



Solutia Inc. 575 Maryville Centre Drive St. Louis, Missouri 63141

Tel: 314-674-3312 Fax: 314-674-8808

gmrina@solutia.com

November 9, 2011

Mr. Kenneth Bardo - LU-9J U.S. EPA Region V Corrective Action Section 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604-3507 VIA FEDEX

Re: Route 3 Drum Site Groundwater Monitoring Program 3rd Quarter 2011 Data Report Solutia Inc., W. G. Krummrich Plant, Sauget, IL

Dear Mr. Bardo:

Enclosed please find the Route 3 Drum Site Groundwater Monitoring Program 3rd Quarter 2011 Data Report for Solutia Inc.'s W. G. Krummrich Plant, Sauget, IL.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please contact me at (314) 674-3312 or gmrina@solutia.com

Sincerely,

4 M. fulk

Gerald M. Rinaldi Manager, Remediation Services

Enclosure

cc: Distribution List

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Route 3 Drum Site Groundwater Monitoring Program 3rd Quarter 2011 Data Report Solutia Inc., W. G. Krummrich Plant, Sauget, IL

USEPA

Stephanie Linebaugh USEPA Region 5 - SR6J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604

Booz Allen Hamilton

Dan Briller Booz Allen Hamilton, 8283 Greensboro Drive, McLean, VA 22102

Solutia

Brett Shank

500 Monsanto Avenue, Sauget, IL 62206-1198

ILLINOIS ROUTE 3 DRUM SITE GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

SOLUTIA INC. W.G. KRUMMRICH FACILITY SAUGET, ILLINOIS

Prepared for Solutia Inc. 575 Maryville Centre Drive St. Louis, Missouri 63141

November 2011



URS Corporation 1001 Highland Plaza Drive West, Suite 300 St. Louis, MO 63110 (314) 429-0100 **Project # 21562682.00002**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	FIELD PROCEDURES	1
3.0	LABORATORY PROCEDURES	3
4.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE	3
5.0	OBSERVATIONS	4
6.0	REFERENCES	4

List of Figures

Appendix D

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Monitoring Well Location Map
List of Tables	
Table 1	Monitoring Well Gauging Information
Table 2	Groundwater Analytical Results
Table 3	Monitored Natural Attenuation Results Summary
List of Appendic	es
Appendix A	Groundwater Purging and Sampling Forms
Appendix B	Chain-of-Custody
Appendix C	Quality Assurance Report

Groundwater Analytical Results (with Data Review/Validation Reports)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Solutia Inc. (Solutia) is conducting groundwater monitoring activities as outlined in the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan (Solutia, 2008). The Illinois Route 3 Drum Site (Site) is an area associated with the Solutia W.G. Krummrich (WGK) Facility located in Sauget, Illinois that is subject to a RCRA Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) entered into by the U.S. EPA and Solutia on May 3, 2000. This report presents the results of the sampling event completed in 3rd Quarter 2011 (3Q11). The Site is located in the area identified as "Lot F" in **Figure 1**.

During the 3Q11 sampling event, groundwater samples were collected from two Shallow Hydrogeologic Unit (SHU) monitoring wells, designated GM-31A and GM-58A (**Figure 2**), located hydraulically downgradient of the Site. Samples from each well were analyzed for select semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) using EPA Method 8270C. In addition, samples were collected from both wells for evaluation of monitored natural attenuation (MNA). The types of natural attenuation processes active at the site will be determined by measurements of the following key geochemical parameters: alkalinity, carbon dioxide, chloride, dissolved oxygen (DO), ferrous iron, total and dissolved iron, total and dissolved manganese, methane, nitrate, sulfate, total and dissolved organic carbon, and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP).

2.0 FIELD PROCEDURES

URS Corporation (URS) personnel collected groundwater level measurements on August 12, 2011 and conducted the 3Q11 Illinois Route 3 Drum Site groundwater sampling on August 22, 2011¹. Groundwater samples were collected from two monitoring wells during the 3Q11 sampling event. This section summarizes the field investigative procedures.

Groundwater Level Measurements - An oil/water interface probe was used to measure depth to static groundwater levels and determine the presence of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL). Depth-to-groundwater measurements for the 3Q11 sampling event are presented in **Table 1**. NAPL was not detected in either of the monitoring wells.

Groundwater Sampling - Low-flow sampling techniques were used for groundwater sample collection. At each monitoring well, disposable, low-density polyethylene tubing was attached to a submersible pump, which was then lowered into the well to the middle of the screened interval. Monitoring wells were purged at a rate no greater than 500 mL/minute to minimize drawdown. If significant drawdown occurred, flow rates were reduced.

¹ The August 12th gauging was part of a comprehensive event which included monitoring wells associated with other WGK programs. Groundwater levels in the subject wells were gauged again on August 22nd prior to sampling.

Drawdown was measured periodically throughout purging to ensure that it did not exceed 25% of the distance between the pump intake and the top of the screen. Once the flow rate and drawdown were stable, field measurements were collected approximately every three to five minutes. Purging of a well was considered complete when the following water quality parameters remained stable over three consecutive flow-through cell volumes:

Parameter	Stabilization Guidelines
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	+/- 10% or +/-0.2 mg/L, whichever is greatest
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP)	+/- 20 mV
рН	+/- 0.2 units
Specific Conductivity	+/- 3%

Sampling commenced upon completion of purging. Prior to sample collection, the flow-through cell was bypassed to allow for collection of uncompromised groundwater. Samples were collected at a flow rate less than or equal to the rate at which stabilization was achieved. Sample containers were filled based on laboratory analysis to be performed. Bottles were filled in the following order:

- Gas Sensitive Parameters (e.g., carbon dioxide, methane)
- Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)
- General Chemistry (i.e., alkalinity, chloride, total and dissolved iron, total and dissolved manganese, nitrate, sulfate, and total and dissolved organic carbon)
- Field Parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen, ferrous iron, and oxidation reduction potential).

Samples for analysis of ferrous iron, dissolved iron, dissolved organic carbon, and dissolved manganese were filtered in the field using in-line 0.2 micron disposable filters.

Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) samples consisting of analytical duplicates (AD) were collected at a rate of 10% and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD) were collected at a rate of 5%. One duplicate and one MS/MSD sample were collected.

Each sample was labeled immediately following collection. The groundwater sample identification system included the following nomenclature: "GM-31A-0811" which denotes Groundwater Monitoring well number 31A sampled in August 2011. QA/QC samples are identified by the suffix AD or MS/MSD. A notation of "F" in the sample nomenclature indicates a sample that was filtered in the field with a 0.2 micron filter.

Upon collection and labeling, sample containers were immediately placed inside an iced cooler, packed in such a way as to help prevent breakage and maintain inside temperature at or below approximately 4°C. Field personnel recorded the project identification and number, sample

description/location, required analysis, date and time of sample collection, type and matrix of sample, number of sample containers, analysis requested/comments, and sampler signature/date/time, with permanent ink on the chain-of-custody (COC). Prior to shipment, coolers were sealed between the lid and sides of the cooler with a custody seal, and then shipped to TestAmerica in Savannah, Georgia by means of overnight delivery service. Field sampling data sheets are included in **Appendix A**. COC forms are included in **Appendix B**.

3.0 LABORATORY PROCEDURES

Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica Savannah for the 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX SVOCs, MNA parameters (per the Route 3 Drum Site O&M Plan), using the following methodologies:

- SVOCs, via USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C The constituents of concern (COCs) identified by the USEPA are 1,1-biphenyl, 2,4-dichlorophenol, dinitrochlorobenzene, nitrobenzene, 2-nitrobiphenyl, 3-nitrobiphenyl, 4-nitrobiphenyl, 2-nitrochlorobenzene, 3-nitrochlorobenzene, 4-nitrochlorobenzene, pentachlorophenol, and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol.
- MNA parameters consisting of alkalinity (310.1), carbon dioxide (310.1), chloride (325.2), total and dissolved iron (6010B), total and dissolved manganese (6010B), dissolved organic carbon (415.1), nitrate (353.2), sulfate (375.4), dissolved gases (RSK 175), and total organic carbon (TOC) (415.1).

Laboratory results were provided in electronic and hard copy formats.

4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

Analytical data were reviewed for quality and completeness as described in the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operations and Maintenance Plan. Data qualifiers were added, as appropriate, and are included on the data tables and the laboratory report. The Quality Assurance report is included as **Appendix C**. The laboratory report along with data review and validation report are included in **Appendix D**.

A total of five groundwater samples (two investigative groundwater samples, one field duplicate pair, and one MS/MSD pair) were collected. The field duplicate sample, GM-31A-0811-AD arrived at the laboratory but was inadvertently omitted from sample log-in at TestAmerica. All other samples requested for analyses were analyzed by TestAmerica for SVOCs and MNA parameters by USEPA SW-846 Methods. The results for the various analyses were submitted as sample delivery group (SDG) KOM013 containing results for GM-31A and GM-58A.

Evaluation of the analytical data followed procedures outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (USEPA 2008), USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 2004) and the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan, (Solutia 2008). Based on the above mentioned criteria, results reported for the analyses performed were accepted for their intended use. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision, based on MS/MSD, LCS, surrogate and field duplicate data were achieved for this SDG to meet the project objectives. Completeness, which is defined to be the percentage of analytical results which are judged to be valid, including estimated detect/non-detect (J/UJ) data, was 100 percent.

5.0 OBSERVATIONS

The 3Q11 sampling event was the thirteenth groundwater sampling event conducted in accordance with the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operations and Maintenance Plan. SVOCs were detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells GM-31A and GM-58A during the 3Q11 sampling event. Laboratory analytical data for groundwater sample GM-31A-0811 indicate detections of 2-Nitrobiphenyl, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol and 2-Chloronitrobenzene/4-Chloronitrobenzene at concentrations of 28 μ g/L, 52 μ g/L and 47 μ g/L, respectively. 2-Chloronitrobenzene/4-Chloronitrobenzene was the only constituent detected in groundwater sample GM-58A-0811, at a concentration of 45 μ g/L. A summary of SVOC detections is provided in **Table 2**, with MNA results provided in **Table 3**.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Solutia Inc., 2008. Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan, W.G. Krummrich Facility, Sauget, IL, May 2008.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2004. Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2008 National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review.

Figures

November 2011







US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Tables

Table 1Monitoring Well Gauging Information

			Construct	ion Details			August 12, 2011							
Well ID	Ground Elevation* (feet)	Top of Casing Elevation* (feet)	Depth to Top of Screen (feet bgs)	Depth to Bottom of Screen (feet bgs)	Top of Screen Elevation* (feet)	Bottom of Screen Elevation* (feet)	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Depth to Bottom (feet btoc)	Water Elevation* (feet)					
Shallow Hydr	ogeologic Ur	nit (SHU 395 -	- 380 ft NAVE	D 88)										
GM-31A	416.63	418.63	19.00	39.00	397.63	377.63	14.65	40.26	403.98					
GM-58A	412.24	414.24	19.40	39.40	392.84	372.84	10.40	40.87	403.84					

Notes:

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

* - Elevation based upon North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) 88 datum

bgs - below ground surface

btoc - below top of casing

Table 2Groundwater Analytical Results

Sample ID	Sample Date	1,1-Biphenyl (ug/L)	1-Chloro-2,4-Dinitrobenzene (ug/L)	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol (ug/L)	2,4-Dichlorophenol (ug/L)	2-Chloronitrobenzene/ 4-Chloronitrobenzene (ug/L)	2-Nitrobiphenyl (ug/L)	3-Nitrobiphenyl (ug/L)	3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene (ug/L)	3-Nitrochlorobenzene (ug/L)	4-Nitrobiphenyl (ug/L)	Nitrobenzene (ug/L)	Pentachlorophenol (ug/L)
Shallow Hydroge	ologic Unit (S	HU 395 - 3	80 ft NAVD	88)									
GM-31A-0811	8/22/2011	<10	<10	52	<10	47	28	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<50
GM-58A-0811	8/22/2011	<10	<10	<10	<10	45	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<50

Notes:

EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

SN

µg/L = micrograms per liter

< = Result is non-detect, less than the reporting limit given - indicated as a U qualifier on lab data

BOLD indicates concentration greater than the reporting limit

 Table 3

 Monitored Natural Attenuation Results Summary

Sample ID	Sample Date	Alkalinity (mg/L)	Carbon Dioxide (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Ethane (ug/L)	Ethylene (ug/L)	Ferrous Iron (mg/L)	Iron (mg/L)	Iron, Dissolved (mg/L)	Manganese (mg/L)	Manganese, Dissolved (mg/L)	Methane (µg/L)	Nitrogen, Nitrate (mg/L)	Sulfate as SO4 (mg/L)	Dissolved Organic Carbon (mg/L)	Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	ORP (mV)
Shallow Hydrogeologic l	Jnit (SHU 39	95 - 380 ft	NAVD 8	3)														
GM-31A-0811	8/22/2011	470	43	18	0.05	<1.1	<1		7.4		1		1.7	4.4	88		3.6	-209.0
GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811-AD	8/22/2011							0.00		<0.05		1				3.5		
GM-58A-0811	8/22/2011	470	40	41	0.09	<1.1	<1		1.1		1.4		0.79	<0.05	93		3.5	-207.0
GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	8/22/2011							0.74		0.79		1.4				3.4		

Notes:

DOCUMENT

EPA ARCHIVE

S

DO and ORP were measured in the field using a YSI 6920 equipped with a flow-through cell.

