US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



Solutia Inc. 575 Maryville Centre Drive St. Louis, Missouri 63141

Tel: 314-674-3312 Fax: 314-674-8808

gmrina@solutia.com

May 19, 2011

Mr. Kenneth Bardo - LU-9J U.S. EPA Region V Corrective Action Section 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604-3507 **VIA FEDEX**

Re:

PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program

1st Quarter 2011 Data Report

Solutia Inc., W. G. Krummrich Plant, Sauget, IL

Dear Mr. Bardo:

Enclosed please find the PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program 1st Quarter 2011 Data Report for Solutia Inc.'s W. G. Krummrich Plant, Sauget, IL.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please contact me at (314) 674-3312 or gmrina@solutia.com

Sincerely,

Gerald M. Rinaldi

Manager, Remediation Services

Lith the lither

Enclosure

cc: Distribution List

DISTRIBUTION LIST

PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program 1st Quarter 2011 Data Report Solutia Inc., W. G. Krummrich Plant, Sauget, IL

USEPA

Stephanie Linebaugh USEPA Region 5 - SR6J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604

IEPA

James Moore IEPA Bureau of Land, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, Springfield, IL 62706

Booz Allen Hamilton

Dan Briller Booz Allen Hamilton, 8283 Greensboro Drive, McLean, VA 22102

Solutia

Brett Shank 500 Monsanto Avenue, Sauget, IL 62206-1198

FIRST QUARTER 2011 DATA REPORT PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

Prepared for:

SOLUTIA INC. St. Louis, Missouri

Prepared by:

GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC. St. Louis, Missouri

Geotechnology, Inc. Report No. J017210.10

May 18, 2011

FIRST QUARTER 2011 DATA REPORT PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pa</u>	<u>ge</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	FIELD PROCEDURES	2
3.0	LABORATORY PROCEDURES	3
4.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE	3
5.0	OBSERVATIONS	4
6.0	REFERENCES	5
	TABLES	
	Ta	ble
	Monitoring Well Gauging Information	
	Groundwater Analytical Detections	
	Monitoring Well PMA MW-1M Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis	3
	Monitoring Well PMA MW-2M Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis	4
	Monitoring Well PMA MW-3S Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis	5
	Monitoring Well PMA MW-3M Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis	
	Monitoring Well PMA MW-4D Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis	
	Monitoring Well PMA MW-6D Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis	8
	ILLUSTRATIONS	
		gure
	Site Location Map	
	Former PCB Manufacturing Area Monitoring Well Locations	
	Potentiometric Surface Map – Middle / Deep Hydrogeologic Unit	
	PCB Results – SHU Wells	
	PCB Results – MHII / DHII Wells	٦

J017210.10

FIRST QUARTER 2011 DATA REPORT PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS-continued-

APPENDICES

	<u>Appendix</u>
Groundwater Purging and Sampling Forms	A
Chains-of-Custody	B
Quality Assurance Report	C
Groundwater Analytical Results (with Data Review Sheets)	D

FIRST QUARTER 2011 DATA REPORT PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the 1st Quarter 2011 (1Q11) sampling event performed at the Solutia Inc. (Solutia) W.G. Krummrich Facility located in Sauget, Illinois (Site). This sampling event was conducted in accordance with the Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program Work Plan (Solutia 2009). The Site location map is presented in Figure 1.

The PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program well network consists of ten monitoring wells, as follows (Figure 2):

- Two source area wells, PMA-MW-4S and PMA-MW-4D, are screened in the Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit (SHU) (designated with an "S") and Deep Hydrogeologic Unit (DHU) (designated with a "D"), respectively.
- Three well clusters (PMA-MW-1S/M, PMA-MW-2S/M and PMA-MW-3S/M) are located down-gradient of the source area. These clusters include wells screened in the SHU and Middle Hydrogeologic Unit (MHU) (designated with an "M").
- Two individual wells designated PMA-MW-5M and PMA-MW-6D are located further down-gradient of the source area, with PMA-MW-5M screened in the MHU and PMA-MW-6D screened in the DHU.

Groundwater samples were collected from the ten monitoring wells during the 1Q11 sampling event.

Field sampling activities were conducted in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program Work Plan, including the collection of appropriate quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) samples. The following section summarizes the field investigative procedures.

Solutia Inc. May 18, 2011 Page 2 J017210.10

2.0 FIELD PROCEDURES

Geotechnology, Inc. (Geotechnology) conducted the 1Q11 PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program field activities between February 24 and 25, 2011.

Groundwater Level Measurements. An oil/water interface probe was used to measure depth to static groundwater levels and determine the presence of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL) in the PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program well network. Periodically, well PMA-MW-4S has contained measurable DNAPL, however none was observed in this well during the first quarter sampling event. Depth to groundwater measurements were collected from accessible existing wells (i.e., GM-, K-, PSMW- and PMA-series) and piezometers clusters (installed for the Sauget Area 2 RI/FS and WGK CA-750 Environmental Indicator projects) specified in the Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program Work Plan.

Well gauging information for the 1Q11 event is presented in Table 1. As the middle and deep hydrogeologic units are the primary migration pathway for constituents present in groundwater at the WGK Facility, a groundwater potentiometric surface map based on water level data from wells screened in the MHU and DHU is presented as Figure 3.

Groundwater Sampling. Low-flow sampling techniques were used for groundwater sample collection. At each monitoring well, disposable, low-density polyethylene tubing was attached to a submersible pump, which was then lowered into the well to the middle of the screened interval. Monitoring wells were purged at a rate of 250 to 333 mL/minute to minimize drawdown. If significant drawdown occurred, flow rates were reduced.

Drawdown was measured periodically throughout purging to ensure that it did not exceed 25% of the distance between the pump intake and the top of the screen. Once the flow rate and drawdown were stable, field measurements were collected approximately every three to five minutes. Purging of a well was considered complete when the following water quality parameters remained stable over three consecutive flow-thru cell volumes:

Parameter	Stabilization Guidelines
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	+/- 10% or +/-0.2 mg/L, whichever is greatest
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP)	+/- 20 mV
pH	+/- 0.2 units
Specific Conductivity	+/- 3%

Sampling commenced upon completion of purging. Prior to sample collection, the flow-thru cell was bypassed to allow for collection of uncompromised groundwater. Consistent with the work plan, samples were collected at a flow rate less than or equal to the rate at which stabilization was achieved.

Solutia Inc.
May 18, 2011
Page 3

J017210.10

Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) samples consisting of analytical duplicates (AD) and equipment blanks (EB) were collected at a rate of 10% and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD) were collected at a rate of 5%, complying with the work plan. All samples were submitted to TestAmerica for PCB analysis.

Each sample was labeled immediately following collection. The sample identification system used for each sample involved the following nomenclature "PMA-MW#-MMYY-QAC" where:

- PMA-MW# Monitoring Well Location (PCB Manufacturing Area (PMA)) and Number
- MMYY Month and year of sampling quarter, e.g.: February (first quarter), 2011 (1Q11)
- QAC denotes QA/QC samples (when applicable):
 - EB equipment blank
 - AD analytical duplicate
 - MS or MSD Matrix Spike or Matrix Spike Duplicate

Upon collection and labeling, sample containers were immediately placed inside an iced cooler, packed in such a way as to help prevent breakage and maintain inside temperature at or below approximately 4°C. Field personnel recorded the project identification and number, sample description/location, required analysis, date and time of sample collection, type and matrix of sample, number of sample containers, analysis requested/comments, and sampler signature/date/time, with permanent ink on the chain-of-custody (COC). Prior to shipment, coolers were sealed between the lid and sides of the cooler with a custody seal, and then shipped to TestAmerica in Savannah, Georgia by means of overnight delivery service (FedEx). Field sampling data sheets are included in Appendix A, COC forms are included in Appendix B.

3.0 LABORATORY PROCEDURES

Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica for PCBs using Method 680.

4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

Analytical data were reviewed for quality and completeness, as described in the Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Work Plan (Solutia 2009). Data qualifiers were added, as appropriate, and are included on the data tables and the laboratory result pages. The Quality Assurance report is included as Appendix C. The laboratory report and data review sheets are included in Appendix D.

Solutia Inc. J017210.10 May 18, 2011

Page 4

A total of 14 samples (ten investigative groundwater samples, one field duplicate pair, one MS/MSD, one equipment blank) were prepared and analyzed by TestAmerica for PCBs. Results for the various analyses were submitted as sample delivery group (SDG) KPM.

The samples contained in SDG KPM041 are listed below:

SDG KPM041

PMA-MW-1M-0211 PMA-MW-01S-0211 PMA-MW-01S MS-0211 PMA-MW-01S MSD-0211 PMA-MW-02M-0211 PMA-MW-02M AD-0211 PMA-MW-02S-0211 PMA-NW-02S EB-0211 PMA-MW-3M-0211 PMA-MW-3S-0211 PMA-MW-04D-0211 PMA-MW-04S-0211 PMA-MW-04S-0211 PMA-MW-5M-0211 PMA-MW-5M-0211

Evaluation of the analytical data followed procedures outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, (USEPA 2008) and the Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Work Plan (Solutia 2009). Based on the above mentioned criteria, results reported for the analyses performed were accepted for their intended use. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision, based on MS/MSD, LCS, surrogate and field duplicate data were achieved for this SDG to meet the project objectives. Completeness, which is defined to be the percentage of analytical results which are judged to be valid, including estimated detect (J) data was 100 percent.

5.0 OBSERVATIONS

This section presents a brief summary of the groundwater analytical results from the 1Q11 PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment sampling event. A summary of the laboratory results is provided in Table 2 and the entire laboratory data package is provided in Appendix D.

Solutia Inc.
May 18, 2011
Page 5

J017210.10

SHALLOW HYDROGEOLOGIC UNIT

Historically, measurable DNAPL has been periodically observed in the source area SHU monitoring well PMA-MW-4S during previous sampling events however, none was observed in this well during the first quarter sampling event. Laboratory analytical results for monitoring well PMA-MW-4S, located in the Former PCB Manufacturing Area, indicated a total PCB concentration of 1,273 $\mu g/L$ for the 1Q11 event. PCBs were detected in all three of the down-gradient PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program SHU monitoring wells at total concentrations of 0.13 $\mu g/L$ (PMA-MW-1S), 0.12 $\mu g/L$ (PMA-MW-2S), and 0.71 $\mu g/L$ (PMA-MW-3S). Such data do not indicate that PCBs in the SHU are attenuating over the 300 to 400 ft distance between PMA-MW-4S and the three downgradient monitoring wells. PCB sampling results for the SHU are presented on Figure 4.

MIDDLE/DEEP HYDROGEOLOGIC UNIT

Laboratory analytical results for monitoring well PMA-MW-4D, located in the Former PCB Manufacturing Area, indicated a total PCB concentration of 0.35 μ g/L for the 1Q11 sampling event. PCBs were also detected in four of the five downgradient monitoring wells at concentrations of 0.59 μ g/L (PMA-MW-1M), 4.04/3.92 μ g/L (PMA-MW-2M/duplicate), 1.2 μ g/L (PMA-MW-3M), and 0.22 μ g/L (PMA-MW-6D). Figure 5 displays the 1Q11 PCB sampling results for the MHU/DHU.

The 1Q11 sampling event was the eleventh event conducted under the PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program. Mann-Kendall trend analyses data forms of total PCBs in unfiltered samples of groundwater from monitoring wells within (PMA-MW-4D) or downgradient of (PMA-MW-1M, -2M, -3S, -3M, and -6D) the former PCB Manufacturing Area are presented in Appendix A. The data indicates an upward trend in PCB concentrations in PMA-MW-1M. The data does not indicate an upward trend in the other wells.

6.0 REFERENCES

Solutia Inc, 2009. Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program Work Plan, W.G. Krummrich Facility, Sauget, IL, Prepared by URS Corporation, May 2009.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2008 Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review.

