US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# NAPL Remediation - Myths and Excuses -

Jim Cummings
Technology Innovation Office
December 1999

Phone: 703-603-7197

### "It's Too Expensive..."

- 26 Pump and Treat projects in TIO database
  - \$60-\$320,000/# of contaminant recovered
  - Visalia 20M/1M# = 20/#
  - Note also: SCE reports p&t costs at Visalia at over \$4000/#
- Greater attention to source term removal may lower total life cycle costs

# It Will Make the Problem Worse (downward migration potential)

 'Good News and Bad News': Thermal processes <u>are</u> energetic and robust. They will mobilize contaminants

• Contaminant mobilization is an engineering design issue, NOT a technology flaw

## "If You Can't Get It All..." (Don't Bother To Try)

#### Reality

- For some situations, thermal may 'get it all'
- For others thermal removes soluble, mobile fraction (residual may be less/non problematic
- Reason to believe that natural and modest anthropogenic processes may 'polish' the residual

# "You'll frustrate Mother Nature" (kill natural attenuative capacity)

- Reality:
  - Emerging evidence that micro-organisms survive and thrive at elevated temperatures
  - Shift in biotic consortia to thermophiles
  - Bio is particularly promising as a cost effective <u>polishing</u> step
- Goal: Link Active Source Term Remediation with MNA