent of the clean gas entering the sample-gas channel without change in gas velocity. The sample-gas channel contains an Andersen impactor for particle separation and measurement. The bypass channel contains an absolute filter. The flow within the two segments of the "Y" is continuously monitored and maintained at selected rates by adjustable valves. Two vacuum pumps maintain air flow through the raw-gas and clean-gas channels. The flow rates, and thus the G/C through the test filter, are kept constant and measured using mass flow controllers. A pressure transducer is used to measure the average residual pressure drop of the filter sample. The pressure transducer measures the differential pressure across the filter samples 3 seconds after the cleaning pulse. The pressure drop measurements are averaged as stated in Appendix C, section 4.4.1. High-efficiency filters are installed upstream of the flow controllers and pumps to prevent contamination or damage caused by the dust. The cleaning system consists of a compressed-air tank set at 0.5 MPa (75 psi), a quick-action diaphragm valve, and a blow tube (25.4 mm [1.0 in.] dia.) with a nozzle (3 mm [0.12 in.] dia.) facing the downstream side of the test filter.

CONTROL TESTS

Two types of control tests were performed during the verification test series. The first was a dust characterization, which was performed at the beginning of the first verification test. The reference dust that was used during the verification tests was Pural NF aluminum oxide dust. The Pural NF dust was oven dried for 2 hours and sealed in an airtight container prior to its insertion into the FEMA apparatus. The dust characterization results had to meet the requirements of a $1.5~\mu m$ maximum mass mean diameter and at least 66~percent less than $2.5~\mu m$ to continue the verification test series.

The original test dust specification was based on data available when the test protocol was first written. Through laboratory practices and information obtained from the dust manufacturer, we determined that the original test protocol specification of the dust cannot be met on a consistent basis and therefore have elected to proceed with the tests using the dust available at the time of testing. It does not appear that the verification test results were significantly influenced by this shift to a broader size range for the test dust.

The second control test, the reference value test, is performed quarterly using the reference fabric and the FEMA apparatus. The reference value test determines the weight gain of the reference fabric as well as the maximum pressure drop. The results of the test verify that the FEMA apparatus is operating within

the required parameters. The reference value test measurements must meet the following requirements of weight gain of reference fabric equal to 0.93 ± 0.09 g and a reference fabric maximum pressure drop of 1.84 ± 0.18 cm w.g. to proceed with verification testing.

The results of the control tests are summarized in Table A-1.

Table A-1. Summary of Control Test Results

	Requirement	Measured Value	Met Requirements?
Mass Mean Diameter, µm	< 1.5	3.32	No
% Less than 2.5 µm	> 66	41.76	No
Weight Gain, g	0.93 ± 0.09	1.02	Yes
Maximum DP, cm w.g.	1.84 ± 0.18	1.90	Yes

ANALYSIS

The equations used for verification analysis are described below.

 A_f = Exposed area of sample filter, m^2

 C_{ds} = Dry standard outlet particulate concentration of total mass, g/dscm $C_{2.5ds}$ = Dry standard outlet particulate concentration of $PM_{2.5}$, g/dscm

d = Diameter of exposed area of sample filter, m

 F_a = Dust feed concentration corrected for actual conditions, g/m³ F_s = Dust feed concentration corrected for standard conditions, g/dscm

G/C = Gas-to-cloth ratio, m/h

M_t = Total mass gain from Andersen impactor, g

 $M_{2.5}$ = Total mass gain of particles equal to or less than 2.5 μ m diameter from Andersen impactor, g. This value may need to be linearly interpolated from test data.

Number of filtration and a in a since mention as took

N = Number of filtration cycles in a given performance test period

 P_{avg} = Average residual pressure drop, cm w.g.

P_i = Residual pressure drop for ith filtration cycle, cm w.g.

 P_s = Absolute gas pressure as measured in the raw gas channel, mbar

 Q_a = Actual gas flow rate, m^3/h

 Q_{ds} = Dry standard gas flow rate, dscmh

 $Q_{2.5ds}$ = Dry standard gas flow rate for 2.5 μ m particles, dscmh Q_{st} = Standard gas flow rate for a specific averaging time, t, dscmh

t = Specified averaging time or sampling time, s

t_c = Average filtration cycle time, s T_s = Raw gas channel temperature, °F

w_f = Weight of dust in feed hopper following specified time, g. Because of vibrations causing short-term

fluctuations to the feed hopper, it is recommended that this value be measured as a 1-min average.

 w_i = Weight of dust in feed hopper at the beginning of the specified time, g. Because of vibrations causing short-term fluctuations to the feed hopper, it is recommended that this value be measured as a 1-min average.