MONITORING WELL GAUGING INFORMATION

TABLE 1

1			Constructi	on Details				February 2011	
			Depth to	Depth to		Bottom of			
Well ID	Ground	Casing	Top	Bottom	Top of Screen	Screen	Depth to	Depth to	Water
	Elevation*	Elevation*	of Screen	of Screen	Elevation*	Elevation*	Water	Bottom	Elevation*
	(feet)	(feet)	(feet bgs)	(feet bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet btoc)	(feet btoc)	(feet)
Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit (SH	U 395-380 feet N	AVD 88)							
PMA-MW-1S	410.30	410.06	20.18	25.18	390.12	385.12	13.71	24.94	396.35
PMA-MW-2S	412.27	411.66	22.94	27.94	389.33	384.33	15.96	27.36	395.70
PMA-MW-3S	412.37	412.06	22.71	27.71	389.66	384.66	16.26	27.46	395.80
PMA-MW-4S	411.09	410.43	20.99	25.99	390.10	385.10	14.31	25.40	396.12
Middle Hydrogeologic Unit (MH		•							
PMA-MW-1M	410.32	410.08	54.54	59.54	355.78	350.78	14.56	59.68	395.52
PMA-MW-2M	412.26	411.93	56.87	61.87	355.39	3.50.39	16.26	61.60	395.67
PMA-MW-3M	412.36	412.10	57.07	62.07	355.29	350.29	16.33	61.88	395.77
PMA-MW-5M	411.27	410.97	52.17	57.17	359.10	354.10	15.77	57.05	395.20
PS-MW-1	409.37	412.59	37.78	42.78	371.59	366.59	15.77	46.11	396.82
Deep Hydrogeologic Unit (DHU :									
BSA-MW-2D	412.00	415.13	68.92	73.92	343.08	338.08	22.91	77.09	392.22
BSA-MW-3D	412.91	415.74	107.02	112.02	305.89	300.89	25.98	114.94	389.76
BSA-MW-4D	425.00	424.69	118.54	123.54	306.46	301.46	31.01	123.36	393.68
BSA-MW-5D	420.80	420.49	115.85	120.85	304.95	299.95	24.61	121.03	395.88
CPA-MW-1D	408.62	408.32	66.12	71.12	342.50	337.50	12.49	70.81	395.83
CPA-MW-2D	408.51	408.20	96.96	104.96	308.55	303.55	15.11	104.71	393.09
CPA-MW-3D	410.87	410.67	108.20	113.20	302.67	297.67	17.89	112.95	392.78
CPA-MW-4D	421.57	421.20	116.44	121.44	305.13	300.13	32.24	121.03	388.96
CPA-MW-5D	411.03	413.15	107.63	112.63	303.40	298.40	25.36	114.74	387.79
DNAPL-K-1	413.07	415.56	108.20	123.20	304.87	289.87	18.72	123.30	396.84
DNAPL-K-2	407.94	407.72	97.63	112.63	310.31	295.31	12.04	112.47	395.68
DNAPL-K-3	412.13	411.91	104.80	119.80	307.33	292.33	15.77	119.31	396.14
DNAPL-K-4	409.48	409.15	102.55	117.55	306.93	291.93	13.68	114.49	395.47
DNAPL-K-5	412.27	411.91	102.15	117.15	310.12	295.12	13.89	116.66	398.02
DNAPL-K-6	410.43	410.09	102.47	117.47	307.96	292.96	14.92	117.05	395.17
DNAPL-K-7	408.32	407.72	100.40	115.40	307.92	292.92	12.95	115.42	394.77
DNAPL-K-8	408.56	411.38	102.65	117.65	305.91	290.91	17.36	117.72	394.02
DNAPL-K-9	406.45	405.97	97.42	112.42	309.03	294.03	16.85	111.28	389.12
DNAPL-K-10	413.50	413.25	105.43	120.43	308.07	293.07	16.97	120.37	396.28
DNAPL-K-11	412.20	411.78	105.46	120.46	306.74	291.74	17.06	120.34	394.72
GM-9C	409.54	411.21	88.00	108.00	321.54	301.54	15.86	108.50	395.35

MONITORING WELL GAUGING INFORMATION

TABLE 1

•			Constructi	on Details				February 2011	
			Depth to	Depth to		Bottom of			
Well ID	Ground	Casing	Top	Bottom	Top of Screen	Screen	Depth to	Depth to	Water
	Elevation*	Elevation*	of Screen	of Screen	Elevation*	Elevation*	Water	Bottom	Elevation*
1	(feet)	(feet)	(feet bgs)	(feet bgs)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet btoc)	(feet btoc)	(feet)
Deep Hydrogeologic Unit (DHU 3	350 feet NAVD 8	8 - Bedrock)							
GWE-1D (PIEZ-1D)	412.80	415.60	117.00	127.00	295.80	285.80	31.12	128.51	384.48
GWE-2D (PIEZ-2D)	417.45	417.14	127.00	137.00	290.45	280.45	30.66	136.80	386.48
GWE-4D (TRA3-PZADHU)	406.05	405.74	74.00	80.00	332.05	326.05	14.55	78.81	391.19
GWE-10D (PIEZ 6D)	410.15	412.87	102.50	112.50	307.65	297.65	19.26	114.91	393.61
GWE-14D (TRA5-PZCDHU)	420.47	422.90	90.00	96.00	330.47	324.47	34.61	96.81	388.29
PMA-MW-4D	411.22	410.88	68.84	73.84	342.38	337.38	14.80	73.42	396.08
PMA-MW-6D	407.63	407.32	96.49	101.49	311.14	306.14	13.08	101.39	394.24
PSMW-6	404.11	406.63	99.80	104.80	304.31	299.31	16.56	109.95	390.07
PSMW-9	403.92	403.52	100.40	105.40	303.52	298.52	10.59	105.23	392.93
PSMW-10	409.63	412.18	101.23	106.23	308.40	303.40	24.71	111.42	387.47
PSMW-13	405.80	405.53	106.08	111.08	299.72	294.72	15.56	110.71	389.97
PSMW-17	420.22	423.26	121.25	126.25	298.97	293.97	37.82	134.14	385.44

TABLE 2 J017210.10 May 2011

GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DETECTIONS

Sample ID	Sample Date	Units	Monochlorobiphenyl	Dichlorobiphenyl	Trichlorobiphenyl	Tetrachlorobiphenyl	Pentachlorobiphenyl	Hexachlorobiphenyl	Heptachlorobiphenyl	Octachlorobiphenyl	Nonachlorobiphenyl	Decachlorobiphenyl
Shallow Hydrologic Unit												
PMA-MW-1S-0211	02/25/11	μg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.13	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.30	< 0.30	<0.50*	< 0.50
PMA-MW-2S-0211	02/25/11	μg/L	< 0.098	< 0.098	0.12	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.29	< 0.29	<0.49*	< 0.49
PMA-MW-3S-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	0.31	0.18	0.22	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.31	< 0.31	<0.51*	< 0.51
PMA-MW-4S-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	2.7	25	120	230	200	340	300	50	5.3*	< 5.0
Middle / Deep Hydrologic	Unit											
PMA-MW-1M-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	0.59	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.32	< 0.32	<0.53*	< 0.53
PMA-MW-2M-0211	02/25/11	μg/L	3.8	0.1	0.14	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.29	< 0.29	<0.48*	< 0.48
PMA-MW-2M-0211-AD	02/25/11	μg/L	3.8	< 0.095	0.12	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.29	< 0.29	<0.48*	< 0.48
PMA-MW-3M-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	0.73	< 0.098	0.21	0.26	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.30	< 0.30	<0.49*	< 0.49
PMA-MW-4D-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	0.18	0.17	< 0.11	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.33	< 0.33	<0.55*	< 0.55
PMA-MW-5M-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	< 0.098	< 0.098	< 0.098	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.29	< 0.29	<0.49*	< 0.49
PMA-MW-6D-0211	02/24/11	μg/L	0.22	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.31	< 0.31	<0.51*	< 0.51

Notes:

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$

< = Result is non-detect, less than the reporting limit

AD = Analytical Duplicate

BOLD indicates concentration greater than the reporting limit

* = indicates LCS or LCD exceeds the control limits

Table 3
Monitoring Well PMA MW-1M Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis

					W.C	3.Krummr	ich Facilit	y PCB Mfg	j. Area Mo	nitoring V	/ell MW-1I	M Mann-K	endall Tre	nd Analys	is						
	Event 1	Event 2	Event 3	Event 4	Event 5	Event 6	Event 7	Event 8	Event 9	Event 10	Event 11	Event 12	Event 13	Event 14	Event 15	Event 16	Event 17	Event 18	Event 19	Event 20	Row
	2Q06	3Q06	4Q06	1Q07	2Q07	3Q07	4Q07	1Q08	2Q08	3Q08	4Q08	1Q09	2Q09	3Q09	4Q09	1Q10	2Q10	3Q10	4Q10	1Q11	Total
Total PCBs, µg/L	ND	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.26	0.29	48	ND	0.18	0.38	0.26	0.16	0.21	0.27	0.27	0.20	ND	0.29	0.31	0.59	
Compare to Event 1		1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	17
Compare to Event 2			-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	2
Compare to Event 3				-1	1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	0	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	4
Compare to Event 4					1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	10
Compare to Event 5						1	1	-1	-1	1	0	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	2
Compare to Event 6							1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	1	1	-5
Compare to Event 7								-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-13
Compare to Event 8									1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	11
Compare to Event 9										1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	7
Compare to Event 10											-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-8
Compare to Event 11												-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1
Compare to Event 12													1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	6
Compare to Event 13														1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	3
Compare to Event 14															0	-1	-1	1	1	1	1
Compare to Event 15																-1	-1	1	1	1	1
Compare to Event 16																	-1	1	1	1	2
Compare to Event 17																		1	1	1	3
Compare to Event 18																			1	1	2
Compare to Event 19																				1	1

90 % Confidence Mann-Kendall Statistic

40

Table 4
Monitoring Well PMA MW-2M Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis

					W.G	.Krummri	ch Facility	PCB Mfg.	. Area Mo	nitoring W	ell MW-2l	M Mann-K	endall Tre	nd Analys	sis						
	Event 1 2Q06	Event 2 3Q06	Event 3 4Q06	Event 4 1Q07	Event 5 2Q07	Event 6 3Q07	Event 7 4Q07	Event 8 1Q08	Event 9 2Q08	Event 10 3Q08	Event 11 4Q08	Event 12 1Q09	Event 13 2Q09	Event 14 3Q09	Event 15 4Q09	Event 16 1Q10	Event 17 2Q10	Event 18 3Q10	Event 19 4Q10	Event 20 1Q11	Row Total
Total PCBs, µg/L	2.3	2.4	2.8	2.1	3.3	2.5	3.1	1.7	3.0	4.3	2.5	2.9	4.14	3.1	2.7	2.4	3.9	2.25	2.10	4.04	
Compare to Event 1 Compare to Event 2 Compare to Event 3 Compare to Event 4 Compare to Event 5 Compare to Event 6 Compare to Event 7 Compare to Event 8 Compare to Event 9 Compare to Event 10 Compare to Event 11 Compare to Event 11 Compare to Event 12 Compare to Event 14 Compare to Event 14 Compare to Event 15 Compare to Event 16 Compare to Event 16 Compare to Event 18 Compare to Event 18 Compare to Event 18		1	1	-1 -1 -1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 -1 1 -1	1 1 1 1 -1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	1 1 1 1 -1 1 -1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 -1 1 -1 0 -1 1 -1 -1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 -1 1 0 1 1 -1 1 1 1 -1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 0 -1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 9 1 13 -7 5 -4 12 -1 -10 3 0 -7 -2 -1 0 -1

90 % Confidence Mann-Kendall Statistic 42

22

Table 5
Monitoring Well PMA MW-3S Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis

					W.G	.Krummri	ch Facility	PCB Mfg	. Area Mo	nitoring W	/ell MW-3	S Mann-K	endall Tre	nd Analys	is						
	Event 1 2Q06	Event 2 3Q06	Event 3 4Q06	Event 4 1Q07	Event 5 2Q07	Event 6 3Q07	Event 7 4Q07	Event 8 1Q08	Event 9 2Q08	Event 10 3Q08	Event 11 4Q08	Event 12 1Q09	Event 13 2Q09	Event 14 3Q09	Event 15 4Q09	Event 16 1Q10	Event 17 2Q10	Event 18 3Q10	Event 19 4Q10	Event 20 1Q11	Row Total
Total PCBs, µg/L	0.66	0.32	0.2	0.35	0.8	0.3	0.21	0.25	0.64	0.26	0.24	0.79	ND	0.34	2.0	ND	0.63	0.28	0.68	0.71	
Compare to Event 1 Compare to Event 2 Compare to Event 3 Compare to Event 4 Compare to Event 5 Compare to Event 6 Compare to Event 7 Compare to Event 8 Compare to Event 9 Compare to Event 10 Compare to Event 11 Compare to Event 11 Compare to Event 12 Compare to Event 14 Compare to Event 15 Compare to Event 15 Compare to Event 16 Compare to Event 16 Compare to Event 18 Compare to Event 18 Compare to Event 18		-1	-1 -1	-1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 1 -1 -1	1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1	-1 1 1 1 -1 1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 -1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -	-1 1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-9 0 13 -2 -13 0 9 6 -3 4 5 -6 6 2 -5 4 1 2

90 % Confidence Mann-Kendall Statistic 42

W. G. Krummrich Facility - Sauget, Illinois PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program 1st Quarter 2011 Data Report

Table 6 Monitoring Well PMA MW-3M Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis

					W.C	3.Krummr	ich Facilit	y PCB Mfg	g. Area Mo	nitoring V	/ell MW-3I	M Mann-K	endall Tre	nd Analys	is						
	Event 1 2Q06	Event 2 3Q06	Event 3 4Q06	Event 4 1Q07	Event 5 2Q07	Event 6 3Q07	Event 7 4Q07	Event 8 1Q08	Event 9 2Q08	Event 10 3Q08	Event 11 4Q08	Event 12 1Q09	Event 13 2Q09	Event 14 3Q09	Event 15 4Q09	Event 16 1Q10	Event 17 2Q10	Event 18 3Q10	Event 19 4Q10	Event 20 1Q11	Row Total
Total PCBs, µg/L	5.18	1.9	ND	0.77	ND	0.86	0.76	0.39	0.92	1.3	0.71	1.4	1.3	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.82	0.75	0.73	1.20	
Compare to Event 1 Compare to Event 2 Compare to Event 3 Compare to Event 4 Compare to Event 5 Compare to Event 6 Compare to Event 7 Compare to Event 9 Compare to Event 10 Compare to Event 11 Compare to Event 12 Compare to Event 13 Compare to Event 14 Compare to Event 15 Compare to Event 16 Compare to Event 16 Compare to Event 17 Compare to Event 17 Compare to Event 18 Compare to Event 19 Compare to Event 19 Compare to Event 19 Compare to Event 17 Compare to Event 17		-1	-1 -1	-1 -1 -1 1	-1 -1 0 -1	-1 -1 1 1 1	-1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 -1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	-1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	-1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 -1	-1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	-1 -1 1 1 1 -1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 0	-1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-19 -18 16 4 15 -2 5 12 -3 -7 9 -8 -7 -1 -1 -0

