

US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Loyout: fig 1--1 Plotted: Jul 14, 2003 -- 1:07pm Q.\Chevron Ciacinnati Graundwater\Drawings\Confoinment Options Analysis Reports\2003 04 1MAP. & CW Kennedy Report-let Draft Rev4\site foc.dwg








Figure 2-7: LNAPL Spill Schematic




Figure 2-8. Incremental LNAPL Movement Observed (Texas Sweet Crude Spill)




Figure 2-12: Average Hydrograph Trends, Compiled MW-1 through MW-25
Groundwater Elevation vs. Observed LNAPL Thickness




Figure 2-14: Hooven Ditch Geologic Cross Section




Figure 2-15: Hooven Ditch Geologic Cross Section with LIF Intensity




Figure 2-16: Cumulative and Yearly LNAPL Recovery History




Figure 2-17: Estimated Cumulative LNAPL Volume Removed by Hooven HSVE Well 1




Figure 2-18: Estimated Cumulative LNAPL Volume Removed by Hooven HSVE Well 3









Figure 2-20: Cumulative Natural Mass Loss Estimates















- 1.26pm 2003 4, fig 4-3 Plotted: Jul File: Q.\Chevron Cincinnati Groundwater\Drawings\Containment Options Analysis Reports\2003 04 LMAPL& CW Rennedy Report-Int Draft Revd\sn001.dwg Layout: fig 4-4 Plated: Jul 14, 2003 - 1.27pm










Figure A-1. Multiphase Simulation of Progressive LNAPL Saturation Profiles During and After a Finite Release in a Simple Sandy Aquifer Setting






Figure A-2. Multiphase Simulation of Progressive LNAPL Velocity Profiles During and After a Finite Release in a Simple Sandy Aquifer Setting






Figure A-3: Comparison of Seasonal Low Water Table to Hydrocarbon Recovery from Oldest Production Wells







Courtesy of Terra Tek, Salt Lake City, UT

Oil = Blue

Brine = Red/yellow

Figure A-5: Residual LNAPL Saturation Range in Sands








Figure A-6: Schematic of LNAPL Redistribution by a Fluctuating Water Table




Note: $S_w = Saturation of water, S_n = Saturation of LNAPL$










Observed Free Product Thickness, 9/85 Average Water Level = 463.82 ft MSL









Observed Free Product Thickness, 12/91 Average Water Level = 463.71 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/86 Average Water Level = 465.97 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/89 Average Water Level = 467.09 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/92 Average Water Level = 467.21 ft MSL



Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/87 Average Water Level = 464.67 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 10/90 Average Water Level = 466.14 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 12/93 Average Water Level = 467.84 ft MSL

Figure A-8 Free Product Time Series Maps 1985 - 1993


CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY Cincinnati Facillity Hooven, Hamilton County, Ohio




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/94 Average Water Level = 463.49 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/97 Average Water Level = 463.88 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/00 Average Water Level = 464.44 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/95 Average Water Level = 465.56 ft MSL



Observed Free Product Thickness, 9/98 Average Water Level = 464.04 ft MSL



Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/96 Average Water Level = 465.97 ft MSL




Observed Free Product Thickness, 11/99 Average Water Level = 462.80 ft MSL

Figure A-9
Free Product Time Series Map 1994 - 2000

CHEVRON PRODUCTS COMPANY Cincinnati Facillity Hooven, Hamilton County, Ohio

Figure A-10: Observed LNAPL Plume Soil Volume for Low Water Table Conditions








Figure A-13: Groundwater Elevation and LNAPL Thickness for MW-20S









Figure B-1: MODFLOW-SURFACT Model Grid and Cross Sections







Figure 2: Minimum DO vs ORP, April 2002







Figure 4: TPHg versus Terminal Electron Acceptors (April 2002)







Figure 6: Minimum DO vs ORP, November 2002






Figure 8: TPHg versus Terminal Electron Acceptors (November 2002)

