US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION







ETV Joint Verification Statement

TECHNOLOGY TYPE: IMMUNOASSAY TEST KITS

APPLICATION: DETECTING ANTHRAX, BOTULINUM TOXIN, AND

RICIN

TECHNOLOGY NAME: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

COMPANY: Tetracore, Inc.

ADDRESS: 11 Firstfield Road, Suite C PHONE: 301-258-7553

Gaithersburg, MD 20878 FAX: 301-258-9740

WEB SITE: www.tetracore.com/
E-MAIL: tobrien@tetracore.com

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) supports the Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program to facilitate the deployment of innovative or improved environmental technologies through performance verification and dissemination of information. The goal of the ETV Program is to further environmental protection by accelerating the acceptance and use of improved and cost-effective technologies. ETV seeks to achieve this goal by providing high-quality, peer-reviewed data on technology performance to those involved in the design, distribution, financing, permitting, purchase, and use of environmental technologies. Information and ETV documents are available at www.epa.gov/etv.

ETV works in partnership with recognized standards and testing organizations, with stakeholder groups (consisting of buyers, vendor organizations, and permitters), and with individual technology developers. The program evaluates the performance of innovative technologies by developing test plans that are responsive to the needs of stakeholders, conducting field or laboratory tests (as appropriate), collecting and analyzing data, and preparing peer-reviewed reports. All evaluations are conducted in accordance with rigorous quality assurance (QA) protocols to ensure that data of known and adequate quality are generated and that the results are defensible.

The Advanced Monitoring Systems (AMS) Center, one of six verification centers under ETV, is operated by Battelle in cooperation with EPA's National Exposure Research Laboratory. The AMS Center has recently evaluated the performance of immunoassay test kits used to detect anthrax, botulinum toxin, and ricin. This verification statement provides a summary of the test results for the Tetracore, Inc., enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

VERIFICATION TEST DESCRIPTION

The ability of the Tetracore ELISA to individually detect various concentrations of anthrax spores, botulinum toxin, and ricin was evaluated between January 14 and April 23, 2004, by analyzing performance test (PT) and drinking water (DW) samples. PT samples included deionized (DI) water fortified with either the target contaminant, an interferent, both, or only a cross-reactive species. In addition to the PT and DW samples analyzed, method blank (MB) samples consisting of DI water also were analyzed to confirm negative responses in the absence of contaminants and to ensure that no sources of contamination were introduced during the analysis procedures. Verification test results showed how effective the Tetracore ELISA was at detecting the presence of each contaminant at several concentration levels, the consistency of the responses, and the susceptibility of the Tetracore ELISA to selected interferents and cross-reactive species. In most cases, three replicates of each PT and DW sample were analyzed to evaluate the reproducibility of the Tetracore ELISA results. Approximately 120 liters (L) of four DW samples were collected from geographically distributed municipal sources located in Florida (FL), New York (NY), Ohio (OH), and California (CA). These samples were dechlorinated with sodium thiosulfate, and then 100 L of each sample were concentrated using an ultra-filtration technique to a final volume of 250 milliliters (mL). Each DW sample (non-concentrated and concentrated) was analyzed without adding any contaminant, as well as after fortification with individual contaminants at a single concentration level to evaluate the effect of the DW matrix on the performance of the Tetracore ELISA. During the anthrax spore PT sample analysis, the lowest detectable concentration of the Tetracore ELISA was shown to be much higher than claimed by the vendor. Therefore, two preparations of spores were analyzed to further investigate these results. The two preparations included spores prepared at Battelle and preserved in a solution of water and phenol and spores prepared at Dugway Proving Ground and stored in spent culture media. Most of the samples analyzed were made from the Battelle-prepared, phenol-preserved spores. The other preparation was used to determine if the phenol preservation or the preparation technique was negatively affecting the sensitivity of the Tetracore ELISA. Solutions of vegetative anthrax cells also were analyzed to determine the sensitivity of the Tetracore ELISA to vegetative anthrax cells.

QA oversight of verification testing was provided by Battelle and EPA. Battelle QA staff conducted a technical systems audit and a data quality audit of 10% of the test data. This verification statement, the full report on which it is based, and the test/QA plan for this verification are all available at www.epa.gov/etv/centers/center1.html.

TECHNOLOGY DESCRIPTION

The following description of Tetracore ELISA was provided by the vendor and was not subjected to verification in this test.