Mann-Kendall Statistic (S)

90 % Confidence Mann-Kendall Statistic

-42

Table 7
Monitoring Well PMA MW-4D Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis

				1	W.G.Krum	mrich Fa	cility PCB	Mfg. Are	a Monitor	ing Well N	/IW-4D Ma	ann-Kenda	all Trend A	Analysis						
	Event 1 2Q06	Event 2 3Q06	Event 3 4Q06	Event 4 1Q07	Event 5 2Q07	Event 6 3Q07	Event 7 4Q07	Event 8 1Q08	Event 9 2Q08	Event 10 4Q08	Event 11 1Q09	Event 12 2Q09	Event 13 3Q09	Event 14 4Q09	Event 15 1Q10	Event 16 2Q10	Event 17 3Q10	Event 18 4Q10	Event 19 1Q11	Row Total
Total PCBs, µg/L	0.34	0.10	2.07	0.33	0.50	0.35	0.23	0.27	0.44	0.27	2.73	0.59	0.37	0.61	0.54	0.72	0.42	0.31	0.35	Total
Compare to Event 1	0.54	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	6
Compare to Event 2		-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	17
Compare to Event 3				-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-14
Compare to Event 4					1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	7
Compare to Event 5						-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-4
Compare to Event 6							-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	5
Compare to Event 7								1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12
Compare to Event 8									1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10
Compare to Event 9										-1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	0
Compare to Event 10											1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
Compare to Event 11												-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-8
Compare to Event 12													-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-3
Compare to Event 13														1	1	1	1	-1	-1	2
Compare to Event 14															-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-3
Compare to Event 15																1	-1	-1	-1	-2
Compare to Event 16																	1	-1	-1	-3
Compare to Event 17																		1	-1	-2
Compare to Event 18																			1	1

30


90 % Confidence Mann-Kendall Statistic


39


Table 8
Monitoring Well PMA MW-6D Mann-Kendall Trend Analysis


		W.G.I	Krummrich	Facility W	ell PMA MV	V-6D Mann	-Kendall Tr	end Analys	sis			
	Event 1	Event 2	Event 3	Event 4	Event 5	Event 6	Event 7	Event 8	Event 9	Event 10	Event 11	Row
	3Q08	4Q08	1Q09	2Q09	3Q09	4Q09	1Q10	2Q10	3Q10	4Q10	1Q11	Total
Total PCBs, ug/L	0.21	0.43	0.32	0.29	0.20	0.30	0.19	0.33	0.10	0.65	0.22	
Compare to Event 1		1	1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	1	4
Compare to Event 2			-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-7
Compare to Event 3				-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-4
Compare to Event 4					-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
Compare to Event 5						1	-1	1	-1	1	1	2
Compare to Event 6							-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
Compare to Event 7								1	-1	1	1	2
Compare to Event 8									-1	1	-1	-1
Compare to Event 9										1	1	2
Compare to Event 10											-1	-1


90 % Confidence Mann-Kendall Statistic -19











APPENDIX A GROUNDWATER PURGING AND SAMPLING FORMS

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-25	*-11	WEATHER:	BER: 3017 33 F PMA-Mu			FIEL	D PERSONNEL:	KCR/DC	ω
INITIAL DATA Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Scr Screen Length:	epth (btoc): ck): NAPL (btoc):	2 ii 24.94 ft 24.94 ft 13.99 ft 19.94 ft	If Depth to Top of So t Place Pump at: Tota t If Depth to Top of So t Place Pump at: Total t If Screen Length and	nt (do not include LNAP creen is > Depth to Wate I Well Depth - 0.5 (Scre creen is < Depth to Wate Well Depth -)9.5 X Wi for water column height	er AND Screen en Length + D er AND Water ater Column H	Length is <4 feet NAPL Column Heig Column Height and eight + DNAPL Col Pump at: Total Wel	Screen Length are umn Height) =	ft 22 ft btoc 44 ft, ft btoc ft btoc	Minimum Purge Vol (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID Re	Cell Vol <u>ume) 2100</u> mL
PURGE DATA Pump Type:		QED S	Sample Pro			HAVE THE STA	BILIZATION P	ARAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are unit	s unless %
rump Type.	***************************************	<u> </u>			± 0.2	Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	± 10% or ± 0.2	± 20
Purge Volume (mL) (mC) (000 2000 3000	Time 0753 0757 0800 0800	Depth to Water (ft) 13.99 14.00 14.00	Cleat	Odor	pH 6·31 6·46 6·50	Temp (°C) 15.54 15.75 15.77	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs) 0 - 2 5 - 4 0 - 0	DO (mg/!) 0.14 0.08 0.09	ORP (mv)
5000 6000	0812	14.00			6.5%	15.96	0.24	0.0	0.0	105
Start Time: Stop Time:	0753 0812	-	Average P	Elapsed Time: Purge Rate (mL/min):	Ì	9 315.78,	n Ynin		ity Meter ID:	2-25-11 2-25-11
SAMPLING DATA Sample Date: Sample Method: VOA Vials, No Hea		Initials:	Ker	Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:)815 315.78 mi	Ymin (Analysis:QA/QC Samples:	Notal RBS	
COMMENTS:		T 100 Supposer				,		Ferrous Iron (Filtered	1 0.2 micron) = 10.2 micron	*

A TO STATE TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY AND ASSESSED.

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-25-	C Sandara Vitrapada	PROJECT NUMB WEATHER: SAMPLE ID:	ER: <u>Jo1721</u> 33 F 8MA-MW-	€∧0W	P	FIELC	PERSONNEL:	Kel/Dew	
INITIAL DATA Well Diameter: Measured Well Dept Constructed Well De Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/DI Depth to Top of Screen Length:	epth (btoc): ck): NAPL (btoc):	27.36 (27.33 (16.28 (- (22.3)	It If Depth to Top of Sci t Place Pump at: Total t If Depth to Top of Sci t Place Pump at: Total	t (do not include LNAPI reen is > Depth to Water Well Depth - 0.5 (Scree reen is < Depth to Water Well Depth -)9.5 X Wa for water column height	AND Screer n Length + D AND Water ter Column H is <4 ft, Place	n Length is <4 feet NAPL Column Heigh Column Height and S (eight + DNAPL Colu	creen Length are mn Height) =	-4.83 ft btoc	Minimum Purge \ (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID)	gh Cell Volume) ZfomL
PURGE DATA		(3E)	Semple 7ro	·		HAVE THE STAI	BILIZATION PA	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are u	nits unless %
Pump Type:					± 0.2	Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	$\pm 10\% \text{ or } \pm 0.2$	± 20
Purge Volume		Depth to				Temp	Cond.	Turbidity	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
(mL)	Time	Water (ft)	Color	Odor	pH	(°C)	Ms/cm	(NTUs)	(mg/l)	(IIIV)
0	0935	16.28	MOSTIN CIECT	Chancel shall	7.08	15.87	0-11	24.6	0.0	-10
7.000	0938	16.29	Tuoselle ciori	Customics : State	6.95	16.80	0-11	19.0	0.0	- 14
3000	0944	16.29			6.9	16.97	0.11	16.3	0.0	- 15
4000	0947	16.28			6,91	17.09	0-1]	9.2	0.0	-18
<u> </u>	0950	96.29	<u> </u>	<i>3</i> //	6.90	17.02	0.12	8.3	0.0	
Start Time: Stop Time:	0935		Average P	Elapsed Time: 'urge Rate (mL/min):		15 min 333,33			lity Meter ID: te Calibrated:	Horiba 4-22 2-25-11
SAMPLING DAT: Sample Date: Sample Method:	5F	Z-25-11		Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:		0955 333,33 Mi	Imin (Analysis: QA/QC Samples:	Total Pa	\$ \$ £
VOA Vials, No Hea	• -	Initials:	Ker					Ferrous Iron (Filtere	d 0.2 micron) =	WA .

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WI	2-24-11	***************************************	PROJECT NUMB WEATHER: SAMPLE ID:	ER: 3017 40 F PMA-MW-	210.10	<u></u>	FIELI	D PERSONNEL:	LCR / DCW	
Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Screen Length:	Pepth (btoc): ock): NAPL (btoc):	27.46 27.4 16-2/ 22.4	ft If Depth to Top of Sci ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Depth to Top of Sci ft Place Pump at: Total	t (do not include LNAP reen is > Depth to Wate Well Depth - 0.5 (Scre reen is < Depth to Wate Well Depth -)9.5 X Woor water column height	er AND Screen en Length + Di er AND Water (ater Column He	Length is <4 feet NAPL Column Heig Column Height and S eight + DNAPL Column Height and S	Screen Length are umn Height) =	24.9 ft btoc	Minimum Purge Vo (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID I	h Cell Volume) [™] loo mL
PURGE DATA Pump Type:		RED	Sample Pro			HAVE THE STA	BILIZATION PA	ARAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are ur	its unless %
rump type.					± 0.2	Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	$\pm 10\% \text{ or } \pm 0.2$	± 20
Purge Volume	Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Color	Odor	рН	Temp (°C)	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs)	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
(mL)	Time	16.2/	COIOI	Otto	pri		WIS/CIII	(17103)	(Mg/1)	(III V)
1000	1400	16.22	MOSTly slear/Haze	Simultal alar	7.14	14.8	0.33	83.5	0.0	64
2.000	1403	(6.23		Parente .	6.89	16.5	0.33	931	Ø·0	54
3000	140%	76-23			6.83	16.65	0.34	96.9	8.0	49
Ú 00 ju	1409	16.23			6.79	16.73	035	70.9	0.0	45
\$00.0	1412	16.23	√	Ž	6.72	16.47	0.35	59. 4	0.0	45
Start Time: Stop Time:	1356	-	Average P	Elapsed Time: urge Rate (mL/min):	6	312.5	,		lity Meter ID:	foriba U-22 2-24-11
SAMPLING DAT									and I have	3 -
Sample Date:		-24-1)		Sample Time:		5		Analysis:	10to PC	\$ \$
Sample Method:		0M F/00		Sample Flow Rate:		312.5		QA/QC Samples:	NONE	
VOA Vials, No He		Initials:	KOR					Ferrous Iron (Filtered	d 0.2 micron) =	5 7 -7

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-24-11		WEATHER:	7/16	210.10 nw- 45-	<u> </u>	FIELD	PERSONNEL:	KCR/DOW	
Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Scr Screen Length:	epth (btoc): ck): NAPL (btoc):	25.40 25.33 14.96 20.33	ft If Depth to Top of Sci ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Depth to Top of Sci ft Place Pump at: Total	(do not include LNAP een is > Depth to Wate Well Depth - 0.5 (Scree een is < Depth to Wate Well Depth -)9.5 X Water water column height	r AND Screen L en Length + DNa r AND Water Co ater Column Heig	APL Column Heigh olumn Height and S ght + DNAPL Colu ump at: Total Well	creen Length are < mn Height) =	.2.53 ft btoc	Minimum Purge Volt (3 x Flow Through C Ambient PID/FID Re	Cell Volume) 2100 mL
PURGE DATA		QED	SAMPLE Pro			HAVE THE STAI	BILIZATION PA	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are unit	s unless %
Pump Type:						Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	± 10% or ± 0.2	± 20
Purge Volume	Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Color	Odor	рН	Temp (°C)	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs)	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
(mL)	1136	14.86	C0101	Kessori	gapte.		, manual districts and the second sec	350 Mary Company	All productions and the second	
1000	1140	14.86	Mustly slear/Hazy	chemical ador	6.63	16-6	0.26	109	0.0	- <u>- </u>
2000	1144	14.86			6.6	16.74	0.35	2 4° 7 46	0.0	
3000	1148	14.86			6.63	16.46	0.36	132	0.0	- 20
<u> </u>	1/52	14.86	i i		4.63	16.53	0.38		Q . a	* 5 4
5 006 1 000	1200	14.80			6.60	4.56	0.38	116	0.0	57
X 2 7 3										
					~~,;			_	<u></u>	riba 4-22
Start Time: Stop Time:	1136	-	Average P	Elapsed Time: urge Rate (mL/min):	24 m	in Mi/min			lity Meter ID: 170	nba 4-22 2-24-11
SAMPLING DAT Sample Date: Sample Method:		-24-11 1022 Flow	77	Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:	170			Analysis:	Total PCBs	
VOA Vials, No He		Initials	- KCC		44				. 10	
COMMENTS:	2/3	1 6 5 . species	LNAPL & DNA	or vot of	servel e	n 2-21-	a National Parkets	Ferrous Iron (Filtere	$d 0.2 \text{ micron}) = \mathcal{N} \mathcal{A}$	-
			Turndily h	ot bouncing	anunj.	ewy Z	Scands, be	17 (April 1967 Sto	38	