Ferrous Iron readings were measured in the field using a colorimeter after the groundwater passed through a 0.2 µm filter.

mg/L = milligrams per liter

µg/L = micrograms per liter

< = Result is non-detect, less than the reporting limit given - indicated as a U qualifier on lab data

A blank space indicates sample not analyzed for select analyte.

F(0.2) = Sample was filtered utilizing a 0.2 μm filter in the field.

mV = milivolts

Appendix A

Groundwater Purging and Sampling Forms

November 2011

🕲 In-Situ In	с.		.ow-Flow System SI Low-Flow Log
Project Information: Operator Name	N MCNURLEN	Pump Information: Pump Model/Type	SS MONSOON
Company Name	URS	Tubing Type	LDPE
Project Name	SOLUTIA	Tubing Diameter	0.19 [in]
Site Name	3Q11 GW	Tubing Length	44.32 [ft]
		Pump placement from TO	C 38.32 [ft]
Well Information:		Pumping information:	
Well Id	GM-31A	Final pumping rate	400 [mL/min]
Well diameter	2 [in]	Flowcell volume	847.1 [mL]
Well total depth	40.82 [ft]	Calculated Sample Rate	128 [sec]
Depth to top of screen		Sample rate	128 [sec]
Screen length		Stabilized drawdown	
Depth to Water	15.58 [ft]		

Low-Flow Sampling Stabilization Summary

	Time	Temp [F]	pH [pH]	Cond [µS/cm @25C]	Turb [NTU]	RDO [mg/L]	ORP [mV]
Stabilization Settings			+/-0.2	+/-20	+/-1	+/-0.2	+/-20
				+/-3 %	+/-10 %	+/-10 %	
	12:02:41	62.99	6.65	1090.31	214.93	0.11	-159.23
	12:04:54	62.59	6.66	1092.93	182.64	0.08	-181.32
Last 5 Readings	12:07:07	62.62	6.67	1091.46	194.08	0.07	-194.91
	12:09:18	62.53	6.68	1080.09	207.81	0.07	-201.87
	12:11:31	62.40	6.68	1080.75	159.51	0.05	-209.10
	12:07:07	0.03	0.01	-1.46	11.44	-0.01	-13.59
Variance in last 3 readings	12:09:18	-0.10	0.00	-11.38	13.72	0.00	-6.96
	12:11:31	-0.13	0.01	0.66	-48.30	-0.02	-7.22

Notes:

🕲 In-Situ Ine	C.		.ow-Flow System SI Low-Flow Log
Project Information: Operator Name Company Name	N MCNURLEN URS	Pump Information: Pump Model/Type Tubing Type Tubing Diamator	SS MONSOON
Project Name Site Name	SOLUTIA 3Q11 GW	Tubing Diameter Tubing Length Pump placement from TO(0.19 [in] 50.58 [ft] C 38.38 [ft]
Well Information:		Pumping information:	
Well Id	GM-58A	Final pumping rate	500 [mL/min]
Well diameter	2 [in]	Flowcell volume	882.01 [mL]
Well total depth	40.88 [ft]	Calculated Sample Rate	106 [sec]
Depth to top of screen		Sample rate	150 [sec]
Screen length		Stabilized drawdown	0.02 [ft]
Depth to Water	11.3 [ft]		

Low-Flow Sampling Stabilization Summary

	Time	Temp [F]	pH [pH]	Cond [µS/cm @25C]	Turb [NTU]	RDO [mg/L]	ORP [mV]
Stabilization Settings			+/-0.2	+/-20	+/-1	+/-0.2	+/-20
				+/-3 %	+/-10 %	+/-10 %	
	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	13:58:32	62.32	6.83	1053.89	33.24	0.14	-191.35
Last 5 Readings	14:01:07	62.30	6.83	1055.65	63.64	0.13	-199.27
	14:03:43	62.03	6.83	1060.87	120.39	0.08	-205.21
	14:06:19	62.17	6.83	1066.26	6.65	0.09	-206.93
	14:01:07	-0.03	0.00	1.76	30.40	-0.01	-7.91
Variance in last 3 readings	14:03:43	-0.27	0.00	5.22	56.75	-0.04	-5.95
	14:06:19	0.15	0.00	5.39	-113.74	0.01	-1.71

Notes:

Appendix B

Chain-of-Custody

). Savannah

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

5102 LaRoche Avenue

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica

Savannah, GA 31404 nhone 912 354 7858 fax 912 352 0165

phone 912.334.7638 1ax 912.332.0103																						TestAmerica Laboratorics, Inc.
Client Contact	- I	anager: Da				-				than			n)atez	4	2/2	* /				COC No:
URS Corporation	Tel/Fax: (314) 743-41				Lab) Coi	ntact	: Lid	lya G	ulizi	ia]arri	er:	1	<u> </u>	17			l of1 COCs
1001 Highlands Plaza Drive West, Suite 300		Analysis T	urnaround	Time																		Job No.
St. Louis, MO 63110	Calenda	r (C) or W	ork Days (W	<u></u>						.												74562682 00004
(314) 429-0100 Phone	-	AT if different	from Below	<u>C</u>					175.4													1080-71633
(314) 429-0462 FAX	\mathbb{N}	2	2 weeks						A st				0B									SDG No.
Project Name: 3Q11 Route 3 GW Sampling		1	week					80	Sulfate	2			601									
Site: Solutia WG Krummrich Facility			2 days				٤ľ	<u></u>	- 1 2				u by						Ì			
P O #			l day			ă	8270	a by 6		, RSK	353.2	5.1	e/M	5.1								
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Sample Type	Matrix	# of Cont.	Filtered Sa	SVOCs by 8270C	Total Fe/Mn by 6010B Ait/CO2 hv 310 1	Chloride hv	Methane by I	Nitrate by 353.2	TOC by 415.1	Dissolved Fe/Mn by 6010B	DOC by 415.1								Sample Specific Notes:
						1-1-	-			_		-	-	-	_	+		_	-	++	_	Sample Specific Notes:
GM-31A-0811	8/22/4	1315	G	Water	11			1		1 3	2	1	_				_	\perp	1	\downarrow		
GM-31A-0811-AD	8/22/11	1315	G	Water	2	Ш	2				ļ											
GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	11/55/8	1315	G	Water	2	x							1	'								
GM-58A-0811	8/22/11	1510	G	Water	11		2	1 1	1	3	2	1										
GM-58A-0811-MS	8/22/15	1510	G	Water	2		2															
GM-58A-0811-MSD	8/22/11	ISID	G	Water	2		2															
GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	8/22/11	1510	G	Water	2	x							1	1								
TB-4	8/2/11)	W	λ	Π				2												As pecessary
	ļ		9			ļļ.																
					4	44				-h		Π	Ι									
a					tin	۳Þ	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	Ň	7	17			-	Transferrance	-was			1	+			
		<u></u>					Æ	(g)	44	40	F.							and the second states	-	*********		
Preservation Used: 1= Ice, 2= HCl; 3= H2SO4; 4=HNO3; 5=Na6)	r		ł		└┢-	1	4 1		1	3,1	2	4	2		100 40013480						
Possible Hazard Identification						<u> </u>	Sam	ole D)/spi						ses	sed ii	san	IDles	are	retain	edi	longer than 1 month)
Non-Hazard Flammable Skin Irritant	Poison i	B 🗆	, Unknown							To C						al By				Archiv		
Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Level 4 Da	ta Packag	e					·													Te	ΞΥ	P0.1°C/0.8°C
Relinquished by Asthe Martin	Company:	URS			ירו די	R 5 4		ved b	ک ^{יי}	lle	യ	كم	R				ipany					Date/Time: 812211 1715
Relinquished by:	Company:			Date/Tir	ne:		-								1.		npany 					Date/Time:
Relinquished by:	Company:			Date/Tir	ne:			<u>ved b</u>		<u>a</u>	<u>,)</u>	00	ug	<u> </u>]]-	<u>uy</u>		- прапіу	<u>90</u> ''	iV		1	<u> () 8. みろ. 1 0 () () 4 6</u> Date/Time:
	L									<u>၂</u> ယ		2		2			٥	o		7.	6	- σ 4 τυ α

Appendix C

Quality Assurance Report

November 2011

Solutia Inc. W.G. Krummrich Facility Sauget, Illinois

Illinois Route 3 Drum Site 3rd Quarter 2011 Data Report

Prepared for

Solutia Inc. 575 Maryville Centre Drive St. Louis, MO 63141

November 2011



URS Corporation 1001 Highland Plaza Drive West, Suite 300 St. Louis, MO 63110 (314) 429-0100 **Project # 21562682.00002**

3Q11 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

1.0	INTRODUCTION	.1
2.0	RECEIPT CONDITION AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES	.3
3.0	LABORATORY METHOD BLANKS	.4
4.0	SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES	.4
5.0	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE RECOVERIES	.4
6.0	MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD) SAMPLES	.4
7.0	FIELD DUPLICATE RESULTS	.4
8.0	INTERNAL STANDARD RESPONSES	.5
9.0	RESULTS REPORTED FROM DILUTIONS	.5



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Quality Assurance Report presents the findings of a review of analytical data for groundwater samples collected in August 2011 at the Illinois Route 3 Drum Site on the Solutia W.G. Krummrich Facility as part of the 3rd Quarter 2011 sampling event. The samples were collected by URS Corporation personnel and analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratories located in Savannah, Georgia using USEPA methodologies. Samples were analyzed for certain semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameters, and metals.

One hundred percent of the data were subjected to a data quality review (Level III validation); ten percent of these data were subjected to a full data validation (Level IV validation). Please see **Appendix D** for the three validation reports (Full Validation of SVOC Data – SDG KOM013, Full Validation of Metals Data – SDG KOM013, and Full Validation of Wet Chemistry Data – SDG KOM013). The Level III and IV validations were performed in order to confirm that the analytical data provided by TestAmerica were acceptable in quality for their intended use. A total of five samples (two investigative groundwater samples, one field duplicate, and one matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) pair) were collected. The field duplicate sample, GM-31A-0811-AD arrived at the laboratory but was inadvertently omitted from sample log-in at TestAmerica. All other samples requested for analyses were analyzed by TestAmerica for SVOCs and MNAs by the following USEPA SW-846 Methods:

USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C for SVOCs

Samples were also analyzed for MNA parameters by the following methods:

- Method RSK-175 for Dissolved Gases (Ethane, Ethylene, and Methane)
- USEPA Method 310.1 for Alkalinity and Carbon Dioxide
- USEPA Method 325.2 for Chloride
- USEPA Method 6010B for Total and Dissolved Iron and Manganese
- USEPA Method 415.1 for Total and Dissolved Organic Carbon
- USEPA Method 353.2 for Nitrogen, Nitrate
- USEPA Method 375.4 for Sulfate

Samples were reviewed following procedures outlined in the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (USEPA 2008) and USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 2004).



The above guidelines provided the criteria to review the data. Additional quantitative criteria are given in the analytical methods. Qualifiers assigned by the data reviewer have been applied to the laboratory report. The qualifiers indicate data that did not meet acceptance criteria and corrective actions were not successful or not performed.