Conversion factors and standard values used in the equations are listed below.

460 = 0 °F, in °R

1013 = Standard atmospheric pressure, mbar

528 = Standard temperature, °R

Area of Sample Fabric - A_f

 $A_f = (\pi * d^2)/4$

Actual Gas Flow Rate - Qa

$$Q_{a} = Q_{ds} * \left[\underbrace{(T_{s} + 460) * 1013}_{P_{s} * 528} \right]$$

Gas-to-Cloth Ratio - G/C

 $G/C = Q_a / A_f$

Standard Dust Feed Concentration - F_s, for a specified time - t

 $F_s = (w_i - w_f) / (Q_{st} * t)$

Actual Raw Gas Dust Concentration - Fa

$$F_a = F_s * \left[\frac{(T_s + 460) * 1013}{P_s * 528} \right]$$

Dry Standard Clean Gas Particulate Concentration, Total Mass - C_{ds}

 $C_{ds} = M_t / [Q_{ds} * t * (1 - \%H_2O/100)]$

Dry Standard Clean Gas Particulate Concentration, PM-2.5 - $C_{\rm 2.5ds}$

 $C_{2.5ds} = M_{2.5} / [Q_{2.5ds} * t * (1 - \%H_2O/100)]$

Filtration Cycle Time - $t_{\rm c}$

 $t_c = t/N$

Average Residual Pressure Drop - Pava

 $P_{avg} = \Sigma P_i / N$

REFERENCES

1. Test/QA Plan for the Verification Testing of Baghouse Filtration Products, ETS, Incorporated, Roanoke, VA, February 1999.




Figure A-1. Diagram of FEMA test apparatus

Appendix B

DATA ON CERTIFICATES OF CALIBRATION

Table B-1. Status of Instrument Calibrations for Baghouse Filtration Products Verification Tests.

Instrument	Measured Parameter	Manufacturer and Model No.	Serial No.	Certificate No.	Date of Certification	Certificate Expiration Date	NIST Traceable ?	Current For Test?
High Resolution Balance	Impactor Substrate Weight	Precisa 262SMA-FR	16157	914	11/29/2000	11/29/2001	YES	YES
Low Resolution Balance	Sample Filter Weight	Mettler P 1210N	562968	913	11/29/2000	11/29/2001	YES	YES
2,000 g Weight	Dust Feed Weight Cell	Troemner 2,000 g	37672	152227B	11/29/2000	11/29/2001	YES	YES
100 g Weight	Low Resolution Balance	Troemner 100 g	37670	152227	11/29/2000	11/29/2001	YES	YES
1 g Weight	High Resolution Balance	Troemner 1 g	45300	161484	11/29/2000	11/29/2001	YES	YES
1 mg Weight	High Resolution Balance	Troemner 1 mg	37080	151748	11/29/2000	11/29/2001	YES	YES
Thermocouple	FEMA Temperature	LTG GmbH "K" Type	T-1	Calibrated Against Thermometer	01/03/2001	04/03/2001	YES	YES
	Mercury Thermometer*	VWR Scientific	3C2082	992117	12/29/1999	NA	YES	YES

(continued)

W.L. Gore & Associates L4427

Table B-1. Status of instrument calibrations for baghouse filtration products verification tests (continued).