The antigen-capture Tetracore ELISA detects antigens in samples by capturing them between a sandwich of antibodies. The immunosorbent assay uses immunological reagents to identify antibodies. The Tetracore ELISA can be read qualitatively (visually) and recorded by hand or quantitatively (using a photometer that measures and prints out the optical density of fluid samples in the microplate). Readings were made qualitatively during this verification test. To perform a test, positive and negative capture antibody reagents are applied to alternating wells of a 96-well plate, where they are passively adsorbed. If the target antigen is present in a sample, it will bind to the reagent. A detector antibody forms the top of the sandwich and binds to any antigen in the sample after it is captured. The conjugate, to which the enzyme is covalently bound, is the third reagent added; and it binds to the detector antibody. The substrate, added after the conjugate, contains 2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6sulfonate), which, in the presence of horseradish peroxidase, changes to a bright green. The amount of color change is directly proportional to the amount of horseradish peroxidase present, which correlates to the amount of antigen (target contaminant) bound in the sandwich. The color change confirms the "capture" of antigen by the antibody reagents. For 48 samples, the process takes approximately 5 hours. The Tetracore ELISA includes two 96-well plates, dilution buffer, wash buffer, and the appropriate reagents needed for the analysis. The 96-well microplate is 12.5 centimeters (cm) by 8 cm. One Tetracore ELISA (positive and negative coated wells) costs \$400.

VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE

The tables below summarize the performance of the Tetracore ELISA in detecting anthrax, botulinum toxin, and ricin.

Anthrax Summary Table

			Actual Fortified Anthrax	Positive Results Out		
Par	ameter	Sample Information	Concentration ^(a)	of Total Replicates		
			8×10^8 spores/mL	3/3		
		Battelle-prepared, phenol-	8×10^7 spores/mL	3/3		
		preserved spores	8×10^6 spores/mL	3/3		
			8×10^5 spores/mL	0/3		
			3×10^5 colony-forming	2/2		
		Vegetative cells	units (cfu/mL)	3/3		
	Contaminant-		$3 \times 10^4 \text{ cfu/mL}$	3/3		
	only PT samples		$3 \times 10^3 \text{ cfu/mL}$	0/3		
			$3 \times 10^3 \text{ cfu/mL}$	0/3		
			8×10^6 spores/mL	0/3		
			8×10^5 spores/mL	0/3		
Qualitative contaminant		Dugway-prepared spores	8×10^4 spores/mL	0/3		
			8×10^3 spores/mL	0/3		
results		230 mg/L Calcium (Ca)	•	3/3		
		90 mg/L Magnesium (Mg)	$8 \times 10^7 \text{ spores/mL}^{(b)}$			
	Interferent	2.5 mg/L humic acid	1 108 (Y (b)	3/3		
	PT samples	2.5 mg/L fulvic acid	1×10^8 spores/mL ^(b)			
		Humic acid and fulvic acid	$2 \times 10^6 \text{ spores/mL}^{(b)}$	6/6		
		Ca and Mg	2×10^6 spores/mL	0/6		
		Concentrated CA	$5 \times 10^7 \text{ spores/mL}^{(b)}$	3/3		
	DW samples	Concentrated NY	$5 \times 10^7 \text{ spores/mL}^{(b)}$	3/3		
	1	Unconcentrated DW	2×10 ⁶ spores/mL	0/24		
	C .: :	1×10^4 spores/mL	21 1	0/2		
	Cross-reactivity	Bacillus thuringiensis	unspiked 0/3			
	•		m the analysis of the interferent,	DW, or cross-reactivity		
			and fulvic acid samples, spiked			
F-1		what was detectable in DI water, generated positive results. <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> was				
False positives		prepared at concentrations much lower than the lowest detectable concentration of				
		Bacillus anthracis. Therefore, negative results with these samples do not necessarily				
		indicate a lack of cross-reactive	ity.			
		No false negative results were	generated for the analysis of into	erferent or DW samples		
	spiked with detectable levels of anthrax. Tetracore ELISA was not al					
concentr were spi		anthrax at the vendor-stated lin	thrax at the vendor-stated limit of detection (LOD), but was able to at much higher			
		concentrations. All of the unconcentrated DW samples and six Ca and Mg samples				
		were spiked at concentrations less than detectable and, therefore, were, as expected,				
		negative.				
Consistency	100% (47 out of 47) of the results were obtained in replicate sets in which all the					
			me result, whether positive or n			
Lowest detectable concentration		8×10^6 spores/mL - Battelle prep (vendor-stated LOD: 2×10^4 spores/mL); 3×10^4				
		cfu/mL - vegetative anthrax (no vendor-stated LOD); the Dugway preparation of spores				
		was not detectable at concentra	ations up to 8×10^6 spores/mL			

⁽a) The uncertainty of the enumeration technique is approximately 15%.

