

Linking and Leveraging Energy Programs for Low-Income Households

Mark Wolfe National Energy Assistance Directors' Association Thursday, November 19, 2015

### The Problem

- Low income families spend on average 4 times the amount spent by middle income families on home energy
- 1 out of 3 families qualify for the federal Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program
- About 50% of these households are homeowners
- The poorest families suffer from high rates of arrears and shutoffs due to the inability to pay high energy bills

### The Problem for Utilities

There is a significant impact on utilities

The low income rate base is not evenly distributed, so some utilities bear the burden more than others

## Solutions

States approach energy affordability through three common strategies:

- 1. Bill payment assistance
- 2. Increasing the energy efficiency of the home through weatherization
- 3. Addressing energy-system related health and safety measures



- 1. Inefficient and poorly maintained HVAC systems
- 2. Inadequate insulation, leaky roofs, inefficient lighting
- 3. Inadequate air ceiling and other air infiltration issues

Energy-Related Health and Safety Issues

- 1. Water infiltration from leaky roofs and ground water can make it impossible to do insulation
- 2. Water infiltration can lead to mold and create asthma triggers
- 3. Lack of smoke and carbon monoxide detectors

# Federal Funding Streams LIHEAP

Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)

- \$3.39 billion in FY15
- Eligibility: under 150% federal poverty guideline or 60% state medium income (varies by state)
- Average household benefit  $\approx$  \$400
- Often Administered by local community action agencies
- Also funds WAP \$406.9 million transferred in FY13

# Federal Funding Streams WAP

Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP)

- \$192 million in FY15
- Eligibility: under 200% poverty guideline or 60% state medium income (varies by state)
- Average household benefit: \$6,000
- Categorical eligibility for SNAP/SSI recipients

## **Additional Funding Streams**

#### Supplemental Programs

- 30 States and DC
- \$3.9 billion in FY13
- Generally funded through systems benefit funds and managed by utilities

#### Nonprofit/Private Capital

Warehouse for Energy Efficiency Loans (WHEEL) model

### **Characteristics of Integrated Programs**

- 1. Sign-up and eligibility determination for assistance and weatherization at one location
- 2. Require that the payment assistance application be for both LIHEAP and utility assistance
- 3. Implement a PIPP/Weatherization program
- 4. Implement standardized income eligibility terms
- 5. Integrate LIHEAP and WAP federal and utility weatherization funds

### **Barriers to Integration**

- 1. Separate sign-up locations for each program
- 2. Separate sign up procedures for utility discount and LIHEAP
- 3. Different eligibility rules for DOE weatherization and utility weatherization
- 4. Complicated integration rules trying to say something about it being difficult for staff

# Contact/Questions

Mark Wolfe Executive Director National Energy Assistance Directors' Association mwolfe@neada.org