

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# Finding the Rx for Managing Medical Wastes



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES  
OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

# Office of Technology Assessment

## Congressional Board of the 101st Congress

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, *Massachusetts, Chairman*

CLARENCE E. MILLER, *Ohio, Vice Chairman*

### Senate

ERNEST F. HOLLINGS  
*South Carolina*

CLAIBORNE PELL  
*Rhode Island*

TED STEVENS  
*Alaska*

ORRIN G. HATCH  
*Utah*

CHARLES E. GRASSLEY  
*Iowa*

### House

MORRIS K. UDALL  
*Arizona*

GEORGE E. BROWN, JR.  
*California*

JOHN D. DINGELL  
*Michigan*

DON SUNDQUIST  
*Tennessee*

AMO HOUGHTON  
*New York*

JOHN H. GIBBONS  
*(Nonvoting)*

### Advisory Council

CHASE N. PETERSON, *Chairman*  
*University of Utah*

JOSHUA LEDERBERG, *Vice Chairman*  
*Rockefeller University*

CHARLES A. BOWSER  
*General Accounting Office*

LEWIS BRANSCOMB  
*Harvard University*

MICHEL T. HALBOUTY  
*Michel T. Halbouty Energy Co.*

NEIL E. HARL  
*Iowa State University*

JAMES C. HUNT  
*University of Tennessee*

HENRY KOFFLER  
*University of Arizona*

SALLY RIDE  
*California Space Institute*

JOSEPH E. ROSS  
*Congressional Research Service*

JOHN F.M. SIMS  
*Usibelli Coal Mine, Inc.*

MARINA v.N. WHITMAN  
*General Motors Corp.*

### Director

JOHN H. GIBBONS

The Technology Assessment Board approves the release of this report. The views expressed in this report are not necessarily those of the Board, OTA Advisory Council, or individual members thereof.

Recommended Citation:

U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, *Finding the Rx for Managing Medical Wastes*, OTA-O-459 (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, September 1990).

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents  
U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402-9325  
(Order form can be found in the back of this report.)

# Foreword

The adequate management of medical wastes first became a major focus of public attention when medical wastes with other debris washed ashore on the East Coast in the summer of 1988. In October of that year, as part of OTA's assessment of municipal solid waste management, OTA issued a background paper entitled *Issues in Medical Waste Management*. That study provided an overview of medical waste disposal practices and potential risks associated with them, and discussed the need for further Federal involvement in managing medical wastes.


Also in October of 1988, Congress passed the Medical Waste Tracking Act, establishing a 2-year demonstration tracking program for medical waste management and directing the Environmental Protection Agency and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry to complete several studies to evaluate management issues and potential risks related to medical waste disposal.

Some studies by these and other government agencies, and by private-sector interests, have been completed since that time on various aspects of medical waste management issues. The focus of concern has shifted primarily to the adequacy of handling, treatment, and disposal practices for medical wastes. Public concern remains high and much of the confusion and inconsistency associated with medical waste policy persists.

This OTA report was requested by the House Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, the House Subcommittee on Transportation and Hazardous Materials, Committee on Energy and Commerce, and the House Subcommittee on Regulation, Business Opportunities and Energy, Committee on Small Business. The report evaluates medical waste issues in the broader context of a waste management policy for the Nation. Waste reduction and recycling options for medical waste management, as well as incineration and non-incineration treatment alternatives are examined.

Applying a more comprehensive waste management approach to medical wastes, such as has evolved for municipal solid waste and hazardous waste, could help ensure environmentally sound and economically feasible waste practices. At a minimum, we realize that (as with most waste problems) there is no one management scenario to "solve" our medical waste problems; rather the most important task is to devise policies that will facilitate adoption of individually optimal solutions to specific problems.

OTA benefited from the assistance received from many organizations and individuals during the course of this study. We express our gratitude and thanks to the review panel and the many other reviewers for their input which greatly facilitated the preparation of the report. OTA, however, is solely responsible for the contents of this report.



JOHN H. GIBBONS  
*Director*

# Review Panel and Medical Waste Workshop Participants for Finding the Rx for Managing Medical Waste

Barry Rabe, *Chairman*  
School of Public Health  
University of Michigan

Richard Bernstein  
General Medical Corp.

Leland Cooley  
Radiation Safety/Biosafety Office  
University of Maryland at Baltimore

Frank Cross  
Cross/Tessitore & Associates, P.A.

John Cusack  
Asea, Brown & Boveri

Janet Emmerman  
Waste Management, Inc., Medical Services

Roger Etter  
John Zink Co.

Martin S. Favero  
Centers for Disease Control

Randell Forshey  
San-i-pak Pacific, Inc.

