

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



This research is funded by  
U.S. EPA - Science To Achieve  
Results (STAR) Program

Grant # **R829619**

**Nanostructured Porous Silicon and  
Luminescent Polysiloles as  
Chemical Sensors for  
TNT and  
Carcinogenic Chromium(VI) and Arsenic(V)**

William C. Trogler

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry  
University of California, San Diego

# Safe Drinking Water Act

The US Congress passed the Safe Drinking Water Act in 1974 to establish regulations that ensure the safety of drinking water in all states

The EPA sets the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for various impurities in water at a concentration deemed safe for public health


	MCL
Chromium	100 ppb
Arsenic	10 ppb*
TNT	2 ppb**

\* Current MCL for Arsenic is 50 ppb; new 10 ppb standard due in effect Jan 2006


\*\* For TNT, the standard is EPA Health Advisory standard, a non-binding technical guide to authorities

# Fluorescent Siloles

The small band gap makes siloles luminescent materials



The  $\sigma^*-\pi^*$  conjugation reduces the band gap as compared to cyclopentadiene




UV light




Solid state: Yellow powder fluoresces green under UV light

# Fluorescence Quenching



No quencher present

1. UV light excites an electron
2. Non-radiative decay
3. Electron relaxes to original energy, emitting excess energy as visible light (Fluorescence)




Quencher present

1. UV light excites an electron
2. Non-radiative decay
3. Excited electron transfers to electron-deficient analyte (Quenching)

# Siloles as Detectors

Siloles are sensitive, selective sensors for nitroaromatic species\*



Polysilole:

A 2  $\mu\text{m}$  toluene solution  
detects 50 ppb TNT\*

Cr(VI) and As(V), like nitroaromatics, are oxidizers, so perhaps siloles may be used for their detection as well

\*Sohn, H.; Sailor, M.J.; Magde, D; Trogler, W.C., *JACS*, 2003, **125**, 3821

# Polysilole as TNT Detector


Polysilole detects TNT through luminescence quenching



The left hand was contaminated w/ TNT, and touched to filter paper. The paper was then coated with polysilole.



A polysilole coated ticket shows quenching from TNT on a thumb that had handled TNT.




Fluorescence spectra of a toluene solution of polysilole shows quenching upon successive addition of TNT.

## Detection of Explosives in Seawater


Polysilole coated filter paper dipped in seawater.

Luminescence quenched by TNT spiked (50 ppb) seawater.



Dip line



# Luminescence Quenching by TNT





# TNT Intercalation


Static quenching mechanism is presumably due to TNT intercalation into receptor sites




Polysilole space filling model shows helical structure

# Tetraphenylsilole Dimers


- H-terminated and Me-terminated dimers, compared to Cl-terminated dimer\*, all show torsion angle of rings between 50-90°, which leads to a helical polymeric structure.



**Cl:**  $\angle \text{Cl1-Si1-Si2-Cl2} = 51.2^\circ$ ;  $\text{Si1-Si2} = 2.369(2) \text{ \AA}$



**H:**  $\angle \text{H1-Si1-Si2-H2} = 90.2^\circ$ ;  $\text{Si1-Si2} = 2.363(2) \text{ \AA}$




**Me:**  $\angle \text{C5-Si1-Si2-C10} = 92.3^\circ$ ;  $\text{Si1-Si2} = 2.3745(6) \text{ \AA}$

# Siloles as Cr(VI) and As(V) Sensors

- Chromium and Arsenic, like TNT, are oxidants
  - Possible luminescence quenching, like TNT
- Polysilole is selective to TNT
  - Due to organic bulk and intercalation sites for the planar aromatic
- By surface modification of the silole, perhaps siloles can become selective sensors for inorganic oxoanions



# Hydrosilation Imparts Hydrogen-Bonding Amino Group




Mass Spec [ES] (M+1) = 458.8

- This is the first hydrosilation known of a silole Si-H bond
- Methylhydrosilole is completely consumed (by NMR)

# Hydrogen-bonding Functionality




Silole fluoresces green under UV light




Chromate binds to amino silole through hydrogen bonds, and fluorescence is quenched


# Preparation of Nanoparticles



Solid state is highly luminescent




Organic solution is weakly luminescent




Aqueous/Organic colloid is more highly luminescent\*  
10  $\mu$ M silole amine solution

# Solvent Effects on Colloid Formation

Fluorescence vs. % Water




Aggregation begins at ~70% H<sub>2</sub>O



For a 4 mg/L solution of siloleamine

# Concentration Effects

Fluorescence Intensity vs. %H<sub>2</sub>O



Aggregation begins at >70% H<sub>2</sub>O, regardless of concentration




# Detection through Quenching

Nanoparticles      0.5 ppm Cr<sup>VI</sup>      1 ppm Cr<sup>VI</sup>




Visible quenching of luminescence  
is observed with only 0.50 ppm Cr(VI)

# Stern Volmer Plots of Various Colloids




# Luminescence Quenching by Cr(VI)


## Cr Quenching



## Stern Volmer plot for 4 mg/L, 95% Water



# Stern Volmer Plots (As) of Various Colloids






# Selectivity to Interferents

- Silole-amine less sensitive to some common aqueous oxoanions
  - $\text{NaNO}_3$  (2 ppth),  $\text{NaNO}_2$  (200 ppm)
- However, an *increase* in luminescence was observed with other analytes
  - $\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4$  (10ppm),  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  (25 ppm)
  - $\text{NaClO}_4$  (150 ppm),  $\text{NaClO}_3$  (500 ppm)
- Oxidative power versus kinetic/binding factors?


