January 7th, 2013
Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA
STAR Grant R834503

Diagnostic Monitoring of Biogeochemical Interactions of a Shallow Aquifer in Response to a CO_2 Leak

Postdoc/students

Qiang Yang
M. Elias Dueker
Natalia Zakharova
Kale Clauson
Kelsey Umemoto
Alan Seltzer
Ai-Lin Shao
Siyue Ai
Rachel Smedley

Technical support

Walter Masterson
John Goddard

PI/Co-PIs

David Goldberg
Gregory O'Mullan
Juerg Matter
Martin Stute
Taro Takahashi

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory
Columbia University | Earth Institute

Queens College

Barnard
The Liberal Arts College for Women in New York City

Project overview
– leaking scenario



(Apps et al, 2010)

(1) Estimate element release, microbial dynamics and their impacts in response to CO_2 leakage;

(2) Develop criteria for diagnostic monitoring and risk assessment of groundwater contamination.

Qiang Yang: geochemical perspective
Eli Dueker: microbiological perspective

January 7th, 2013
Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA
STAR Grant R834503

Groundwater geochemistry in field injection and lab incubation experiments simulating CO_2 leakage into shallow aquifers in Newark Basin

Qiang Yang

1) Introduction (study site, research question);
2) In-situ field injection of simulated CO_2 leakage;
3) Lab incubation experiments;
4) Implications for groundwater quality monitoring

Introduction

Study Site – Newark Basin



- Sediment-filled rift basin intruded by Palisades sill
- Fractured sedimentary bedrock aquifers

Research Questions

(1) What is the dependence of major and trace element release on *p*CO_2(pH) in response to CO_2 leakage?



Research Questions

(1) What is the dependence of major and trace element release on $p\mathrm{CO_2}$(pH) in response to CO_2 leakage?

(2) What is the difference of groundwater response to CO_2 in aquifers with different rock types?

(3) What are the potential impacts on groundwater quality and monitoring?

In-situ injection tests
lab incubation experiments

Field injection

Test well TW-3



intruded Palisades sill

T=0.02 m^2/day

sand and clay sedimentary rock

Single-well push-pull tests



- interval: 362-366 m
- volume: ~3 m^3 aquifer water with 1 bar of CO_2
- duration: 10 hours
- period: 3-6 weeks
- Tracer: KBr (50-100 mg/L of Br^-), SF_6 (~10 pmol/L)

Single-well push-pull tests



(Matter et al, 2007)

tracers





Primary Porosity

Secondary Porosity

(Umemoto thesis, 2012)

Field injection

Element release
- major ions

2011



2012







Element release
- trace element

2011



2012







Lab incubation

Element release

-TW3 sediment



TW-3 sediment (coarse, medium, fine)

- DI or aquifer water
- continuous N_2 or CO_2 flow

Lab incubation





Newark Basin outcrops (n=6) and cores (n=25) (sandstone, mudstone, basalt), aquifer water

- N_2 or CO_2 saturated initially
- live, dead

Element release - N_2 vs. CO_2 experiments



Lab incubation

Rock type - CO_2 experiments only









Implications for drinking groundwater quality

Primary drinking water standards (MCLs)

- Inorganic chemicals (in mg/L)
  - As (0.01), Ba (2), Be (0.004), Cd (0.005), Cr (0.1), Cu (1.3), Pb (0.015), Sb (0.006), Se (0.05), Tl (0.002)
  - F (4), NO_3_N (10), NO_2_N (1)
- Radionuclides: U (30 µg/L)

Secondary drinking water regulations (in mg/L)

- pH (6.5-8.5);
- Al (0.2), Cu (1.0), Fe (0.3), Mn (0.05), Zn (5)
- F (2), Cl (250), SO_4 (250)

Red: exceeding MCLs in field
Underscore: exceeding MCLs in lab

Implications for groundwater monitoring

- monitoring parameter:
  - -- pH (pCO_2), EC
  - -- alkalinity, Ca, Mg, Si
  - -- Mn, Fe, Cr, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Rb, Sr, Ba, U

- sensitive indicator:
  - -- pH, alkalinity, Ca, Mn, U (at 1% atm pCO_2)

Conclusions

(1) What is the dependence of major and trace element release on $p CO_2$(pH) in response to CO_2 leakage?

Under CO_2 leakage scenario, the release of elements is enhanced, and release rates are dependent on pH (pCO_2) caused by increased acidity, and/or redox condition in altered aquifer environment.

(2) What is the difference of groundwater response to CO_2 in aquifers with different rock types?

Sedimentary rocks tend to release more carbonate species, while basalts tend to release more silicates and metals such as Fe, Co, Ni. Elements such as Cu, As, Mo, U tend to bond with fine sediments and release to water under elevated CO_2 conditions.

(3) What are the potential impacts on groundwater quality and monitoring?

CO_2 leakage has negative impacts on shallow water quality, including increased acidity and inorganic chemicals such as Fe, Mn, Zn, Al, U, As, Cd, Cr.