Instrument	Measured Parameter	Manufacturer and Model No.	Serial No.	Certificate No.	Date of Certification	Certificate Expiration Date	NIST Traceable ?	Current For Test?
Relative Humidity	Lab Relative Humidity	ACR Systems, Inc. SR2	66884	19655	11/23/2000	11/23/2001	YES	YES
Pressure Transducer	ΔP Across Sample Filter	Hastings 223BD-00010AABS	000320459	ST STDNN SET #4B	12/23/2000	12/23/2001	YES	YES
	Barometric Pressure	Hastings 223BD-00010AABS	145265	STDNN SET #4B	12/23/2000	12/23/2001	YES	YES
Flow Meters	Clean Gas	Hastings HFC-203	123917	Calibrated Against Dry Gas Meter	01/05/2001	04/05/2001	YES	YES
	Raw Gas	Hastings HFC-203	119148	Calibrated Against Dry Gas Meter	01/05/2001	04/05/2001	YES	YES
	Sample Gas	Hastings 223BD-00010 AABS	000320459	Calibrated Against Dry Gas Meter	01/05/2001	04/05/2001	YES	YES
	Dry Gas Meter*	Rockwell S-275	009548	Letter of 07/10/2000	07/10/2000	08/10/2001	YES	YES
Charge Neutralizer	Not applicable	NRD, LLC Nuclecel P-2031	A2AP708	4638	12/06/2000	12/07/2001	YES	YES

^{*}This device is used locally to calibrate other instruments (for temperature or gas flow, as appropriate).

Note: Each of the certificates described in Table B-1 is on file at ETS, Inc.

Appendix C

VERIFICATION TESTING SHEETS

VERIFICATION TESTING OF BAGHOUSE FILTRATION PRODUCTS SUMMARY OF RESULTS AT 9.8 FPM

RUN ID. FABRIC DESIGNATION MANUFACTURER DUST FEED	2V04-R1 L4427-1 W.L. Gore & Associates Pural NF	2V04-R2 L4427-3 W.L. Gore & Associates Pural NF	2V04-R3 L4427-5 W.L. Gore & Associates Pural NF	Average
QUICKCHECK Mass Mean Diameter % Less than PM 2.5	3.32 41.76			3.32 41.76
CONDITIONING PERIOD Date Started Time Started Time Ended Test Duration (min.)	3/8/2001 14:18 22:38 500	3/9/2001 15:04 23:24 500	3/12/2001 15:28 23:48 500	500
RECOVERY PERIOD Date Started Time Started Time Ended Test Duration (min.)	3/9/2001 7:58 8:32 34	3/12/2001 8:02 8:53 51	3/13/2001 8:06 8:54 48	44
PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD Date Started Time Started Time Ended Test Duration (min.)	3/9/2001 8:51 14:51 360	3/12/2001 9:13 15:13 360	3/13/2001 9:17 15:17 360	360
VERIFICATION TEST RESULTS	<u> </u>			
Mean Outlet Particle Conc.	0.0000093	0.0000031	0.0000016	0.0000047
PM 2.5 (g/dscm) Mean Outlet Particle Conc. Total mass (g/dscm)	0.0000125	0.0000110	0.0000110	0.0000115
Initial Residual Pressure Drop (cm w.g.)	6.18	5.18	5.42	5.59
Change in Residual Pressure Drop (cm w.g.)	0.48	0.40	0.36	0.41
Average Residual Pressure	6.46	5.41	5.61	5.83
Drop (cm w.g.) Mass Gain of Filter	0.1	0.13	0.17	0.13
Sample (g) Average Filtration Cycle Time (s)	65	86	86	79

RTI/ETV PRELIMINARY TESTING
DUST CHARACTERIZATION - PURAL NF
ANDERSEN IMPACTOR PARTICLE SIZING
GRAVIMETRIC ANALYTICAL DATA AND RESULTS

RUN NUMBER: **2V04-1** TEST DATE: 03/21/2001

0 ,		00/2 ./200 .						
			Tare	Tare	Total	Total	Mass	Negative
Filter I.D.			Filter Mass	Beaker Mass	Tare Mass	Final Mass	Difference	Difference?
Sample I.D.	Wash Vol.(ml)	Stage	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)
VDI-00-75	50	Acetone Wash	NA	0	0	0	0.00000	NA
VDI-00-75-1		1	1.18134	0	1.18134	1.18258	0.00124	NA
VDI-00-75-2		2	1.09594	0	1.09594	1.09788	0.00194	NA
VDI-00-75-3		3	1.20464	0	1.20464	1.21062	0.00598	NA
VDI-00-75-4		4	1.02528	0	1.02528	1.03032	0.00504	NA
VDI-00-75-5		5	1.05376	0	1.05376	1.06006	0.00630	NA
VDI-00-75-6		6	1.15779	0	1.15779	1.16383	0.00604	NA
VDI-00-75-7		7	1.07334	0	1.07334	1.07697	0.00363	NA
VDI-00-75-8		8	1.03598	0	1.03598	1.03806	0.00208	NA
VDI-00-75-F		9	1.07704	0	1.07704	1.07913	0.00209	NA

