

Municipal Solid Waste Generation, Recycling, and Disposal in the United States: Facts and Figures for 2010

Environmental Protection

Agency

OCUMEN

AR

П

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has collected and reported data on the generation and disposal of waste in the United States for more than 30 years. We use this information to measure the success of waste reduction and recycling programs across the country. These facts and figures are current through calendar year 2010.

In 2010, Americans generated about 250 million tons of trash and recycled and composted over 85 million tons of this material, equivalent to a 34.1 percent recycling rate (see Figure 1 and Figure 2). On average, we recycled and composted 1.51 pounds out of our individual waste generation of 4.43 pounds per person per day.





Figure 1. MSW Generation Rates, 1960 to 2010




Trends in Municipal Solid Waste in 2010

Our trash, or municipal solid waste (MSW), is made up of the things we commonly use and then throw away. These materials include items such as packaging, food scraps, grass clippings, sofas, computers, tires, and refrigerators. MSW does not include industrial, hazardous, or construction waste.

In 2010, Americans recovered almost 65 million tons of MSW (excluding composting) through recycling. Composting recovered over 20 million tons of waste. We combusted about 29 million tons for energy recovery (about 12 percent). Subtracting out what we recycled and composted, we combusted (with energy recovery) or discarded 2.9 pounds per person per day.

In 2010, newspaper/mechanical papers recovery was about 72 percent (7 million tons), and about 58 percent of yard trimmings were recovered (see Over the last few decades, the generation, recycling, composting, and disposal of MSW

have changed substantially. While solid waste generation has increased from 3.66 to 4.43 pounds per person per day between 1980 and 2010,



the recycling rate has also increased—from less than 10 percent of MSW generated in 1980 to about 34 percent in 2010. Disposal of waste to a landfill has decreased from 89 percent of the amount generated in 1980 to about 54 percent of MSW in 2010.

Figure 3). Metals were recycled at a rate of about 35 percent (see Table 1). By recycling almost 8 million tons of metals (which includes aluminum, steel, and mixed metals), we eliminated greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions totaling more than 26 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO₂E). This is equivalent to removing more than 5 million cars from the road for one year.*

About 136 million tons of MSW (54.2 percent) were discarded in landfills in 2010 (see Figure 4).

* All benefit calculations in this fact sheet are derived from EPA's Waste Reduction Model (WARM). Please see www.epa.gov/warm




Figure 3. Recycling Rates of Selected Products, 2010*

*Does not include combustion with energy recovery.




Figure 4. Management of MSW in the United States, 2010

Sources of MSW

We estimated residential waste (including waste from apartment houses) to be 55 to 65 percent of total MSW generation. Waste from commercial and institutional locations, such as businesses, schools, and hospitals amounted to 35 to 45 percent.

Analyzing MSW

Nationally, we recycled and composted just over 85 million tons of municipal solid waste. This provides an annual benefit of more than 186 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions reduced, comparable to the annual GHG emissions from over 36 million passenger vehicles.

We analyze waste by material, such as paper and paperboard, yard trimmings, food scraps, and plastics, and by major product categories, which include durable goods (such as furniture), nondurable goods (such as paper or clothing), containers and packaging (such as milk cartons and plastic wrap), and other materials (such as food scraps).

Materials in MSW

Total MSW generation in 2010 was 250 million tons. Organic materials continue to be the largest component of MSW. Paper and paperboard account for 29 percent and yard trimmings and food scraps account for another 27 percent. Plastics comprise 12 percent; metals make up 9 percent; and rubber, leather, and textiles account for 8 percent. Wood follows at around 6 percent and glass at 5 percent. Other miscellaneous wastes make up approximately 3 percent of the MSW generated in 2010 (see Figure 5).