Barbara Fry  
State of California  
Air Resources Board

Allen Hershkowitz  
Natural Resources Defense Council

Ode Keil  
Joint Commission on Accreditation  
of Healthcare Organizations

Janis Kurth  
Metropolitan Hospital

Jenny Lezak  
Health Organization to Protect Our Environment

Daniel Liberman  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Thomas J. Murphy  
Thomas J. Murphy Associates

Edward Norman  
State of North Carolina Health Department

Claude D. Rounds  
Albany Medical Center

James Sharp  
Stericycle

Robert Spurgin, *OTA Contractor*  
Spurgin & Associates

Martha Trusler  
Methodist Health Systems

Wayne Turnberg  
Department of Ecology  
State of Washington

Michelle Wilson  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

NOTE: OTA appreciates and is grateful for the valuable assistance and thoughtful critiques provided by the workshop participants, advisory panel members, and reviewers. These participants do not, however, necessarily approve, disapprove, or endorse this special report. OTA assumes full responsibility for the special report and the accuracy of its contents.

# OTA Medical Waste Management Project Staff

John Andelin, *Assistant Director, OTA  
Science, Information, and Natural Resources Division*

Robert Niblock, *Oceans and Environment Program Manager*

**Kathryn Wagner, *Project Director***

## *Contractors*

Robert Guttman, *Esq.*

Robert Spurgin, *Spurgin & Associates*

## *Administrative Staff*

Kathleen Beil

Sally Van Aller

## Reviewers and Contributors

Robert Ashworth  
Browning-Ferris Industries

Charlotte Baker  
University of California

Robert G. Barton  
Energy & Environmental Research Corp.

John N. Basic, Sr.  
Basic Environmental Engineering

William F. Bina  
Naval Medical Command

Jack D. Brady  
Anderson 2000, Inc.

Theodore G. Brna  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Joy Buck  
City Hospital, Inc.

Robert E. Campbell  
Johnson & Johnson

Sherry Clay  
Texas Department of Health

Peter S. Daley  
Chemical Waste Management, Inc.

Milton Dezube  
American Hospital Association

Henry L. Diamond, Esq.  
Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.

Lawrence Doucet  
Doucet & Mainka

Dennis Downing  
Cornell University

Al Dozier  
Advanced Concepts, Inc.

Kenneth L. DuFour  
Medical Disposal Systems

George Estel  
New York State Department of Health

Jacquelyn Flora  
Browning-Ferris Industries

Robin Gillespie  
Service Employees International Union

Martin E. Gilligan, Jr.  
Donlee Technologies, Inc.

Rebecca Goldberg  
Environmental Defense Fund

Mary Greene  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Roger Greene  
State of Rhode Island

Dieter Groschel  
University of Virginia Medical Center

Robert Guttman, Esq.

Shan Haley  
American Hospital Association

John Hall  
San-i-pak Pacific, Inc.

Susan Harwood  
U.S. Department of Labor

Steven A. Hickerson  
EMCOTEK

Travis Honeycutt  
Isolyser

Wally Jordan  
Waste Tech

Edwina Juillet  
Martha Jefferson Hospital

Larry King  
Poly-Flex

Jonathan Kiser  
National Solid Waste Management Association

Carolyn Konheim  
Konheim & Ketcham

Judy Kowalski  
New Mexico Energy, Minerals  
and Natural Resources Department

Jack Lauber  
New York State Department of  
Environmental Conservation

C.C. Lee  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Howard Levenson  
Office of Technology Assessment

Maureen Litchveld  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Edward Londres  
New Jersey Department of Environmental  
Protection

Hugo Lopez  
Beth Israel Hospital



Robert Lord  
Nassau-Suffolk Hospital Council, Inc.

Rodney W. Lowman  
The Council for Solid Waste Solutions

Donald C. Malins  
Pacific Northwest Research Foundation

Ted Malloy  
Stanford University

John Manuel  
Ontario Ministry of the Environment

Gretchen McCabe  
Human Affairs Research Center  
Battelle

Jean-Ann McGrane  
New York City Health & Hospitals Corp.

Jim McLainey  
American Hospital Association

Allan McLean  
The University of Chicago Medical Center

John McVicar  
Centers for Disease Control

Tom Merski  
University of Pittsburgh

Thomas W. Moody  
State of Florida Department  
Environmental Regulation

Pat Moore  
U.S. General Accounting Office

Sue Moreland  
University of Tennessee

Phil Morris  
South Carolina Department of  
Health and Environmental Control

Regina Morris  
New York Department of Sanitation

Liisa Nenonen  
The RACOURSE Network

Jerry Ness  
Northwestern Memorial Hospital

Ross Patten  
BFI Waste Systems

Robert Peters  
National Solid Waste Management Association

Jacqueline Polder  
Centers for Disease Control

Sven E. Rodenbeck  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

Claude Rounds  
Albany Medical Center

William Rutala  
University of North Carolina  
School of Medicine

Jacqueline W. Sales  
Hazmed

W. Thomas Schipper  
Kaiser Permanente

Steve Schuler  
Joy Energy

Harvy Schultz  
NY City Department of  
Environmental Protection

Robert Sheehan  
Medi-Gen

Robert Spurgin  
Spurgin & Associates

Jeffrey Steiner  
Mayo Clinic

Tom Stewart  
State University of New York

Christopher B. Strasser  
The Allentown Hospital—  
Lehigh Valley Hospital Center

