US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Control period	Class I sub- stances in groups I and III, (In percent)	Class I sub- stances in group II, (In percent)	Class I sub- stances in group IV (In percent)	Class I sub- stances in group V (In percent)	Class I sub- stances in group VI (In percent)	Class I sub- stances in group VII (In percent)
2005					0	

- 4. Section 82.9 is amended by:
- a. Revising paragraph (a)(2),
- b. Revising the first sentence of paragraph (e) introductory text,
- c. Revising paragraph (e)(1) introductory text,
- d. Revising the first sentence of paragraph (e)(2),
- e. Revising the first sentence of paragraph (e)(3).

The revisions read as follows:

### § 82.9 Availability of allowances in addition to baseline production allowances.

- (a) \* \* <sup>;</sup>
- (2) 15 percent of their baseline production allowances for class I, Group VI controlled substances listed under § 82.5 of this subpart for each control period ending before January 1, 2002;
- (e) Until January 1, 1996 for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005 for class I, Group VI, a person may obtain production allowances for that controlled substance equal to the amount of that controlled substance produced in the United States that was transformed or destroyed within the United States, or transformed or destroyed by a person of another Party, in the cases where production allowances were expended to produce such substance in the U.S. in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph. \* \* \*
- (1) Until January 1, 1996, for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005, for class I, Group VI, a person must submit a request for production allowances that includes the following:

\* \* \* \* \*

- (2) Until January 1, 1996 for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005, for class I, Group VI, the Administrator will review the information and documentation submitted under paragraph (e)(1) of this section and will assess the quantity of class I controlled substance that the documentation and information verifies was transformed or destroyed. \* \* \*
- (3) Until January 1, 1996 for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005, for class I, Group VI, if the Administrator determines that the request for

production allowances does not satisfactorily substantiate that the person transformed or destroyed controlled substances as claimed, or that modified allowances were not expended, the Administrator will issue a notice disallowing the request for additional production allowances.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

5. Section 82.10 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) introductory text, (a)(1) introductory text, the first sentence of (b), and the first sentence of paragraph (c) introductory text as follows:

# §82.10 Availability of consumption allowances in addition to baseline consumption allowances.

(a) Until January 1, 1996 for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005, for class I, Group VI, any person may obtain, in accordance with the provisions of this subsection, consumption allowances equivalent to the level of class I controlled substances (other than used controlled substances or transhipments) that the person has exported from the United States and its territories to a Party (as listed in appendix C to this subpart).

(1) Until January 1, 1996 for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005, for class I, Group VI, to receive consumption allowances in addition to baseline consumption allowances, the exporter of the class I controlled substances must submit to the Administrator a request for consumption allowances setting forth the following:

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(b) Until January 1, 1996, a person may obtain consumption allowances for a class I controlled substance (and until January 1, 2005 for class I, Group VI) equal to the amount of a controlled substance either produced in, or imported into, the United States that was transformed or destroyed in the case where consumption allowances were expended to produce or import such substance in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph. \* \* \*

(c) A company may also increase its consumption allowances by receiving production from another Party to the Protocol for class I, Group I through Group V and Group VII controlled substances until January 1, 1996 and for class I, Group VI controlled substances until January 1, 2005. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

6. Section 82.12 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(1) introductory text and (b)(1) as follows:

#### §82.12 Transfers.

(a) \* \* \*

(1) Until January 1, 1996, for all class I controlled substances, except for Group VI, and until January 1, 2005, for Group VI, and person ("transferor") may transfer to any other person ("transferee") any amount of the transferor's consumption allowances or production allowances, and effective January 1, 1995, for all class I controlled substances any person ("transferor") may transfer to any other person ("transferee") any amount of the transferor's Article 5 allowances, as follows:

\* \* \* \* (b) \* \* \*

(1) Until January 1, 1996, for all class I controlled substances, except Group VI, and until January 1, 2005 for Group VI, any person ("convertor") may convert consumption allowances or production allowances for one class I controlled substance to the same type of allowance for another class I controlled substance within the same Group as the first as listed in appendix A of this subpart, following the procedures described in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

[FR Doc. 00–30109 Filed 11–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 271

#### [FRL-6907-3]

Georgia: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revision

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Immediate final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Georgia has applied to EPA for Final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA has determined that these changes satisfy all requirements needed to qualify for Final authorization, and is authorizing the State's changes through this immediate final action. EPA is publishing this rule to authorize the changes without a prior proposal because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect comments that oppose it. Unless we get written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the decision to authorize Georgia's changes to their hazardous waste program will take effect. If we get comments that oppose this action, we will publish a document in the Federal Register withdrawing this rule before it takes effect and a separate document in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register will serve as a proposal to authorize the changes.