The various qualifiers are explained in **Tables 1** and **2** below:

Lab Qualifier	Definition
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
*	LCS, LCSD, MS, MSD, MD or surrogate exceeds the control limits.
E	Result exceeded the calibration range, secondary dilution required.
D	Surrogate or matrix spike recoveries were not obtained because the extract was diluted for analysis; also compounds analyzed at a dilution will be flagged with a D.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
Ν	MS, MSD: Spike recovery exceeds upper or lower control limits.
Н	Sample was prepped or analyzed beyond the specified holding time.
В	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
4	MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is 4 times greater than the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable.

TABLE 1 Laboratory Data Qualifiers

TABLE 2 URS Data Qualifiers

URS Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected.
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
UJ	The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

Based on the criteria outlined, it is recommended that the results reported for these analyses are accepted for their intended use. Acceptable levels of accuracy, precision, and representativeness (based on MS/MSD, LCS, surrogate compounds and field duplicate results) were achieved for this data set, except where noted in this report. In addition, analytical completeness, defined to be the percentage of analytical results which are judged to be valid, including estimated detect/non-detect (**J/UJ**) values was 100 percent, which meets the completeness goal of 95 percent.



The data review included evaluation of the following criteria:

Organics

- Receipt condition and sample holding times
- Laboratory method blanks
- Surrogate spike recoveries
- Laboratory control sample (LCS) recoveries
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) sample recoveries and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) values
- Field duplicate results
- Results reported from dilutions
- Internal standard responses

Inorganics/General chemistry

- Receipt condition and sample holding times
- Laboratory method blank
- LCS recoveries
- MS/MSD sample recoveries and matrix duplicate RPD values
- Field duplicate and laboratory duplicate results
- Results reported from dilutions

2.0 RECEIPT CONDITION AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES

Sample holding time requirements for the analyses performed are presented in the methods and/or in the data review guidelines. Review of the sample collection, extraction and analysis dates involved comparing the chain-of-custody and the laboratory data summary forms for accuracy, consistency, and holding time compliance.

Extractions and/or analyses were completed within the recommended holding time requirements.

The cooler receipt form indicated that the coolers were received by the laboratory at 0.1°C and 0.8°C, which were outside the 4°C \pm 2°C criteria. The samples were received in good condition; therefore no qualification of data was required. The TOC container for sample GM-31A was received without any sample volume. The remaining semivolatiles container contained sufficient sample to complete the TOC analysis.



3.0 LABORATORY METHOD BLANK

Laboratory method blank samples evaluate the existence and magnitude of contamination problems resulting from laboratory activities. Laboratory method blank samples were analyzed at the method prescribed frequencies. The method blank sample was non-detect for all target analytes.

4.0 SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Surrogate compounds are used to evaluate overall laboratory performance for sample preparation efficiency on a per sample basis. All samples analyzed for SVOCs were spiked with surrogate compounds during sample preparation. USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review state how data is qualified, if surrogate spike recoveries do not meet evaluation criteria.

Surrogate recoveries were within evaluation criteria. Surrogates that were associated with quality control samples did not require qualification. In addition, no qualification of data was required if only one SVOC acid or base fraction surrogate was outside evaluation criteria. The USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review indicates to qualify data if two or more surrogates per SVOC fraction are outside criteria. No qualifications of data were required due to surrogate recoveries.

5.0 LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE RECOVERIES

Laboratory control samples (LCS) are analyzed with each analytical batch to assess the accuracy of the analytical process. All spiked LCS recoveries were within evaluation criteria.

6.0 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD) SAMPLES

MS/MSD samples are analyzed to assess the accuracy and precision of the analytical process on an analytical sample in a particular matrix. MS/MSD samples were to be collected at a frequency of one per 20 investigative samples in accordance with the work plan. URS Corporation submitted one MS/MSD sample set for two investigative samples, meeting the work plan frequency requirement.

Sample GM-58A-0811 was spiked and analyzed for SVOCs. Although not requested for MS/MSD analysis, sample GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811 was spiked and analyzed for dissolved organic carbon. All MS/MSD recoveries were within evaluation criteria. No qualification of data was required.

7.0 FIELD DUPLICATE RESULTS

Field duplicate results are used to evaluate precision of the entire data collection activity, including sampling, analysis and site heterogeneity. When results for both duplicate and sample values are



greater than five times the practical quantitation limit (PQL), satisfactory precision is indicated by an RPD less than or equal to 25 percent for aqueous samples. Where one or both of the results of a field duplicate pair are reported at less than five times the PQL, satisfactory precision is indicated if the field duplicate results agree within 2 times the quantitation limit. Field duplicate results that do not meet these criteria may indicate unsatisfactory precision of the results.

One field duplicate sample was collected for the two investigative samples. This satisfies the requirement in the work plan (one per 10 investigative samples or 10 percent). Field duplicate sample, GM-31A-0811-AD arrived at the laboratory but was inadvertently omitted from sample login at TestAmerica. In the absence of the analysis of a field duplicate, laboratory precision is supported by the laboratory duplicating and analyzing sample GM-58A-0811. No qualification of the data was required.

8.0 INTERNAL STANDARD RESPONSES

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during each analytical run. IS areas must be within -50 percent to +100 percent for SVOCs. Also, the IS retention times must be within 30 seconds of the preceding IS CV retention time.

The internal standards area responses for the SVOCs were verified for the data reviews. IS responses met the criteria. No qualification of the data was required.

9.0 **RESULTS REPORTED FROM DILUTIONS**

The samples were diluted (5x) for the analysis of sulfate and/or nitrate. The diluted sample results for sulfate and nitrate were reported at the lowest possible reporting limit.



Appendix D

Groundwater Analytical Results (with Data Review/Validation Reports)

Solutia Krummrich Data Review WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M 3Q11

Laboratory SDG: KOM013

Data Reviewer: Melissa Mansker

Peer Reviewer: Elizabeth Kunkel

Date Reviewed: 10/03/2011

Guidance: USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review 2008.

USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review 2004

Applicable Work Plan: Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan (Solutia 2008)

Sample Identification			
GM-31A-0811	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811		
GM-58A-0811	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811		
TB-4			

1.0 Data Package Completeness

Were all items delivered as specified in the QAPP and COC as appropriate?

No, the field duplicate sample, GM-31A-0811-AD arrived at the laboratory but was inadvertently omitted from sample log-in at TestAmerica. Laboratory precision was supported by the laboratory duplicating and analyzing sample GM-58A-0811. All other samples requested for analyses were analyzed by TestAmerica for SVOCs and MNA parameters by USEPA SW-846 Methods.

2.0 Laboratory Case Narrative \ Cooler Receipt Form

Were problems noted in the laboratory case narrative or cooler receipt form?

Yes, samples were diluted due to high levels of nitrate and sulfate. These issues are addressed further in the appropriate sections below.

The cooler receipt form indicated that two of two coolers were received by the laboratory at 0.1°C and 0.8°C, which are outside the 4°C \pm 2°C criteria. The samples were received in good condition; therefore no qualification of data was required. The TOC container for sample GM-31A was received without any sample volume. The remaining semi-volatiles container contained sufficient sample to complete the TOC analysis.

3.0 Holding Times

Were samples extracted/analyzed within applicable limits?

Yes

4.0 Blank Contamination

Were any analytes detected in the Method Blanks, Field Blanks or Trip Blanks?

No

5.0 Laboratory Control Sample

Were LCS recoveries within evaluation criteria? Yes

6.0 Surrogate Recoveries

Were surrogate recoveries within evaluation criteria?

Yes

7.0 Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate Recoveries

Were MS/MSD samples collected as part of this SDG?

Yes, sample GM-58A-0811 was spiked and analyzed for SVOCs. Although not requested for MS/MSD analysis, sample GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811 was spiked and analyzed for dissolved organic carbon.

Were MS/MSD recoveries within evaluation criteria?

Yes

8.0 Internal Standard (IS) Recoveries

Were internal standard area recoveries within evaluation criteria?

Yes

9.0 Laboratory Duplicate Results

Were laboratory duplicate samples performed as part of this SDG?

Yes, sample GM-58A-0811 was duplicated and analyzed for alkalinity and free carbon dioxide.

Were laboratory duplicate sample RPDs within criteria?

Yes

10.0 Field Duplicate Results

Were field duplicate samples collected as part of this SDG?

Yes

Field ID	Field Duplicate ID
GM-31A-0811	GM-31A-0811-AD

Were field duplicate sample RPDs within evaluation criteria?

Field duplicate sample, GM-31A-0811-AD arrived at the laboratory but was inadvertently omitted from sample log-in at TestAmerica. In the absence of the analysis of a field duplicate, laboratory precision is supported by the laboratory duplicating and analyzing sample GM-58A-0811.

11.0 Sample Dilutions

For samples that were diluted and nondetect, were undiluted results also reported? Not applicable; analytes were detected in samples that were diluted.

12.0 Additional Qualifications

Were additional qualifications applied? No

FULL VALIDATION OF SVOC DATA – SDG KOM013

This section describes the full validation for two water samples which were prepared by USEPA SW-846 Method 3520C and analyzed for semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) by USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C. Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratory of Savanna, Georgia, and submitted as part of sample delivery group (SDG) KOM013. Samples included as part of this validation are listed below:

Sample Identification				
GM-31A-0811	GM-58A-0811			

Criteria were identified in the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan (Solutia 2008) and USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C. Evaluation of the analytical data followed procedures outlined in the USEPA Contract Program National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review (USEPA 2008) where applicable to SW-846 Method 8270C.

Criteria evaluated included the following method performance criteria:

- Data package completeness
- Laboratory case narrative/cooler receipt form
- Holding times and sample preservation
- Instrument performance
- Initial calibration
- Calibration verification
- Blank samples
- Surrogate spike recoveries
- Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples
- Internal standard areas
- Laboratory control sample (LCS)
- Target compound identification and quantitation
- Overall data assessment

1.0 Data Package Completeness

The data package was reviewed to make certain that it contained the data contractually required in the deliverable. This included checking the data package for the results of each analyte requested for each field sample submitted in the analytical batch, along with requested QC documentation for the respective methods. Field duplicate sample, GM-31A-0811-AD arrived at the laboratory but was inadvertently omitted from sample log-in at TestAmerica. Laboratory precision was supported by the laboratory duplicating and analyzing sample GM-58A-0811. All other samples requested for analyses were analyzed by TestAmerica for SVOCs and MNA parameters by USEPA SW-846 Methods.

1.2 Laboratory Case Narrative/Cooler Receipt Form

No problems were indicated in the laboratory case narrative for the validated samples.

The cooler receipt form indicated samples in two of two coolers were received by the laboratory at 0.1°C and 0.8°C which is outside the 4°C \pm 2°C criteria. The samples were received in good condition; therefore, no qualification of data was required.

1.3 Sample Preservation and Holding Times

Review of the sample collection and analysis dates involved comparing the chain-of-custody, the summary forms, the raw data forms, and the chromatograms for accuracy, consistency, and holding time compliance. The samples were extracted within 7 days of collection and analyzed within 40 days of extraction. No qualification of data was required due to sample preservation or holding time criteria.

1.4 Instrument Performance

GC/MS instrument performance checks were performed to ensure mass resolution, identification, and instrument sensitivity. Criteria for evaluation of instrument performance included possible transcription/calculation errors, adherence to instrument tuning frequency requirements, mass assignments, and ion abundance criteria. Instrument performance check samples were evaluated against the laboratory tuning criteria established in Method 8270C.

Based on the raw data, the ion abundance criteria were within evaluation criteria for all masses, therefore; no qualification of the data was required. The raw data forms were checked against the summary forms and no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.5 Initial Calibration

An Initial calibration (ICAL) was established to assess whether the instrument was capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data for volatile analysis. Samples as part of SDG KOM013 were analyzed using instrument MSG5973.i. The ICAL for instrument MSG was established on 08/12/2011 prior to sample analysis and using at least five concentration standards to establish the initial calibration curve as required by Method 8270C. An average response factor (RF) was determined for each target analyte, and the RFs were reviewed and verified as greater than 0.05 for all target analytes.

Review of the initial calibration summary forms indicated calibration check compounds (CCCs) had percent relative standard deviations (%RSDs) \leq 30%. All other target analytes had %RSDs less than 15%.

Recalculations of the RFs and %RSD for one compound per internal standard were performed, and no errors in calculation were noted.

1.6 Calibration Verification

Review of sample chromatograms indicated the calibration verifications (CVs) were performed at the required frequency of every 12 hours. Review of continuing calibration summary forms indicated all RFs met the evaluation criteria of greater than 0.05 for all target analytes. In addition, percent differences (%Ds) met the evaluation criteria of less than or equal to 20% for CCCs and target analytes that were quantitated using linear calibration (response factor).

