

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# An ecological function and services approach to total maximum daily load (TMDL) prioritization

Robert K. Hall · David Guiliano · Sherman Swanson ·  
Michael J. Philbin · John Lin · Joan L. Aron ·  
Robin J. Schafer · Daniel T. Heggem

Received: 18 April 2013 / Accepted: 13 November 2013  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht (outside the USA) 2014

**Abstract** Prioritizing total maximum daily load (TMDL) development starts by considering the scope and severity of water pollution and risks to public health and aquatic life. Methodology using quantitative assessments of in-stream water quality is appropriate and effective for point source (PS) dominated discharge, but less so in watersheds with mostly nonpoint source (NPS) related impairments. For NPSs, prioritization in TMDL development and implementation of associated best management practices should focus on restoration of ecosystem physical functions, including how

restoration effectiveness depends on design, maintenance and placement within the watershed. To refine the approach to TMDL development, regulators and stakeholders must first ask if the watershed, or ecosystem, is at risk of losing riparian or other ecologically based physical attributes and processes. If so, the next step is an assessment of the spatial arrangement of functionality with a focus on the at-risk areas that could be lost, or could, with some help, regain functions. Evaluating stream and wetland riparian function has advantages over the traditional means of water quality and biological assessments for NPS TMDL development. Understanding how an ecosystem functions enables stakeholders and regulators to determine the severity of problem(s), identify source(s) of impairment, and predict and avoid a decline in water quality. The Upper Reese River, Nevada, provides an example of water quality impairment caused by NPS pollution. In this river basin, stream and wetland riparian proper functioning condition (PFC) protocol, water quality data, and remote sensing imagery were used to identify sediment sources, transport, distribution, and its impact on water quality and aquatic resources. This study found that assessments of ecological function could be used to generate leading (early) indicators of water quality degradation for targeting pollution control measures, while traditional in-stream water quality monitoring lagged in response to the deterioration in ecological functions.

R. K. Hall (✉) · D. Guiliano  
USEPA Region IX, WTR2, 75 Hawthorne St, San Francisco,  
CA 94105, USA  
e-mail: hall.robertk@epa.gov

S. Swanson  
Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, University of  
Nevada, 1684 N. Virginia MS 186, Reno, NV, USA

M. J. Philbin  
U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management,  
Montana/Dakotas State Office, Billings, MT, USA

J. Lin  
USEPA Office of Research and Development, NERL, ESD,  
Landscape Ecology Branch, Las Vegas, NV, USA

J. L. Aron  
Aron Environmental Consulting, Columbia, MD, USA

R. J. Schafer  
University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras, San Juan, PR, USA

D. T. Heggem  
USEPA Office of Research and Development, NERL,  
Environmental Sciences Division, Las Vegas, NV, USA

**Keywords** Ecosystem function · Water quality · TMDL ·  
Non-point source · Riparian PFC