

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

**Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee
IPM Workgroup
November 28, 2012 Meeting Notes**

Attendees

Mike Page, Florida Dept of Ag and Consumer Services

Mark Lame, University of Indiana

Dave Tamayo, Sacramento County

Tom Delaney, Professional Landcare Network

Joe Conlin, American Mosquito Control Association

Geoffrey Calvert, US Public Health Services

Robyn Gilden, University of Maryland School of Nursing

Louis Jackai, North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University

Laurie Ann Flanagan (in place of Aaron Hobbs), Responsible Industry for Sound Environment

Keith Matthews, EPA/BPPD

Frank Ellis, EPA/BPPD

Brian Davidson, EPA/BPPD

Lee Tanner, EPA/BPPD

Candace Brassard, EPA/BPPD

Lorry Frogerio, ESB EPA/BPPD

Teleconferencing:

Mary Roy, New York State Bureau of Pesticides Management

Carrie Foss, Washington State University

Burleson Smith, United Fresh Produce Association

Cara Finn, EPA/BPPD

Sherry Glick, EPA/BPPD

- I. **Roll Call and introductions.** Members of the BPPD's Environmental Stewardship Branch briefly explained what they did and their involvement. Several questions were directed to Lee Tanner with regards to BPPD's activities related to IPM and green buildings.
- II. **Environmental Stewardship Branch Update.** Frank Ellis gave a brief update on several Branch activities. He talked about the School IPM Strategic Plan being recently announced and the progress with the Center of Expertise for School IPM.
- III. **Discussion on Metrics**

Mike Page delivered the presentation put together by several people on the subgroup including himself, Tom Green, and Bill Coli.

 - a. Marc Lame started out the discussion with talking about values and adding those into the metrics.
 - b. Mary Roy made a strong suggestion that we push to leverage our relationships with our sister agencies and our partnerships to implement the overall goal of improving children's health.
 - c. Marc Lame wanted to make sure we document the metrics and produce an annual report on the progress of the metrics.
 - d. Discussion regarding IPEST Manager and other tools to report progress of verifiable IPM.
 - e. Notes were taken by Mike Page and agreements were made to make the following recommendations to the PPDC the next day:
 1. Reverse engineer the metrics from the school IPM grants into the Agency's *Strategic and Implementation Plan for School IPM*.
 2. Publish a standardized annual report on school IPM that includes the school IPM grant metrics.
 3. Improve coordination and collaboration with sister agencies and other partners for metrics guidance implementation with an overall goal of improving children's health.

IV. Discussion on Quantitative Benefits of IPM

Dave Tamayo delivered a presentation based on information from himself, Dawn Gouge, Tom Delaney, and Robyn Gilden.

- a. Discussion initially focused mostly schools. The group eventually split the discussion between schools and agriculture / public health settings.
- b. Tom Delaney suggested that there wasn't enough information or consensus for us to present this topic to the full PPDC and that we needed more time.
- c. Keith Matthews clarified the genesis of this topic and reiterated his impression that the overall quantitative benefits of IPM question had yet to be adequately addressed. He said he would be OK if the group needed more time in discussing this topic.
- d. The group had agreed that the first several pages of the PowerPoint given by Dave Tamayo could be delivered, but deeper discussion needed to be had with regards to agriculture and public health settings.