Figure 5. Total MSW Generation (by material), 2010 250 Million Tons (before recycling)

Material	Weight Generated	Weight Recovered	Recovery as Percent of Generation		
Paper and paperboard	71.31	44.57	62.5%		
Glass	11.53	3.13	27.1%		
Metals					
Steel	16.90	5.71	33.8%		
Aluminum	3.41	0.68	19.9%		
Other nonferrous metalst	2.10	1.48	70.5%		
Total metals	22.41	7.87	35.1%		
Plastics	31.04	2.55	8.2%		
Rubber and leather	7.78	1.17	15.0%		
Textiles	13.12	1.97	15.0%		
Wood	15.88	2.30	14.5%		
Other materials	4.79	1.41	29.4%		
Total materials in products	177.86	64.97	36.5%		
Other wastes					
Food, other‡	34.76	0.97	2.8%		
Yard trimmings	33.40	19.20	57.5%		
Miscellaneous inorganic wastes	3.84	Negligible	Negligible		
Total other wastes	72.00	20.17	28.0%		
Total municipal solid waste	249.86	85.14	34.1 %		

Table 1. Generation and Recovery of Materials in MSW, 2010* (in millions of tons and percent of generation of each material)

* Includes waste from residential, commercial, and institutional sources.

† Includes lead from lead-acid batteries.

 Includes recovery of other MSW organics for composting. Details might not add to totals due to rounding. Negligible = Less than 5,000 tons or 0.05 percent. Significant amounts of material from each category were recycled or composted in 2010. The highest recovery rates were achieved in paper and paperboard, yard trimmings, and metals. We recycled more than 62 percent of the paper and paperboard we generated. Over 19 million tons of yard trimmings were composted, representing almost a five-fold increase since 1990. Recycling these three materials alone kept almost 29 percent of MSW out of landfills and combustion facilities. Recycling amounts and rates (recovery as a percent of generation) for all materials in 2010 are listed in Table 1.

Recycling and composting more than 85 million tons of MSW saved more than 1.3

quadrillion Btu of energy, the equivalent of over 229 million barrels of oil.



Products in MSW

The breakdown, by weight, of waste generated in 2010 by product category is shown in Figure 6. Containers and packaging made up the largest portion of MSW generated: about 30 percent, or almost 76 million tons. The second largest portion came from nondurable goods, which amounted to 21 percent, or about 53 million tons. Durable goods make up the third largest segment, accounting for about 20 percent, or 49 million tons.

The generation and recovery of materials in the product categories, by weight and recovery as a percent of generation, are shown in Table 2. This table shows that the recovery of containers and packaging was the highest of the four product categories, with over 48 percent of the generated materials recycled. Paper products, steel, and aluminum were the most recycled materials by percentage in this category. About 71 percent of paper and paperboard containers and packaging was recycled, including 85 percent of all corrugated boxes. Sixty-nine percent of steel packaging (mostly cans) was recycled. The recycling rate for aluminum packaging was about 36 percent, including almost 50 percent of aluminum beverage cans.




Table 2. Generation and Recovery of Products in MSW, 2010* (in millions of tons and percent of generation of each product)

Products	Weight Generated	Weight Recovered	Recovery as Percent of Generation		
Durable goods					
Steel	14.16	3.82	27.0%		
Aluminum	1.31	Negligible	Negligible		
Other non-ferrous metals ⁺	2.10	1.48	70.5%		
Glass	2.17	Negligible	Negligible		
Plastics	10.96	0.70	6.4%		
Rubber and leather	6.74	1.17	17.4%		
Wood	5.89	Negligible	Negligible		
Textiles	3.93	0.50	12.7%		
Other materials	1.82	1.41	77.5%		
Total durable goods	49.08	9.08	18.5%		
Nondurable goods					
Paper and paperboard	33.57	17.72	52.7%		
Plastics	6.40	Negligible	Negligible		
Rubber and leather	1.04	Negligible	Negligible		
Textiles	8.90	1.47	16.5%		
Other materials	3.23	Negligible	Negligible		
Total nondurable goods	53.14	19.19	36.1%		
Containers and packaging					
Steel	2.74	1.89	69.0%		
Aluminum	1.90	0.68	35.8%		
Glass	9.36	3.13	33.4%		
Paper and paperboard	37.68	26.85	71.3%		
Plastics	13.68	1.85	13.5%		
Wood	9.94	2.30	23.1%		
Other materials	0.34	Negligible	Negligible		
Total containers and packaging	75.64	36.70	48.5%		
Other wastes					
Food, other‡	34.76	0.97	2.8%		
Yard trimmings	33.40	19.20	57.5%		
Miscellaneous inorganic wastes	3.84	Negligible	Negligible		
Total other wastes	72.00	20.17	28.0%		
Total municipal solid waste	249.86	85.14	34.1%		

* Includes waste from residential, commercial, and institutional sources.

t Includes lead from lead-acid batteries.