Notified Data Well Dimeter Section Sec	PROJECT NAME: DATE:	2-24-11	K PCB 10	WEATHER:	ER: 50172 40 F PMA-M	10.10 Rainy W-1M-E	, こ) /	FIELD	PERSONNEL:	KCR/OCW	
Well Diameter:	INITIAL DATA										
Pump Type:	Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Scr	epth (btoc): ck): NAPL (btoc): _	59.68 59.30 14.42 54.30	ft If Depth to Top of Sc ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Depth to Top of Sc ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Screen Length and	reen is > Depth to Water Well Depth - 0.5 (Screen) Well Depth - 0.5 X Water Well Depth - 0.5 X Water water column height	r AND Screen L en Length + DNA r AND Water Co ter Column Heig is <4 ft, Place Pr	APL Column Height olumn Height and Sc ght + DNAPL Colun ump at: Total Well I) = creen Length are < nn Height) =	56.8 ft btoc	Minimum Purge Volu (3 x Flow Through C Ambient PID/FID Re	me = Cell Volume) Z 100mL ading: O D ppm
Purge Volume	PURGE DATA	0		4. O	Ę		EE A SZED TENEBED CHES & SE	ILIZĀTION DĀĪ	TAMETERS DEEN CA	TICEIED? All ara unit	s unless %
Purge Volume	Pump Type:		<u> </u>	NR TO							± 20
(mL) Time Water (ft) Color Odor pH (°C) Ms/cm (NTUs) (mg/l) (m) ○ 14471 14.42 ————————————————————————————————————	Purge Volume		Depth to			± 0.2 F		T		T T	
C	_	Time		Color	Odor	рН	- 1		(NTUs)	(mg/l)	(mv)
1000	0	1444	14.42								
1900 1953 1942	1000			mostly clear							
1900	2000			/ }						1	
1000				<u> </u>					<u> </u>		
Start Time: 1444					<u> </u>						
Start Time: 1444										1	
Start Time: 1444											- <u>3</u> /
Start Time: 1444 Elapsed Time: 24 Min Water Quality Meter ID: 140 bg 14-2 Stop Time: 1505 Average Purge Rate (mL/min): 333.33 m-/mn Date Calibrated: 2-24-1] SAMPLING DATA Sample Date: 2-24-1 Sample Time: 1515 Analysis: 104a PCBS Sample Method: 104 Flow Sample Flow Rate: 333.33 m-/min QA/QC Samples: 104a PCBS VOA Vials, No Headspace Initials: 164a Marketing Parketing Parketi									<u>> : ></u>		- 56
Stop Time: 150% Average Purge Rate (mL/min): 333.33 M Date Calibrated: 2-24-11 SAMPLING DATA Sample Date: 2-24-11 Sample Time: 1515 Analysis: 10tal PCBS Sample Method: 100 Flow Sample Flow Rate: 333.33 M MODE QA/QC Samples: 10tal PCBS VOA Vials, No Headspace Initials: 1666	8000	1500				0.19	13.83				
Sample Date: Z-ZY-1/ Sample Time: Sample Time: Sample Method: Sample Flow Rate: 333.33 M-/min QA/QC Samples: QA/QC Samples: VOA Vials, No Headspace Initials: KCA		1444	3	Average F	· —		Min 3.33 MY/m	<u> </u>			
Sample Method: Sample Flow Rate: 333.33 m-/min QA/QC Samples: OA/QC Samples: VOA Vials, No Headspace Initials: KCC	SAMPLING DAT					S 1000				market to the total	
NONE				<u> </u>				<u>nin</u> Q	Analysis:A/QC Samples:	10721 KCB 1024	\$
COMMENTS: Fellous Boll (Filered 0.2 Inicion) = 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	·	adspace		Ken					Farmous Ivan (Filtons	od 0.2 mioron) – M	•
	COMMENTS:								a carono ason (1 illele	A O.Z micronj —	
				1,000,000							

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-25-1	PCB 16	PROJECT NUMB WEATHER: SAMPLE ID:	335	210.10 5004 202M-02		FIELD	PERSONNEL:	Kel /Den)
INITIAL DATA Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Scr Screen Length:	epth (btoc): ock): NAPL (btoc):	61.60 61.54 16.52 	ft If Depth to Top of Sc ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Depth to Top of Sc ft Place Pump at: Total	t (do not include LNAP reen is > Depth to Wate Well Depth - 0.5 (Scre reen is < Depth to Wate Well Depth -)9.5 X W. for water column height	er AND Screen I en Length + DN er AND Water C ater Column He	IAPL Column Height Column Height and So ight + DNAPL Colur Pump at: Total Well I	creen Length are on Height) =	ft 57.04	Minimum Purge Vo (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID I	rough Cell): Too mL olume = n Cell Volume) Thoo mL Reading: O O ppm Reading: D O ppm
PURGE DATA		QEb s	SAMPLE Pro			HAVE THE STAR	III IZATION PA	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are un	its unless %
Pump Type:						Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	± 10% or ± 0.2	± 20
Purge Volume (mL)	Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Color	Odor	На	Temp (°C)	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs)	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
0	0846	16.52	-con-		A	_		again.		-
1000	0850	16.52	Pale Vellow	chemical oder	7.14	15.76	0.21	89.2	0.0	71
2000	0853	16-52			7.18	16.14	<u> ○ · ♪)</u>	91.0	<u> </u>	had 5"
3000	0957	16.52			7.25	16.45	0.2	77.8	<u>0.0</u> 0.0	16
4000	0900	16-52		4	7.26	16.43	0.2)	77.)		~ 29
<u> </u>	0903	16.52	yellow/slock	<u> </u>	7.25	16.37	0 - 2-1	65.9	0.0 0.0	-55
7000	0914	16.52			7-27	16.25	0 - 2.1	25-6	0.0	-75
9000	0.11.7				7	3000				
Start Time: Stop Time:	0846		Average F	Elapsed Time:	Z9 321	Min .43 m/m	<u> </u>	,	ity Meter ID:	foriba 4-22 2-25-11
CAMPING DAT			Annual Control of the	and the same of th						
SAMPLING DAT Sample Date: Sample Method:		-25-11 w Flow		Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:	321.	0920 .43 m.Vmin	3	Analysis:	Notal Pc	85
VOA Vials, No He	^ 1] Initials	KCR						d 0.2 migran) =	^
COMMENTS:				ORP	hee DS	falling.	exything	Ferrous Iron (Filtered	1 0.2 micron) –	\$ "%
					\$ com 2				2 37 (MA) 200-00	
			-							

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-24- 2-24- ELLID: PA	C PCB	WEATHER:	BER: John 2	10.10 Rainy 2-3m-1	021	FIELD	PERSONNEL:	KCR /Dew	
INITIAL DATA Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Scr Screen Length:	epth (btoc): ck): NAPL (btoc):	Z 61.88 61.81 16.37 5 6.81	ft If Depth to Top of S ft Place Pump at: Tota ft If Depth to Top of S ft Place Pump at: Tota	nt (do not include LNAP creen is > Depth to Wate I Well Depth - 0.5 (Screcreen is < Depth to Wate Well Depth -)9.5 X Wall Op water column height	r AND Screen I en Length + DN er AND Water C ater Column He	Length is <4 feet VAPL Column Heigh Column Height and S ight + DNAPL Colu Pump at: Total Well	Screen Length are <	ft ft ft btoc ft btoc ft btoc ft btoc	Minimum Purge Voli (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID Re	Cell Volume) 2/00mL
PURGE DATA		QED	Sample Pro		<u> </u>	UAVE THE STAT	RILIZATION PA	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISEIED? All are unit	s unless %
Pump Type:		N->				Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	$\pm 10\% \text{ or } \pm 0.2$	± 20
Purge Volume	T	Depth to	Calan	Oden		Temp	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs)	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
(mL)	Time 3 2	Water (ft)	Color	Odor	pН	(°C)	IVIS/CIII	(141.03)	(111g/1)	(IIIV)
1000	13/6	16.37	Black-Urayish Haze	Chemical oder	&·38	15.2	0.25	-7. S-	0.0	-23
2000	1320	16-3 7			8.57	15.9	0.28	27.3	0.0	-57
3000	1324	16. 37			8.62	16.34	0-28	50.0	0.42	- 85
Vo 00	1327	16.37			8.64	16.40	0.29	68.9	0.0	- 95
5000	1330	16.37	¥ .		8.66	16.43	0.29	45.6	0.0	-103
6000	1333	16-37			8.66	16.47	0.29	41,0	0.0	-109
7000	1336	16.37	<u> </u>		8,60	16.45	0.29	29.4	o , 0	113
Start Time: Stop Time:	13/2		Average	Elapsed Time: Purge Rate (mL/min):	24	min 291.66 ml/	n. A			16a V-22
SAMPLING DAT Sample Date: Sample Method:		2-24-1 102 Flor	La Car	Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:		40 91.66 ml/	<u>min</u> Q	Analysis: A/QC Samples:	Total PeBs	
VOA Vials, No He	adspace V		s:KCQ					Ferrous Iron (Filtere	d 0.2 micron) = NA	-
	And the second s									

A 1 114 A PROFESSION OF STREET COST OF COST ACCOUNTS

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-24	~ \		ER: Jol) 41 F 606-000	7 - 43 - 0	216	FIELD	PERSONNEL:	KCR/DCW	
INITIAL DATA Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Ser Screen Length:	oth (btoc): epth (btoc): ock): NAPL (btoc):	73.42	in Water Column Height ft If Depth to Top of Scr ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Depth to Top of Scr ft Place Pump at: Total ft If Screen Length and/ ft DNPL Present	een is > Depth to Wate Well Depth - 0.5 (Scree een is < Depth to Wate Well Depth -)9.5 X Wa or water column height	r AND Screen en Length + DN r AND Water (ater Column He	Length is <4 feet NAPL Column Heigh Column Height and S eight + DNAPL Colu Pump at: Total Well I	creen Length are < mn Height) =	ft btoc	Minimum Purge Vol (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID R	ough Cell): TOO mL ume = Cell Volume) 2 / OomL eading: OO ppm eading: 1.1 ppm
PURGE DATA	1	JED	Sample Pro			HAVE THE STAF	BILIZATION PAI	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are uni	ts unless %
Pump Type:	***************************************	X m m	and, in		± 0.2	Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	± 10% or ± 0.2	± 20
Purge Volume (mL)	Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Color	Odor	рН	Temp (°C)	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs)	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
0	1055	14.94		*~_	- Alexander	Philipse-	endager 1	And the second	and C.	Manage species
1000	1059	14.94	Mostly Clear/hozy	chemical librar	6.81	12.45	74 m5/2	82.5	3.88	-0
2000	1103	14.94			6.52 6.60	16-01	0.19	<u>40.0</u> 33.0	0.0	-19
3000	1107	14.94	į.	1	6.00	15.9	0.20	20.8	0.0	-4/
4000 500 0	1115	14.97	ļ		6.60	16.09	0.20	14,77	0.0	-55
6000	1 1119	7 7 7 7		100	6.52	15.62	0.20	49.3	0.0	-62
2 2 2 2										
					7 7 2)		and the second s		200	0.1.22
Start Time: Stop Time:	1055		Average Pu	Elapsed Time: arge Rate (mL/min):	29	min So me/min			lity Meter ID: 100	1.6a - 4-22 2-24-11
SAMPLING DAT	· A			<u> </u>			enter en		and the second s	<u> </u>
Sample Date: Sample Method:	2.	-24-11 00 Flow	<u></u>	Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:	~	1125 50 al/min	O	Analysis:A/QC Samples:	Total PCBs	
VOA Vials, No He			£ ./	1						
COMMENTS:)ne_						Ferrous Iron (Filtere	d 0.2 micron) = //	Ą.
		1	8		d00 A 13	5 8		Kananaswanasa		A STATE OF THE STA
	<u> </u>	-NAPL :	DNAPL not	opening ov	2-24-	***				
	·····					The state of the s				