Recalculations of the RFs and %RSD for one compound per internal standard were performed, and no errors in calculation were noted.

1.7 Blank Samples

The purpose of method blank samples is to evaluate the existence and magnitude of contamination problems emanating from laboratory activities. Method blank samples were analyzed with each analytical batch as required by USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C. All target compounds in the blank samples were reported as non-detect. No qualification of data was required.

1.8 Surrogate Spike Recoveries

Surrogate compounds were used to evaluate the overall laboratory sample preparation efficiency on a per-sample basis. Surrogate recoveries were within the method acceptance criteria for all validated samples.

A minimum of 10% of the surrogate recoveries was recalculated, and the summary forms versus the raw data were verified. No calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.9 Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Samples

MS/MSD samples are analyzed to assess potential matrix effects. Sample GM-58A-0811 was spiked and analyzed for SVOCs. All MS/MSD recoveries were within the method acceptance criteria for sample GM-58A-0811.

1.10 Internal Standard Areas and Retention Times

Internal standard (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during each analytical run. Following Method 8270C, the IS areas for the samples and CVs must be within –50% to +100% and retention times must be within 30 seconds of the IS area and retention time of the midpoint of the ICAL.

The IS areas for the CVs and the validated samples in this SDG were within evaluation criteria. No qualifications to the data based on IS areas or retention times were required.

1.11 Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were analyzed with each analytical batch to assess the accuracy of the analytical process. LCS recoveries were within evaluation criteria. No qualifications of data were required based on LCS recoveries.

A minimum of 10% of the spiking compound recoveries for the LCS were recalculated from the raw data and verified using the LCS summary forms, and no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.12 Target Compound Identification and Quantitation

For validation of the compound identification, chromatograms were reviewed to verify the major peaks were identified, the spectra of the identified compounds were verified against the library spectra, and the relative retention time was no greater than 0.06 different from the associated CV retention times. A minimum of 10% of the detected target analytes and spiking compounds were verified. No anomalies were noted with the identification of the target compounds in the samples.

For the validation of compound quantitation, 10% of the target analytes were recalculated from the raw data, and no calculation errors were noted. Additionally, the reporting limits were
verified to determine if reporting limits (RLs) were adjusted for dilutions. No qualification of the data was required and review of the data indicated the correct RLs were reported.

1.13 Overall Data Assessment

Based on the criteria outlined, it is recommended that the results reported for these analyses are accepted for their intended use. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision, based on LCS, MS/MSD and surrogate data were achieved for this SDG. In addition, completeness defined to be the percentage of analytical results, which are judged as valid, including estimated detect/non-detect (J/UJ) data was 100% for this SDG.

FULL VALIDATION OF METALS DATA – SDG KOM013

This section describes the full data validation for four water samples which were prepared by USEPA SW-846 Methods 3005A and analyzed for total and dissolved iron and manganese by USEPA SW-846 Method 6010B. Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratory of Savanna, Georgia, and submitted as part of sample delivery group (SDG) KOM013. Samples included as part of this validation are listed below.

Sample Identification						
GM-31A-0811	GM-58A-0811					
GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811					

Criteria were identified in the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan (Solutia 2008) and USEPA SW-846 Method 6010B. Evaluation of the analytical data followed procedures outlined in the USEPA Contract Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 2004) where applicable to SW-846 Method 6010B.

Criteria evaluated included the following method performance criteria:

- Data package completeness
- Laboratory case narrative /cooler receipt form
- Sample preservation and holding times
- Blank contamination
- Initial calibration
- Calibration verification
- Laboratory control sample (LCS)
- Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD)
- Laboratory duplicate sample
- ICP serial dilution
- ICP interference check samples (ICS)
- Sample result verification
- Overall assessment of data

1.1 Data Package Completeness

The data package was reviewed to make certain that it contained the data contractually required in the deliverable. This included checking the data package for the results of each analyte requested for each field sample submitted in the analytical batch, along with requested QC documentation for the respective methods. The data package was complete for metals analysis for this SDG.

1.2 Laboratory Case Narrative / Cooler Receipt Form

No problems were indicated in the laboratory case narrative for the validated samples.

The cooler receipt form indicated samples in two of two coolers were received by the laboratory at 0.1°C and 0.8°C which is outside the 4°C \pm 2°C criteria. The samples were received in good condition; therefore, no qualification of data was required.

1.3 Sample Preservation and Holding Times

Review of the sample collection and analysis dates involved comparing the chain-of-custody, the sample preparation logs, the analysis run logs, and raw data forms for holding time compliance. The samples were received at a pH<2 and were analyzed within the evaluation criteria of 6 months for metals. No qualification of data was required based on holding time criteria or sample preservation.

1.4 Blank Contamination

The purpose of blank samples was to evaluate the existence and magnitude of contamination problems emanating from laboratory activities. Initial calibration, continuing calibration, and preparation blanks were reported non-detect for all metals analyzed. No qualification of data was required based on blank results.

1.5 Initial Calibration

Initial calibration (ICAL) criteria were established to assess whether the instrument was capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data for metals analyses. An ICAL was analyzed at the beginning of the run sequence. ICAL curves were established using a blank and three standards for analysis of metals by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission (ICP-AE). All initial calibration verification (ICV) recoveries were within evaluation criteria (ICP metals, 90-110%). A minimum of 10% of the ICAL curve and ICV recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data; no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualification of the data was required based on ICV data.

1.6 Calibration Verification

Calibration Verification (CV) criteria were established to assess whether the instrument was capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data established by the ICAL. The laboratory analyzed CV samples at a frequency of 10% as specified by the methodologies. CV samples associated with the validated samples had recoveries within the evaluation criteria (ICP metals, 90-110%). A minimum of 10% of the CV sample recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data and no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.7 Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

Laboratory control spike (LCS) samples were analyzed to assess the accuracy of the analytical method and to demonstrate laboratory performance. The LCS recoveries for metals were within evaluation criteria (75-125%) for metals. A minimum of 10% of the LCS recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data; no calculation or transcription errors were noted. No qualification of data was required based on LCS recoveries.

1.8 Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

MS/MSD samples are analyzed to assess accuracy, precision and the effects of matrix interference during the analysis of a particular sample. No metal MS/MSDs were analyzed for the samples chosen for validation.

1.9 Laboratory Duplicate Sample

Laboratory duplicate samples are analyzed to assess the precision of a particular sample. No laboratory duplicates were analyzed for the samples chosen for validation.

1.10 ICP Serial Dilution

Serial dilutions are analyzed to assess the potential significant physical or chemical interferences due to sample matrix. A metals serial dilution was not analyzed for the samples chosen for validation.

1.11 ICP Interference Check Sample

An Interference Check Sample (ICS) was analyzed to verify the contract laboratory's interelement and background correction factors for analysis of metals by ICP. The laboratory analyzed the ICS at the beginning of the analytical run as specified in USEPA SW-846 Method 6010B. The ICS recoveries for all metals analyzed were within evaluation criteria (80-120%); therefore, no qualification of the ICP data was required. A minimum of 10% of the ICS recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data; no transcription and calculation errors were noted.

1.12 Sample Result Verification

The metals results were recalculated to validate that analyte quantitation was derived accurately, and no calculation errors were noted. Data summary forms were reviewed and compared to the raw data package. No transcription errors were noted and the correct reporting limits were used.

1.13 Overall Data Assessment

Based on the criteria outlined, it is recommended that the results reported for these analyses are accepted for their intended use. Completeness, defined to be the percentage of analytical results that are judged to be valid, including estimated detect/non-detect (J/UJ) data, was 100% for this SDG.

FULL VALIDATION OF GENERAL CHEMISTRY DATA – SDG KOM013

This section describes the full data validation of four water samples which were analyzed for various general chemistry parameters. The analytical parameters and methodologies are summarized below:

Parameter	Method	Reference
Nitrate/Nitrite	353.2	
Sulfate	375.4	
Total and Dissolved Organic Carbon	415.1	USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of
Chloride	325.2	Water and Waste (USEPA, 1983)
Alkalinity	310.1	
Carbon Dioxide	Calc from 310.1	
Dissolved Gasses	RSK-175	RSK-175

Samples were analyzed by TestAmerica Laboratory, of Savannah, Georgia, and submitted as part of sample delivery group (SDG) KOM013. Samples included as a part of this validation are listed below:

Sample Identification						
GM31A-0811	GM31A-F(0.2)-0811					
GM-58A-0811	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811					

Criteria were identified in the Revised Illinois Route 3 Drum Site Operation and Maintenance Plan (Solutia 2008) and evaluation of the analytical data followed procedures outlined in USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 2004), where applicable to the above mentioned USEPA Methods. The evaluation criteria used during the validation were a combination of those criteria presented in the respective methods and the laboratory criteria based on historical data.

Criteria evaluated included the following method performance criteria:

- Data package completeness
- Laboratory case narrative/cooler receipt form
- Sample preservation and holding times
- Blank contamination
- Initial calibration
- Calibration verification
- Laboratory control sample (LCS)
- Laboratory duplicate analysis
- Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate samples (MS/MSD)
- Sample result verification
- Overall data assessment

1.1 Data Package Completeness

The data package was reviewed to make certain that it contained the data contractually required in the deliverable. This included checking the data package for results of each analyte

requested for each field sample submitted in the analytical batch, along with requested QC documentation for the respective method. The data package was complete.

1.2 Laboratory Case Narrative/Cooler Receipt Form

The laboratory case narrative indicated the TOC container for sample GM-31A-0811 was received without any sample volume. The remaining semi-volatiles container contained sufficient sample to complete all requested analyses. Although not indicated in the laboratory case narrative samples were diluted due to high levels of nitrate and sulfate.

The cooler receipt form indicated samples in two of two coolers were received by the laboratory at 0.1°C and 0.8°C which is outside the 4°C \pm 2°C criteria. The samples were received in good condition; therefore, no qualification of data was required. The TOC container for sample GM-31A was received without any sample volume. The remaining semi-volatiles container contained sufficient sample to complete the TOC analysis.

1.3 Sample Preservation and Holding Times

Review of the sample collection, extraction and analyses dates involved comparing the chain-of -custody, the sample preparation logs, the analysis run logs, and raw data forms for holding time compliance. The samples were persevered properly (4°C \pm 2 °C) and at a pH <2 for sulfate and total organic carbon. All samples were analyzed within holding time criteria; 28 days for chloride, nitrate/nitrite, sulfate, total organic carbon, DOC and 14 days for alkalinity, and RSK-175. No qualifications of data were required based on holding times and sample preservation.

1.4 Blank Contamination

The purpose of method blank samples was to evaluate the existence and magnitude of contamination problems emanating from laboratory activities. Method blank samples were analyzed with each analytical batch as required. A review of the method blank summary forms and the raw data forms indicated all target compounds were reported as non-detect.

1.5 Initial Calibration

Initial calibration verification (ICV) criteria were established to assess whether the instrument was capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data for the wet chemistry analyses. Alkalinity concentrations are determined by titration; therefore, no calibration curve was generated. The verification of alkalinity analyses was achieved with the analysis of laboratory control samples (LCS). The LCS data is further discussed in the appropriate section below. An initial calibration was established at the beginning of the run sequence for the all other analyses. A minimum of five standards was used to establish the initial calibration curve as required by the analytical methods. Review of the initial calibration data indicated that the r values were greater than 0.995 for all calibration curves; therefore, no qualification standards to establish the external calibration and all r values were greater than or equal to 0.995. No qualification of data was required based on initial calibration. Approximately 10% of the initial calibration and ICV recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data; no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.6 Calibration Verification

Calibration verification (CV) criteria were established to assess whether the instrument was capable of producing acceptable qualitative and quantitative data established by the initial calibration curve. CV samples were analyzed at the required frequency of every 10 samples and the percent differences (%D) or percent drift (%drift) values were within evaluation criteria for each analytical method. No qualification of data was required based on %drift.

Approximately 10% of the CV sample recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data. No calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.7 Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

Laboratory control samples (LCS) were established to assess the accuracy of the analytical method and to demonstrate laboratory performance. LCS recoveries were within the evaluation criteria; therefore, no qualification of data was required. A minimum of 10% of LCS recoveries were recalculated and compared to the raw data; no calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.8 Laboratory Duplicate Analysis

Laboratory duplicate samples assess the precision of a particular sample. Sample GM-58A-0811 was duplicated and analyzed for alkalinity and free carbon dioxide. All RPD results were within laboratory limits. No qualification of data was required.