Total 0.03434


IMPACTOR PARTICLE SIZING RESULTS

Impactor Flow Rate: 0.175 cfm
Isokinetics: 100.47 %
Viscosity of Gas: 0.000163 poise

	Particulate Mass	Cumulative % Less Than	D50 Cut Point
STAGE	(g)	Diameter	(micrometers)*
1	0.00124	96.39	10.58
2	0.00194	90.74	9.98
3	0.00598	73.33	6.23
4	0.00504	58.65	4.17
5	0.00630	40.30	2.36
6	0.00604	22.71	1.08
7	0.00363	12.14	0.67
8	0.00208	6.09	0.37
9	0.00209		

Mass Mean Diameter, micrometers 3.32 % Less Than PM 2.5 41.76

^{*} Calculated as an aerodynamic diameter using a particle density of 2.65 g/ml.



Dust Characterization

FOR TEST SERIES	2V04-1		
DUST TYPE	Pural NF		
	20/21/2021		
DATE	03/21/2001	DATA (FOR RAW GAS CHANNEL)	
START TIME	1:00	Actual Flow	5.84 m ³ /hr
END TIME	1:05		3.44 cfm
STACK LENGTH	111 mm	Std. Flow	5.48 scm/hr
STACK WIDTH	291 mm		3.22 scfm
STACK AREA	0.0323m^2	Raw Gas Pressure	964.61 mbar
NOZZLE I.D.	1.797 in.	Sample Gas Temperature	24.6 ° C
	0.046 m		76.2 ° F
METER BOX GAMMA	0.9570		
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE	28.51 in. Hg		
TEST DURATION	5 min.		
METER VACUUM	2.0 in. Hg		

INTERMEDIATE	RESULTS
--------------	---------

INTERMEDIATE RESULTS		METHOD 3 DA	<u>ATA</u>			
Metered Volume	0.907 ft ³	%O2	20.9	Md	28.84	
Volume @ Std.Cond.	0.821 scf	%CO2	0.0	Ms	28.72	
Volume at Raw Gas Conditions	0.875 scf	%CO	0.0	Ps	28.48	in. Hg
Water	1.04 %	%N2	79.1			
Isokinetics	100.5 %	O2+CO2	20.9			

	STACK			METER	METER TEMPERA	TURE
	TEMP	DP	DH	VOLUME	INLET	OUTLET
POINT	<u>(° F)</u>	<u>(in. w.g.)</u>	<u>(in. w.g.)</u>	(liters)	<u>(° F)</u>	<u>(° F)</u>
1	76.2	1E-05	6.125	2520.23	72	72
				2545.91	72	72
			Volume Change	: 25.68	72	
					(Avg. of 4 temp	s.)

Md - Dry Molecular Weight

Ms - Molecular Weight in Stack

Ps - Static Pressure

DH - Orifice Pressure Drop

DP - Pressure Drop

Note: All measurements are primary measurements and might be converted in subsequent calculations.

DOCUMENT

VERIFICATION TESTING OF BAGHOUSE FILTRATION PRODUCTS DETAILED SUMMARY OF DATA AND RESULTS

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID. 2V04-R1 NUMBER OF PULSES 10000 FABRIC DESIGNATION L4427-1 PULSE INTERVAL 3 s

MANUFACTURER W.L. Gore & Associates

DUST FEED Pural NF Moisture 0.87 %WV

DATE STARTED 3/8/2001
TIME STARTED 14:18
TIME ENDED 22:38
TEST DURATION 500 min.