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WE	2-24-11	PCB 10	WEATHER:	BER: J017 2 40 F PMA- MW-5			FIELD	PERSONNEL:	XCR/DCW	
INITIAL DATA Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (bto Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Scr Screen Length:	th (btoc): epth (btoc): ck): NAPL (btoc):	57.05 56.87 15.58 51.87	If Depth to Top of Soft Place Pump at: Total If Depth to Top of Soft Place Pump at: Total	int (do not include LNAP) creen is > Depth to Wate I Well Depth - 0.5 (Scree creen is < Depth to Wate I Well Depth -)9.5 X Wa I/or water column height	r AND Screen l en Length + DN r AND Water C ater Column He	IAPL Column Heigh Column Height and S ight + DNAPL Colu Pump at: Total Well	Screen Length are <	ft ft ft btoc ft btoc ft btoc	Minimum Purge Vo (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID F	rough Cell): 700 mL slume = n Cell Volume) 2100mL Reading: 0.0 ppm Reading: 1.9 ppm
PURGE DATA	1	RED :	Sample Pro	}		HAVE THE STA	BILIZATION PA	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are un	its unless %
Pump Type:		<u> </u>			± 0.2	Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	$\pm 10\%$ or ± 0.2	± 20
Purge Volume (mL)	Time	Depth to Water (ft)	Color	Odor	pН	Temp (°C)	Cond. Ms/cm	Turbidity (NTUs)	DO (mg/l)	ORP (mv)
0	0834	15.58			,ame	grette.	against .	and to		
1000	0838	15.58	Clear	slight chemical odd	6.90	16.29	0.27	5. %	0.0	-6
2000	0841	15.59		320	6.90	16.54	0.27	7.7	\$ · 0	
3000	0845	15.59			8.90	16.53	0.23	0.0	0.0	27
2000	0853	15.59	<u> </u>	Į į	6.92	16.49	0.30	0.0	0.0	~ 33
Start Time: Stop Time:	0834 0853	_	Average	Elapsed Time: Purge Rate (mL/min):	19	63.15 /mb	1.m.		lity Meter ID:	101/2 - 4-22 2-24-11
SAMPLING DAT Sample Date:	200	24-11		Sample Time:		900 63.15 mb/	,	Analysis: DA/QC Samples:	Total po	: Gs
Sample Method:	Į (Du Flord	W-0442-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	Sample Flow Rate:		63.15 mb/	was No	AAAAC Samples:	1 5 00 1 00000	
VOA Vials, No He	adspace 🔯		KCR							1A
COMMENTS:	***************************************							Ferrous Iron (Filtere	d U.2 micron) =	9
	water the same of		Maria de la companya							

1 1 11 11 1 HOTOSTO OST ---- PT---- OST OST ---- ACSO -A-

PROJECT NAME: DATE: MONITORING WI	2-24-		PROJECT NUM WEATHER: SAMPLE ID:		210.10	021)	FIELI	PERSONNEL: KG	ik/Dew	
Well Diameter: Measured Well Dep Constructed Well D Depth to Water (btc Depth to LNAPL/D Depth to Top of Screen Length:	oth (btoc):	101.39 101.80 12.41 96.18	ft If Depth to Top of S ft Place Pump at: Tot ft If Depth to Top of S ft Place Pump at: Tota	tht (do not include LNAF Gereen is > Depth to Wate al Well Depth - 0.5 (Scre Gereen is < Depth to Wate Il Well Depth -)9.5 X W d/or water column heigh	er AND Screen en Length + Di er AND Water (ater Column He	Length is <4 feet NAPL Column Heigh Column Height and S eight + DNAPL Colu Pump at: Total Well	Screen Length are mn Height) =	99.3 ft btoc	Minimum Purge Vol (3 x Flow Through Ambient PID/FID R	ough Cell): 700 mL tume = Cell Volume) 2100 mL eading: 0 ppm eading: ppm
PURGE DATA Pump Type:		QES	Sample Pro			HAVE THE STA	BILIZATION PA	RAMETERS BEEN SA	TISFIED? All are uni	ts unless %
, ,,					± 0.2	Record Data Only	± 3%	Record Data Only	± 10% or ± 0.2	± 20
Purge Volume (mL) (mC) (000 2000 3000 4000 7000 Start Time:	Time 0737 0741 0744 0748 0752 0757 0803	Depth to Water (ft) 12. 41 12. 41 12. 41 12. 41 12. 41 12. 41 12. 41	Clear	Odor Nove Elapsed Time:	pH		Cond. Ms/cm 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.14 0.14			ORP (mv) 70 30 2 -32 -57 -77
Stop Time:	0903		Average	Purge Rate (mL/min):	e e	269.23 ML/A	ANA	Da	te Calibrated:	L-24-11
SAMPLING DAT Sample Date: Sample Method: VOA Vials, No He	2 10 eadspace		_	Sample Time: Sample Flow Rate:	- 08	10 9.23. m=/m		Analysis: QA/QC Samples: Ferrous Iron (Filtered	Total Pc none d 0.2 micron) = NA	_

APPENDIX B

CHAINS-OF-CUSTODY

TestAmerica					,	/\ 5 S	102 La avanna	Roche h, GA		·			Website: Phone: (9 Fax: (912	912) 354-7		c.com
THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING			in)		<u>)</u> а	lternate	e Labor	atory Na	me/L	ocation		Phone: Fax:			
PROJECT REFERENCE PROJECT NO.	PROJECT LOCATION (STATE)			MATE TYPI					RE	QUIP	ED ANALY	'SIS	- 1074 - 1074	PAG	SE \	OF /
TAL (LAB) PROJECT MANAGER 670 RIVALD I CLIENT (SITE) PM CLIENT PHONE	CONTRACT NO.	CATE	7100		TIV	(089)	1								ANDARD RE LIVERY	PORT
CLIENT NAME CLIENT E-MAIL		8 5			an ioa	2000	ŝ					and the second second)	DATE DUE	
Solution Tool Colut	n. Com	8	9	9	SIOI GII	Ta 4. 1	-				Abunumany application and a second	The second secon		DEL	PEDITED RE LIVERY RCHARGE)	PORI
575 Mayville, Center Drive, St. low.	s, MO 6314	1 8	ATFR)	MISOL	0	1	2		<u> </u>		-				DATE DUE	
CLIENT ADDRESS S75 Mayuille, Center Drive, St. low. COMPANY CONTRACTING THIS WORK (if applicable) SAMPLE DATE TIME SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION 2-25-11 0815 PMA-MW-015-0211	,	POSITE (FOLIS (W	D OH SE	AOLIFOLI	Tat De a	-			garate. Position	HV/		VE _	1	ABER OF CO SHIPMENT	OOLERS SUBMITTE
DATE TIME SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	V	8	AQU	SOL	AIR NON			NU	IMBER OF	CON	TAINERS	SUBMITTI	ED		REI	MARKS
2-25-11 0815 PMA-MW-015-0211		6	X			2										***************************************
0815 PMA-MW-015-0211-		6	X.			2								1	ns	
0815 PMA -MW-015-0211-		6	-11			a									151)	
0920 PMA-MW-02M-021	1	6				2						1				
0920 PMA-MW-02M-621	I- AD	6				2			- Andrews					F	ł D	The state of the s
0955 PMA-MW-028-02			χ	+		а										
V 0955 PMA-MW-025-021	1 - EB	6	Х	-		a				***				E	B	
	TANKE I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A									- new				No.		The second secon
	-		Н													
	Trusp.															=======================================
																- VA
_ (Tel 2-25-11 1115	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIG	RUTAN	₹E)				DATE		TIME		RELINO	JISHED B	BY: (SIGNATURE)		DATE	TIME
RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE) DATE TIME I	RECEIVED 8Y; (SIGNATURI	€)	***************************************				DATE		TIME		RECEIV	SO BY: (SK	GNATURE)		DATE	TIME
ECEIVED FOR LABORATORY BY: DATE TIME C						ONLY		•						**************************************	***************************************	
(SNATURE)	USTODY INTACT ES O	CUS				SAVANI LOG NO	NAH 65	591 10	LABORA	TORY	REMARKS	3				

Tes	tAn				IN OF CUSTODY				51	stAmeri 02 LaRo vannah,	che Av	renue			PI		vw.testame t) 354-7856 52-0165		om
				LCD	1 Q11 Ground	aning) Alt	ernate L	aborat	ory Name	/Locatio	n	Dh	one:			
£	ER IN ENVIR	ONMENTAL	TESTING			,									Fa				
	PCB-	10.11	PROJECT NO	Э.	PROJECT LOCATION (STATE) I		MATRI TYPE	1				REQ	JIRED AN	ALYSIS			PAGE		OF (
CLIENT (SITE) P	ECT MANAGER RINALDI PM		P.O. NUMBER		CONTRACT NO.	INDICATE		ENT)	089)				Anne de la Company de la Compa	The state of the s		Assessment of the state of the	DELIVE	ARD REPO RY TE DUE	X
<u>GM</u>	" RINAL	DT		4-3312	314-674-880	8 8		SOLV	85								1		
CLIENT NAME	ic Ir		CLIENT E-MA	AIL	- 4140-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	GRAB (@	0	JID (OIL,) 				NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY.				DELIVE (SURCE		
1 CHENT ADDDES	36 ,			+ lowis	ma 63141	S) OR	SOL	19	P								DA	re due	
		WORK (if appl	icable)		mo 63141	OSITE (C	AQUEOUS (WATER) SOLID OR SEMISOLID	OUEOUS	IONE	Mark Street					TVE		NUMBEI PER SH		LERS SUBMITTE
SAM DATE	PLE TIME		SAMP	LE IDENTIFICAT	ION	COMF	SOLIF	NONA			NUM	MBER OF C	ONTAINE	RS SUBI	MITTED			REMA	AKS
2-24-11	0810	PmA-	- mw-6	D-02	1 \	6	$\mathbf{x} 1$		2		And the state of t	-				-	- The second sec		
Ì	0900			-sm - 0		6	χ		a										
	1125	Pm	A- mw	-4D-	021)	11	X		a										
	1205			1-45-		6	x		a							and the state of t			
	1340			v - 3m-		6	Х		a										
	1415			v - 3s -		6			J										-
	1515			J-1m-		6	X		a										
			1010100000																
						4		44.						_	_				
			hida a san a s			+		1											
RELINOUISHED E	BY: (SIGNATURG)		DATE 7-24-11	TIME 16 35	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIG	RUTANS	<u> </u>			DATE		TIME	REI	INQUIS	HED BY: (SIGN	IATURE)	D.	ATE	TIME
RECEIVED BY: (SH	GNATURE)		DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATUR	RE)				DATE		TIME	REC	EIVED I	BY: (SIGNATURE)	D/	ATE	TIME .
				<u> </u>	1	LABO	PATOR'	Y USE	ONLY					<u></u>			-	······································	<u> </u>
RECEIVED FOR L	ABORATORY BY	:	DATE	TIME	CUSTODY INTACT	cus	STODY KL NO.	18	AVAN			LABORATO	DRY REM	ARKS				Section 1	
91	ukh	nis an in professional annual section.	2/25/11	1015	YES O	OE P	IL IYU.	1		-65591		3.6	3.7	4.1	4.74				

APPENDIX C QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

FIRST QUARTER 2011 PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

Prepared for:

SOLUTIA INC. St. Louis, Missouri

Prepared by:

GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC. St. Louis, Missouri

Geotechnology, Inc. Report No. J017210.10

May 18, 2011

FIRST QUARTER 2011 PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	
2.0	RECEIPT CONDITION AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES	3
3.0	LABORATORY METHOD AND EQUIPMENT BLANK SAMPLES	3
4.0	SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES	3
5.0	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE RECOVERIES	4
6.0	MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD) SAMPLES	4
7.0	FIELD DUPLICATE RESULTS	4
8.0	INTERNAL STANDARD RESPONSES	5
9.0	RESULTS REPORTED FROM DILUTIONS	5
10.0	MASS SPECTROMETER TUNING	5
11.0	CALIBRATION	5
12.0	COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	6
13.0	OTHER PROBLEMS/DOCUMENTATION	6

FIRST QUARTER 2011 PCB GROUNDWATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROGRAM QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Quality Assurance Report presents the findings of a review of analytical data for groundwater samples collected in February of 2011 at the Solutia W.G. Krummrich plant as part of the 1st Quarter 2011 PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Program. The samples were collected by Geotechnology, Inc. (Geotechnology) personnel and analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratories located in Savannah, Georgia using USEPA methodologies. Groundwater samples were analyzed for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).

Geotechnology subcontracted with the M.J.W. Corporation to conduct third party Level III and Level IV data validation. One hundred percent of the data was subjected to a data quality review (Level III validation). M.J.W. Corporation selected four random groundwater samples for Level IV data validation (PMA-MW-6D-0211, PMA-MW-5M-0211, PMA-MW-4D-0211 and PMA-MW-4S-0211.) The Level III and Level IV reviews were performed in order to confirm that the analytical data provided by TestAmerica were acceptable in quality for their intended use.

A total of 14 samples (ten investigative groundwater samples, one field duplicate, one matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) pair, and one equipment blank) were analyzed by TestAmerica. These samples were analyzed as part of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) KPM041 utilizing the following USEPA SW-846 Methods:

Method 680 for PCBs

Samples were reviewed following procedures outlined in the USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (USEPA 2008) and the Revised PCB Groundwater Quality Assessment Work Plan (Solutia 2009).

The above guidelines provided the criteria to review the data. Additional quantitative criteria are given in the analytical methods. Data was qualified based on the data quality review. Qualifiers assigned indicate data that did not meet acceptance criteria and for which corrective actions were not successful or not performed. The various qualifiers are explained in Tables 1 and 2 below:

J017210.10

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Table 1 – Laboratory Data Qualifiers

Lab Qualifier	Definition
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
*	LCS, LCSD, MS, MSD, MD or surrogate exceeds the control limits.

Table 2 – Geotechnology (M.J.W. Corporation) Data Qualifiers

M.J.W. Corp. Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

Based on the criteria outlined, it is recommended that the results reported for these analyses are accepted for their intended use. Acceptable levels of accuracy, precision, and representativeness (based on MS/MSD, LCS, surrogate compounds and field duplicate results) were achieved for this data set, except where noted in this report. In addition, analytical completeness, defined to be the percentage of analytical results which are judged to be valid, including estimated detect/nondetect (J/UJ) values was 100 percent, which meets the completeness of goal of 95 percent.