1.9 Matrix Spike/ Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples (MS/MSD)

MS/MSD samples are analyzed to assess the accuracy, precision and the effects of matrix interference during the analysis of a particular sample. Sample GM-58A-0811 was spiked and analyzed for SVOCs. Although not requested for MS/MSD analysis, sample GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811 was spiked and analyzed for dissolved organic carbon. MS/MSD recoveries were within evaluation criteria. No qualification of data was required based on MS/MSD recoveries.

The MS/MSD percent recovery data was recalculated and compared to the raw data. No calculation or transcription errors were noted.

1.10 Sample Result Verification

A minimum of 10% of the validated sample results were recalculated to verify that analyte quantitation was derived accurately, and no calculation errors were noted. Data summary forms were reviewed and compared to the raw data package. No transcription errors were noted and the correct reporting limits were used.

1.11 Overall Data Assessment

Based on the criteria outlined, it is recommended that the results reported for these analyses be accepted for their intended use. Completeness, defined to be the percentage of analytical results that are judged to be valid, including estimated detect/non-detect (**J/UJ**) data, was 100 percent for this SDG.

SDG KOM013

Results of Samples from Monitoring Wells:

GM-31A GM-58A

<u>TestAmerica</u>

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. TestAmerica Savannah 5102 LaRoche Avenue Savannah, GA 31404 Tel: (912)354-7858

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 TestAmerica Sample Delivery Group: KOM013 Client Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

For: Solutia Inc. 575 Maryville Centre Dr. Saint Louis, Missouri 63141

Attn: Mr. Jerry Rinaldi

Lidya Julicia

Authorized for release by: 09/27/2011 11:01:50 AM

Lidya Gulizia Project Manager II lidya.gulizia@testamericainc.com

cc: Bob Billman

Dave Palmer

OCT 0 3 2011

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory. The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature. Page 1 of 27



Table of Contents

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Case Narrative	3
Sample Summary	4
Method Summary	5
Definitions	3
Detection Summary	7
Client Sample Results 8	3
Surrogate Summary	13
QC Sample Results	14
QC Association	21
Chronicle	23
Chain of Custody	25
Receipt Checklists	26
Certification Summary	27

2

OCT 0 3 2011

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

Job ID: 680-71633-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Savannah

Narrative

Job Narrative 680-71633-1 / SDG KOM013

Receipt

The TOC container for GM-31A was received empty without any sample volume. The semivolatiles container was subsampled and preserved for TOC analysis.

All other samples were received in good condition within temperature requirements.

GC/MS Semi VOA

No analytical or quality issues were noted.

GC VOA No analytical or quality issues were noted.

Metals

No analytical or quality issues were noted.

General Chemistry

Method(s) 325.2, SM 4500 CI- E: The matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries for batch 212944 were outside control limits. The associated laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery met acceptance criteria.

No other analytical or quality issues were noted.

Organic Prep No analytical or quality issues were noted.

Comments No additional comments.







SDG: KOM013

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1

Sample Summary

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011 TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

.ab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
80-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Water	08/22/11 13:15	08/23/11 09:46
80-71633-3	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	Water	08/22/11 13:15	08/23/11 09:46
80-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Water	08/22/11 15:10	08/23/11 09:46
80-71633-5	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	Water	08/22/11 15:10	08/23/11 09:46
80-71633-6	TB-4	Water	08/22/11 00:00	08/23/11 09:46

OCT 0 3 2011

TestAmerica Savannah

<u>10</u> 1

Л

Method Summary

_ . .

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

lethod	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
270C	Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL SAV
RSK-175	Dissolved Gases (GC)	RSK	TAL SAV
0108	Metals (ICP)	SW846	TAL SAV
10.1	Alkalinity	MCAWW	TAL SAV
25.2	Chloride	MCAWW	TAL SAV
53.2	Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite	MCAWW	TAL SAV
75.4	Sulfate	MCAWW	TAL SAV
15.1	TOC	MCAWW	TAL SAV
15.1	DOC	MCAWW	TAL SAV

Protocol References:

MCAWW = "Methods For Chemical Analysis Of Water And Wastes", EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1983 And Subsequent Revisions.

RSK = Sample Prep And Calculations For Dissolved Gas Analysis In Water Samples Using A GC Headspace Equilibration Technique, RSKSOP-175,

Rev. 0, 8/11/94, USEPA Research Lab

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL SAV = TestAmerica Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858

OCT 0 3 2011

Definitions/Glossary

Client: Solutia Inc.
Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

ß

....

Qualifiers

Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected,	_
GC VOA		
Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.	_
Metals		
Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.	-
General Ch	emistry	
Qualifier	Qualifier Description	

U

Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Glossary

Glossary	
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¢	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Reanalysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
ND	Not detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RL	Reporting Limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)



TestAmerica Savannah

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

Client Sample ID: GM-31A-0811

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Client Sample ID: GM-314	-0811			Lal	Sample II	D: 680-71633-1
Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac) Method	Prep Type
2.4,6-Trichlorophenol	52	10	ug/L	1	8270C	Total/NA
2-Nitrobiphenyl	28	10	ug/L	1	8270C	Total/NA
2-chloronitrobenzene /	47	20	ug/L	1	8270C	Total/NA
4-chloronitrobenzene						
Methane	1.7	0.58	ug/L	1	RSK-175	Total/NA
Iron	7.4	0.050	mg/L	1	6010B	Total Recover
Manganese	1.0	0.010	mg/L	1	6010B	Total Recover
Chloride	18	1.0	mg/L	1	325.2	Total/NA
Nitrate as N	4.4	0.25	mg/L	5	353.2	Total/NA
Sulfate	88	25	mg/L	5	375.4	Total/NA
Total Organic Carbon	3.6	1.0	mg/L	1	415.1	Total/NA
Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	RL Unit	Dil Fac	Method	Prep Type
Alkalinity	470	5.0	.mg/L	1	310,1	Total/NA
Carbon Dioxide, Free	43	5.0	mg/L	1	310.1	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Ргер Туре
Manganese, Dissolved	1.0		0,010		mg/L	1	_	6010B	Dissolved
Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.5		1.0		mg/L	1		415.1	Dissolved

Client Sample ID: GM-58A-0811

Result Qu	ualifier RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Ргер Туре
45 -	20		ug/L	1	_	8270C	Total/NA
0.79	0,58		ug/L	1		RSK-175	Total/NA
1.1	0.050		mg/L	1		6010B	Total Recovera
1.4	0,010		mg/L	1		6010B	Total Recovera
41	1.0		mg/L	1		325.2	Total/NA
93	25		mg/L	5		375.4	Total/NA
3.5	1.0		mg/L	1		415.1	Total/NA
Result Qu	ualifier RL	RL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Ргер Туре
470	5.0		mg/L	1	_	310.1	Total/NA
40	5.0		mg/L	1		310,1	Total/NA
	45 0.79 1.1 1.4 41 93 3.5 Result Qu 470	45 20 0.79 0.58 1.1 0.050 1.4 0.010 41 1.0 93 25 3.5 1.0 Result Qualifier RL 470 5.0	45 20 0.79 0.58 1.1 0.050 1.4 0.010 41 1.0 93 25 3.5 1.0 Result Qualifier RL RL 470 5.0	45 20 ug/L 0.79 0.58 ug/L 1.1 0.050 mg/L 1.4 0.010 mg/L 41 1.0 mg/L 3.5 1.0 mg/L 470 5.0 mg/L	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	45 20 ug/L 1 8270C 0.79 0.58 ug/L 1 8270C 1.1 0.050 mg/L 1 6010B 1.4 0.010 mg/L 1 6010B 41 1.0 mg/L 1 325.2 93 25 mg/L 5 375.4 3.5 1.0 mg/L 1 415.1 Result Qualifier RL RL Unit Dil Fac D Method 470 5.0 mg/L 1 1 310.1

Client Sample ID: GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811

Analyte Iron, Dissolved	Result 0.79	Qualifier	RL 0.050	 Unit mg/L	Dil Fac	D	Method 6010B	 Prep Type Dissolved
Manganese, Dissolved	1.4		0.010	mg/L	1		6010B	Dissolved
Dissolved Organic Carbon	3.4		1.0	mg/L	1		415.1	Dissolved

Client Sample ID: TB-4

No Detections

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-5

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-3

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-6

DCT 0 3 2011 TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample Results

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

Client Sample ID: GM-31A-0811

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-1

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1

Matrix: Water

8

SDG: KOM013

Date Collected: 08/22/11 13:15 Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46

____ ·__ ·__·

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Þ	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
1,1'-Biphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
Nitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
Pentachlorophenol	50	U	50		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	52		10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
1-Chloro-3-nitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
2-Nitrobiphenyl	28		10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
3-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
4-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
2-chloronitrobeпzene /	47		20		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
4-chloronitrobenzene									
1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene	10	U	10		սց/Լ		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	DII Fa
2-Fluorobiphenyl	80		38.130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
2-Fluorophenol	71		25 - 130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
Nitrobenzene-d5	79		39 - 130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
Phenol-d5	65		25.130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
Terphenyl-d14	75		10_143				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:07	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	85		31_141				08/26/11 14;54	08/30/11 19:07	
Method: RSK-175 - Dissolved G	ases (GC)								
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Ethane	1.1	U	1.1		ug/L			08/26/11 14:44	
Ethylene	1.0	U	1.0		ug/L			08/26/11 14:44	
Methane	1.7		0.58		ug/L			08/26/11 14:44	
Method: 6010B - Metals (ICP) - 1	otal Recoverat	le							
Analyte		Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
iron	7.4		0.050		mg/L		08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:15	
Manganese	1.0		0.010		mg/L		08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:15	
General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Chloride	18		1.0		mg/L			08/25/11 15:32	
Nitrate as N	4.4		0.25		mg/L			08/23/11 16:46	
Sulfate	88		25		mg/L			08/25/11 16:46	
Total Organic Carbon	3.6		1.0		mg/L			09/01/11 09:40	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Alkalinity	470		5.0		mg/L			08/23/11 15:44	
Carbon Dioxide, Free	43		5.0		mg/L			08/23/11 15:44	

Client Sample Results TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011 SDG: KOM013 Client Sample ID: GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811 Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-3 Date Collected: 08/22/11 13:15 Matrix: Water Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46 - - ---Method: 6010B - Metals (ICP) - Dissolved RL. Analyte Result Qualifier MDL Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 0.050 U 0.050 Iron, Dissolved mg/L 08/24/11 14:06 08/26/11 03:21 1 0.010 mg/L 08/24/11 14:06 08/26/11 03:21 Manganese, Dissolved 1.0 1 General Chemistry - Dissolved RL MDL Unit Analyte Result Qualifier D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac Dissolved Organic Carbon 3.5 1.0 mg/L 08/31/11 08:46

8



Client Sample Results

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011 TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Client Sample ID: GM-58A-0811 Date Collected: 08/22/11 15:10 Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 Matrix: Water

8

••

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
t,1'-Biphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
Nitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
Pentachlorophenol	50	U	50		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
1-Chloro-3-nitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
2-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
3-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
I-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
2-chloronitrobenzene /	45		20		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
4-chloronitrobenzene									
1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene	10	U	10		ug/L		08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
2-Fluorobiphenyl	59		38 - 130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
?-Fluorophenol	59		25 - 130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
litrobenzene-d5	63		39 - 130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
Phenol-d5	54		25 - 130				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
Terphenyl-d14	68		10 - 143				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	70		31 - 141				08/26/11 14:54	08/30/11 19:36	
Method: RSK-175 - Dissolved Gases	(GC)								
Analyte		Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Elhane	1.1	U	1.1		ug/L			08/26/11 14:57	1
Elhylene	1.0	U	1.0		ug/L			08/26/11 14:57	
Methane	0.79		0.58		ug/L			08/26/11 14:57	1
Method: 6010B - Metals (ICP) - Total	Recoverab	ble							
Analyte		Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	DII Fa
ron	1.1		0.050		mg/L		08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:26	
Manganese	1.4		0.010		mg/L		08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:26	1
General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Chloride	41		1.0		mg/L			08/25/11 15:32	1
Vitrate as N	0.050	υ	0.050		mg/L			08/23/11 16:27	
Sulfate	93		25		mg/L			08/25/11 16:46	:
fotal Organic Carbon	3.5		1.0		mg/L			09/01/11 09:59	
•	Result	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fa
Analyte	Result 470	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit mg/L	<u>D</u>	Prepared	Analyzed 08/23/11 15:54	Dil Fa