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Di	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	14:18	15:18	1625.1	1534.0	91.1	2.80	2.67	5.47	25.0	971.81	16.8	184.0
61-120	15:19	16:18	1534.0	1434.8	99.2	2.81	2.68	5.49	25.2	971.33	18.2	184.9
121-180	16:19	17:18	1434.8	1336.1	98.7	2.81	2.68	5.49	25.2	971.12	18.1	184.9
181-240	17:19	18:18	1336.1	1238.3	97.8	2.81	2.68	5.49	25.0	971.09	18.0	184.8
241-300	18:19	19:18	1238.3	1137.0	101.3	2.81	2.68	5.49	24.7	971.04	18.6	184.6
301-360	19:19	20:18	1137.0	1036.7	100.3	2.81	2.68	5.49	24.5	971.08	18.4	184.5
361-420	20:19	21:18	1036.7	936.8	99.9	2.81	2.68	5.49	24.3	970.86	18.4	184.4
421-480	21:19	22:18	936.8	836.2	100.6	2.81	2.68	5.49	24.1	970.83	18.5	184.3
441-500 *	21:39	22:38	900.6	807.1	93.5	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.1	970.76	17.2	183.6
AVERAGE FOR	R 500 MINU	ITE RAW D	ATA		98.2	2.81	2.68	5.49	24.7	971.12	18.1	184.4
ACCEPTANCE					100				25		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

^{*} Test duration is a rolling 60 minute average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

C-5

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID. 2V04-R1 NUMBER OF PULSES 30 **FABRIC DESIGNATION** L4427-1 AVG. PULSE INTERVAL 69 s AVG . RESIDUAL DP 610.33 Pa MANUFACTURER W.L. Gore & Associates **DUST FEED** Pural NF MAX. PRESSURE DROP 1000 Pa

DATE STARTED 3/9/2001 TIME STARTED 7:58 * TIME ENDED 8:32

TIME ENDED 8:32
TEST DURATION 34 min.

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration				Dı	ust Feed ((g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm³/hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)		Time)	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/hr)
1-34	7:59	*	8:32	793.9	744.2	49.7	2.83	2.70	5.53	22.9	972.46	9.1	184.7

Moisture

0.85 % WV

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

0-0

^{*} First minute is not considered in calculations due to equipment stabilization.

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	2V04-R1	NUMBER OF PULSES	334
FABRIC DESIGNATION	L4427-1	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	65 s
MANUFACTURER	W.L. Gore & Associates	AVG. RESIDUAL DP	632.56 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	INITIAL RESIDUAL DP	605.4 Pa
DATE STARTED	3/9/2001	CHANGE IN DP	46.9 Pa
TIME STARTED	8:51	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
TIME ENDED	14:51		
TEST DURATION	360 min.	Moisture	0.85 %WV

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Di	ust Feed (g)	Av	erage Gas	Flow (sn	n ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp Avg Press Dust Conc.			G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	ime	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	Sample	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	8:51	9:51	1646.7	1557.5	89.2	2.82	2.67	5.49	1.08	23.61	973.31	16.4	182.8
61-120	9:52	10:51	1557.5	1461.0	96.5	2.82	2.68	5.50	1.08	24.29	973.94	17.7	183.8
121-180	10:52	11:51	1461.0	1361.6	99.4	2.82	2.68	5.50	1.08	24.81	973.80	18.2	184.2
181-240	11:52	12:51	1361.6	1264.0	97.6	2.82	2.68	5.50	1.08	25.25	973.37	17.9	184.5
241-300	12:52	13:51	1264.0	1169.9	94.1	2.82	2.68	5.50	1.08	25.45	972.87	17.3	184.7
301-360	13:52	14:51	1169.9	1077.5	92.4	2.82	2.68	5.50	1.08	25.56	972.75	16.9	184.8
AVERAGE FOI	R 360 MIN	UTE RAW	DATA		94.9	2.82	2.68	5.50	1.08	24.83	973.34	17.4	184.0

ACCEPTANCE	100	25	18.4	180
	+/- 20	+/- 2	+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

GRAVIMETRIC DATA

IMPACTOR SUBSTRATES		SAMPLE FILTER	
Backup Filter (PM 2.5)	0.00006 g	Tare Mass	11.85 g
Total Mass Gain	0.00008 g	Final Mass	11.95 g
		Mass Gain	0.10 g

OUTLET CONCENTRATION

Total Volume Sampled	6.92 m ³
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - PM 2.5	0.000087 g/m ³
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - Total Mass	0.0000116 g/m ³

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

7




Figure C-1. Change in Pural NF dust scale with time during performance period 2V04-R1.