The data review included evaluation of the following criteria:

Organics

- Receipt condition and sample holding times
- Laboratory method blanks and field equipment blank samples
- Surrogate spike recoveries
- Laboratory control sample (LCS) recoveries
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) sample recoveries and relative percent difference (RPD) values
- Field duplicate results
- Results reported from dilutions
- Internal standard responses
- Mass spectrometer tuning
- Calibration
- Compound identification
- Other problems/documentation

Solutia Inc. May 18, 2011 Page 3

2.0 RECEIPT CONDITION AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES

Sample holding time requirements for the analyses performed are presented in the methods and/or in the data review guidelines. Review of the sample collection, extraction and analysis dates involved comparing the chain-of-custody and the laboratory data summary forms for accuracy, consistency, and holding time compliance.

Extractions and/or analyses were conducted within the recommended holding time requirements.

The cooler receipt form indicated that four coolers were received by the laboratory at temperatures within the $4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ criteria. Samples received were in good condition and not frozen; therefore, no qualification of data was required.

3.0 LABORATORY METHOD BLANK AND EQUIPMENT BLANK SAMPLES

Laboratory method blank samples evaluate the existence and magnitude of contamination problems resulting from laboratory activities. All laboratory method blank samples were analyzed at the method prescribed frequencies. No analytes were detected in the method blanks.

Equipment blank samples are used to assess the effectiveness of equipment decontamination procedures. No analytes were detected in the equipment blank sample.

4.0 SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Surrogate compounds are used to evaluate overall laboratory performance for sample preparation efficiency on a per sample basis. All samples analyzed for PCBs were spiked with surrogate compounds during sample preparation. USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review state how data is qualified, if surrogate spike recoveries do not meet evaluation criteria. Sample PMA-MW-4S-0211 had a 0% recovery for 13DCB and was diluted and re-ran. Remaining surrogate recoveries were within evaluation criteria; therefore, no qualifications of data were required due to surrogate recoveries.

Solutia Inc. May 18, 2011 Page 4

5.0 LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE RECOVERIES

Laboratory control samples (LCS) are analyzed with each analytical batch to assess the accuracy of the analytical process. LCS 680-195851/14A was out of limit for Nonachlorobiphenyl. All other LCS recoveries were within evaluation criteria. No qualification of data was required.

6.0 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD) SAMPLES

MS/MSD samples are analyzed to assess the accuracy and precision of the analytical process on an analytical sample in a particular matrix. MS/MSD samples were required to be collected at a frequency of one per 20 investigative samples in accordance with the work plan (one per 20 investigative samples or 5%). Geotechnology submitted one MS/MSD sample set for ten investigative samples, meeting the work plan frequency requirement.

No qualifications were made to the data if the MS/MSD percent recoveries were zero due to dilutions or if the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) was the only factor outside of criteria. Also, USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (2008) states that organic data does not need qualification based on MS/MSD criteria alone. Therefore, if recoveries were outside evaluation criteria due to matrix interference or abundance of analytes, no qualifiers were assigned unless these analytes had other quality control criteria outside evaluation criteria.

Sample PMA-MW-1S-0211 was spiked and analyzed for PCBs in SDG KPM041. All MS/MSD recoveries were within evaluation criteria. No qualification of data was required.

7.0 FIELD DUPLICATE RESULTS

Field duplicate results are used to evaluate precision of the entire data collection activity, including sampling, analysis and site heterogeneity. When results for both duplicate and sample values are greater than five times the practical quantitation limit (PQL), satisfactory precision is indicated by an RPD less than or equal to 25 percent for aqueous samples. Where one or both of the results of a field duplicate pair are reported at less than five times the PQL, satisfactory precision is indicated if the field duplicate results agree within 2 times the quantitation limit. Field duplicate results that do not meet these criteria may indicate unsatisfactory precision of the results.

Solutia Inc. J017210.10 May 18, 2011

Page 5

One field duplicate sample was collected for the ten investigative samples. This satisfies the requirement in the work plan (one per 10 investigative samples or 10 percent). Field duplicate results were within evaluation criteria. No qualifications of data were required.

8.0 INTERNAL STANDARD RESPONSES

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during each analytical run. For the PCBs (Method 680), the IS areas must be within +/- 30 percent of the preceding calibration verification (CV) IS value. Also, the IS retention times must be within 30 seconds of the preceding IS CV retention time. If the IS area count is outside criteria, Method 680 indicates the mean IS area obtained during the initial calibration (ICAL) (+/- 50 percent) should be used.

The internal standards area responses for PCBs were verified for the data reviews. IS responses met the criteria as described above.

9.0 RESULTS REPORTED FROM DILUTIONS

Analytes were detected in diluted samples; therefore, undiluted results were not reported.

10. MASS SPECTROMETER TUNING

Instrument performance was determined to be satisfactory; therefore, no qualifications of data were required.

11.0 CALIBRATION

Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) is used to indicate the stability of a specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D (%D) is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and Percent D must be <25%. Percent RSD and percent D were within control limits; therefore, no qualifications of data were required.

Solutia Inc. May 18, 2011 Page 6 J017210.10

12.0 COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Form X was not completed for those samples in which there was a positive result for PCBs. Compound identification was determined to be satisfactory; therefore, no qualifications of data were required.

13.0 OTHER PROBLEMS/DOCUMENTATION

Other problems with non-compliance, field documentation, etc., were not identified; therefore, no qualifications of data were required.

= FROM THE GROUND UP =

APPENDIX D

GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS (WITH DATA REVIEW SHEETS)

SDG KPM041

Results of Samples from Monitoring Wells:

PMA-MW-1M

PMA-MW-1S

PMA-MW-2M

PMA-MW-2S

PMA-MW-3M


PMA-MW-3S

PMA-MW-4D

PMA-MW-4S

PMA-MW-5M

PMA-MW-6D



<u>TestAmerica</u>

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. TestAmerica Savannah 5102 LaRoche Avenue Savannah, GA 31404 Tel: (912)354-7858

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

TestAmerica Sample Delivery Group: KPM041

Client Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

For:

Solutia Inc.

575 Maryville Centre Dr. Saint Louis, Missouri 63141

Saint Louis, Missouri 05

Attn: Jerry Rinaldi

Lidya Wicia—
Authorized for release by:

03/18/2011 04:03:19 PM

Lidya Gulizia Project Manager II

lidya.gulizia@testamericainc.com

cc: Duane Kreuger

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory. The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAC, this report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Page 1 of 29

AG 4/11/11

Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	
Case Narrative	3
Sample Summary	4
Method Summary	5
Definitions	6
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results	9
QC Sample Results	21
QC Association	23
Chronicle	24
Chain of Custody	26
Sample Receipt Checklist	28
Certification Summary	29

Case Narrative

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Job ID: 680-65991-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Savannah

Narrative

Job Narrative 680-65991-1 / SDG KPM041

Receipt

All samples were received in good condition within temperature requirements.

GC/MS Semi VOA

Method(s) 680: The following sample(s) was diluted due to the nature of the sample matrix PMA-MW-4S-0211 (680-65991-4). As such, surrogate recoveries are not reported, and elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

No other analytical or quality issues were noted.

Comments

No additional comments.

TestAmerica Savannah

Ale 4/11/11

Page 3 of 29

Sample Summary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
680-65991-1	PMA-MW-6D-0211	Water	02/24/11 08:10	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-2	PMA-MW-5M-0211	Water	02/24/11 09:00	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-3	PMA-MW-4D-0211	Water	02/24/11 11:25	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-4	PMA-MW-4S-0211	Water	02/24/11 12:05	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-5	PMA-MW-3M-0211	Water	02/24/11 13:40	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-6	PMA-MW-3S-0211	Water	02/24/11 14:15	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-7	PMA-MW-1M-0211	Water	02/24/11 15:15	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-8	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Water	02/25/11 08:15	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-9	PMA-MW-02M-0211	Water	02/25/11 09:20	02/26/11 10:15
380-65991-10	PMA-MW-02M-0211-AD	Water	02/25/11 09:20	02/26/11 10:15
80-65991-11	PMA-MW-02S-0211	Water	02/25/11 09:55	02/26/11 10:15
680-65991-12	PMA-MW-02S-0211-EB	Water	02/25/11 09:55	02/26/11 10:15

TestAmerica Savannah

Page 4 of 29

Method Summary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

MethodMethod DescriptionProtocolLaboratory680Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) (GC/MS)EPATAL SAV

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

Laboratory References:

TAL SAV = TestAmerica Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858

TestAmerica Savannah

46 4/11/11

Page 5 of 29

Qualifier Definition/Glossary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Qualifiers

GC/MS Semi VOA

Qualifier

Qualifier Description

*

LCS or LCSD exceeds the control limits

U

Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Abbreviation

These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.

n

Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis.

EPA

United States Environmental Protection Agency

ND

Not Detected above the reporting level.

MDL

Method Detection Limit

RL

Reporting Limit

RE, RE1 (etc.)

Indicates a Re-extraction or Reanalysis of the sample.

%R

Percent Recovery

RPD

Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points.

TestAmerica Savannah

46 4/11/11

Detection Summary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	/-6D-0211					L	ab	Sample II	D: 680-65991-1
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.22		0.10		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	/-5M-0211	77773333333				L.	ab	Sample II): 680-65991-2
No Detections.									
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	/-4D-0211					L	ab	Sample II): 680-65991-3
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.18		0.11		ug/L	1	_	680	Total/NA
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.17		0.11		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	/-4S-0211					L	ab	Sample IE): 680-65991-4
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	2.7		1.0		ug/L	10	*****	680	Total/NA
Dichlorobiphenyl	25		1.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Trichlorobiphenyl	120		1.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	230		2.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Pentachlorobiphenyl	200		2.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Hexachlorobiphenyl	340		2.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Heptachlorobiphenyl	300		3.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Octachlorobiphenyl	50		3.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Nonachlorobiphenyl	5.3	*	5.0		ug/L	10		680	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	/-3M-0211					Lá	ab	Sample ID): 680-65991-5
Analyte		Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac		Method	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.73		0.098		ug/L		_	680	Total/NA
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.21		0.098		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.26		0.20		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	/-3S-0211					1 :	h	Sample IF): 680-65991-6
		O126:	Pal	n e s > 1				-	
Analyte		Qualifier	RL 0.40	MDL		Dil Fac		Method	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.31		0.10		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.18		0.10		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.22		0.10		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	-1M-0211			***************************************		La	ıb	Sample ID	: 680-65991-7
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.59		0.11		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
	-01S-0211		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***************************************		La	ıh	Sample ID	: 680-65991-8
Client Sample ID: PMA-MW									
	Pocult	Qualifier	Di	88531				BB a Ala a al	D T
Analyte		Qualifier	RL O.16	MDL		Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
	0.13	Qualifier	RL 0.10	MDL	ug/L	Dil Fac	D	Method 680	Prep Type Total/NA
Analyte Trichlorobiphenyl	0.13	Qualifier		MDL		1		680	
Analyte Trichlorobiphenyl	0.13 -02M-0211	Qualifier Qualifier		MDL	ug/L	1	ıb	680	Total/NA: 680-65991-9
Trichlorobiphenyl Client Sample ID: PMA-MW	0.13 -02M-0211		0.10		ug/L	1 La	ıb	680 Sample ID	Total/NA

TestAmerica Savannah

A6-4/11/11

Detection Summary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample	ID: PN	A-MW-02M	-0211	(Continued)
---------------	--------	----------	-------	-------------

Lab	Samp	е	ID:	680	-659	39°	1-9
-----	------	---	-----	-----	------	-----	-----

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.14		0.095		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02M-0211-AD

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-10

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Wethod	Prep Type
Monochlorobiphenyl	3.8		0.095		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.12		0.095		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02S-0211

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-11

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL.	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.12		0.098		ug/L	1		680	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02S-0211-EB

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-12

No Detections.