		Client	: Sample R	lesults	5				
Client: Solutia Inc.							TestAmer	ica Job ID: 680-	71633-1
Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Si			SDG: I	COM013					
Client Sample ID: GM-58A-F	(0.2)-0811						Lab Sam	ple ID: 680-7	1633-5
Date Collected: 08/22/11 15:10								Matrix	c: Water
Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46									
									· -
Method: 6010B - Metals (ICP) - D Analyte	Result	Qualifier		MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Díl Fac
· · ·		Qualifier	0.050	MDL	Unit mg/L	D	08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:31	Díl Fac
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		MDL		<u>D</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dil Fac 1
Analyte Iron, Dissolved	Result 0.79	Qualifier	0.050	MDL	mg/L	<u>D</u>	08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:31	Díl Fac
Analyte Iron, Dissolved Manganese, Dissolved	Result 0.79 1.4	Qualifier Qualifier	0.050	MDL MDL	mg/L	<u>D</u>	08/24/11 14:06	08/26/11 03:31	Díl Fac 1 1 Dil Fac

ост о з 2011 ДАД

8

TestAmerica Savannah

•

Client Sample Results

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

--- ----

- - - -

--- -

Client Sample ID: TB-4

Date Collected: 08/22/11 00:00 Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46 Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-6 Matrix: Water

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1

SDG: KOM013

Method: RSK-175 - Dissolved Gases (GC)												
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MOL U		D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac			
Ethane	1.1	U	1,1	uç	g/L			08/26/11 15:10	1			
Ethylene	1.0	U	1.0	ц	g/L			08/26/11 15:10	1			
Methane	0.5B	U	0.58	uç	3/L			08/26/11 15:10	1			

8

OCT 0 3 2011

Method: 8270C - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Water

Matrix: water								Prep Type: Total/NA
<u> </u>				Percent Su	rrogate Reco	very (Accept	ance Limits)
		FBP	2FP	NBZ	PHL	трн	твр	
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(38-130)	(25-130)	(39-130)	(25-130)	(10-143)	(31-141)	
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	80	71	79	65	75	85	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	59	59	63	54	68	70	
680-71633-4 MS	GM-58A-0811	71	80	87	76	76	77	
680-71633-4 MS	GM-58A-0811	69	58	69	61	66	80	
680-71633-4 MSD	GM-58A-0811	58	65	70	52	56	62	
680-71633-4 MSD	GM-58A-0811	71	62	71	62	63	79	
LCS 680-213038/5-A	Lab Control Sample	87	80	88	83	82	93	
LCS 680-213038/8-A	Lab Control Sample	67	80	82	77	82	72	
MB 680-213038/4-A	Method Blank	86	89	87	85	84	86	

Surrogate Legend

FBP = 2-Fluorobiphenyl

2FP = 2-Fluorophenol

NBZ = Nitrobenzene-d5

PHL = Phenol-d5

TPH = Terphenyl-d14

T8P = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol

9



Method: 8270C - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) Lab Sample (D: MB 680-213038/4-A Client Sample ID: Method Blank Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 213459 Prep Batch: 213038 MB MB RL MDL Unit Analyte Result Qualifier D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 10 11 10 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1.1'-Biphenvl uq/L 1 2,4-Dichloropheno! 10 U 10 ug/L 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1 Nitrobenzene 10 U 10 ug/L 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1 Pentachtorophenol 50 U 50 ug/L 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1 10 U 10 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 2.4.6-Trichlorophenol ug/L 1 10 11 1-Chloro-3-nitrobenzene 10 ug/L 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1 10 U 2-Nitrobiphenyl 10 ug/L 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1 10 U 10 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 3-Nitrobiphenyl ug/L 1 3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene 10 U 10 ug/L 08/26/11 14;54 08/30/11 16:40 1 10 U 10 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 ug/L 4-Nitrobiphenyl 20 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 20 U 2-chloronitrobenzene / ug/L 1 4-chloronitrobenzene 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene 10 U 10 ug/L 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 мв мв Surrogate % Recovery Qualifier Limits Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 08/26/11 14:54 2-Fluorobiphenyl 38-130 08/30/11 16:40 86 25 - 130 08/26/11 14:54 2-Fluorophenol 89 08/30/11 16:40 1 Nitrobenzene-d5 87 39 - 130 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 85 25 - 130 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 Phenol-d5 Terphenyl-d14 84 10-143 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 1 31 - 141 08/26/11 14:54 08/30/11 16:40 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 86 1 Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-213038/5-A Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Analysis Batch: 213480 Prep Batch: 213038 LCS LCS Spike % Rec. Added % Rec Analyte Result Qualifier Limits Unit D 1,1'-Biphenyl 100 78.9 ug/L 79 54 - 130 100 81.9 ug/L 54 - 130 2,4-Dichlorophenol 82 Nitrobenzene 100 79.7 ug/L 80 56 - 130 100 82.1 ug/L 82 42 - 138 Pentachlorophenol 100 84.8 ug/L 57.130 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 85 LCS LCS Surrogato oven. Qualifier

Surroyate	% Recovery	Quaimer	Limits
2-Fluorobiphenyl	87		38 - 130
2-Fluorophenol	80		25.130
Nitrobenzene-d5	88		39 - 130
Phenol-d5	83		25 - 130
Terphenyl-d14	82		10_143
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	93		31 - 141
Terphenyl-d14	82		10 - 143

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-213038/8-A Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water							Prep Ty	pe: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 213459							Prep B	atch: 213038
	Spike	LCS	LCS				% Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	% Rec	Limits	
1-Chloro-3-nitrobenzene	100	86.4		ug/L		86	10 - 130	
2-Nitrobiphenyl	100	87.0		ug/L		87	10 - 130	
3-Nitrobiphenyl	100	90.2		ug/L		90	10 - 130	

TestAmerica Savannah

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

SDG: KOM013

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1

QC Sample Results

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Method: 8270C - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-21303 Matrix: Water	8/8-A						Clier	nt a	sample	ID: Lab Control Sam Prep Type: Total
Analysis Batch: 213459										Prep Batch: 213
Analysis Baten. 210400			Spike	LCS	LCS					% Rec.
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit		D	% Rec	Limits
3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene			100	91.9		ug/L		-	92	10.130
4-Nitrobiphenyl			100	88.7		ug/L			89	10 - 130
2-chloronitrobenzene /			200	160		ug/L			80	10 - 130
4-chloronitrobenzene						-3 -				
1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene			100	107		ug/L			107	10 - 130
. .		LCS								
Surrogate	% Recovery		Limits							
2-Fluorobiphenyl	67		38 - 130							
2-Fluorophenol	80		25 - 130							
Nilrobenzene-d5	82		39 - 130							
Phenol-d5	77		25 - 130							
Terphenyl-d14	82		10_143							
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	72		31 - 141							
Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 MS	5							(Client Sa	ample ID: GM-58A-0
Matrix: Water										Prep Type: Total
Analysis Batch: 213459										Prep Batch: 213
•	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS					% Rec.
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit		D	% Rec	Limits
I-Chloro-3-nitrobenzene	10	U	97,7	92.0		ug/L		-	94	10 - 130
2-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	97.7	94.3		ug/L			96	10.130
3-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	97.7	95,9		ug/L			98	10 - 130
3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene	10	U	97.7	96.0		ug/L			98	10 - 130
4-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	97.7	94.2		ug/L			96	10 - 130
2-chloronitrobenzene /	45		195	216		ug/L			87	10 - 130
4-chloronitrobenzene						•				
1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene	10	U	97.7	124		ug/L			127	10 - 130
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
2-Fluorobiphenyl	71		38 - 130							
2-Fluorophenol	80		25_130							
Nilrobenzene-d5	87		39 - 130							
Phenol-d5	76		25 - 130							
Terphenyl-d14	76		10_143							
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	77		31 - 141							
Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 MS	1							,	liont Sr	ample ID: GM-58A-0
	r									
Matrix: Water										Prep Type: Total
Analysis Batch: 213480	e	Camela	Colleg	MC	MS					Prep Batch: 213
Analyta	-	Sample	Spike			11-14			W D	% Rec.
Analyte	Result 10	Qualifier	Added		Qualifier	Unit		D	% Rec 67	Límits
1,1'-8iphenyl			99.2	66.6 68.0		ug/L				54 - 130 54 - 130
2,4-Dichlorophenol	10		99.2	68,9		ug/L			69	54 - 130 56 - 420
Nitrobenzene	10		99.2	70.7		ug/L			70	56 - 130
Pentachlorophenol	50		99.2	82.2		ug/L			83	42 - 138
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	10	U	99,2	75.6		ug/L			75	57 _ 130
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							OCT 0 3 2011
2-Fluorobiphenyl	69		38 - 130							

TestAmerica Savannah

QC Sample Results

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Client Sample ID: GM-58A-0811

Prep Type: Total/NA

Method: 8270C - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 MS Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 213480

	MS MS	
Surrogate	% Recovery Qualifier	Limits
2-Fluorophenol	58	25 - 130
Nitrobenzene-d5	69	39 - 130
Phenol-d5	61	25 - 130
Terphenyl-d14	66	10 - 143
2,4,6-Tribromophenal	80	31 - 141

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 MSD

Matrix: Water

									• •			
Analysis Batch: 213459									Ртер Е	Batch: 2	13038	
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				% Rec.		RPD	62
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	% Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit	
1-Chloro-3-nitrobenzene	10	U	99.2	75.0		ug/L		76	10 - 130	20	50	
2-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	99.2	80.0		ug/L		81	10 - 130	16	50	
3-Nitrobiphenyl	10	U	99.2	80.7		ug/Ł		81	10 - 130	17	50	
3,4-Dichloronitrobenzene	10	Ų	99.2	77.6		ug/L		78	10 - 130	21	50	
4-Nîtrobiphenyl	10	U	99.2	78.4		ug/L		79	10 - 130	18	50	
2-chloronitrobenzene /	45		198	175		ug/L		65	10 - 130	21	50	
4-chloronitrobenzene												
1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene	10	U	99.2	102		ug/L		103	10 - 130	19	50	

	MSD	MSD	
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
2-Fluorobiphenyl	58		38 - 130
2-Fluorophenol	65		25 - 130
Nitrobenzene-d5	70		39 _ 130
Phenol-d5	52		25_130
Terphenyl-d14	56		10_143
2,4,6-Tribromophenol	62		31_141

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 MSD Client Sample ID: GM-58A-0811 Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 213038 Analysis Batch: 213480 MSD MSD Sample Sample Spike % Rec. RPD Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit D % Rec Limits RPD Limit 10 U 66.7 54 - 130 1,1'-Biphenyl 101 ug/L 66 0 50 2.4-Dichlorophenol 10 U 101 67.8 ug/L 67 54 - 130 2 50 10 U 101 68.2 ug/L 67 56 - 130 4 50 Nitrobenzene 50 U 77.9 42 - 138 Pentachlorophenol 101 ug/L 78 5 50 101 71.9 57.130 50 10 U ug/L 70 5 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol

	MSD	MSD	
Surrogate	% Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
2-Fluorobiphenyl	71		38 - 130
2-Fluorophenol	62		25 - 130
Nitrobenzene-d5	71		39 - 130
Phenol-d5	62		25 - 130
Terphenyl-d14	63		10 - 143
2,4,6 Tribromophenal	79		31 - 141

T30	0	3	2011	ille

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 213038

ethod: RSK-175 - Dissolved Ga	ses (GC	>)										
_ab Sample ID: MB 680-213174/18								(Client Sa	mple ID: N	lethod	Blank
Matrix: Water										Ргер Ту		
Analysis Batch: 213174												
···,	MB	мв										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		RL	м	DL Unit		D Pre	epared	Analyze	d	Dil Fac
Ethane	1,1	Ū		1.1		ug/L				08/26/11 1	1:19	1
Ethylene	1.0	U		1.0		ug/L				08/26/11 1	1:19	1
Methane	0.58	υ		0.58		ug/L				08/26/11 1	1: 19	1
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-213174/16								Client	Sample I	D: Lab Co	ntrol S	ample
Matrix: Water										Prep Ty	pe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 213174												
			Spike		LCS	LCS				% Rec.		
Analyte			Added			Qualifier	Unit	<u> </u>	% Rec	Limits		
Ethane			282		310		ug/L		110	75 - 125		
Ethylene			271		302		ug/L		112	75 - 125		
Melhane			153		172		ug/L		112	75 - 125		
Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-213174/17							Clie	nt Samı	ple ID: La	ab Control		
Matrix: Water										Prep Ty	pe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 213174												
			Spike		LCSD	LCSD				% Rec.		RPD
Analyte			Added		Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	% Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Ethane			282		307		ug/L		109	75.125	1	30
Ethylene			271		299		ug/L		110	75 - 125	1	30
Methane			153		171		ug/L		112	75 - 125	0	30
lethod: 6010B - Metals (ICP)												
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									Sient Co	mala ID: N	lathad	- ·
Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212780/1-A										mple ID: N		
Matrix: Water									Prep 1	ype: Total		
Analysis Batch: 213071	мв	MB								Prep B	atch: 2	12780
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		RL	М	DL Unit		D Pre	epared	Analyze	d	Dil Fac
	0.050	U		0.050		mg/L		08/24	/11 14:06	08/26/11 0	2:54	1
Iron	0.050	U		0.050		mg/L		08/24	/11 14:06	08/26/11 0	2:54	1
						_mg/L		08/24	/11 14:06	08/26/11 0	2-64	1
Iron Iron, Dissolved Manganese	0.010	U		0.010		, '''y/L			, 11 14.00	00/20/11/0/	2.04	•

QC Sample Results -

011 000 0011 0010 0011 . . .