Figure C-2. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance period 2V04-R1.

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID. 2V04-R2 NUMBER OF PULSES 10000 FABRIC DESIGNATION L4427-3 PULSE INTERVAL 3 s

MANUFACTURER W.L. Gore & Associates

DUST FEED Pural NF Moisture 0.90 %WV

DATE STARTED 3/9/2001
TIME STARTED 15:04
TIME ENDED 23:24
TEST DURATION 500 min.

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			D	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm³/hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Ti	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	15:04	16:04	1689.3	1595.2	94.1	2.79	2.67	5.46	25.3	972.99	17.4	183.9
61-120	16:05	17:04	1595.2	1498.2	97.0	2.80	2.66	5.46	25.2	973.23	17.9	183.1
121-180	17:05	18:04	1498.2	1399.9	98.3	2.80	2.66	5.46	24.8	974.06	18.2	182.7
181-240	18:05	19:04	1399.9	1304.5	95.4	2.80	2.66	5.46	24.4	974.78	17.6	182.4
241-300	19:05	20:04	1304.5	1209.2	95.3	2.80	2.66	5.46	24.1	975.30	17.6	182.0
301-360	20:05	21:04	1209.2	1116.4	92.8	2.80	2.66	5.46	23.9	975.63	17.2	181.9
361-420	21:05	22:04	1116.4	1024.5	91.9	2.80	2.66	5.46	23.6	975.92	17.0	181.7
421-480	22:05	23:04	1024.5	933.2	91.3	2.80	2.66	5.46	23.5	976.14	16.9	181.5
441-500 *	22:25	23:24	991.9	902.9	89.0	2.80	2.66	5.46	23.5	976.15	16.4	181.5
AVERAGE FOR	R 500 MINU	TE RAW D	ATA		94.4	2.80	2.66	5.46	24.3	974.81	17.4	182.4
ACCEPTANCE					100				25		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

^{*} Test duration is a rolling 60 minute average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

C-10

VERIFICATION TESTING OF BAGHOUSE FILTRATION PRODUCTS DETAILED SUMMARY OF DATA AND RESULTS

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID. 2V04-R2 NUMBER OF PULSES 30 L4427-3 103 s FABRIC DESIGNATION AVG. PULSE INTERVAL W.L. Gore & Associates AVG . RESIDUAL DP **MANUFACTURER** 503.73 Pa **DUST FEED** Pural NF MAX. PRESSURE DROP 1000 Pa DATE STARTED 3/12/2001 TIME STARTED 8:02 * Moisture 0.88 % WV

TIME ENDED 8:53
TEST DURATION 51 min.

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration				Di	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)		Time)	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/hr)
1-51	8:03	*	8:53	901.6	831.9	69.7	2.86	2.71	5.57	23.2	982.10	12.6	183.6

^{*} First minute is not considered in calculations due to equipment stabilization.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

-11

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	2V04-R2	NUMBER OF PULSES	251
FABRIC DESIGNATION	L4427-3	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	86 s
MANUFACTURER	W.L. Gore & Associates	AVG. RESIDUAL DP	529.85 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	INITIAL RESIDUAL DP	507.9 Pa
DATE STARTED	3/12/2001	CHANGE IN DP	39 Pa
TIME STARTED	9:13	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
TIME ENDED	15:13		
TEST DURATION	360 min.	Moisture	0.88 %WV

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Di	ust Feed (g)	Av	erage Gas	Flow (sn	Avg. Temp Avg Press Dust Conc. G/C			G/C Ratio	
(min.)	T	ime	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	Sample	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	9:13	10:13	1662.1	1580.8	81.3	2.84	2.69	5.53	1.07	24.12	980.93	14.8	183.1
61-120	10:14	11:13	1580.8	1485.3	95.5	2.85	2.70	5.55	1.08	24.96	980.31	17.4	184.4
121-180	11:14	12:13	1485.3	1381.2	104.1	2.85	2.70	5.55	1.07	25.50	979.42	18.9	184.9
181-240	12:14	13:13	1381.2	1276.0	105.2	2.85	2.70	5.55	1.07	25.68	978.03	19.1	185.3
241-300	13:14	14:13	1276.0	1166.4	109.6	2.85	2.70	5.55	1.07	25.75	976.39	19.9	185.6
301-360	14:14	15:13	1166.4	1061.0	105.4	2.85	2.70	5.55	1.08	25.71	974.99	19.2	185.9
AVERAGE FOI	R 360 MINU	UTE RAW D	DATA		100.2	2.85	2.70	5.54	1.07	25.29	978.35	18.2	184.8