TestAmerica Savannah

A6-

Page 8 of 29

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-6D-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 08:10

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-1

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL.	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.22		0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.31	U	0.31		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.31	U	0.31		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.51	U *	0.51		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.51	U	0.51		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	66		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 17:43	1

A6ylulu

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-5M-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 09:00 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-2

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.098	U	0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.098	U	0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.098	U	0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U *	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	60		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:13	1

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-4D-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 11:25 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-3

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL.	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.18		0.11		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.17		0.11		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.11	U	0.11		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.22	U	0.22		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.22	U	0.22		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.22	U	0.22		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.33	U	0.33		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.33	U	0.33		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.55	U *	0.55		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.55	U	0.55		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	52		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 18:43	1

TestAmerica Savannah

A6-4/11/11

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-4S-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 12:05 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-4

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	2.7		1.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Dichlorobiphenyl	25		1.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Trichlorobiphenyl	120		1.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	230		2.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Pentachlorobiphenyl	200		2.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Hexachlorobiphenyl	340		2.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Heptachlorobiphenyl	300		3.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Octachlorobiphenyl	50		3.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Nonachlorobiphenyl	5.3	*	5.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	5.0	U	5.0		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	0		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 16:42	10

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-3M-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 13:40 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-5

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.73		0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.098	U	0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.21		0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.26		0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U *	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	64		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:13	1

TestAmerica Savannah

4/11/11

Page 13 of 29

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-3S-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 14:15 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-6

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.31		0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.18		0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.22		0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.31	U	0.31		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.31	U	0.31		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.51	U *	0.51		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.51	U	0.51		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	63		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 19:44	1

TestAmerica Savannah

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-1M-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 15:15 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-7

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL.	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.59		0.11		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.11	U	0.11		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.11	U	0.11		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.21	U	0.21		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.21	U	0.21		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.21	U	0.21		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.32	U	0.32		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.32	U	0.32		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.53	U *	0.53		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.53	U	0.53		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	77		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:20	1

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-01S-0211

Date Collected: 02/25/11 08:15 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-8

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.13		0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.50	U *	0.50		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.50	U	0.50		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	63		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:14	1

TestAmerica Savannah

Ale 4/11/11

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02M-0211

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:20 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-9

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	3.8		0.095		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.10		0.095		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.14		0.095		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.19	U	0.19		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.19	U	0.19		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.19	U	0.19		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.48	U *	0.48		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.48	ń	0.48		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	51		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 20:45	1

TestAmerica Savannah

Ale 4/11/11

Client: Solutia Inc.

Surrogate

Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02M-0211-AD

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:20 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-10

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL.	MDL Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	3.8		0.095	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.095	U	0.095	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.12		0.095	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.19	U	0.19	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.19	U	0.19	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.19	U	0.19	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.48	U *	0.48	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.48	U	0.48	ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/14/11 19:50	1

Limits

25 - 113

% Recovery Qualifier

69

 Prepared
 Analyzed
 Dil Fac

 03/03/11 14:54
 03/14/11 19:50
 1

TestAmerica Savannah

Page 18 of 29

8

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02S-0211

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:55

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-11

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.098	U	0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.098	U	0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.12		0.098		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.29	U	0.29		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U *	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	59		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 21:46	1

TestAmerica Savannah

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02S-0211-EB

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:55

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-12

Matrix: Water

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL.	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.099	U	0.099		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.099	U	0.099		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.099	U	0.099		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/l_		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U *	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.49	U	0.49		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12	90		25 - 113				03/03/11 14:54	03/09/11 15:10	1

TestAmerica Savannah

46 4/11/11

Quality Control Data

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Method: 680 - Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-195851/13-A

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-195851/14-A

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

Octachlorobiphenyl

Nonachlorobiphenyl

Matrix: Water

DCB Decachlorobiphenyl

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-8 MS

Analysis Batch: 196894

Client Sample ID: MB 680-195851/13-A

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 195851

Analysis Daton. 190004								ricp Editin 100001	
	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL.	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Monochlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Dichlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Trichlorobiphenyl	0.10	U	0.10		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Tetrachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Pentachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Hexachlorobiphenyl	0.20	U	0.20		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Heptachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Octachlorobiphenyl	0.30	U	0.30		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
Nonachlorobiphenyl	0.50	U	0.50		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1
DCB Decachlorobiphenyl	0.50	U	0.50		ug/L		03/03/11 14:54	03/08/11 15:41	1

MB MB

% Recovery Qualifier Limits Surrogate Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 75 25 - 113

Dil Fac 03/03/11 14:54 03/08/11 15:41

Analyzed

Client Sample ID: LCS 680-195851/14-A

Prepared

Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 195851

Analysis Batch: 196894 Spike LCS LCS % Rec. Added Result Qualifier Limits Unit D % Rec Analyte 1.15 ug/L 2.00 57 10 - 125 Monochlorobiphenyl Dichlorobiphenyl 2.00 1.29 ug/L 64 10 - 110 2.00 1.35 67 17 - 110 Trichlorobiphenyl ug/L 2.67 67 4.00 18 - 110 Tetrachlorobiphenyl ua/L Pentachlorobiphenyl 4.00 2.75 ug/L 69 34 - 110Hexachlorobiphenyl 4.00 2.81 ug/L 70 31 - 110 ug/L Heptachlorobiphenyl 6.00 4.14 69 33 - 110

4 05

12.1

6.72

ug/L

ug/L

ug/L

6.00

10.0

10.0

LCS LCS

% Recovery Qualifier Limits Surrogate Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 67 25 - 113

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-01S-0211

33 - 110

26 - 115

26 - 115

68

121

67

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 195851

Analysis Batch: 196920 MS MS Sample Sample Spike % Rec. Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec Limits Analyte 0.10 Ū 2.02 1.23 Monochlorobiphenyl ug/L 61 10 - 125 0.10 U 2.02 1.46 70 10 - 110 Dichlorobiphenyl ug/L Trichlorobiphenyl 0.13 2.02 1.57 ug/L 71 17 - 110 0.20 Ü 4.04 3.06 75 18 - 110 Tetrachlorobiphenyl ug/L 3.29 81 0.20 U 4.04 34 - 110 Pentachlorobiphenyl ug/L 3.45 85 Hexachlorobiphenyl 0.20 U 4.04 ug/L 31 - 110 Heptachlorobiphenyl 0.30 U 6.06 5.09 ug/L 84 33 - 110 Octachlorobiphenyl 0.30 U 6.06 4.91 ug/L 81 33 - 110 10.1 9.94 Nonachlorobiphenyl 0.50 U ug/L 98 26 - 115 DCB Decachlorobiphenyl 0.50 U 10.1 8.16 ug/L 81 26 - 115

TestAmerica Savannah

Quality Control Data

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Method: 680 - Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-8 MS

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 196920

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-01S-0211

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 195851

MS MS

Sample Sample

Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12

% Recovery Qualifier 81

Limits 25 - 113

Spike

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-8 MSD

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 196920

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-01S-0211

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 195851

% Rec. Limits RPD Limit 10 - 125 8 40 10 - 110 40

Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec 0.10 U Monochlorobiphenyl 2.01 1.34 ug/L 66 0.10 U Dichlorobiphenyl 2.01 1.52 ug/L 74 Trichlorobiphenyl 0.13 2.01 1.68 ug/L 77 17 - 110 40 Tetrachlorobiphenyl 0.20 U 4.03 3.18 78 18 - 110 40 ug/L Pentachlorobiphenyl 0.20 U 4.03 3.39 ug/L 84 34 - 110 3 40 Hexachlorobiphenyl 0.20 U 4.03 3.51 ug/L 87 31 - 110 40 Heptachlorobiphenyl 0.30 U 6.04 5.26 87 33 - 110 ug/L 40 Octachlorobiphenyl 0.30 U 6.04 5.13 ug/L 85 33 - 110 40 Nonachlorobiphenyl 0.50 U * 10.1 10.8 107 ug/L 26 - 115 40 DCB Decachlorobiphenyl 0.50 U 10.1 8.49 ug/L 26 - 115 40

MSD MSD

MSD MSD

Qualifier Surrogate % Recovery Limits

25 - 113 Decachlorobiphenyl-13C12 85

TestAmerica Savannah

y/u/u

QC Association Summary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1 SDG: KPM041

GC/MS Semi VOA

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-65991-1	PMA-MW-6D-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-10	PMA-MW-02M-0211-AD	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-11	PMA-MW-02S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-12	PMA-MW-02S-0211-EB	Total/NA	Water	680	
MB 680-195851/13-A	MB 680-195851/13-A	Total/NA	Water	680	
LCS 680-195851/14-A	LCS 680-195851/14-A	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-8 MS	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-8 MSD	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-2	PMA-MW-5M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-3	PMA-MW-4D-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-4	PMA-MW-4S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	•
680-65991-5	PMA-MW-3M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-6	PMA-MW-3S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-7	PMA-MW-1M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-8	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	
680-65991-9	PMA-MW-02M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	

Analysis Batch: 196894

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 680-195851/13-A	MB 680-195851/13-A	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-8	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-9	PMA-MW-02M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-11	PMA-MW-02S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
LCS 680-195851/14-A	LCS 680-195851/14-A	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-1	PMA-MW-6D-0211	· Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-2	PMA-MW-5M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-3	PMA-MW-4D-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-5	PMA-MW-3M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-6	PMA-MW-3S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851

Analysis Batch: 196920

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-65991-12	PMA-MW-02S-0211-EB	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-8 MS	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-8 MSD	PMA-MW-01S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-4	PMA-MW-4S-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851

Analysis Batch: 197271

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-65991-7	PMA-MW-1M-0211	Total/NA	Water	680	195851
680-65991-10	PMA-MW-02M-0211-AD	Total/NA	Water	680	195851

4/4/11

Lab Chronicle

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-6D-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 08:10

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-1

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 17:43	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-5M-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 09:00 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15 Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-2

Matrix: Water

****	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 18:13	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-4D-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 11:25

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-3

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 18:43	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-4S-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 12:05

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-4

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		10	196920	03/09/11 16:42	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-3M-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 13:40 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-5

Matrix: Water

	orace.	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
ĺ	Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
	Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
	Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 19:13	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-3S-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 14:15

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-6

Matrix: Water

-	_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
-	Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
	Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
İ	Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 19:44	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

TestAmerica Savannah

Page 24 of 29

Lab Chronicle

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-1M-0211

Date Collected: 02/24/11 15:15 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-7

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680		***************************************	195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	197271	03/14/11 19:20	KAC	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-01S-0211

Date Collected: 02/25/11 08:15 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-8

Matrix: Water

, and a second	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 20:14	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02M-0211

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:20

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-9

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 20:45	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02M-0211-AD

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:20

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-10

Matrix: Water

Particular Control of	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	197271	03/14/11 19:50	KAC	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02S-0211

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:55 Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-11

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah
Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196894	03/08/11 21:46	ND	TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: PMA-MW-02S-0211-EB

Date Collected: 02/25/11 09:55

Date Received: 02/26/11 10:15

Lab Sample ID: 680-65991-12

Matrix: Water

	ones.	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
***************************************	Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Personal Property	Total/NA	Prep	680			195851	03/03/11 14:54	RBS	TestAmerica Savannah	
***************************************	Total/NA	Analysis	680		1	196920	03/09/11 15:10	ND	TestAmerica Savannah	

TestAmerica Savannah

Page 25 of 29

Ale y/a/u

Page
26
앜
29

Tes	tAm				N OF CUSTODY F				51	estAmer 02 LaRe avannah	oche A						Website: w Phone: (91 Fax: (912)	12) 354-	7858	ng.com	1950/41 - A.V S.J A.V
\$	2			PCD	1 Q11 Grande manita	المريعة				ternate l	Labora	itory Nar	me/L.oc	cation			Phone:				
L	ER IN ENVIRO	DNMENTAL			AND 4				•					• 100 mm. 10 mm. 10 mm.			Fax:				
PROJECT REFE	PCB -	10.11	PROJECT NO	D	PROJECT LOCATION (STATE) IL		MATRI TYPE					RE	QUIRE	O ANAL	YSIS			PAC	3E		OF 1
TAL (LAB) PROJ	JECT MANAGER		P.O. NUMBER	7	CONTRACT NO.			-	80										ANDARD R	EPORT	\-\
CLIENT (SITE) F	RIVALDI		CLIENT PHO	NF	CLIENT FAX	CATE		N.		,								DE	LIVERY		X
GM	M RINALL	IC	314-67	4-3312		IND		OUN	85										DATE DUI	E	***************************************
CLIENT NAME			CLIENT E-MA		anne Marian I de III ann ann an Iolean I ann an ann an Aireann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann	(G)		S. S.	8						america de la composição de la composiçã	-			PEDITED R	EPORT	
Solut	Man In	C				GRA		0	-3						A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR			į	IRCHARGE	5)	
			υ _σ ο 5-	t. louic -	40 63141	HO (SOL	100	10/21										DATE DUE		
		WORK (if applie	cable)		mo 63141	COMPOSITE (C) OR	SOLID OR SEMISOLID	OUEOUS	3 Total PCBs (6				Karas St.	W.	intg	VE		,	MBER OF C R SHIPMEN		S SUBMITTED
DATE	PLE		SAMP	LE IDENTIFICATIO	N	SOM	SOLID	AIR			NU	MBER OF	CONT	AINERS	SUBMI	ITED			R	EMARKS	
Z-24-11	0810	PMA-	mw-6	D-021	\	65			2										· Internation		
	0900			-Sm - 0:		6 >	{		а										Al		
	1125	PMA	4- mw	-4D-0	721)	6 x			a												
	1205			1-45-1		6 x			a												
	1340	PM	1A - Mu	v-3M-	021)	6)	(a										1-14-1		
	1415	Pm	A-mu	v - 3s -	021)	6 2	Υ		J									-			•
	1515	Pm	A-mu	J-1m-	0211	6)			a									Transition of the state of the			

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PEANUTE TO THE PERSON OF THE P																	
									**						****						