Client: Solutia Inc.

Matrix: Water

Iron, Dissolved

Manganese, Dissolved

Manganese

Analyte

lron

Analysis Batch: 213071

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDC: KOM013

Spike

Added

1.00

1.00

0.500

0.500

LCS LCS

1.02

1.02

0.528

0,528

Result Qualifier

Unit

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

mg/L

OCT 0 3 2011 TestAmerica Savannah

Prep Type: Total Recoverable

% Rec.

Limits --

75 - 125

75 - 125

75.125

75 - 125

D % Rec

102

102

106

106

Prep Batch: 212780

QC Sample Results

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011 TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Aethod: 310.1 - Alkalinity													
Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212683/2 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212683										Client S	ample ID: I Prep Ty		
·····,···		MB	MB										
Analyte	R	esult	Qualifier		RL		RL Unit		D P	repared	Analyz	ed	Dil Fac
Alkalinity		5.0	U		5,0		mg/L				08/23/11		1
Carbon Dioxide, Free		5.0	U		5.0		mg/L				08/23/11 1	5:28	1
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212683/3									Client	Sample	ID: Lab Co	ntrol S	Sample
Matrix: Water											Prep Ty	/pe: To	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 212683													
				Spike			LCS				% Rec.		
Analyte				Added			Qualifier	Unit	D		Limits		
Alkalinity				230		207		mg/L		90	80 - 120		
Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-212683/9								01	ant Com		ah Cantral	0	I.a. D
Matrix: Water	,							CIR	int Sam	pre iD: L	ab Control	-	-
Analysis Batch: 212683											Ргер Ту	pe: 10	ia//NA
Analysis Datch. 212005				Spike		LCSD	LCSD				% Rec.		RPD
Analyte				Added			Qualifier	Unit	D	% Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Alkalinity				230		209		mg/L		91	80 - 120	1	30
····-,				200		200					00-120		00
Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-4 DU										Client S	ample ID: (GM-58/	4-0811
Matrix: Water											Prep Ty		
Analysis Batch: 212683												p	
,	Sample	Sam	ple			DU	DU						RPD
Analyte	Result					Result	Qualifier	Unit	D			RPD	Limit
Alkalinity	470					470		mg/L				0.9	30
Carbon Dioxide, Free	40					38.4		mg/L				3	30
lethod: 325.2 - Chloride													
Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212944/1										Client Sa	ample ID: N		
Matrix: Water											Prep Ту	pe: To	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 212944		мв	MD										
Analyte	Ba		Qualifier		RL	м	DL Unit		D D.	d			
Chloride	Re	1.0	-		1.0				D Pr	epared	Analyze 08/25/11 1		Dil Fac 1
		1.0	0		1.0		mgru				00/25/111	5.02	
												ntral S	ample
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water									Client	Sample			
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water									Client	Sample	Prep Ty		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water				Spike		LCS	LCS		Client	Sample	Prep Ty		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944				Spike Added				Unit			Prep Ty % Rec.		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944							LCS Qualifier	Unit mg/L	Client	Sample	Prep Ty		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944 Analyte Chloride				Added		Result				% Rec	Prep Ty % Rec. Limits		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944 Analyte Chloride	te-Nitri	te		Added		Result				% Rec	Prep Ty % Rec. Limits		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944 Analyte Chloride ethod: 353.2 - Nitrogen, Nitra Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212686/3	te-Nitri	te		Added		Result			<u>D</u>	<u>% Rec</u> 110	Prep Ty % Rec. Limits 85 - 115	pe: To	Blank
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944 Analyte Chloride ethod: 353.2 - Nitrogen, Nitra Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212686/3 Matrix: Water	te-Nitri	te		Added		Result			<u>D</u>	<u>% Rec</u> 110	Prep Ty % Rec. Limits 85 - 115	pe: To	Blank
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944 Analyte Chloride ethod: 353.2 - Nitrogen, Nitra Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212686/3 Matrix: Water				Added		Result			<u>D</u>	<u>% Rec</u> 110	Prep Ty % Rec. Limits 85 - 115	pe: To	Blank
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212944/6 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212944 Analyte		мв	MB Qualifier	Added		Result 54.8		mg/L	D	<u>% Rec</u> 110	Prep Ty % Rec. Limits 85 - 115	pe: To lethod pe: To	Blank

TestAmerica Savannah

OCT 0 3 2011 MAN

50

Method: 353.2 - Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212686/4 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212686					Client	Sampie I	ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA
	Spike	LCS	LCS				% Rec.
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	% Rec	Limits
Nitrate as N	0.500	0.493		mg/L		99	90 - 110
Nitrate Nitrite as N	1.00	1.01		mg/L		101	90 - 110
Nitrite as N	0.500	0.519		mg/L		104	90 - 110

Method: 375.4 - Sulfate

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-212968/1								CI	ient Sa	mple ID: Metho	d Blank	
Matrix: Water											Prep Type: 1	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 212968												
	MB	MB										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		RL	MD	L Unit		D	Ргер	ared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Sulfate	5.0	U		5.0		mg/L					08/25/11 16:04	
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-212968/2								Clie	ent Sa	ample I	D: Lab Control	Sample
											Prep Type: 1	otal/N/
Matrix: Water												
			Spike		LCS I	LCS					% Rec.	
Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 212968 ^{Analyte}			Spike Added		LCS I Result (Unit		D	% Rec	% Rec. Limits	

Method: 415.1 - DOC

ample ID: Meti	hod Blank
Prep Type: I	Dissolved
Analyzed	Dil Fac
08/31/11 08:46	<u> </u>
ID: Lab Contro	ol Sample
Prep Type: I	Dissolved
% Rec.	
Limits	
80 - 120	
ID: GM-31A-F	(0.2)-0811
Prep Type: I	Dissolved
% Rec.	
Limits	
80 - 120	
ID: GM-31A-F	(0.2)-0811
Prep Type: I	Dissolved
% Rec.	RPD
Limits R	PD Limit
80 - 120	2 20
•	Analyzed 08/31/11 08:46 ID: Lab Contro Prep Type: % Rec. Limits 80 - 120 ID: GM-31A-F Prep Type: % Rec. Limits 80 - 120 ID: GM-31A-F Prep Type: % Rec. Limits Rec. Limits Rec.

TestAmerica Savannah

OCT 0 3 2011

QC Sample Results

Client: Solutia Inc.	
Project/Site: WGK Ro	ute 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

D

j

Method: 415.1 - TOC

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-213697/2								Client Sample ID: Meth				od Blank	
Matrix: Water											Prep Type: 1	Fotal/NA	
Analysis Batch: 213697													
-	MB	MB											
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		RL	M	DL Unit		D	Pre	pared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Total Organic Carbon	1.0	U		1.0		mg/L					09/01/11 08:15		
Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-213697/4								Clie	ent S	Sample I	D: Lab Control	Sample	
Matrix: Water											Prep Type: 1	Fotal/NA	
Analysis Batch: 213697													
			Spike		LCS	LCS					% Rec.		
Analyte			Added		Result	Qualifier	Unit		D	% Rec	Limits		
			20.0		19,3		mg/L			97	80 - 120		

OCT **0 3** 2011

QC Association Summary

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

GC/MS Semi VOA

Dron	Batch	213038
Fiep	Daton.	213030

– – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
680-71633-4 MS	GM-58A-0811	Tota!/NA	Water	3520C	
680-71633-4 MS	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
680-71633-4 MSD	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
680-71633-4 MSD	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
LCS 680-213038/5-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
LCS 680-213038/8-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
MB 680-213038/4-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	3520C	
nalysis Batch: 21345	9				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Tota!/NA	Water	8270C	213038
680-71633-4 MS	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213 0 38
680-71633-4 MSD	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
LCS 680-213038/8-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
MB 680-213038/4-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
nalysis Batch: 21348	D				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-4 MS	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
680-71633-4 MSD	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
LCS 680-213038/5-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	8270C	213038
SC VOA					
nalysis Batch: 213174	4				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	RSK-175	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	RSK-175	
680-71633-6	TB-4	Total/NA	Water	RSK-175	
LCS 680-213174/16	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	RSK-175	
LCSD 680-213174/17	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	RSK-175	
MB 680-213174/18	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	RSK-175	
letals					
rep Batch: 212780					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total Recoverable	Water	3005A	
680-71633-3	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	3005A	
580-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total Recoverable	Water	3005A	
680-71633-5	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	3005A	
LCS 680-212780/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total Recoverable	Water	3005A	
MB 680-212780/1-A	Method Blank	Total Recoverable	Water	3005A	
nalysis Batch: 21307 [,]	1				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total Recoverable	Water	60108	212780
680-71633-3	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	6010B	212780
000 74022 4	CM 584 0811	Total Bassy stable	Weber.	COLOR	010700

GM-58A-0811

680-71633-4

Total Recoverable

Water

TestAmerica Savannah

212780

OCT 0 3 2011 MAN

6010B

QC Association Summary

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

_

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

_ _

Motale (Continued)	
Metals (Continued)	

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-5	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	6010B	212780
LCS 680-212780/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total Recoverable	Water	6010B	212780
MB 680-212780/1-A	Method Blank	Total Recoverable	Water	6010B	212780
eneral Chemistry	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
nalysis Batch: 21268	3				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batc
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	310.1	

680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	310.1	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	310.1	
680-71633-4 DU	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	310.1	
LCS 680-212683/3	Lab Control Sample	Tota!/NA	Water	310.1	
LCSD 680-212683/9	Lab Control Sample Dup	Tota!/NA	Water	310.1	
MB 680-212683/2	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	310.1	
- Analysis Batch: 2126	86				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	353.2	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	353.2	
LCS 680-212686/4	ح Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	353.2	
MB 680-212686/3	Melhod Blank	Total/NA	Water	353.2	
nalysis Batch: 21294	44				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	325.2	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	325.2	
LCS 680-212944/6	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	325.2	
MB 680-212944/1	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	325.2	
nalysis Batch: 21296	58				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	375.4	
680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	375.4	
LC\$ 680-212968/2	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	375.4	
MB 680-212968/1	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	375.4	
nalysis Batch: 21356	69				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Ргер Туре	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-3	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	415.1	
680-71633-3 MS	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	415.1	
680-71633-3 MSD	GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	415.1	
880-71633-5	GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	Dissolved	Water	415.1	
_CS 680-213569/2	Lab Control Sample	Dissolved	Water	415.1	
MB 680-213569/1	Method Blank	Dissolved	Water	415.1	
nalysis Batch: 21369	97				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	415.1	
	014 504 6044	7-1-1010	18/-1	445.4	

	Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Pteb Type	iyia irix	Method	Prep batch
	680-71633-1	GM-31A-0811	Total/NA	Water	415.1	
	680-71633-4	GM-58A-0811	Total/NA	Water	415.1	
İ	LCS 680-213697/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	415.1	
	MB 680-213697/2	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	415.1	
	HID OOG 2100011E					

