US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION PROGRAM

File Contains Data for PostScript Printers Only





714-250-0924

## **ETV Joint Verification Statement**

TECHNOLOGY TYPE: PORTABLE EMISSION ANALYZER

APPLICATION: DETERMINING NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSIONS

TECHNOLOGY NAME: PG-250 Portable Gas Analyzer

**COMPANY:** Horiba Instruments, Inc.

ADDRESS: 17671 Armstrong Avenue PHONE: 714-250-4811

Irvine, California 92614 FAX:

WEB SITE: Http://www.horiba.com

E-MAIL: Info@horiba.com

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has created the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The goal of the ETV Program is to further environmental protection by substantially accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high quality, peer reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the design, distribution, financing, permitting, purchase, and use of environmental technologies.

ETV works in partnership with recognized standards and testing organizations; stakeholder groups which consist of buyers, vendor organizations, and permitters; and with the full participation of individual technology developers. The program evaluates the performance of innovative technologies by developing test plans that are responsive to the needs of stakeholders, conducting field or laboratory tests (as appropriate), collecting and analyzing data, and preparing peer reviewed reports. All evaluations are conducted in accordance with rigorous quality assurance protocols to ensure that data of known and adequate quality are generated and that the results are defensible.

The Advanced Monitoring Systems (AMS) program, one of 12 technology areas under ETV, is operated by Battelle in cooperation with EPA's National Exposure Research Laboratory. AMS has recently evaluated the performance of portable nitrogen oxides monitors used to determine emissions from combustion sources. This verification statement provides a summary of the test results for the Horiba PG-250 Portable Emission Analyzer.

### **VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION**

The verification test described in this report was one of a series of tests conducted in early 1999 on commercial portable nitrogen oxides analyzers at Battelle's facilities in Columbus, Ohio. Verification testing of the analyzers

involved (1) a series of laboratory tests in which certified NO and  $NO_2$  standards were used to challenge the analyzers over a wide concentration range and (2) tests using realistic combustion sources, in which data from the portable analyzers undergoing testing were compared to simultaneous NO and  $NO_x$  measurements obtained with two chemiluminescent analyzers.

Verification testing lasted three to four days, of which two days were required for laboratory testing and the remainder for source emissions testing. To assess inter-unit variability, two identical analyzers were tested simultaneously in all tests, and results from the two analyzers were kept separate. The analyzers were operated at all times by a representative of Horiba and supervised at all times by Battelle staff.

Verification testing focused on measurement of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, the sum of which is denoted as NO<sub>x</sub>. Laboratory testing included a linearity test over the entire nominal ranges of the analyzers for both NO and NO<sub>2</sub>; estimation of detection limits and response times; interference testing; assessment of sample pressure and ambient temperature effects on analyzer response; and evaluation of zero and span drift during the various laboratory tests. Tests with combustion sources assessed the accuracy of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> measurements, relative to the chemiluminescent NO/NO<sub>x</sub> approach that is the basis of EPA Method 7E. Sources used in the testing were a gas-fired rangetop burner, a gas-fired water heater, and a diesel-powered electrical generator operated at both idle and at high RPM. These sources produced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions ranging from less than 10 to over 400 ppm. Zero and span drift resulting from exposure to source emissions were assessed, and analyzer stability was monitored during one hour of uninterrupted sampling of diesel emissions.

Quality assurance (QA) oversight of verification testing was provided by both Battelle and U.S. EPA. Battelle QA staff conducted a technical systems audit, a performance evaluation audit, and a data quality audit of 10 percent of the test data. EPA QA staff conducted an independent on-site technical system audit.

#### TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

The Horiba Model PG-250 multi-gas portable analyzer is 10.2 inches high, 10.2 inches wide, and 20.1 inches deep and weighs 37 pounds. The PG-250 provides the user with simultaneous analyses of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> in flue gas samples. Each gas constituent can be monitored over multiple ranges. The PG-250 employs non-dispersive infrared detection of SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>; chemiluminescence detection of NO and NO<sub>x</sub>; and an electrochemical cell for O<sub>2</sub> measurement. Only the NO/NO<sub>x</sub> measurement capabilities were verified in this test. Measurements of NO<sub>x</sub> are achieved with a low temperature converter that reduces NO<sub>2</sub> to NO for detection. Although the PG-250 offers NO/NO<sub>x</sub> measurement ranges up to 2,500 ppm, the applicability of the NO<sub>2</sub> converter is limited to concentrations below 6 ppm. Converter lifetime is shortened by sampling NO<sub>2</sub> above 6 ppm. The PG-250 incorporates a built-in sample conditioner consisting of a dual-stage moisture removal system that includes a gravity drain separator and thermal-electric cooler. Other sample conditioning components can include acid mist eliminators, filters, sample pump, condensate drain pump, and a sample flow monitor. Sampling is accomplished with a 316 stainless steel unheated sample probe equipped with an external primary filter. Data may be output from the instrument via 4 to 20 mA analog signals or from the instrument's RS-232C serial communication port. A large liquid crystal display screen also displays in real time the gas parameters being measured, in addition to the selected measurement ranges for each gas and the sample flow through the analyzer.

#### VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

**Linearity:** The Horiba PG-250 analyzers provided linear response to NO over the full 0 to 2,500 ppm range tested. Response to  $NO_2$  over a range of 0 to 500 ppm was approximately linear, but exhibited a slope much less than one (i.e., about 0.7) on both analyzers. This behavior is attributed to the limited capacity of the  $NO_2$  converters in the analyzers.

**Detection Limit:** For analyzers A and B, respectively, detection limits determined from the linearity test data were 6 and 7 ppm on the 0 to 2,500 ppm range for NO, and 16 and 9 ppm on the 0 to 500 ppm range for NO<sub>2</sub>. Lower detection limits can be achieved using lower measurement ranges, as is indicated by the relative accuracy results obtained with a range of emission sources.

**Response Time:** Response times of both analyzers for NO were 40 seconds; for NO<sub>2</sub>, analyzer A had a response time of about 90 seconds, and analyzer B had a response time of 130 seconds.

**Zero/Span Drift:** Zero drift during laboratory tests was 6 ppm or less. Span drift in those tests was equivalent to about 1 to 3 percent of the corresponding span concentration. Shutting the analyzers down overnight produced no additional effect on zero or span drift.

**Interferences:** No interference was found from elevated concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, or hydrocarbons, but a reduction of about 13 percent in response to 381 ppm NO was seen when SO<sub>2</sub> was also present at about 450 ppm.

**Pressure Sensitivity:** Over the range of +10 to -10 inches of water (relative to the ambient pressure), the sample gas pressure had no significant effect on zero or span response to NO or NO<sub>2</sub>.

**Ambient Temperature:** Ambient temperature over the range of 45° to 105°F had a significant effect only on response to NO. The effect was about a 5 percent increase in NO response at reduced temperature, and about a 6 percent decrease in response to NO at elevated temperature, relative to response at room temperature.

**Relative Accuracy:** Accuracy for NO ranged from 1.9 to 8.5 percent relative to the reference method, in emission measurements on a range of sources. Accuracy for  $NO_2$  from those same sources ranged from about 35 to 50 percent, because of the limited capacity of the  $NO_2$  converters. The sources tested emitted predominantly NO, so the overall accuracy for  $NO_x$  determination ranged from 5 to 19 percent at  $NO_x$  levels from less than 10 to over 400 ppm.

**Inter-Unit Repeatibility:** Comparison of results from the two PG-250 analyzers shows that they performed essentially identically in the verification tests. Unit-to-unit agreement of the two Horiba analyzers was within 4.8 percent, on combustion sources emitting from 8 ppm to 400 ppm  $NO_x$ , and was usually better than the unit-to-unit agreement of the reference analyzers.

Gabor J. Kovacs
Vice President
Environmental Sector
Battelle

Date Gary J. Foley Director

National Exposure Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Date

NOTICE: EPA verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and Battelle make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.