RELINOUISHED E	1V. (D.O.)		5.177																-		
HELING OF STREET	(SILENATURE)	1	DATE 2-24-11	16 3.5	RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGN	ATURE)			DATE	Troping	TIME		RELIN	QUISHE	DBY: (si	GNATURE)		DATE		TIME
RECEIVED BY: (SI	GNATURE)	**************************************	DATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE	1				DATE		TIME		RECEI	VED BY:	(SIGNATU	RE)		DATE	1	TIME .
						LABO	RATOR	Y USE	ONLY		1				·		d tabled Their box or many ny garrer	ramiked his birth to sacratary			
RECEIVED FOR L (SIGNATURE)	,	1	DATE		CUSTODY INTACT YES	CUS'	TODY _NO.		SAVAN LOG N	NAH O.		LABORA	YPOTY	REMAR	KS ,						
Hw	with		2/25/11		NO ()				680	-6559	}	3.4	0 3.	7 4	١.١)	4.2			,		955Q445wan-e









Tes	tAn				IN OF CUSTODY					5102 Save	tAmerica : 2 LaRoche annah, GA	Avenue 31404		T SE PEL L		F	Websile: w Phone: (91 Fax: (912)	/ww.testamerica 2) 354-7858 352-0165	linc.co	m
THE LEAD	DER IN ENVI			1Q	PCB 6W	,_p1,	<i>(</i> ^ا		\circ	Alter	nate Labo	ratory Na	me/Lo	cation			Phone:			
	PCB 1		PROJECT N	O.	PROJECT LOCATION (STATE)			ATRIX YPE				RE	OUIRE	D ANAL	YSIS	-7/33		PAGE \		OF)
CLIENT (SITE) CLIENT NAME CLIENT ADDRE	PM RINA	INC	CLIENT E-M	NE 4-3317 All Q Solut	CONTRACT NO. CLIENT FAX 314-674-680	A GRAB (G)		SEMISOLID	NONAQUEOUS LIQUID (OIL, SOLVENT,)	Total PCB3 (680)	Sandy Me	Erron Joseph	goss gra	26. 5. 26.	i mehis		6	STANDARD DELIVERY DATE DI EXPEDITED DELIVERY (SURCHARG DATE DI NUMBER OF	JE REPOR E)	X
SAN		T	- William Control		**************************************	MPOSITI	JEOUS (SOLID OR S	AOUEC	ne			France Pa	IV.		VE		PER SHIPME		THO CODMITTED
DATE	TIME			LE IDENTIFICATI	ON			S H	Ş		N	JMBER OF	CONT	AINERS	SUBMI	TTED		4	REMAR	(S
2-25-11	0815	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5-021		6	X	-	-	2									·	
	0812			5-0211-		6	X		é	2								m5		
	0815			S-0211.		6	Х		à			1						msi)		
	0920			211-02		6			_ G											
	0920			2M-62		6	X	_	ó	2		-						AD		
	0955			128-02		(X		ō											
V	0955	PMA-	mw-c	75 - 02	11 - EB	6	*		9									EB		
RELINQUISHED E	CIZA		DATE 2-25-11 DATE	TIME \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	RELINOUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE		IE)			DA	ATE STE	TIME			***************************************	BY: (SIGI		DATE		TIME
	**************************************		·					***********************								J. Carrin (JA)	No. j	LAIC		- 14A) ☐
RECEIVED FOR L	aboratory by		DATE Z LY I	TIME	CUSTODY INTACT YES O	CUS	ORATO STOD L NO	Y	SE ONL SAV LOG	NNAI NO.	65591 6 51 9	LABORAT	ORY R	EMARK	S					

Ac.

T A 1 X7411-6X() (1(10)X)



Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Solutia Inc.

Job Number: 680-65991-1

SDG Number: KPM041

Login Number: 65991

List Number: 1

Creator: Conner, Keaton

List Source: TestAmerica Savannah

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below background	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	4 coolers rec'd on ice
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	3.6, 3.7, 4.1, 4.2
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	N/A	
There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time.	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	False	MW-4S - 1 liter received broken
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4") in diameter.	N/A	
If necessary, staff have been informed of any short hold time or quick TAT needs	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	N/A	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	N/A	

Ale

Certification Summary

Client: Solutia Inc.

Project/Site: WGK PCB GW Quality - 1Q11 - FEB 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-65991-1

SDG: KPM041

Laboratory	Authority	Program	EPA Region	Certification ID	* Expiration Date
TestAmerica Savannah		USDA		SAV 3-04	10/29/10
TestAmerica Savannah	A2LA	DoD ELAP	0	0399-01	03/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	A2LA	ISO/IEC 17025	0	399.01	03/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Alabama	State Program	4	41450	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Arkansas	Arkansas DOH	6	N/A	06/30/10
TestAmerica Savannah	Arkansas	State Program	6	88-0692	02/01/12
TestAmerica Savannah	California	NELAC	9	3217CA	07/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Colorado	State Program	8	N/A	12/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0161	03/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Delaware	State Program	3	N/A	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Florida	NELAC	4	E87052	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Georgia	Georgia EPD	4	N/A	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Georgia	State Program	4	803	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Guam	State Program	9	09-005r	04/17/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Hawaii	State Program	9	N/A	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Illinois	NELAC	5	200022	11/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Indiana	State Program	5	N/A	
TestAmerica Savannah	lowa	State Program	7	353	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Kansas	NELAC			07/01/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Kentucky		4	E-10322	10/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	•	Kentucky UST	4	18	11/17/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Kentucky	State Program	4	90084	12/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Louisiana Louisiana	NELAC	6	30690	06/30/11
		NELAC	6	LA100015	12/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Maine	State Program	1 <u>_</u>	GA00006	08/16/12
TestAmerica Savannah	Maryland	State Program	3	250	12/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Massachusetts	State Program	1	M-GA006	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Michigan	State Program	5	9925	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Mississippi	State Program	4	N/A	06/30/10
TestAmerica Savannah	Montana	State Program	8	CERT0081	01/01/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Nebraska	State Program	7	TestAmerica-Savannah	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Nevada	State Program	9	GA6	07/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	New Jersey	NELAC	2	GA769	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	New Mexico	State Program	6	N/A	06/30/10
TestAmerica Savannah	New York	NELAC	2	10842	04/01/11
TestAmerica Savannah	North Carolina	North Carolina DENR	4	269	12/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	North Carolina	North Carolina PHL	4	13701	07/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Oklahoma	State Program	6	9984	08/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Pennsylvania	NELAC	3	68-00474	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Puerto Rico	State Program	2	GA00006	01/01/12
TestAmerica Savannah	Rhode Island	State Program	1	LAO00244	12/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	South Carolina	State Program	4	98001	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Tennessee	State Program	4	TN02961	12/31/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Texas	NELAC	6	T104704185-08-TX	11/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Vermont	State Program	1	87052	11/16/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Virginia	State Program	3	302	06/30/11
TestAmerica Savannah	Washington	State Program	10	C1794	06/10/11
restAmerica Savannah	West Virginia	West Virginia DEP	3	94	06/30/11
COD MICHOL CAVAIMAN					
FestAmerica Savannah	•	-		9950C	
	West Virginia Wisconsin	West Virginia DHHR (DW) State Program	3	9950C 999819810	12/31/10 08/31/11

Accreditation may not be offered or required for all methods and analytes reported in this package. Please contact your project manager for the laboratory's current list of certified methods and analytes.

TestAmerica Savannah

Ab

^{*} Any expired certifications in this list are currently pending renewal and are considered valid.

April 21, 2011

Mr. Duane T. Kreuger Geotechnology, Inc. 11816 Lackland Road Suite 150 St. Louis, MO63146

Dear Mr. Kreuger:

The data reported by Test America Laboratories under SDG KPM041 has been reviewed for quality assurance validation. Data was reported for PCB's for 14 samples as requested by Geotechnology, Inc. The 14 samples listed below were validated by MJW. The samples in **bold type** have been validated for level IV validation. The data in this report has been approved for use as no samples required qualification.

- PMA-MW-6D-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-1)
- PMA-MW-5M-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-2)
- PMA-MW-4D-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-3)
- PMA-MW-4S-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-4)
- PMA-MW-3M-0211Lab ID: 680-65991-5)
- PMA-MW-3S-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-6)
- PMA-MW-1M-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-7)
- PMA-MW-1S-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-8)
- PMA-MW-1S-0211MS (Lab ID: 680-65991-8 MS)
- PMA-MW-1S-0211 MSD (Lab ID: 680-65991-8 MSD)
- PMA-MW-2M-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-9)
- PMA-MW-2M-0211-AD (Lab ID: 680-65991-10 FD)
- PMA-MW-2S-0211 (Lab ID: 680-65991-11)
- PMA-MW-2S-0211-EB (Lab ID: 680-65991-12 EB)

If you have any questions concerning this data validation report, please contact me at 585-344-7197.

Very truly yours,

MJW Corporation Inc.

ametr Guil

Annette Guilds, CES Senior Scientist

Approved by:

David A. Dooley, Ph.D., CHP President, MJW Corporation Inc.

2010-1918.009 KPM041

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Solutia Inc. W.G. Krummrich Facility Sauget, Illinois

1st Quarter 2011 Data Validation Report WGK-PCB Site SDG: KPM041

Prepared for

GEOTECHNOLOGY, INC.

11816 Lackland Road, Suite 150 St. Louis, MO 63146

April 2011

MJW

MJW Corporation, Inc. 1900 Sweet Home Road Amherst, NY 14228 (716)-631-8291 **Project # 2010-1918**

DATA ASSESSMENT NARRATIVE (ORGANICS)

ORGANIC DATA ASSESSMENT

Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organic Analysis
CASE NO.: SDG NO.: KPM041 LABORATORY: Test America SITE: Solutia W.G. Krummrich Plant (PCB Site)
DATA ASSESSMENT
All data were found to be valid and acceptable except those analytes that have been rejected, "R" (unusable). Due to various QC problems some analytes may have been qualified with a "J" (estimated), "N" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material), "U" (non-detect), or "JN" (presumptive evidence for the presence of the material at an estimated value) flag. All action is detailed on the attached sheets.
The "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, significant data bias is evident and the reported analyte concentration is unreliable.
All data is fully acceptable and usable.
Reviewer's Signature: Date: 4/21/2011
MJW Approval: Date: 4/21/2011
Organic Data Assessment page 1 of 5

1. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detects (sample quantitation limits) will be flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly exceeded.

The following action was taken in the samples and analytes shown due to excessive holding time.

No action necessary.

2. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate concentrations were outside contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

The surrogate recovery for sample PMA-MW-04S-1210 is 0%. This was due to the fact that the sample results were out of range and had to be diluted and rerun. Thus, qualification of this sample is not required.

3. MATRIX SPIKE/SPIKE DUPLICATE, MS/MSD:

The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD may be used in conjunction with other QC criteria for additional qualification of data.

No action necessary.

4. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE/DUPLICATE, LCS/LCSD

Although the LCS was out of control for Nonachlorobiphenyl, no data was qualified as all other QC was acceptable.

5. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, trip, field, or rinse blanks are prepared to identify any contamination, which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. If the concentration of the analyte is less than 5 times the blank contaminant level (10 times for common contaminants), the analytes are qualified as non-detects, "U". The following analytes in the sample shown were qualified with "U" for these reasons:

A) Method blank contamination:

No action necessary.

B) Field or rinse blank contamination:

No action necessary.

C) Trip blank contamination:

No action necessary.

6. MASS SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure adequate mass resolution, proper identification of compounds and to some degree, sufficient instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is (BFB) Bromofluorobenzene and for semi-volatiles Decafluorotriphenyl-phosphine (DFTPP).

If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable "R".

No action necessary.

7. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) Response Factor GC/MS:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. The response factor for the Target Compound List (TCL) must be ≥ 0.05 in both initial and continuing calibrations. A value < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem (poor sensitivity). Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound will be rejected "R".

No action necessary.

- 8. CALIBRATION:
- B) Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) and Percent Difference (%D):

Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent D compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be < 30% and %D must be < 25%. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". If %RSD and %D grossly exceed QC criteria, non-detects data may be qualified "R".

For the PEST/PCB fraction, if %RSD exceeds 20% for all analytes except for the two surrogates (which must not exceed 30% RSD), qualify all associated positive results "J" and non-detects "UJ".

The following analytes in the sample shown were qualified for %RSD and %D:

No action necessary.

9. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE GC/MS:

Internal standards (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (-50% to +100%) from the associated continuing calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than ± 30 seconds from the associated continuing calibration standard. If the area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using that IS are qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ", or "R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity.

If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds, the reviewer will use professional judgment to determine either partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction.

No action necessary.

10. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION:

A) Volatile and Semi-Volatile Fractions:

TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analyte's relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within \pm 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary and secondary m/e intensities within 20% of that in the standard compound. For the tentatively identified compounds (TIC) the ion spectra must match accurately. In the cases where there is not an adequate ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

Form X was not completed for those samples in which there was a positive hit for PCB's.

B) Pesticide Fraction:

The retention times of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns and a GC/MS confirmation is required if the concentration exceeds 10ng/ml in the final sample extract.

N/A

- 11. CONTRACT PROBLEMS NON-COMPLIANCE: None
- 12. FIELD DOCUMENTATION:

A field duplicate was analyzed for sample PMA-MW-02M-1210 and all %RPD's were acceptable.

- 13. OTHER PROBLEMS: None
- 14. This package contains reextractions, reanalyses or dilutions. Upon reviewing the QA results, the following Form 1(s) are identified to be used.

None