 Includes recovery of other MSW organics for composting. Details might not add to totals due to rounding. Negligible = less than 5,000 tons or 0.05 percent.

Table 3. Generation, Materials Recovery, Composting, Combustion With Energy Recovery, and Discards of MSW,1960 to 2010 (in millions of tons)

Activity	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010
Generation	88.1	121.1	151.6	208.3	242.5	252.7	255.4	251.4	243.7	249.9
Recovery for recycling	5.6	8.0	14.5	29.0	53.0	59.3	63.1	61.7	61.5	64.9
Recovery for composting*	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	4.2	16.5	20.6	21.7	22.1	20.8	20.2
Total materials recovery	5.6	8.0	14.5	33.2	69.5	79.9	84.8	83.8	82.3	85.1
Combustion with energy recovery†	0.0	0.4	2.7	29.7	33.7	31.6	32.0	31.6	29.0	29.3
Discards to landfill, other disposal‡	82.5	112.7	134.4	145.3	139.4	141.2	138.6	136.0	132.4	135.5


* Composting of yard trimmings, food scraps, and other MSW organic material. Does not include backyard composting.

† Includes combustion of MSW in mass burn or refuse-derived fuel form, and combustion with energy recovery of source separated materials in MSW (e.g., wood pallets, tire-derived fuel).

Discards after recovery minus combustion with energy recovery. Discards include combustion without energy recovery. Details might not add to totals due to rounding.

Over 33 percent of glass containers were recycled while about 23 percent of wood packaging, mostly wood pallets, was recovered. Over 13 percent of plastic containers and packaging was recycled, mostly from soft drink, milk, and water bottles. Plastic bottles were the most recycled plastic products. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles and jars were recovered at about 29 percent. Recovery of high density polyethylene (HDPE) natural (white translucent) bottles was estimated at about 28 percent (see 2010 MSW Tables and Figures).

Every ton of mixed paper recycled can save the energy equivalent of 165 gallons of gasoline.



Overall, more than 18 percent of durable goods was recovered in 2010. Nonferrous metals other than aluminum had one of the highest recovery rates due to the high rate of lead recovery from lead-acid

batteries. With a 96 percent recycling rate, lead-acid batteries continue to be one of the most recovered products. Recovery of steel in all durable goods was 27 percent, with high rates of recovery from appliances and other miscellaneous items.

Measured by percentage of generation, products with the highest recovery rates in 2010 were lead-acid batteries (96 percent), corrugated boxes (85 percent), newspapers/mechanical papers (72 percent), steel packaging (69 percent), major appliances (65 percent), yard trimmings (58 percent), aluminum cans (50 percent), and mixed paper (45 percent) (see 2010 MSW Tables and Figures).

Recycling and Composting Collection Programs**

- Approximately 9,000 curbside recycling programs exist nationwide, up from 8,875 in 2002.
- About 3,090 community composting programs were documented in 2010, a decrease from 3,227 in 2002.

Activity	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010
Generation	2.68	3.25	3.66	4.57	4.72	4.67	4.64	4.53	4.35	4.43
Recovery for recycling	0.17	0.22	0.35	0.64	1.03	1.10	1.15	1.11	1.10	1.15
Recovery for composting*	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	0.09	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.40	0.37	0.36
Total Materials Recovery	0.17	0.22	0.35	0.73	1.35	1.48	1.54	1.51	1.47	1.51
Combustion with energy recovery†	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.65	0.66	0.58	0.58	0.57	0.52	0.52
Discards to landfill, other disposal‡	2.51	3.02	3.24	3.19	2.71	2.61	2.52	2.45	2.36	2.40
Population (millions)	179.979	203.984	227.255	249.907	281.422	296.410	301.621	304.060	307.007	309.051

Table 4. Generation, Materials Recovery, Composting, Combustion With Energy Recovery,and Discards of MSW, 1960 to 2010 (in pounds per person per day)

* Composting of yard trimmings, food scraps, and other MSW organic material. Does not include backyard composting.

t Includes combustion of MSW in mass burn or refuse-derived fuel form, and combustion with energy recovery of source separated materials in MSW (e.g., wood pallets, tire-derived fuel).