DATES: This Final authorization will become effective on January 29, 2001 unless EPA receives adverse written comment by December 28, 2000. If EPA receives such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal of this immediate final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that this authorization will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to Narindar Kumar, Chief, RCRA Programs Branch, Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960; (404) 562-8440. You can view and copy Georgia's application from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. at the following addresses: The Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division, 205 Butler Street. Suite 1154 East, Atlanta Georgia 30334-4910, and from 8:30 a.m. to 3:45 p.m., EPA Region 4, Library, The Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960, Phone number (404) 562-8190, Kathy Piselli, Librarian.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Narindar Kumar, Chief, RCRA Programs Branch, Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960; (404) 562–8440.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# A. Why are Revisions to State Programs Necessary?

States which have received final authorization from EPA under RCRA section 3006(b), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), must maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their programs and ask EPA to authorize the changes. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, States must change their programs because of changes to EPA's regulations in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 124, 260 through 266, 268, 270, 273 and 279.

### B. What Decisions Have We Made in this Rule?

We conclude that Georgia's application to revise its authorized program meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Therefore, we grant Georgia Final authorization to operate its hazardous waste program with the changes described in the authorization application. Georgia has responsibility for permitting Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDFs) within its borders and for carrying out the aspects of the RCRA program described in its revised program application, subject to the limitations of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA). New Federal requirements and prohibitions imposed by Federal regulations that EPA promulgates under the authority of HSWA take effect in authorized States before they are authorized for the requirements. Thus, EPA will implement those requirements and prohibitions in Georgia, including issuing permits, until the State is granted authorization to do so.

# C. What Is the Effect of Today's Authorization Decision?

The effect of this decision is that a facility in Georgia subject to RCRA will now have to comply with the authorized State requirements instead of the equivalent Federal requirements in order to comply with RCRA. Georgia has enforcement responsibilities under its State hazardous waste program for violations of such program, but EPA retains its authority under RCRA sections 3007, 3008, 3013, and 7003, which include, among others, authority to:

- Do inspections, and require monitoring, tests, analyses or reports
- Enforce RCRA requirements and suspend or revoke permits
- Take enforcement actions regardless of whether the State has taken its own actions

This action does not impose additional requirements on the

regulated community because the regulations for which Georgia is being authorized by today's action are already effective, and are not changed by today's action.

# D. Why Wasn't There a Proposed Rule Before Today's Rule?

EPA did not publish a proposal before today's rule because we view this as a routine program change and do not expect comments that oppose this approval. We are providing an opportunity for public comment now. In addition to this rule, in the proposed rules section of today's Federal Register we are publishing a separate document that proposes to authorize the State program changes.

# E. What Happens if EPA Receives Comments That Oppose This Action?

If EPA receives comments that oppose this authorization, we will withdraw this rule by publishing a document in the Federal Register before the rule becomes effective. EPA will base any further decision on the authorization of the State program changes on the proposal mentioned in the previous paragraph. We will then address all public comments in a later final rule. You may not have another opportunity to comment. If you want to comment on this authorization, you must do so at this time.

If we receive comments that oppose only the authorization of a particular change to the State hazardous waste program, we will withdraw that part of this rule but the authorization of the program changes that the comments do not oppose will become effective on the date specified above. The **Federal Register** withdrawal document will specify which part of the authorization will become effective, and which part is being withdrawn.