# Fluorescence Microscopy of Polysilole Nanoparticulates



Resolution Limit:  
1 micron


Field of view:  
164 x 164 microns

# AFM Imaging of Aminosilole Nanoparticulates



1  $\mu\text{m}$  x 1  $\mu\text{m}$

Aminosilole





1  $\mu\text{m}$  x 1  $\mu\text{m}$

Aminosilole coated with  $\text{SiO}_2$



# AFM Nanoparticle Characterization





- Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) was used to characterize size and distribution of silole nanoparticles
- Average Particle Size: 70 - 90 nm

However, it would be instructive to study nanoparticles in the colloid itself.


# Nanoparticle Stability

- Fluorescence intensity of nanoparticles decreases over time
  - Dissolved O<sub>2</sub> affecting stability?
  - Change in particle size?
  - Aggregation of particles?
    - Sonication does not cause return of fluorescence
  - Instability of silole in aqueous media?
    - pH dependent?
- Nanoparticles are being characterized by both fluorescence and light scattering methods

# Dynamic Light Scattering & Particle Size



PMT  
V output




AC  
FXN

**Diffusion Coefficient:  $D \sim 1/\tau_c$**   
**Radius:  $r = k_b T / 6\pi\eta D$**

Use DLS to study:


- Silole concentration and H<sub>2</sub>O/THF ratio on particle size
- Compare this data to fluorescence data
- Correlation with particle size and fluorescence?

# DLS of Polysilole




- Preliminary DLS experiments on polysilole have shown:
  - Particle size is less dependent on concentration than on solvent composition
  - Particles seem to decrease in size with added water
  - Particle diameter ranges: 60-200 nm

# Optical Sensors Methodology



- Recognition Element
  - What is there?
  - Provides specificity

- Transduction
  - Communicate with the outside world
  - Provides sensitivity




# Pollution sensors based on nanostructured Si

## Objective

1. Construct and study porous Si sensors for pollutants
2. Develop miniature, low power devices for integration into systems

## Integrated Sensor Circuit




## Results

1. Constructed and tested a low-power, battery powered sensor for VOCs.
2. Constructed a prototype for luminescence-based (polysilole) sensors
3. Demonstrated surface chemistry that allows sensor to detect VOCs in the presence of background humidity.
4. Discovered a method to construct active SERS substrates on porous Si supports

# Amplified Vapor Sensing in Microporous Media

**Capillary condensation:** Liquids spontaneously condense from vapor into cracks and pores as bulk liquid.



*Schematic of a PS sample*

**Kelvin Equation:**

$$k_B T \ln\left(\frac{P}{P_s}\right) = \gamma V_m \left(\frac{1}{R_K}\right)$$

Surface energy

Molar volume of the liquid

Effective pore radius

# Limits of Detection

*for vapors in N<sub>2</sub> carrier, 23 °C*

Gas	Vapor Pressure (Torr)*	Detection Limit
Ethanol	52	<b>172 ppb</b>
Toluene	26	<b>19 ppb</b>
DMMP	3	<b>2.7 ppb</b>



\*25 °C

Etch conditions: 13 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 min

Lower vapor pressure = lower detection limit





# Photonic Crystal Sensor - Hydrophobic






*simple response is not sensitive to changes in humidity*

# Palladium Coated Porous Silicon – Hydrogen Sensing




Pd nanoparticles on porous Si


*Langmuir* **2004**, *20*, 5104-5108.






## Response to H<sub>2</sub> (in nitrogen)




# SERS spectrum of Adenine on Ag:pSi



Porous Si




Ag crystallites on porous Si



# Sensor arrays

*Video imaging of “smart dust” photonic crystal sensors*



With AVAAK, inc.

# Acknowledgments

Kelsey Jones – high school student

Sarah Toal – graduate student

Sarah Urbas – graduate student

Professor Douglas Magde

Professor Michael J. Sailor

Jason Dorvee – undergraduate student

Kenneth MacGillivray – graduate student

Jamie Link – graduate student

Haohao Lin – graduate student

Professor Arnold L. Rheingold

Lev N. Zakarov, Scott Kassell, James A. Golan

Environmental Protection Agency

ARCS Foundation

San Diego Fellowship


CALIT Fellowship



# UCSD Nanosensors Lab



- DC Magnetron Sputtering
- Laser Interferometric Height Gauge
- Quartz Tube Annealing Furnace
- Microscopes
- Probe Station
- Plasma Cleaner
- Wire Bonder




Organic MBE

*In-Situ*

Device Preparation

# Sensor Test System



- Automated
- Small volume (<math><15\text{cm}^3</math>)
- Flexible
- Analyte delivery
- Simultaneously 10 sensor

## Examples: Concentrations

- Acetone: ~20 ppm
- DIMP: ~25 ppb