TestAmerica Savannah

OCT 0 3 2011 MM

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Client Sample ID: GM-31A-0811 Date Collected: 08/22/11 13:15 Date Re

Date Received: 08	8/23/11 09:	46										-
	Batch	Batch		Dil	Init	ial	Fina	al	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amo	unt	Amo	unt	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Tota!/NA	Prep	3520C			994.7	mL	1	mL	213038	08/26/11 14:54	RBS	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	8270C		1					213459	08/30/11 19:07	LH	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	RSK-175		1	17000	μL	17	mL	213174	08/26/11 14:44	SMC	TAL SAV
Total Recoverable	Prep	3005A			50	тL	50	тL	212780	08/24/11 14:06	RAM	TAL SAV
Total Recoverable	Analysis	6010B		1					213071	08/26/11 03:15	BCB	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	310.1		1	30	mL	30	mL	212683	08/23/11 15:44	TR	TAŁ SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	353.2		5	2	mL	2	mL	212686	08/23/11 16:46	JR	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	325.2		1	2	mL	2	mL	212944	08/25/11 15:32	JR	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	375.4		5	2	mL	2	mL	212968	08/25/11 16:46	JR	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	415.1		1	25	mL	25	mL	213697	09/01/11 09:40	тн	TAL SAV

Client Sample ID: GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811 Date Collected: 08/22/11 13:15 Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Dissolved	Prep	3005A			50 mL	50 mL	212780	08/24/11 14:06	RAM	TAL SAV
Dissolved	Analysis	6010B		1			213071	08/26/11 03:21	BCB	TAL \$AV
Dissolved	Analysis	415.1		1			213569	08/31/11 08:46	тн	TAL SAV

Client Sample ID: GM-58A-0811 Date Collected: 08/22/11 15:10

Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Туре	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	3520C			500.0 mL	0.5 mL	213038	08/26/11 14:54	RBS	TAL \$AV
Total/NA	Analysis	8270C		1			213459	08/30/11 19:35	LH	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	RSK-175		1	17000 uL	17 mL	213174	08/26/11 14:57	SMC	TAL SAV
Total Recoverable	Prep	3005A			50 mL	50 mL	212780	08/24/11 14:06	RAM	TAL SAV
Total Recoverable	Analysis	6010B		1			213071	08/26/11 03:26	BCB	TAL \$AV
Total/NA	Analysis	310.1		1	30 mL	30 mL	212683	08/23/11 15:54	TR	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	353.2		1	2 mL	2 mL	212686	08/23/11 16:27	JR	TAL \$AV
Total/NA	Analysis	325.2		1	2 mL	2 mL	212944	08/25/11 15:32	JR	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	375.4		5	2 mL	2 mL	212968	08/25/11 16:46	JR	TAL SAV
Total/NA	Analysis	415.1		1	25 mL	25 mL	213697	09/01/11 09:59	TH	TAL SAV

Client Sample ID: GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811

Date Collected: 08/22/11 15:10

Date Received: 08/23/11 09:46

_	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Туре	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Dissolved	Prep	3005A			50 mL	50 mL	212780	08/24/11 14:06	RAM	TAL SAV
Dissolved	Analysis	6010B		1			213071	08/26/11 03:31	BCB	TAL SAV

Matrix: Water

TestAmerica Savannah

OCT 0 3 2011

Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-5



Lab Sample ID: 680-71633-3

Lab Sample	ID:	680	-716	33-4

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

Lab Chronicle

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

TestAmerica Job ID: 680-71633-1 SDG: KOM013

Client Samp Date Collected Date Received	: 08/22/11 15:	10	811					Lab Samp		B0-71633-5 Aatrix: Water
Prep Type Dissolved	Batch Type Analysis	Batch Method 415.1	Run	Dil Factor 1	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number 213569	Prepared Or Analyzed 08/31/11 08:46	Analyst TH	Lab TAL SAV
Client Samp Date Collected Date Received	: 08/22/11 00:	••	-					Lab Samp		30-71633-6 Aatrix: Water
Prep Type Total/NA	Batch Type Analysis	Batch Method RSK-175	Run	Dil Factor 1	Initial Amount 17000 uL	Final Amount 17 mL	Batch Number 213174	Prepared Or Analyzed 08/26/11 15:10	Analyst SMC	Lab TAL SAV

Laboratory References:

TAL SAV = TestAmerica Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858

TestAmerica Savannah

Savannah

5102 LaRoche Avenue

Chain of Custody Record

TestAmerica THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

Savannah, GA 31404

phone 912.354.7858 fax 912.352.0165

phone 912.354.7858 fax 912,352,0165																						estAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
Client Contact		nager: Day									McNu	rlen									聯co	OC No:
URS Corporation	<u> </u>	14) 743-415				1,at	Con	tsct:	Lidy	ra G	ulizia			Ca	rier:	4			<i>,</i>		_	_1 of1 COCs
1001 Highlands Plaza Drive West, Suite 300		Analysis T	urnaround	Time		2															Jo	b No.
St. Louis, MO 63110		(C) or Wa							5													21562682.00001
(314) 429-0100 Phane		T if different f	rom Delow	<u> </u>		12			y 37													1080-71033
(314) 429-0462 FAX	\mathbb{Z}	2	weeks			4.) (le d			19									SC	DG No
Project Name: 3Q11 Route 3 GW Sampling		1	week					90	Sulf	12		8							[]			
Sile: Solulia WG Krummrich FacIIIty			2 days				è l'	10.1	5.2/	RSK	n	13										
P 0 #			day	·		١Ì	28			12	5	5 8	13.1									
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Sample Type	Matrix	¥eî Cent.	Filtered Sample	SVOCs by	1 0431 F C/MIR 0Y 00 105 Alk/CO2 by 310.1	Chloride by 325.2/Sulfate by 375.4	Methanc by	Nitrate by 353.2	TOC by 415.1 Dissolved FeMn by 6010B	DOC by 415.1									Sample Specific Notes:
GM-31A-0811	8/22/11	1315	G	Water	11		2	1 1	1	3	2	1										
GM-31A-0811-AD	8/22/11	1315	C	Water	2	Ц	2															
GM-31A-F(0.2)-0811 GM-58A-0811	11/25/1	1315	G	Water	2	x						1	'									
GM-58A-0811	8/22/1	1510	C	Water	11		2	ı ı	1	3	2	1										
GM-58A-0811-MS	8/2/1	1510	G	Water	2	\prod	2		\bot			1										
GM-58A-0811-MSD	8/22/11	1510	C	Water	2		2															
GM-58A-F(0.2)-0811	8/22/11	1510	G	Water	2	X	\downarrow			<u> </u>	\square	<u> </u> '	1	Ļ.	_							
TB-4	8/2/11	0000	-	\mathbb{W}	2	Ц			\perp	12			\downarrow								A	5 necessary
					7	H	+	= -	╞	-	╞═┝		_	<u> </u>	┉	_		+		+		
					1 And	#	2	1	+>	┢	4											
						Ľ	Å	Ma,	Å	11	n	-					+	_				
				<u>-</u> -		H	Ľ	1	-		H					┢	+	\vdash				
Preservation Used: 1= Ice, 2= HCl; 3= H2SO4; 4=HNO3; 5=NaO	H; 6= Othe	r					-	4 1	-		3,1	_	2									
Possible Hozard Identification		_	,					-	•		-	e ma	·				-					nger than 1 month)
Non-Hazard Flammable Skin Irritant	Poison l	, Ш	, Unknown			_1		Roli	um 1	To C	lient		· ·	Disp	osal	By La	ю			rchive		
Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments: Level 4 Day	a Package	e																	-	Te	γrγ	0 04°C/08°C
Relinquished by:	Сотралу:	URS		Date/Tir 8/22/	ורו די	5		ved b	Ś	he	S V	2	२			Comp						11e/Time: 8122/11 1715
Relinquished by:	Company:			Date/Tir	ne:	- ľ	KCCCI	ved b	y:		$\overline{\mathbb{O}}$			<u>-</u> 1		Compi CT	•	<i>x-</i>))	,			16/Time: 5 58.23.1100946
Relinquished by:	Company:			Date/Fir	ne:			ved b		·_4			.yı	<u> </u>		Comp		1-1	/		Da	<u></u>
										13												

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Solutia Inc.

Login Number: 71633 List Number: 1 Creator: Daughtry, Beth

Comment Question Answer Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below N/A background True The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or True tampered with. 2 coolers rec'd on ice Samples were received on ice. True True Cooler Temperature is acceptable. True 0.1, 0.8 C Cooler Temperature is recorded. Тгие COC is present. COC is filled out in ink and legible. Тгие COC is filled out with all pertinent information. True N/A Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? True There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC. True Samples are received within Holding Time. Sample containers have legible labels. True Containers are not broken or leaking. False -1: rec'd 125ml amber (TOC) bottle w/no sample Sample collection date/times are provided. True True Appropriate sample containers are used. Sample bottles are completely filled. Тгие Sample Preservation Verified. True There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested True MS/MSDs VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4") in True diameter. Multiphasic samples are not present. True Samples do not require splitting or compositing. True Residual Chlorine Checked. N/A

Job Number: 680-71633-1 SDG Number: KOM013

List Source: TestAmerica Savannah



Certification Summary

Client: Solutia Inc. Project/Site: WGK Route 3 Drum Site O&M-3Q11 AUG 2011

Laboratory	Authority	Program	EPA Region	Certification ID
TestAmerica Savannah	A2LA	DoD ELAP		0399-01
TestAmerica Savannah	A2LA	ISO/IEC 17025		399,01
TestAmerica Savannah	Alabama	State Program	4	41450
lestAmerica Savannah	Arkansas	Arkansas DOH	6	N/A
FestAmerica Savannah	Arkansas	State Program	6	86-0692
estAmerica Savannah	California	NELAC	9	3217CA
estAmerica Savannah	Colorado	State Program	8	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	Connecticut	State Program	1	PH-0161
estAmerica Savannah	Delaware	State Program	3	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	Florida	NELAC	4	E87052
estAmerica Savannah	Georgia	Georgia EPD	4	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	Georgia	State Program	4	803
estAmerica Savannah	Guam	State Program	9	09-005r
estAmerica Savannah	Hawaii	State Program	9	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	Illinois	NELAC	5	200022
estAmerica Savannah	Indiana	State Program	5	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	lowa	State Program	7	353
estAmerica Savannah	Kentucky	Kenlucky UST	4	18
estAmerica Savannah	Kentucky	State Program	4	90064
estAmerica Savannah	Louisiana	NELAC	6	LA100015
estAmerica Savannah	Louisiana	NELAC	6	30690
estAmerica Savanлah	Maine	State Program	1	GA00006
estAmerica Savannah	Maryland	State Program	3	250
estAmerica Savannah	Massachusetts	State Program	1	M-GA006
estAmerica Savannah	Michigan	State Program	5	9925
estAmerica Savannah	Mississippi	State Program	4	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	Montana	State Program	8	CERT0081
estAmerica Savannah	Nebraska	State Program	7	TestAmerica-Savannah
estAmerica Savannah	New Jersey	NELAC	2	GA769
estAmerica Savannah	New Mexico	State Program	6	N/A
estAmerica Savannah	New York	NELAC	2	10842
estAmerica Savannah	North Carolina	North Carolina DENR	4	269
estAmerica Savannah	North Carolina	North Carolina PHL	4	13701
estAmerica Savannah	Pennsylvania	NELAC	3	68-00474
estAmerica Savannah	Puerto Rico	Stale Program	2	GA00006
estAmerica Savannah	Rhode Island	State Program	1	LAO00244
estAmerica Savannah	South Carolina	Stale Program	4	98001
estAmerica Savannah	Tennessee	State Program	4	TN02961
estAmerica Savannah	Texas	NELAC	6	T104704185-08-TX
estAmerica Savannah	USDA	USDA		SAV 3-04
estAmerica Savannah	Vermont	State Program	1	87052
estAmerica Savannah	Virginia	NELAC Secondary AB	3	460161
estAmerica Savannah	Virginia	State Program	3	302
estAmerica Savannah	Washington	State Program	10	C1794
estAmerica Savannah	West Virginia	West Virginia DEP	3	94
estAmerica Savannah	West Virginia	West Virginia DHHR (DW)	3	9950C
estAmerica Savannah	Wyoming	State Program	8	8TMS-Q

Accreditation may not be offered or required for all methods and analytes reported in this package. Please contact your project manager for the laboratory's current list of certified methods and analytes.