### F. What has Georgia Previously Been Authorized for?

Georgia initially received Final authorization on August 7, 1984, effective August 21, 1984 (49 FR 31417), to implement the RCRA hazardous waste management program. We granted authorization for changes to their program on July 7, 1986, effective September 18, 1986 (51 FR 24549), July 28, 1988, effective September 26, 1988 (53 FR 28383), July 24, 1990, effective September 24, 1990 (55 FR 30000), February 12, 1991, effective April 15, 1991 (56 FR 5656), May 11, 1992, effective July 10, 1992 (57 FR 20055), November 25, 1992, effective January 25, 1993 (57 FR 55466), February 26, 1993, effective April 27, 1993 (58 FR 11539), November 16, 1993, effective

January 18, 1994 (58 FR 60388), April 26, 1994, effective June 27, 1994 (59 FR 21664), May 10, 1995, effective July 10, 1995 (60 FR 24790), August 30, 1995, effective October 30, 1995 (60 FR 45069), March 7, 1996, effective May 6, 1996 (61 FR 9108), September 18, 1998, effective November 17, 1998 (63 FR 49852), and October 14, 1999, effective December 13, 1999 (64 FR 55629).

# G. What Changes are We Authorizing with Today's Action?

On April 28, 2000, Georgia submitted a final complete program revision application, seeking authorization of their changes in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21. Georgia's revision consists of provisions promulgated July 1, 1997 through June 30, 1998, otherwise known as HSWA Cluster VIII. We now make an immediate final decision, subject to receipt of written comments that oppose this action, that Georgia's hazardous waste program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for Final authorization. Therefore, we grant Georgia Final authorization for the following program changes:

Description of Federal requirement	Federal Register	Analogous State authority <sup>1</sup>			
Checklist 160, Land Disposal Restrictions Phase III—Emergency Extension of the K088 National Capacity Variance, Amendment.	7/14/97(62 FR 37699)	Georgia Hazardous Waste Management, Official Code of Georgia Annotated (GHWMA, O.C.G.A.) Section 12–8–62(14), 12–8–64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12–8–65(a) (16), and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.16.			
Checklist 161, Emergency Revision of the Carbamate Land Disposal Restrictions. Checklist 162, Clarification of Standards for Hazardous Waste LDR Treatment Variances.	8/28/97	GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–62(14) and (23), 12–8–64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12–8–65(a) (16) and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.16. GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–62 (14) and (23), 12–8–64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12–8–65 (a) (14), (16), and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.16.			
Checklist 163, Organic Air Emission Standards for Tanks, Surface Impoundments, and Containers; Clarification and Technical Amendment.	12/8/97(62 FR 64656–64671)	GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–64 (1) (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), and (F), 12–8–65(a) (3), (16), and (21), 12–8–66; Rules 391–3–11.01(2), 391–3–11.10(1), 391–3–11.11(3)(h)			
Checklist 164, Kraft Mill Steam Stripper Condensate Exclusion. Checklist 166, Recycled Used Oil Management Standards, Technical Correction and Clarification.	4/15/98	GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–62 (10) and (20), 12–8–64 (1) (D) and (J), 12–8–65 (a) (16) and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.07(1). GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–62(11), (12), (13), (21), and (22), 12–8–64(1) (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), (I), and (L), 12–8–65(a) (3), (16), and (21), 12–8–66; Rules 391–3–11.07(1), 391–3–11–.17.			
Checklist 167A, Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Treatment Standards for Metal Wastes and Mineral Processing. Checklist 167B, Land Disposal Restrictions Phase IV—Hazardous Soils Treatment Standards and Exclusions Checklist 167C, Land Disposal Restrictions	5/26/98	GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12-8-62 (14) and (23), 12-8-64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12-8-65 (a) (16), and (21); Rule 391-3-1116. GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12-8-62 (14) and (23), 12-8-64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12-8-65 (a) (16), and (21); Rule 391-3-1116. GHWMA O.C.G.A. 12-8-62 (14) and (23), 12-8-64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12-8-65 (a) (14), (16) and (21); Rule 391-3-1116.			
Phase IV—Corrections Checklist 167E, Bevill Exclusion Revisions and Clarifications Checklist 167F, Exclusion of Recycled Wood Preserving Wastewaters		GHWMA O.C.G.A. 12–8–62(14) and (23), 12–8–64 (1) (A), (B), (D), (F), and (I), 12–8–65 (a) (16) and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.16. GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–62(10) and (20), 12–8–64 (1) (D), and (J), 12–8–65 (a) (16) and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.07(1). GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12–8–62(10) and (20), 12–8–64 (1) (D), (J), and (L), 12–8–65 (a) (16) and (21); Rule 391–3–11–.07(1).			
Checklist 168, Hazardous Waste Combustors: Revised Standards.	6/19/98(63 FR 33823–33829)	GHWMA, O.C.G.A. 12-8-62 (10) and (20), 12-8-64 (1) (D), (J), and (L), 12-8-65 (a) (16) and (21); Rule 391-3-1107(1). GHWMA O.C.G.A. 12-8-64 (1) (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), and (I), 12-8-65(a) (3), (16), and (21); Rule 391-3-1111(7)(d) and (3)(f).			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Georgia provisions are from the Georgia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations effective December 23, 1998.