Discards after recovery minus combustion with energy recovery. Discards include combustion without energy recovery. Details might not add to totals due to rounding.

** Source: For 2002 data: BioCycle 2006. For 2010 data: EPA, Municipal Solid Waste in the United States: 2010 Data Tables and Figures.

Disposing of MSW

While the number of U.S. landfills has steadily declined over the years, the average landfill size has increased. At the national level, landfill capacity appears to be sufficient, although it is limited in some areas.

• Since 1990, the total amount of MSW going to landfills dropped by almost 10 million tons, from 145.3 million to 135.5 million tons in 2010 (see Table 3).

• The net per capita discard rate (after recycling, composting, and combustion for energy recovery) was 2.40 pounds per person per day, lower than the 2.51 per capita rate in 1960, when virtually no recycling occurred in the United States (see Table 4).

The Benefits of Recycling


Recycling has environmental benefits at every stage in the life cycle of a consumer product–from the raw material with which it's made to its final method of disposal. Aside from reducing GHG emissions, which contribute to global warming, recycling also reduces air and water pollution associated with making new products from raw materials. By utilizing used, unwanted, or obsolete materials as industrial feedstocks or for new materials or products, we can each do our part to make recycling work. Recycling also provides significant economic and job creation impacts, a topic discussed at www.epa.gov/epawaste/conserve/rrr/rmd/econ.htm.

In 2010, nationally, we recycled and composted over 85 million tons of MSW. This provides an annual benefit of more than 186 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions reduced, comparable to removing the emissions from over 36 million passenger vehicles. But the ultimate benefits from recycling are cleaner land, air, and water, overall better health, and a more sustainable economy.

Resources

The data summarized in this fact sheet characterizes the MSW stream as a whole by using a materials flow methodology that relies on a mass balance approach. For example, to determine the amounts of paper recycled, information is gathered on the amounts processed by paper mills and made into new paper on a national basis plus recycled paper exported, instead of counting paper collected for recycling on a state-by-state basis. Using data gathered from industry associations, businesses, and government sources, such as the U.S. Department of Commerce and the U.S. Census Bureau, we estimate tons of materials and products generated, recycled, and discarded. Other sources of data, such as waste characterizations and research reports performed by governments, industry, or the press, supplement these data. Recycling just 1 ton of aluminum cans conserves more than 207

million Btu, the equivalent of 36 barrels of oil, or 1,665 gallons of gasoline.



Energy Recovered from Waste Combustion

- In 2010, over 29 million tons of materials, or 11.7 percent, were combusted for energy recovery.
- MSW combustion for energy recovery has decreased from about 34 million tons in 2000 to 29 million tons in 2010.

The benefits of recycling and composting, such as elimination of GHG emissions, are calculated using EPA's WARM methodology. Please see: www.epa.gov/warm.

WARM calculates and totals GHG emissions of baseline and alternative waste management practices including source reduction, recycling, composting, combustion, and landfilling. The model calculates emissions in metric tons of carbon equivalent (MTCE), metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO₂E), and energy units (million Btu) across a wide range of material types commonly found in MSW. EPA developed GHG emissions reduction factors through a lifeIn percentage of total MSW generation, recovery for recycling (including composting) did not exceed 15 percent until 1990. Growth in the recovery rate to current levels (34.1 percent) reflects an increase in infrastructure and market demand for recovery over the last decade.

cycle assessment methodology. EPA's report, *Solid Waste Management and Greenhouse Gases: A Life-Cycle Assessment of Emissions and Sinks* (EPA-530-R-02-006), describes this methodology in detail (www.epa.gov/climatechange/wycd/waste/downloads/fullreport.pdf).

Full data tables on MSW characterization that support this Report and Summaries of the MSW characterization methodology and WARM are available on the EPA website along with information about waste reduction and recycling. Please see:

www.epa.gov/epawaste/nonhaz/municipal/msw99.htm

www.epa.gov/epawaste/conserve/rrr/index.htm.



United States Environmental Protection Agency Solid Waste and Emergency Response (5306P) Washington, DC 20460

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

EPA-530-F-11-005 December 2011 www.epa.gov/wastes