Pam Sulmer  
State of Minnesota  
Attorney General's Office

Kevin Tonat  
National Institutes of Health

Jim Tripodes  
University of California

Jacqueline Warren  
Natural Resources Defense Council

Joe Wilson  
Medical Safetec

# Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Introduction, Major Findings, and Policy Issues</b> .....	<b>1</b>
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS .....	4
POLICY ISSUES FOR FEDERAL ACTION .....	6
<b>Chapter 1. Characterizing Medical Wastes and Applying a Comprehensive Management Strategy</b> .	<b>9</b>
MEDICAL WASTE IN A COMPREHENSIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY .....	9
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS AND TYPES—TREATMENT IMPLICATIONS .....	11
Chemical Characteristics .....	13
Biological Characteristics .....	15
Physical Characteristics .....	17
<b>Chapter 2. Before Treatment: Waste Reduction and Recycling</b> .....	<b>19</b>
BEFORE-TREATMENT APPROACHES .....	19
WASTE REDUCTION .....	19
RECYCLING AND SOURCE SEPARATION PRACTICES .....	23
<b>Chapter 3. Non-incineration Treatment Technologies and Trends</b> .....	<b>27</b>
AUTOCLAVING .....	28
Capacity and Siting Issues .....	29
Suitability for Different Medical Wastes and Associated Risks .....	31
Costs and Volume Reduction Issues .....	31
AUTOCLAVING AND COMPACTION .....	32
MECHANICAL/CHEMICAL DISINFECTION .....	33
MICROWAVE .....	36
IRRADIATION .....	36
OTHER POTENTIAL TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES .....	38
SUMMARY .....	39
<b>Chapter 4. Incineration Treatment Issues and Trends</b> .....	<b>41</b>
REGULATORY TRENDS AND MEDICAL WASTE INCINERATION .....	42
CAPACITY, COSTS, AND RISKS .....	46
Capacity .....	46
Costs .....	47
Risks .....	47
TRENDS IN AIR POLLUTION CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	51
OPERATOR TRAINING .....	53
OFF-SITE INCINERATION .....	54
Off-Site v. On-Site Treatment .....	54
Co-Incineration or Co-Firing of Wastes .....	54
Regional Incineration .....	55
SUMMARY .....	57
<b>Chapter 5. Special Treatment Issues</b> .....	<b>59</b>
SHARPS MANAGEMENT .....	59
Manufacturing .....	59
Mechanical/Chemical .....	60
Encapsulation .....	60
Mail Shipment for Disposal .....	60
SMALL GENERATOR MANAGEMENT .....	61
OTHER TREATMENT TECHNIQUES: SEWER USE AND SHREDDING .....	62
Sewer Use .....	62
Shredding .....	62
<b>Chapter 6. Comparisons of Treatment Alternatives</b> .....	<b>65</b>
CAPABILITIES AND RISKS .....	65
COSTS .....	66
<b>Appendix A. The Medical Waste Tracking Act</b> .....	<b>69</b>

# Contents—Continued

## Boxes

<i>Box</i>	<i>Page</i>
A. Management of Medical Low-Level Radioactive Waste (LLW) .....	16
B. Disposables: Infection Control and Waste Management Implications .....	20
C. California and Its Dioxin Control Measure: A Case Study of One State's Approach to Regulation of Air Toxics and Medical Waste Incinerators .....	43
D. The Medical Waste Tracking Program .....	70

## Figures

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Schematic of One Hospital's Proposed Segregation Categories and Comprehensive Medical Waste Management Approach .....	23
2. Autoclave .....	29
3. Typical Sterilization Destruction Curve .....	30
4. Autoclave and Compaction Unit .....	33
5. Mechanical/Chemical Disinfection Unit .....	35
6. Mobile Microwave Medical Waste Disinfection Unit .....	37
7. Irradiation Unit .....	38
8. Controlled Air Incinerator .....	45
9. Comparison of PCDD/PCDF Concentration in Medical and Municipal Wastes .....	49
10. Comparison of PCDD/PCDF Emissions From a Variety of Incinerators .....	49
11. Comparisons of Cadmium Emissions From a Variety of Incinerators .....	50

## Tables

<i>Table</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Major Federal Agencies Addressing Medical Waste Issues .....	3
2. Types of Wastes Designated as Infectious by Various Entities .....	12
3. Percentages of Waste Types Produced at Different Medical/Health-Care Facilities in the State of Washington .....	14
4. Characteristics of Medical Waste .....	17
5. Comparison of the Composition of Medical and Municipal Waste .....	17
6. Emissions of Dioxins and Cadmium From Medical Waste Incinerators in California .....	48
7. Performance Data of Medical Waste Incinerators With Pollution Control Equipment .....	52
8. Comparison of Treatment Technologies .....	66