ACCEPTANCE	100	25	18.4	180
	+/- 20	+/- 2	+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

GRAVIMETRIC DATA

IMPACTOR SUBSTRATES		SAMPLE FILTER	
Backup Filter (PM 2.5)	0.00002 g	Tare Mass	11.76 g
Total Mass Gain	0.00007 g	Final Mass	11.89 g
		Mass Gain	0.13 g

OUTLET CONCENTRATION

Total Volume Sampled	6.82 m ³	DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - PM 2.5	0.0000029 g/m ³	
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - Total Mass	0.0000103 g/m ³	

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

-12




Figure C-3. Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance period 2V04-R2.




Figure C-4. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance period 2V04-R2.

500 min.

CONDITIONING TEST PERIOD

RUN ID. 2V04-R3 NUMBER OF PULSES 10000 FABRIC DESIGNATION L4427-5 PULSE INTERVAL 3 s

MANUFACTURER W.L. Gore & Associates

DUST FEED Pural NF
DATE STARTED 3/12/2001
TIME STARTED 15:28
TIME ENDED 23:48

Moisture 0.92 %WV

QA/QC DATA

TEST DURATION

Test Duration			Di	ust Feed (g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Tii	me	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	15:28	16:28	1800.1	1711.8	88.3	2.80	2.67	5.47	25.1	973.73	16.3	183.7
61-120	16:29	17:28	1711.8	1615.4	96.4	2.81	2.67	5.48	25.0	973.33	17.8	183.7
121-180	17:29	18:28	1615.4	1525.0	90.4	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.8	972.87	16.6	183.6
181-240	18:29	19:28	1525.0	1431.7	93.3	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.7	972.24	17.2	183.7
241-300	19:29	20:28	1431.7	1337.6	94.1	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.6	971.50	17.3	183.8
301-360	20:29	21:28	1337.6	1247.1	90.5	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.5	970.49	16.7	183.9
361-420	21:29	22:28	1247.1	1154.1	93.0	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.4	968.19	17.1	184.3
421-480	22:29	23:28	1154.1	1062.6	91.5	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.4	967.72	16.9	184.4
441-500 *	22:49	23:48	1122.2	1030.3	91.9	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.4	967.31	16.9	184.5
AVERAGE FOR	R 500 MINU	ITE RAW D	ATA		92.4	2.81	2.67	5.48	24.7	971.09	17.0	183.8
												_
ACCEPTANCE					100				25		18.4	180
					+/- 20				+/- 2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

^{*} Test duration is a rolling 60 minute average. The last 60 minute frame was determined by counting 60 minutes back from the last minute of the test.

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:	
---------------------------	--

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

<u>C-15</u>

RECOVERY PERIOD

RUN ID. 2V04-R3 NUMBER OF PULSES 30 L4427-5 96 s FABRIC DESIGNATION AVG. PULSE INTERVAL W.L. Gore & Associates AVG . RESIDUAL DP **MANUFACTURER** 526.40 Pa **DUST FEED** Pural NF MAX. PRESSURE DROP 1000 Pa DATE STARTED

DATE STARTED 3/13/2001 TIME STARTED 8:06 * TIME ENDED 8:54

TEST DURATION 48 min.

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration				Dι	ıst Feed ((g)	Average	Gas Flow	(sm ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)		Time)	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/hr)
1-48	8:07	*	8:54	1026.9	956.8	70.1	2.81	2.67	5.48	23.9	962.23	13.0	185.1

Moisture

1.24 % WV

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

<u></u>-16

^{*} First minute is not considered in calculations due to equipment stabilization.