### H. Where Are the Revised State Rules Different From the Federal Rules?

There are no State requirements in this program revision considered to be more stringent or broader in scope than the Federal requirements.

# I. Who Handles Permits After the Authorization Takes Effect?

Georgia will issue permits for all the provisions for which it is authorized and will administer the permits it issues. EPA will continue to administer any RCRA hazardous waste permits or portions of permits which we issued prior to the effective date of this authorization until they expire or are terminated. We will not issue any more

new permits or new portions of permits for the provisions listed in the Table above after the effective date of this authorization. EPA will continue to implement and issue permits for HSWA requirements for which Georgia is not yet authorized.

#### J. What Is Codification and Is EPA Codifying Georgia's Hazardous Waste Program as Authorized in This Rule?

Codification is the process of placing the State's statutes and regulations that comprise the State's authorized hazardous waste program into the Code of Federal Regulations. We do this by referencing the authorized State rules in 40 CFR part 272. We reserve the amendment of 40 CFR part 272, subpart L for this authorization of Georgia's program until a later date.

#### K. Administrative Requirements

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this action from the requirements of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), and therefore this action is not subject to review by OMB. This action authorizes State requirements for the purpose of RCRA 3006 and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this action authorizes

pre-existing requirements under State law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4). For the same reason, this action also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This action will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely authorizes State requirements as part of the State RCRA hazardous waste program without altering the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established by RCRA. This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks.

Under RCRA 3006(b), EPA grants a State's application for authorization as long as the State meets the criteria required by RCRA. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a State authorization application, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that otherwise satisfies the requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 F.R. 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 F.R. 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings' issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement

Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this document and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This action will be effective January 29, 2001.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: October 26, 2000.

#### A. Stanley Meiburg,

Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 00–30006 Filed 11–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 1

[WT Docket No. 97-82; FCC 00-274]

# Competitive Bidding Procedures; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendments.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to the final regulations which were published in the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, August 29, 2000, (65 FR 52323). The regulations related to the competitive bidding rules for all auctionable services in § 1.2110 of the Commission's rules.

**DATES:** Effective November 28, 2000. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leora Hochstein, Auctions and Industry Analysis Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418–0660.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** of August 29, 2000 (65

FR 52323), the Commission published a summary of its Order on Reconsideration of the Third Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order (Order on Reconsideration, Fifth Report and Order) in WT Docket No. 97–82. That document clarified and amended the Commission's competitive bidding rules in an ongoing effort to establish a uniform and streamlined set of general competitive bidding rules for all auctionable services and to reduce the burden on both the Commission and the public of conducting service-specific auction rule makings.

#### **Need for Correction**

As published, the final regulations contain errors which may prove to be misleading and need to be clarified.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 1

Communications common carriers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### Correction to CFR

Accordingly, 47 CFR part 1 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

# PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 151, 154(i), 154(j), 155, 225, 303(r), 309 and 325(e).

2. Section 1.2112 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(6) to read as follows:

# §1.2112 Ownership disclosure requirements for short- and long-form applications.

(a) \* \* ·

(6) Any FCC-regulated entity or applicant for an FCC license, in which the applicant or any of the parties identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (5) of this section, owns 10 percent or more of stock, whether voting or nonvoting, common or preferred. This list must include a description of each such entity's principal business and a description of each such entity's relationship to the applicant (e.g., Company A owns 10 percent of Company B (the applicant) and 10 percent of Company C, then Companies A and C must be listed on Company B's application, where C is an FCC licensee and/or license applicant);

#### **Correction to Preamble**

In the preamble to the same rule [FR Doc. 00–21982 published on August 29,