⁽b) Battelle-prepared, phenol-preserved spores.

Botulinum Toxin Summary Table

Para	meter	Sample Information	Botulinum Toxin Concentration (mg/L)	Positive Results Out of Total Replicates	
			0.004	0/3	
		Type A	0.02	3/3	
			0.04	3/3	
	Contaminant- only PT		0.2	3/3	
		Туре В	0.004	2/3	
	samples		0.02	0/3	
			0.04	1/3	
Qualitative			0.2	3/3	
contaminant			0.3	1/3	
positive results	Interferent PT samples	Ca and Mg	0.04	3/3 Type A 6/6 Type B	
		Humic acid and fulvic acid	0.04	1/3 Type A 3/6 Type B	
	DW samples	Concentrated DW	0.04	6/6 Type A 12/12 Type B	
		Unconcentrated DW	0.04	6/6 Type A 12/12 Type B	
	Cross- reactivity	0.04 mg/L Lipopolysaccharide	unspiked	0/3	
False positives		There were no false positive r samples.	esults for the interferent, DW, or cross-reactivity		
False negatives		Two out of three results were Type A was spiked into 2.5 m were false negatives when both	false negative when 0.04 mg/L botulinum toxin ng/L humic and fulvic acids, and three out of three tulinum toxin Type B was spiked into 0.5 mg/L humic no false negatives for the spiked DW samples.		
With the exception of 2.5 mg/L humic and fulvic acids spiked with 0.04 mg/L botulinum toxin Type A (1 out of 3 positive), results generated for botulinum Type A were 100% consistent. The DW and interferent samples spiked with botulinum toxin Type B were equally consistent, but the contaminant PT samples containing botulinum toxin Type B generated consistent results in just 2 out of sample sets. Overall, 98% of the results were from sample sets that were either positive or all negative.			ked with 0.04 mg/L ated for botulinum toxin nples spiked with ontaminant PT samples sults in just 2 out of 5		
Lowest detectable concentration		0.02 mg/L (Type A); not clear for Type B because of sporadic results. (vendor-stated LOD for botulinum toxin [non-specific]: 0.004 mg/L)			

Ricin Summary Table

Parameter		Sample Information	Ricin Concentration (mg/L)	Positive Results Out of Total Replicates
	Contaminant- only PT samples	Ricin PT samples	0.0015	0/3
			0.0075	3/3
			0.015	3/3
			0.075	3/3
Qualitative			15	3/3
contaminant	Interferent PT samples	Ca and Mg	0.015	6/6
positive results		Humic acid and fulvic acid	0.015	6/6
	DW samples	Concentrated CW	0.015	12/12
		Unconcentrated DW	0.015	12/12
	Cross- reactivity	0.015 mg/L Lectin from soybean	unspiked	0/3
False positives		No false positive results were generated for ricin in DW or interferent samples.		
False negatives		There were no false negative results for interferent or DW samples spiked with detectable concentrations of ricin.		
Consistency		100% of the results for ricin were obtained in replicate sets in which all the individual replicates had the same result, whether positive or negative.		
Lowest detectable concentration		0.0075 mg/L (vendor-stated LOD: 0.0015 mg/L)		

Other Performance Factors for Anthrax, Botulinum Toxin, and Ricin: A technically trained operator easily performed the Tetracore ELISA analysis. Untrained, non-technical, first-time users would not likely be able to perform the testing because of the need to use a multichannel pipettor, prepare solutions, and read a technical operating procedure. The Tetracore ELISA could be used outside the laboratory without a problem. At times it was difficult to determine whether the color of the sample had changed; no reader was used. Sample throughput was 48 samples in 5 hours.

Original signed by Gabor J. Kovacs	9/13/04	Original signed by E. Timothy Oppelt	<u>9/21/0</u> 4
Gabor J. Kovacs	Date	E. Timothy Oppelt	Date
Vice President		Director	
Energy and Environment Division		National Homeland Security Research Cen	iter
Battelle		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	

NOTICE: ETV verifications are based on an evaluation of technology performance under specific, predetermined criteria and the appropriate quality assurance procedures. EPA and Battelle make no expressed or implied warranties as to the performance of the technology and do not certify that a technology will always operate as verified. The end user is solely responsible for complying with any and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Mention of commercial product names does not imply endorsement.