PERFORMANCE TEST PERIOD

RUN ID.	2V04-R3	NUMBER OF PULSES	251
FABRIC DESIGNATION	L4427-5	AVG. PULSE INTERVAL	86 s
MANUFACTURER	W.L. Gore & Associates	AVG. RESIDUAL DP	549.45 Pa
DUST FEED	Pural NF	INITIAL RESIDUAL DP	531 Pa
DATE STARTED	3/13/2001	CHANGE IN DP	35.5 Pa
TIME STARTED	9:17	MAX. PRESSURE DROP	1000 Pa
TIME ENDED	15:17		
TEST DURATION	360 min.	Moisture	1.24 %WV

QA/QC DATA

Test Duration			Dı	ust Feed (g)	Av	erage Gas	Flow (sn	n ³ /hr)	Avg. Temp	Avg Press	Dust Conc.	G/C Ratio
(min.)	Т	īme	Initial	Final	Total	Raw	Clean	Total	Sample	(° C)	(mbar)	(g/dscm)	(m/h)
0-60	9:17	10:17	1618.9	1534.6	84.3	2.80	2.66	5.46	1.07	24.51	962.21	15.6	184.8
61-120	10:18	11:17	1534.6	1439.0	95.6	2.81	2.67	5.48	1.07	24.75	962.13	17.7	185.7
121-180	11:18	12:17	1439.0	1345.0	94.0	2.80	2.66	5.46	1.07	25.18	961.81	17.4	185.3
181-240	12:18	13:17	1345.0	1250.5	94.5	2.79	2.67	5.46	1.07	25.72	961.57	17.5	186.4
241-300	13:18	14:17	1250.5	1155.0	95.5	2.79	2.66	5.45	1.06	25.91	960.98	17.7	185.9
301-360	14:18	15:17	1155.0	1057.0	98.0	2.79	2.66	5.45	1.06	26.13	960.16	18.2	186.2
AVERAGE FOR	R 360 MIN	UTE RAW [DATA		93.7	2.80	2.66	5.46	1.07	25.37	961.47	17.4	185.8
ACCEPTANCE					100					25		18.4	180
					+/- 20					+/- 2		+/- 3.6	+/- 9.0

GRAVIMETRIC DATA

IMPACTOR SUBSTRATES		SAMPLE FILTER	
Backup Filter (PM 2.5)	0.00001 g	Tare Mass	12.11 g
Total Mass Gain	0.00007 g	Final Mass	12.28 g
		Mass Gain	0.17 g

OUTLET CONCENTRATION

Total Volume Sampled	6.95 m ³
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - PM 2.5	0.0000014 g/m ³
Mean Outlet Particle Concentration - Total Mass	0.0000101 g/m ³

DATA PROCESSING OPERATOR:

Sharon M. Winemiller - ETS, Inc.

<u>`-</u>17




Figure C-5. Change in Pural NF dust scale reading with time during performance period 2V04-R3.




Figure C-6. Residual pressure drop across filter fabric during performance period 2V04-R3.

Appendix D

FABRIC MANUFACTURE'S SUBMITTAL LETTER



W. L. GORE & ASSOCIATES, INC.

101 LEWISVILLE ROAD • P.O. BOX 1100 • ELKTON, MARYLAND 21922-1100 PHONE: 410/392-3300

FAX: 410/398-6624

FILTRATION TECHNOLOGIES

February 16, 2001

Date: February 16, 2001 From: Dick Winkelmayer

Filter Samples for EPA ETV Baghouse Filtration Program Re:

To Whom It May Concern:

We have submitted nine filter samples (18" x 36") for participation in the EPA ETV Baghouse Filtration Program. The product's part number is L4427. It is a GORE-TEX® membrane/ polyester felt laminate. We have marked the samples according to the protocol's requirements.

I attest that the media samples were randomly selected from a production run and roll location and are representative of what is offered to the commercial market. A roll of the material was randomly selected from two existing rolls in our warehouse. Then a random roll location was selected and the samples were cut.


Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Dick Winkelmayer **Business Leader**

Industrial Filtration Division

/dhj:epa-etv samples



ASIA · AUSTRALIA · EUROPE · NORTH AMERICA

GORE-TEX, GORE-TEX LIGHT-PULSE, GORE-TEX HEAVYWEIGHT, GORE-SORBER, and The Gore-Tex Approach. . . Experience Working For You are trademarks of W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

GORE-TEX Approach is a service mark of W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.